

Original: English
Original Appendices: English & French

POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE ISSUES REPORTED BY REGIONAL OBSERVERS

1. Infractions reported by observers under the Regional Observer Programme for Transshipment

Twenty four incidences reported as potential non-compliance as follows. Responses to all but two were received. Where applicable, CPCs took follow up action; in some cases no PNC was found and hence no action necessary. The details of the PNCs and the responses are contained in **Appendix 1**. The two unanswered PNCs relate to unbound logbook.

Table 1 Summary of PNCs under the ROP transshipment

| CPC | No. PNCs | responses | Action taken by CPC |
|----------------|----------|-----------|---------------------|
| China | 3 | 3 | Yes |
| Chinese Taipei | 12 | 12 | Yes |
| Cote d'Ivoire | 2 | 2 | Yes |
| Japan | 4 | 2 | Yes |
| Korea | 2 | 2 | Yes |
| SVG | 1 | 1 | Not applicable |

The full reports of the observers under the ROP_transshipment transmitted in 2017 can be found at www.iccat.int/Documents/Comply/transshipmentreports_current.pdf. Previous years reports are available at www.iccat.int/Documents/Comply/transshipmentreports.pdf.

2. Infractions reported by observers under the Regional Observer Programme for eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna

2.1 Vessels

One hundred and thirty nine PNCs were issued by observers on board purse seine vessels; in sixty one cases CPCs agree that there was some non-compliance. A summary of the PNC reports and responses, as well as actions taken where appropriate, can be found in **Appendix 2**. In some cases, particularly EU, this was due to administrative error and not due to non-compliance by the vessel operators. In most cases, CPCs have reported that they have initiated corrective actions to avoid repetition of the issues.

Table 2. Summary of PNCs under the ROP – BFT (Vessels)

| CPC | Number of vessels participating in ROP | No. PNCs | Responses | Number of PNCs confirmed | Action taken by CPC |
|---------|--|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Albania | 1 | 1 | 1 | Not possible for Albania to confirm | PNC relates to cage number of towing vessel of EU, not Albania. |
| Algeria | 14 | 16 | 16 | 12 | Yes |
| EU | 49 | 46 | 46 | 12 | Yes |
| Libya | 14 | 22 | 22 | 15 | Yes, but in some cases no action was possible |
| Morocco | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 oversight, not non compliance | n/a |
| Norway | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | n/a |
| Syria | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | n/a |
| Tunisia | 27 | 24 | 24 | 8 | Yes (mostly control transfers) |
| Turkey | 22 | 26 | 26 | 14 | Yes, warnings and additional training |

The observer reports of deployments on purse seine vessels are available in **Annex 1** (electronic only)

2.2 Farms and traps

The observers reported a total of 36 potential non-compliance issues, with only six of which the CPCs agreed. A summary of the PNC reports and responses, as well as actions taken where appropriate, can be found in **Appendix 3**. Table 3 below shows a summary of the reports.

Table 3. Summary of PNCs under the ROP – BFT (Traps and farms)

| CPC | Number of traps/farms active in ROP 2017 | No. PNCs | Responses | Number of PNCs confirmed | Action taken by CPC |
|---------|--|----------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|
| EU | 14 | 31 | 31 | 5 | Yes (mostly control transfers) |
| Morocco | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 (+1 incidence due to sea conditions) | Yes, control transfers |
| Tunisia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a |
| Turkey | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | n/a |

The observer reports of deployments on farms and traps are available in **Annex 2** (electronic only).