

Original: English

FOLLOW UP OF THE ICCAT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - PANEL 2

| <i>Report Chapter</i> | <i>Recommendations</i> | <i>LEAD</i> | <i>PA 2</i> | <i>Term</i> | <i>Action</i> | <i>Observations</i> | <i>Action Taken by Panel 2</i> | <i>Completion status following annual meeting</i> |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|
| Data Collection and Sharing | 6. The Panel recommends that a mechanism be found to allow minor occasional harvesters without allocations to report their catches without being subject to sanctions. | COC | X | M | Refer matter to the COC in cooperation with the other relevant bodies for consideration and also to the Panels as the issue could also be addressed in the context of management recommendations. | Overall efforts should be coordinated initially by the PWG | | |
| | 6bis. The Panel concludes that ICCAT scores well in terms of agreed forms and protocols for data collection but, while progress has been made, more needs to be done particularly for bycatch species and discards. | SCRS | X | M | | | Not specific to Panel 2, but to be taken into account | |
| Integrated MCS Measures | 71. Evaluates the need and appropriateness of further expanding coverage by national and non-national on-board observers for fishing and fishing activities. | PWG | X | M | Refer to PWG for consideration and also the Panels as observer program requirements can be and some have been agreed as part of management measures for specific fisheries. | SCRS evaluation of current observer program requirements is pending due to lack of reporting. | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | 72. Considers expanding VMS coverage, adopting uniform standards, specifications and procedures, and gradually transforming its VMS system into a fully centralized VMS. | PWG | X | S | Refer to PWG for consideration as Rec. 14-07 must be reviewed per para 6 in 2017. Also refer to the Panels as VMS requirements can be and some have been agreed as part of management measures for specific fisheries. | IMM meeting/PWG may consider the possibility of expanding the VMS coverage | | |
| Reporting Requirements | 85. The Panel recommends that ICCAT, though its Panels 1 to 4, should undertake an overall review of the current reporting requirements, on a stock by stock basis, both in relation to Task I and Task II data contained in the myriad of recommendations, in order to establish whether the reporting obligations in question could be reduced or simplified. | PWG | X | M | Refer to PWG to undertake this review and present its findings and suggestions to the Panels for their approval. | Such a review will involve many recommendations including proposals developed by virtually all the Panels. PWG is well placed to take a comprehensive look at all these measures. SCRS and the Secretariat could also provide support for this work where appropriate. | Awaiting outcome of Working Group on Online Reporting | |
| | 87. The Panel recommends that ICCAT consider introducing a provision in new recommendations, whereby the introduction of | COM | X | S | Refer to all ICCAT bodies that can recommend binding reporting requirements for | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | new reporting requirements would only become effective after a 9 to 12 month period has elapsed. This would assist Developing States to adapt to new requirements. This is particularly relevant where the volume and/or nature of the reporting have changed significantly. The difficulties Developing States encounter in introducing new administrative/reporting requirements at short notice, is well documented in the compliance context. The option for Developed CPCs to apply immediately the new reporting requirements may of course be maintained, if those CPCs consider it opportune. | | | | consideration when developing such recommendations. Commission to coordinate action among the bodies. | | | |
| Decision-Making | 91. Reviews its working practices in order to enhance transparency in decision-making, in particular on the allocation of fishing opportunities and the work of the Friends of the Chair. | COM | X | S | Commission to coordinate action among the bodies. | The Implementation of Res. 16-22 will assist with improving transparency in the COC Friends of the Chair process. | Progress on allocation of fishing opportunities has been made in respect of some species under the Panel 2 purview | |
| Presentation Scientific Advice | 114. The Panel recommends that the Commission adopts specific management objectives and reference points for all the stocks. This would guide the SCRS in its | SWGSM | X | S | Refer to SWGSM where work is already ongoing. | | Rec. 18-03 adopted to develop indicators for BFT through MSE process. Progress made at 2019 | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|---|---|---|---------------------|---|--|
| | work and increase the consistency of the SCRS advice. | | | | | | intersessional meeting | |
| | 115. The Panel recommends that the development of harvest control rules through Management Strategy Evaluation should be strongly supported. | SWGSM | X | S | Refer to SWGSM and the Panels for consideration; work is already ongoing regarding this matter. | | Rec. 18-03 adopted to develop HCRs for BFT through MSE process. Progress made at 2019 intersessional meeting. | |
| | 116. The Panel recommends that in a precautionary approach, the advice with more uncertainty should, in fact, be implemented more readily. | COM | X | S | Commission to coordinate action among the bodies including referring for their consideration when drafting a new or revising existing conservation and management measures. | Related to Rec. 43. | | |