

**POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCES AND RESPONSES - BFT VESSELS ISSUES OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE REPORTED BY OBSERVERS UNDER THE ICCAT REGIONAL OBSERVER PROGRAMMES**

**ICCAT Regional Observers Programme for eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna - Vessels**

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000AL010	2019-06-17	2019-05-30	Albania	The dead tuna from fishing operation 1, while correctly recorded in the vessel logbook (0005), was recorded in the same eBCD as the dead fish caught in fishing operation 4.	Article 87 and annex 11 of Rec. 18-02.	The Albanian authorized vessel "carried out four fishing operation within 24 hours (early morning 31/5 to early morning 01/06) as follows: First fishing operation 31/05/2019: All the BFT caught were undersize and all of them were released. There were 4 pieces of BFT dead. Second fishing operation 31/05/2019: Failed; Third fishing operation 31/05/2019: Failed; Fourth fishing operation 01/06/2019 . The live fish caught, 154020 kg, and the dead fish 1980 kg or 41 pieces (4 pieces from first fishing operation and 37 pieces from fourth fishing operation); The vessel made a mistake and all the dead pieces were declared as produced on the operation number four. There is a mistake in reporting as per Article 87 and annex 11 of Rec. 18-02, but it was done in fully approval with the observer on board. At least all the fish (dead and live) caught during the operations have been declared. The quantities of fish founded dead in the seine were recorded and deducted from the Albanian quota. The total quantities reported in Sections 3 and 4 are equal to the quantities reported in Section 2 of BCD.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000DZ039	2019-06-10	2019-06-09	Algeria	On 09/06/2019, two vessels carried out transfer operations on the same day independently. On the corresponding page of the logbook of the vessels not fishing in the same joint operation, in the part catch allocation, the masters recorded the total volume of the catches of two transfer operations, deducting them from the individual quota, without distinguishing between the two catches.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	Indeed, the fishing masters added together the volumes deducted from the individual quotas of each vessel for two fishing operations carried out on the same day by the same JFO, since the space reserved for this purpose in the logbook does not allow for them to be referenced individually. In addition, within the meaning of Annex 2, point b, it is not explicitly stated that the deduction must be made individually for each fishing operation. However, like every year, Algeria will provide fishing masters with training to improve logbook completion.
000DZ037	12/062019	2019-06-04	Algeria	On 04/06/2019, following a nil fishing operation (no catch) of a JFO vessel, the master did not wish to record this operation in the logbook.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	It should be noted that nil catch (no catch) was for the vessel XXX of the JFO group and not for vessel YYY. According to our interpretation of Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02, this information is only required for the catching vessel with nil catch and not for the other vessels of the JFO group.
000DZ027	2019-06-21	2019-06-09	Algeria	On 04/06/2019, following a nil fishing operation (no catch) of a JFO vessel, the master did not wish to record this operation in the logbook.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	It should be noted that nil catch (no catch) was for the vessel XXX of the JFO group and not for vessel YYY. According to our interpretation of Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02, this information is only required for the catching vessel with nil catch and not for the other vessels of the JFO group.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000DZ033	2019-03-23	2019-06-21	Algeria	Regarding fishing operation No. 11 and transfer operation No. 4: the eBCD states 13/06 as the catch date while the fishing operation took place on 20/06. The sum of the weights included in sections 3 (live weight) and 4 (dead weight) do not correspond to the weight noted in section 2 (total weight): Section 2: total weight = 108301.682; Section 3: Live weight = 108068.026; Section 4: Dead weight = 233.64; Section 3+4 = 108301.666.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	For fishing operation No. 11 and transfer operation No. 9: Indeed, there is a mistake in the fishing date that is stated in the eBCD, i.e. 13/06/2019. The ITD of this fishing operation was issued with the number of this eBCD. Following verification of this eBCD, it was observed that there was a mistake in the fishing date but it was impossible to correct this error. In this respect, TRAGSA informed us that it is impossible to change the date and that the eBCD must be deleted and a new eBCD created by the catching vessel. Regarding the mistake reported in relation to the weights recorded in sections 2, 3 and 4, it should be noted that they were stated in accordance with Annex 11, point a of Recommendation 18-02, i.e. the quantities recorded in the ITD (transferred to a live status) must be the same as those contained in section 3 of the associated BCD. The mistake in the decimals observed in the eBCD were detected when a new BCD was issued.
000DZ039	2019-06-23	2019-06-16	Algeria	The ICCAT numbers of the two vessels are inverted in the logbook.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	It is a transcription error.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000DZ027	2019-06-27	2019-06-20	Algeria	The number of fish reported in Section 3 of the eBCD (N=3398) is different from the number reported in the ITD (N=3400). The number reported in the ITD is consistent with the observer's observation (less than 10% difference), which is why the ITD has been signed.	Rec. 18-13 Annex 1	Indeed, a mistake was made on completing the ITD. The master transcribed the total number of species of bluefin tuna (including dead species). In this context, capacity building training will be provided by the fisheries administration fishing masters on completion of the logbook and understanding of recommendations.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000DZ033	2019-07-01	2019-06-28	Algeria	On 01/07/2019, after receipt of the eBCD, this PNC followed the PNC sent by the same deployment on 23/06/2019; on 23/06 the potential non-compliance regarding the eBCD had been sent. Corrections have been made since but the eBCD number has been changed.	Rec. 18-13 Annex 1	For fishing operation No. 11 and transfer operation No. 9: Indeed, there is a mistake in the fishing date that is stated in the eBCD i.e. 13/06/2019. The ITD of the fishing operation was issued with the number of this eBCD. Following verification of this eBCD, it was observed that there was a mistake in the fishing date but it was impossible to correct that error. In this regard, TRAGSA informed us that it is impossible to change the date and that the eBCD must be deleted and a new eBCD created by the catching vessel. On this basis, a new eBCD was issued replacing the eBCD with the error. Regarding the indicated error in relation to the weight recorded in sections 2, 3 and 4, it should be noted that they were established in accordance with Annex 11, point a of Recommendation 18-02, i.e. the quantities recorded in the ITD (transferred to live status) must be the same as those recorded in heading 3 of the associated BCD. The mistakes in the decimals detected in the eBCD were corrected when the new eBCD was issued.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000EU007	2019-05-31	2019-05-31	EU-Spain	Following a fishing operation on 30/05, which resulted in zero catch, the vessel did not record the operation in the logbook.	Article 66 of Recommendation 18-02 / Article 66. a) of Recommendation 17-07	Immediately after the zero catch, the vessel made a set with catch, and requested transfer authorisation. Until this catch was recorded, the electronic logbook did not allow recording of any new catch (the zero catch neither). On 31, once the catch declaration had been completed and the transfer made, the zero catch was recorded.
000EU140	2019-06-04	2019-06-02	EU-Italy	On the 01 <sup>st</sup> of June, the vessel undertook a transfer operation, the video was fully compliant with the R.ec 18-02 and the estimated number of fish of the observer was in accordance with the captain's estimation. Before the observer signed the ITD and considering the high number of fish transferred (more than 3 300 fish), the farm operator chose to do a voluntary transfer to have a second estimation and to split the catch into 2 different cages. On the 02 <sup>nd</sup> of June, they undertook the voluntary transfer. The estimated number of fish between the captain and the observer was still coherent (less than 10% of difference), but the authorization number wasn't recorded on the videos.	Rec. 18-02; Para 92, Annex 8 viii	The observation made at first instance was considered as normal, and the ROP signed the documents. Consequently, the observation of the subsequent voluntary transfer of the same fish cannot be qualified as a PNC.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
n/a	06/06/219	2019-06-04	EU-Italy	Since the 05 <sup>th</sup> of June, all the observers have reported illegal fishing actions from these small boats all around the purse seine net (pictures attached). Some illegal fishing line, set by these small boats, was hauled by the purse seiner with the catch released. According to the captains of the purse seiners, the authorities and coast guard are already advised of this problem. Their actions complicate considerably all the fishing operation and transfer operation of the purse seiners.		There is no PNC against the catching vessel, which appears more as a victim of the situation created by the small scale vessels.
000EU135	2019-06-21		EU-Cyprus	The layout of the logbook software meant the captain was unable to record allocated catch from other vessels. The observer saw multiple attempts by the Captain to record this information and a request for support to MFF. Allocated catches were instead submitted by MFF at the shore-based office.	Recommendation 18-02; Para 63 and Annex 2.	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000EU051; 000EU053; 000EU065; 000EU054; 000EU066; 000EU046;	2019-06-17		EU-France	The ITD (UE-FRA-2019/2376/ITD) had 4 figures instead of 3. Administrative PNC not reported in real time but included in final report.	Article 89a of Rec. 18-02 / 73a of Rec. 17-07.	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000EU148	2019-06-25	2019-06-25	EU-Italy	On 25/06/2019 after the transfer operation 7 from the net to the cage EU.MLT-029.FF there was more than 10% of difference between the estimation of the observer and the estimation specified in the ITD for the total number of fish transferred; Number of fish transferred estimated by the observer : 1150. Number of fish in the ITD: 800. The observer did not sign the ITD.	Article annex 8 92	The cage was blocked and no further transfer could be authorized from or into the concerned cage. No transfer of the concerned cage to other towing vessel(s) could be authorized, as well. The towing vessel which was towing the cage was not authorized to enter waters under jurisdiction or Sovereignty of a Third Country. A control transfer was carried out on 20/07/2019 and the concerned video was reviewed by a team, formed by EFCA Coordinator, Italian inspector and Maltese Inspector. After the analysis of the video footages the estimated average number of fish in the cage as 42.7% higher than the estimation of the master of the catching vessel. Therefore, the cage will remain blocked until the caging operation is authorized by the catching flag state after the farming state officially provides such request. Prior to the caging operation no further transfers shall be done from or into the cage. The caging operation shall be carried out in an empty cage which shall be sealed immediately after the finalization of the caging operation. The investigation will be closed once the stereoscopic camera results for this cage following the caging operation will be made available to the catching flag state.
000EU148	2019-06-25	2019-06-25	EU-Italy	A dead moonfish was caught and brought on board the vessel but not declared in the logbook.	Recommendation 18-02; Para 63 and Annex 2	Moon fish is not an ICCAT species. Although all species should be recorded in the logbook, this cannot be considered as a PNC regarding the ICCAT related BFT provisions.



Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000EU119	18/07/2019(rep orted with final report)	10/06/2019 and 02/07/2019	EU-Croatia	On 10/06/2019 and 02/07/2019, the logbook did not indicate complete information on catch allocations. In addition, the positions recorded in the logbook, which were automatically produced by a GPS system linked to the logbook, appeared to be incorrect, and differed from the positions shown on the GPS on the bridge.	Rec 18-02, para 63 and annex 2	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000EU125	2019-07-18	2019-07-01	EU-Croatia	Please note that the report includes a PNC which was not reported in real time. For transfer operation 6, the transfer authorization number shown at the start of the video was incorrect. However, as the observer was confident that the video related to the operating she monitored, due to other corresponding details, she countersigned the ITD.	Recommendation 18-02, article 91, and Annex 8, paragraph iv	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000EU130	2019-07-18	1) 28/05; 31/05; 12/06; and 29/06/2019. 2) 15/06/2019	EU-Croatia	1) No logbook entries were made while the vessel was on anchor (but not in port) while sheltering from bad weather2) A blue shark bycatch was not recorded in the logbook.	Recommendation 18-02, Article 63 and Annex 2	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000EU132	2019-07-18	15/06/2019 and 02/07/2019	EU-Croatia	Bycatch of blue shark (BSH – <i>Prionace glauca</i> ) and bullet tuna (BSK – <i>Auxis rochei</i> ) were not recorded by the vessel. No weight estimate of the bullet tuna was possible. During transfer operation 2, a sunfish (MOP - <i>Mola spp.</i> ) was observed in the net. This was released alive.	Recommendation 18-02, Article 63 and Annex 2	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000EU133	2019-07-18	2019-06-14	EU-Croatia	This vessel did not record the bycatch of a frigate tuna.	Recommendation 18-02, Article 63 and Annex 2	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000EU128	2019-07-19		EU-Croatia	The GPS was not always connected to the logbook so the recorded positions were not correct.	This was considered an administrative PNC and was not reported in real time.	<i>Response not available at time of writing.</i>
000LY172	2019-05-31	26-29/05/2019	Libya	From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A	The vessel logbook arrived on Malta on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Please note that the vessel did not leave port to commence the fishing season until the 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2019.
000LY170	2019-05-31	26-29/05/2019	Libya	From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A	The vessel logbook arrived on Malta on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Please note that the vessel did not leave port to commence the fishing season until the 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2019.
000LY175	2019-06-05	26-29/05/2019	Libya	The observer has reported that on 04/06/2019. From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel. They received the logbook from the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Then all the information needed was filled in retroactively. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A	The vessel logbook arrived on Malta on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Please note that the vessel did not leave port to commence the fishing season until the 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2019.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000LY169	2019-05-07	26-29/05/2019	Libya	The observer has reported that on 04/06/2019. From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel. They received the logbook from the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Then all the information needed was filled in retroactively. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A	The vessel logbook arrived on Tunis on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Due to the situations in Libya cause the delay of normal flight timetable .
000LY177	2019-06-07	26-29/05/2019	Libya	The observer has reported that on 04/06/2019. From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel. They received the logbook from the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Then all the information needed was filled in retroactively. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A.	The vessel logbook arrived on Tunis on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Due to the situations in Libya cause the delay of normal flight timetable.
000LY176	2019-06-07	26-29/05/2019	Libya	The observer has reported that on 04/06/2019. From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel. They received the logbook from the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Then all the information needed was filled in retroactively. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A.	The vessel logbook arrived on Tunis on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Due to the situations in Libya cause the delay of normal flight timetable.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000LY179	2019-06-07	26-29/05/2019	Libya	For the whole campaign, there were no date and port of departure specified in the logbook. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 63 Annex 2 section A.	In the log book prepared for the campaign, there is a pre-print data to facilitate the filling of blanks , but the captain thought that this type of data (port of departure and departure date) is already pre-printed in the log book on board. The captain has made a mistake of forgetfulness, and has already proceeded to the correction of his log book.
000LY173	2019-06-12	26-29/05/2019	Libya	The observer has reported that on 04/06/2019. From the 26 <sup>th</sup> to the 29 <sup>th</sup> , there was no logbook (paper or electronic) on-board the vessel. They received the logbook from the 29 <sup>th</sup> . Then all the information needed was filled in retroactively. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.		The vessel logbook arrived on Malta on the 29 <sup>th</sup> May and was delivered on-board on the same day. Please note that the vessel did not leave port to commence the fishing season until the 31 <sup>st</sup> May 2019.
000LY179	2019-06-13	2019-06-10	Libya	Following the transfer operation 1 on the 10 <sup>th</sup> of June 2019, the captain of the vessel declared 2 dead fish both in the logbook (page 166) and the eBCD. The number of dead fish declared by the captain is lower than the number observer by the observer on-board.	Rec. 18-02	The observer reported seeing fish that were released from the net directly at sea, but they were not moved to the board of the vessel and therefore are considered released fish.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000LY178	2019-06-25	2019-06-24	Libya	The observer received the eBCD on the 24/06/2019 related to the FOP1 and TOP 1 of his vessel. The live trade section of the eBCD (section 3) indicated the total weight and total number of fish caught (live + dead) instead of the live weight and number only: Section 2: Total weight = 136260kg; No. of fish = 3785. Section 3: Live weight = 136260kg (instead of 136225kg); No. of fish = 3785 (instead of 3784).	Recommendation 18-13 annex 1	The dead fish is released during the transfer operation after catching. So, It is not possible to mention the dead fish on the part of "3" Trade Information for Live Fish Trade" So, 1 pcs - 35 kgs of dead fish has been mentioned on the part of " 4-Transfer Information, after deduction of 1 pcs- 35 kgs dead fish , the total transfer has been 3784 pcs / 136.225 kgs instead of 3785 pcs/ 136.260 kg.
000LY169	2019-06-25	2019-06-24	Libya	On the 10/06/2019, the vessel undertook a voluntary transfer from one cage to another, both towed by the same the towing vessel. On the related eBCD, section 4, the cage number specified incorrectly.	Recommendation 18-13 annex 1	Apologise for this error. It was an oversight from the captain of the fishing vessel. The EBCD Section 4 has been amended accordingly.
000LY172	2019-06-28	2019-06-26	Libya	On 27/06/2019, the section 3 "trade information for live fish trade" of the eBCD included the weight and the number of all the catch (live + dead). Section 2: N° of fish: 1652; Total Weight: 190 140kg. Section 3: N° of fish: 1652 (instead of 1650 as recorded in the ITD); Live Weight: 190 140kg (instead of 189750kg). Section 4: N° of fish: 2, Total Weight: 390 kg.	Recommendation 18-13; Annex 1	Noted the recording mistake on section 3. The eBCD figures will be amended after final stereoscopic camera caging results are made available by Maltese Authorities.
000LY171	2019-06-28	2019-06-26	Libya	On 26/06/2019, the section 3 "trade information for live fish trade" of the eBCD, include the weight and the number of all the catch (live + dead). Section 2: N° of fish: 503; Total Weight: 30180 kg. Section 3: N° of fish: 503 (instead of 500); Live Weight: 30180kg (instead of 30000kg). Section 4: N° of fish: 3; Total Weight: 180 kg.	Recommendation 18-13; Annex 1	Noted the recording mistake on section 3.  The eBCD figures will be amended after final stereoscopic camera caging results are made available by Maltese Authorities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000LY170	2019-06-28		Libya	The section 3 "trade information for live fish trade" of two eBCDs include the weight and the number of all the catch (live + dead). Also, Incomplete entry in the logbook, no date/time of departure and disembarkation port.	Recommendation 18-13; Annex 1 / Rec. 18-02 ; para 63/ Annex 2	Noted the recording mistake on section 3. The eBCD figures will be amended after final stereoscopic camera caging results are made available by Maltese Authorities.  The fact that the some details are not recorded in the logbook is an oversight and Master's attention has been drawn to it.
000LY167	2019-07-15	2019-06-18	Libya	Vessel entered territorial waters of Greece without authorisation.	Note: Turkish flag in the report refers to courtesy flag. It has been clarified with the consortium that the vessel was flying the Libyan flag at the time also.	Indeed this ship is a Libyan ship with a Turkish courtesy flag. The captain had not noticed that he was entering Greek waters. At this precise location the shipping lane is very narrow between Greece and Turkey. We apologize to the Greek authorities for this unintentional violation of their waters.
000NO182	13/09/2019 Administrative PNC; not deemed necessary to report in real-time	2019-08-23	Norway	A fishing operation with zero catch performed on 23/08/2019 was not recorded in the logbook. The vessel captain informed the observer that he believed it was not necessary to record unsuccessful fishing operations. The logbook was updated the following day before 09:00 (UTC), however it was not possible to change the time, date and position, which therefore reflects that data at time of entry rather than at the time of the operation itself.	Article 66 of Recommendation 18-02 annex 2	The Norwegian Regulations on an Electronic Reporting System (regulation on log book requirements) states that all fishing operations shall be recorded in the logbook. This also includes fishing operations with zero catch. Before the bluefin tuna fishing season started, the Norwegian purse seine vessels targeting bluefin was also reminded by the Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries to record fishing operations with zero catch. Clearly, this information has not reached the master of the vessel. We will now contact the masters of the three remaining purse seine vessels targeting bluefin tuna and remind them that they report fishing operations with zero catch in the electronic logbook.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000NO183	03/10/2019 (Administrative PNC; not deemed necessary to report in real-time)	31/08/2019 and 23/09/2019	Norway	There was no logbook entry for the vessel on the 31 <sup>st</sup> August and 23 <sup>rd</sup> September. The vessel's electronic logbook system did not allow the entry of a record unless an operation or entry to / exit from port had occurred. On the case of the 23 <sup>rd</sup> September the vessel was continuously searching from the previous day, until the next day.	Article 66 of Recommendation on 18-02 annex 2	The Norwegian Regulations on an Electronic Reporting System (regulation on log book requirements) § 12 clearly states that the vessels shall send a daily catch and activity report (DCA) even if there has been no fishing operation during that day. We have been in touch with the Norwegian Fisheries Monitoring Centre, and they have informed us that all logbook systems which are approved to be used on board Norwegian vessels shall have the possibility to record a DCA even when there has been no fishing operation. We will now remind the master of the vessel on the obligation of daily reporting in all Norwegian fisheries.
000SYR165	2019-06-17		Syria	The vessel's logbook was not filled in everyday and did not include information on the fishing gear.	article 63 of Rec. 18-02 and specified in Annex 2 of Rec. 18-02.	The vessel is a new purse seiner constructed in 2018 and conducted BFT fishing activities for first time in 2019. -By questioning the master of the vessel regarding this matter he mentioned that he fill the logbook in the end of every trip, and he is not aware of ICCAT recommendation regarding of filling logbook daily. - The master of the vessel confirm that the vessel's logbook will be filled in everyday and the information regarding fishing gear will be included in logbook. -We confirm that the ICCAT recommendations will be applied strictly by Syrian vessels.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR067	2019-05-21	2019-05-17	Turkey	An independent observer estimate of transfer amount transfer operation 1, related to fishing operation 1, was not possible due to video quality. The ITD was not signed. The PNC has been sent for the original transfer. It is our understanding that no voluntary transfer has been or will be performed. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because the observer did not have immediate access to communications.	Rec. 18-02; Para 92, Annex 8 viii	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.



Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR019	2019-05-25	2019-05-23	Turkey	For transfer operation 2 associated with fishing operation 9, an independent estimate could not be made by the observer due to insufficient light during a night transfer. No voluntary transfer was performed. The ITD was not signed. It was not possible to send the PNC immediately because of limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 Annex 8 vii and viii.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR158	2019-05-28	2019-05-24	Turkey	For transfer operation 2 associated with fishing operation 9, an independent estimate could not be made by the observer due to insufficient light during a night transfer, as well as the fish passing in very large groups which prevented accurate counts. No voluntary transfer was performed. The ITD was not signed. The PNC was not sent immediately due to an oversight.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 Annex 8 vii and viii	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfers has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" accompanying with MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator . The operator indicated and confirmed that since the BFTs has spawn during the transfer operation, the visibility has decreased accordingly. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the video footages of the relevant operation, it was confirmed that the the visibility conditions was poor for estimation of the fish amount. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR159	2019-05-28	2019-05-26	Turkey	For transfer operation 3 associated with fishing operation 6, an independent estimate could not be made by the observer due to poor video quality or clarity. No voluntary transfer was performed. The ITD was not signed.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfers has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" accompanying with MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator . The operator indicated and confirmed that since the BFTs has spawn during the transfer operation, the visibility has decreased accordingly. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the video footages of the relevant operation, it was confirmed that the the visibility conditions was poor for estimation of the fish amount. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR161	2019-05-30	2019-05-29	Turkey	For transfer operation 1 associated with fishing operation 6, the door was not fully in view on the video recording of the transfer. No voluntary transfer was performed. The ITD was not signed. The ITD was not signed.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities. It was also observed by the MoAF inspectors that in spite of all sea conditions the transfer could be recorded ideally and fish passes could be seen and fish amount could be estimated. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR163	2006-06-01	2019-05-31	Turkey	For transfer operation 1 associated with fishing operation 5, an independent estimate of the fish transferred was not possible. In addition, there was no identifiable number on the cage.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii / This was in contravention of Recommendation 18_02; Para 86.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities. It was also observed by the MoAF inspectors that in spite of all sea conditions the transfer could be recorded ideally and fish passes could be seen and fish amount could be estimated. The operator indicated that the number on the cage was identifiable but since the Regional Observer was at the opposite sight of the cage and could not see the plate on which the number of the cage is written, also the Observer has been informed about the cage number. MoAF has confirmed that the number of cage was written on both ITD and BCD. A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR016	2019-06-01	24/05/2019 and 27/05/2019	Turkey	1) For transfer operation 3 associated with fishing operation 11, due to low light levels the video quality was too low for the observer to make an independent count of the fish. No voluntary transfer was performed and the ITD was not signed. 2) For transfer operation 5 associated with fishing operation 13, due to low light levels the video quality was too low for the observer to make an independent count of the fish. No voluntary transfer was performed and the ITD was not signed. The delay in reporting both PNCs was due to limited communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii/ Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii.	1) and 2) Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNCs reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfers have been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCDs belonging to the fishing operations conducted by the vessel XXX have not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operations, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities. Control transfers under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors have been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR172	2019-06-01	2019-05-31	Turkey	For transfer operation 1 associated with fishing operation 6, the video record of the transfer was not provided to the until approximately 24 hours after the operation was completed. When the video record was provided to the observer, the observer was able to make an estimate of the fish numbers and the ITD was signed. No voluntary transfer was performed.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 i.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The operator indicated that the questioned vessel has conducted BFT fisheries on 31st of May and 1st of June and the video footages of transfers (to the vessel XXX) belonging to the those subsequent fishing operations have been delivered to the ICCAT Regional Observer, however it is also confirmed by the operator that, depending on the simultaneous fishing operations conducted by the vessel the video could not be provided to the observer immediately. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operations, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR069	2019-06-04	2019-06-01	Turkey	For transfer operation 2 associated with fishing operation 7, two dead tuna were unrecorded by the vessel. The observer was able to measure the fish lengths but there were no facilities onboard to weigh them. In addition, an operation that caught exclusively LTA was not recorded in the logbook, and the logbook was not fully completed for several days.	Recommendation 18_02, Annex 11; Rec. 18-02, Art. 63 & Annex 2	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. It was reported that during the fishery conducted by the vessel on 01 June 2019, since the major of the catch was below 30 kg, these live BFTs have been released to the sea accompanied with the Regional Observer. It was confirmed by the operator that the reported two dead tuna were not BFT, but other tunas and inadvertently have not been recorded to the vessel's logbook. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.</p> <p>Regarding the absence of the facilities for the measurement of the questioned dead fish, it is considered that this is the Observer's failure, because Regional Observer has to keep his/her own equipment which is provided to the Observers by the ROP-BFT Programme.</p> <p>MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p>



Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR024	2019-06-13	2019-06-08	Turkey	For transfer operation 3 associated with fishing operation 17, the observer was not able to count the fish due to the quality of the video footage. No voluntary transfer was performed. The ITD was not signed. The delay in reporting is due to limited access to communications on the vessel.	Recommendation 18_02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct “a control transfer” under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR017	2019-06-18	2019-06-11	Turkey	On 11/06/2019, no logbook entry was made until two days later; Fishing operation 9, with zero catch, was made on the same day and so was not recorded for two days.	Recommendation 18-02; Para 63 and Annex 2; of Recommendation 18-02; Para 66.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator for the late recording of fishing operation and no logbook entry of zero catch during the fishing operation on 11.06.2019. The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission and also to the conditions on the sea and on the vessel. The operator was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.
000TR069	2019-06-28	2019-06-25	Turkey	During transfer operation 7 associated with fishing operation 27, a single dead tuna was not recorded by the vessel in the logbook. The observer was able to measure the fish length but there were no facilities onboard to weigh it. The observer reported as soon as she received phone coverage as vessel communications were not available.	Recommendation 18_02, Annex 11	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. It was confirmed by the operator that the reported one dead tuna was not BFT, but other tuna and inadvertently has not been recorded to the vessel's logbook. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. Regarding the absence of the facilities for the measurement of the questioned dead fish, it is considered that this is the Observer's failure, because Regional Observer has to keep his/her own equipment which is provided to the Observers by the ROP-BFT Programme. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR011	28/06/2019 (The delay in reporting is due to limited access to comms on the vessel.)	2019-06-23	Turkey	On 23/06/2019, a quantity of skipjack was caught in an operation which was not recorded in the logbook.	Recommendation 18-02; Para 63 and Annex 2	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. It was indicated by the operator that the 6 skipjack were caught dead during the fishing operation conducted on 23.06.2019 and have not been subject to any trade and delivered to the vessels serving as food. The operator has confirmed this logbook failure to his own unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR155	01/07/2019 (The delay in reporting is due to limited access to comms on the vessel.)	2019-06-14	Turkey	During transfer operation 3 associated with fishing operation 17 on 14/06/2019, the video did not show the entirety of the door.	Recommendation 18-02; Annex 8 (vii)	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator.</p> <p>As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, it has been observed that the entire of the door could not be seen due the light reflection, the transfer could be recorded ideally and fish passes could be seen and fish amount could be estimated. Furthermore; it was confirmed by the operator that the Regional Observer has agreed on an estimation could be made and on estimation of transferred fish. MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR019	2019-07-18	2019-06-24	Turkey	<p>1) The fishing operation record in the logbook did not content the bycatch details of 600 kg comprising of 100. pieces of LTA. The LTA was a direct target for this operation.</p> <p>2) One of the JFO allocated catches was not recorded in the vessel logbook.</p>	<p>1) Rec. 18-02; Para 63 / Annex 2.</p>	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The operator indicated that the the vessels targets for BFT and on that day all catch was transferred in accordance with the ICCAT rules and all the documents were issued and signed with the approval of the Regional Observer. The company also indicated that they have been informed for the these "by-catch" via the reported PNC, which they is not clearly understood from the Observer's report. In any case, for those logbook failures the operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition.</p> <p>MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and observed that the catch and JFO information are clearly indicated in the documents so, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for that operation.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR011	2019-07-19	2019-06-23	Turkey	The observer examined the documents of the 6th transfer operation and found that the weight information written in the BFT logbook did not match the information written in the overall fishing logbook transfer operation is date 23/06/2019. The master was informed by the observer was told that this would be corrected but was not done so during the deployment.	Rec. 18-02; Annex 2.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. MoAF has developed a new logbook template specific to BFT fisheries to fulfill the requirements set out by the ICCAT Rec.18-02 beginning from 2019 BFT fishing season and the operators have used this new logbook. The operator indicated that the questioned logbook was the former logbook and omissionally used by operator at the time of catch. The operator also confirmed that the weight information has inadvertently recorded on the former logbook, however, this mistake has been corrected immediately and the weight has been recorded correctly again in the new logbook template. It was also confirmed that the Observer has been informed about this correction nevertheless the Observer has reported this as a PNC. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR012	2019-07-21	various	Turkey	No logbook entry made for the days 15/05/2019, 16/05/2019, 17/05/2019, 18/05/2019, 19/05/2019 and 20/05/2019 (and other days was made late).	Rec. 18-02; Para 63 and Annex 2.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. MoAF has developed a new logbook template specific to BFT fisheries to fulfill the requirements set out by the ICCAT Rec.18-02 beginning from 2019 BFT fishing season and the operators shall use this new logbook. The operator confirmed that in those dates there was no fishing operation and the skippers inadvertently used former logbook at the very beginning of the 2019 fishing season. This has been realized at the first succesfull fishing operation on 22 May 2019 by the operator. The operator and the skipper were given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. For the rest the fishing season this failure was not repeated by the skipper. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR014	2019-07-19	31/05/2019 and 08/06/2019	Turkey	<p>1) After the transfer (TOP1), the observer was able to watch the original video record of transfer but the copy of DVD that was provided did not work neither in the laptop nor vessel's own computer. The ITD was signed for this operation. The second copy that worked was provided after five days by one of the support vessels in the company. 2) The electronic storage device (DVD) not provided to the observer as soon as possible after transfer operation. The support vessel handed over the video copy after three days. It was possible to review the original and the ITD was signed.</p>	Rec. 18-02; Para 92 and Annex 8 iii.	<p>1. Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. It was confirmed by the operator that video footages of the transfer conducted on 31 May 2019 has been watched together with the Regional Observer at the end of transfer, and the ITD has been signed by the observer as the estimation agreed. Then a copy of the video footages have been delivered to the Regional Observer. Afterwards the Regional Observer has informed the operator via radio call that the DVD copy did not work, and a new copy has been done for the Regional Observer. It took a time for the support vessel which carries the copy, to reach to the vessel XXX due to the long distance and the continuous fishing operations. It should be taken into consideration that the video footages have been delivered to the observer immediately after completion of the transfer and ITD for this transfer was signed by the Regional Observer.</p> <p>MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.</p> <p>2- Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. It was confirmed by the operator that video footages of the transfer conducted on 08 June 2019 has been watched together with the Regional Observer at the end of transfer and the ITD for this operation has been signed by the Regional Observer. The operator confirmed that due to the technical problem of the vessel's computer the DVD could not be copied. By the approval of the Regional Observer, another DVD copy of the video footages has been delivered to the Observer after the technical problem solved.</p> <p>MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.</p>



Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR017	2019-07-19	2019-05-23	Turkey	Independent observer estimate of transfer amount was not possible due to poor water clarity.	Rec. 18-02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii.	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct “a control transfer” under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator. As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR015	2019-07-19	2019-06-11	Turkey	FOP 9 No logbook entry made for that day which should have included catches made by the group nor an entry for an unsuccessful fishing operation on 11/06/2019. The vessel logbook was completed two days later with the allocated when the operating firm sent the information related to JFO catches.	Rec. 18-02; Para 63, Annex 2 and Rec. 18-02; Para 66	<p>1. Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The vessel has conducted BFT fisheries for the first time in 2019 fishing season, and the operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to the skipper's own unintentional omission. The operator and the skipper were given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. For the rest the fishing season this failure was not repeated by the skipper. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.</p> <p>2- Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. During JFOs; the operators record catch/transfer information E-Tuna system and an eBCD is created for that operation. Following the creation of eBCD for that operation the allocated quota for that the vessels in the JFO is learned from E-Tuna system and the skippers are informed for the catch allocations for each vessels in that JFO. Accordingly, the skippers record the allocated catch for vessels to the vessel's logbook. The operator confirmed that during this process, in some cases, due to lack/disefficiency in internet connection, there may exist some delays when informing the skippers for allocated catch quota under JFO.</p> <p>MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR020	2019-07-17	2019-05-19	Turkey	The fishing operation (zero catch) was not recorded in the logbook.	Rec. 18-02; Para 66.	Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to the skipper's own unintentional omission. The operator and the skipper were given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR021	2019-07-18	03/06/2019	Turkey	One piece of BFT mortality was not recorded in the vessel logbook or in the BCD. The weight of the tuna was estimated by the observer. Also, 1) No logbook entry was made for the fishing operation which resulted with zero catch on the date 20/05/2019.	Rec. 18-02; Para 66.	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The operator confirmed that this dead fish (1 piece and 37 kg) has not been recorded to the logbook inadvertently.</p> <p>It was confirmed by the operator that the reported dead BFT will be reduced from the allocated quota before caging into farm by the operator.</p> <p>The operator was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.</p> <p>1) Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator for the no logbook entry of zero catch during fishing operation on 20.05.2019. The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. The operator was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities for this fishery.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR072	2019-07-17	2019-05-30	Turkey	The video record was provided to the observer 24 hours later than the completion of the transfer operation. The observer was informed that due to it being a late night transfer and the sea conditions were bad the video was not brought from the diving vessel to the observer.	Rec. 18:02, art. 92 & Annex 8 viii	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation. It was confirmed by the operator that, depending on the bad sea conditions, intensive catching and transfer operations as well as the distances between the vessels, the video could not be provided to the observer immediately.</p> <p>As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation it was observed that the transfer could be recorded ideally and fish passes could be seen and fish amount could be estimated. MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR023	2019-07-18	21/05/2019 and 21/06/2019	Turkey	1) FOP# 6 was logged in the logbook two days later than when the operation was conducted 2) FOP 16 Camera did not cover the entire door in some parts of the video.	Rec. 18-02; Para 63 / Annex 2 and 18-02; Para 92 and Annex 8 vii	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator for the late recording of fishing operation on 21.05.2019. The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission and also to the conditions on the sea and on the vessel. The operator was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoAF checked, in details, the logbook and ITD documents of this fishery and did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>2. Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator.</p> <p>As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, the transfer could be recorded ideally and fish passes could be seen and fish amount could be estimated. MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TR160	2019-07-20	2019-06-19	Turkey	Due to poor visibility sea conditions estimation of the tuna could not be made by the observer. The ITD was not signed for this operation.	Rec. 18-02; Para 92 and Annex 8 viii	<p>Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry (MoAF) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operator. The video footages of the concerned transfer has been demanded from the operator and, in any case, without finalizing the investigation MoAF has instructed the operator to conduct "a control transfer" under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and accompanying MoAF inspectors before caging. Also; eBCD belonging to this operation conducted by the vessel has not been validated by our authority until a control transfer conducted by the operator.</p> <p>As a result of detailed examination carried out by the MoAF inspectors on the related documents and the video footages of the relevant operation, MoAF did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal activities.</p> <p>A control transfer under the supervision of an ICCAT regional observer and MoAF inspectors has been conducted in the vicinity of the relevant BFT farming facility before the associated caging operation took place. During the subsequent control transfer and caging, no fish exceeding the declared quota/amount of fish transferred was determined by MoAF.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TN10	2019-06-10	2019-06-04	Tunisia	On 03/06/2019, following transfer operations to 2 different cages, the towing vessel took charge of the cage and [another] towing vessel took charge of [another] cage. However, the operation in the logbook only indicated the presence of a single towing vessel and this was indicated on 02/06.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	<p>Following interpretation of Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02, the operator of the catching vessel is required to indicate in the case of a transfer from purse seine to cages, the name, flag and ICCAT number of the towing vessel.</p> <p>The fish caught by vessel XXX on 02/06/2019 were transferred from its purse seine to cages TUN101 and TUN102 towed by the towing vessel YYY. Therefore a single towing vessel took charge of the fish caught by the purse seiner, at the time of the initial transfer (purse seine -&gt; cage).</p> <p>Nevertheless, due to interruption of the camera recording during the transfer due to flat batteries (very long transfer duration), two control transfers were required to count the fish.</p> <p>The following control transfers were carried out on 03/06/2019, in chronological order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Authorisation TUN2019-AUT009: Towing vessel X cage TUN102 to Towing vessel Y cage TUN103.</li> <li>- Authorisation TUN2019-AUT010: Towing vessel z cage TUN101 to Towing vessel z cage TUN102.</li> </ul> <p>In these terms, the operator transcribed the results of the transfer from the purse seine to the cages in the logbook on 03/06/2019, after counting the fish, and indicated that the transfer was carried out on 02/06/2019, as indicated in the copy of the logbook below.</p>



Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TN091	2019-06-10	2019-06-02	Tunisia	After a nil fishing operation (no catch), the master did not wish to record it in the logbook.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	In the case of the purse seine vessel, the master did not proceed to record the fishing attempt because he did not consider it a nil catch. A nil catch is when the purse seine vessel encircles the school of fish. On closing the net after encirclement, all the fish escaped before the net was closed. In relation to the vessel, the school of fish had already shifted outside of the net encircling area and therefore the master considered this attempt to be a simple manoeuvre that did not encircle any fish.
000TN093	2019-06-12	2019-06-11	Tunisia	The observer reported this on 11/06/2019 on his return to the dock, when he was given the eBCD related to the fishing operation. The mistake concerns section 3 of the eBCD which contains both the number and live and dead weights of the fish. The information in this section should be: Live weight: 197900.918 kg (instead of 198000.918kg); No. of Fish: 2198 (instead of 2200). The fish reported in section 4 should therefore be excluded.	Recommendation 18-13 Annex 1	The weight and number of live fish recorded in section 3 of the eBCD indeed relate to the number and quantity stated in section 2, taking into account that the purchaser had agreed to take the entire amount that had been estimated before the transfer operation. This reporting method has been approved and assumed by the eBCD technical team as an alternative in parallel with another method that authorises the recording of the number of net live weights. In addition, the eBCD system has not signaled any conflict.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TN080	2019-06-13	2019-06-13	Tunisia	The mistake concerns section 3 of the eBCD which contains both the number and live and dead weights of fish. The information in this section should be: live weight: 73036.145 kg (instead of 72808.145 kg); No. of fish: 961 (instead of 958). The fish reported in section 4 should therefore be excluded. Apologies for the late PNC.	Recommendation 18-13 Annex 1	The weight and number of live fish recorded in section 3 of the eBCD indeed relates to the number and quantity stated in section 2, taking into account that the purchaser had agreed to take the entire amount that had been estimated before the transfer operation.

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TN108	2019-06-10	2019-06-04	Tunisia	On 03/06/2019, following the transfer operations to 2 different cages, the towing vessel took charge of one cage and the other towing vessel took charge of the other cage. However, the operator only indicated the presence of a single towing vessel and this was indicated on 02/06.	Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02	<p>Following interpretation of Annex 2 of Recommendation 18-02, the vessel operator is required to indicate in the case of a transfer from the purse seine to cages, the name, flag and ICCAT number of the towing vessel.</p> <p>The fish caught by vessel xxx on 02/06/2019 were transferred from its purse seine to cages TUN101 and TUN102 towed by the towing vessel yyy. Therefore a single towing vessel took charge of the fish caught by the purse seiner, during the initial transfer (purse seiner -&gt; cage).</p> <p>Nevertheless, due to interruption of the camera recording during the transfer due to flat batteries (very long transfer duration), two control transfers were required to count the fish.</p> <p>The following control transfers were carried out on 03/06/2019, in chronological order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Authorisation TUN2019-AUT009: Towing vessel X cage TUN102 to Towing vessel ZY cage TUN103</li> <li>- Authorisation TUN2019-AUT010: Towing vessel X cage TUN101 to Towing vessel X cage TUN102</li> </ul> <p>In these terms, the operator transcribed the results of the transfer from the purse seine to the cages in the logbook on 03/06/2019, after counting the fish, and indicated that the transfer was carried out on 02/06/2019, as indicated in the copy of the logbook below.</p>

Request number	Date reported	Date of PNC	CPC	PNC	In potential contravention of	Response
000TN110	2019-06-25	2019-06-09	Tunisia	The event concerns a possible transshipment. On 09/06, a vessel unconnected with the event, carried out a transfer operation. 2 tunas died during the transfer and were correctly reported in the logbook and in the eBCD. According to the information received from the observer on board this vessel once the vessel's crew had reported and measured/weighed the dead tuna, they released them into the sea. Later, the observer's vessel went to recover one of the dead fish. The event happened at night when the vessel was alone in the area, and therefore did not involve any other fishing vessel.		The dead fish recovered in principle for consumption by the vessel's crew, was deducted from Tunisia's quota.
000TN076	2019-06-21	2019-06-11	Tunisia	Reporting error in the logbook on 08/06/2019, in connection with the fishing operation of the vessel of the same JFO as 06/06/2019. Catch reported incorrectly: the total weight of the catch reported in the eBCD is 358131,071 kg while the master reported 358331 kg.	Apologies for the late PNC, which was discovered during the debriefing.	It is a merely a transcription error. The master was informed and subsequently corrected the quantity in the logbook.
000TN109	2019-06-21	2019-06-05	Tunisia	Reporting error in the logbook on 05/06/2019, regarding the fishing operation of the same JFO as 04/06/2019. Catch reported incorrectly: total weight of catch reported in eBCD is 81000,243 kg while the masters of the other vessels of the JFO reported 81243 kg.		It is a merely a transcription error. The master was informed and subsequently corrected the quantity in the logbook.