

POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCES AND RESPONSES - BFT VESSELS ISSUES OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE REPORTED BY
OBSERVERS UNDER THE ICCAT REGIONAL OBSERVER PROGRAMMES

ICCAT Regional Observers Programme for eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna - Vessels

	<i>Date reported</i>	<i>CPC</i>	<i>Request number</i>	<i>PNC</i>	<i>Response</i>	<i>Optional field : Agreement with observer interpretation by CPC? (Yes/No)</i>	<i>Confirmed by COC (Yes/No)</i>
1	26/5/17	EU-Cyprus	000EU003	The vessel is unable to make a logbook entry due to the logbook system onboard which does not allow an entry to be made while the vessel is in port. The vessel was in port at Marsaxlokk and is not scheduled to leave until the next day.	All three PNC's (request number 00EU003) related to EU-Cyprus are linked and therefore are treated as one. In all three cases the vessel remained at port at all times. The captain of the vessel kept the Fisheries Monitoring Centre (FMC) informed.	No	
2	26/5/17	EU-France	French fleet	For a transfer completed observer was presented with an ITD with an incorrect serial numbers. As per Rec. 14-04 the numbering system shall include the 3 letter CPC code, followed by 4 numbers showing the year and 3 sequential numbers followed by the 3 letters ITD (CPC-20**/xxx/ITD).	The format of the FR declaration forms we had printed for 2017 is EU-FRA-2017/XXXXX/ITD, which explains the case of the vessel. It will be the same for the whole French Fleet. It is a numbering system mistake that was also made for 2016. It will be corrected for 2018. However, as of today, every FR PS left port with an ITD which numbering system has 5 sequential number instead of 3. These ITD were issued by the French authorities, and as such, it cannot	Yes	

				In this case the three sequential numbers have been replaced by five. The observer did not sign the ITD for this operation for the above reason.	be held against the purse seiner's masters. We will not be opening an investigation on that matter.		
3	27/5/17	EU-France	000EU061	For a transfer completed observer was presented with an ITD with an incorrect serial numbers. As per Rec. 14-04 the numbering system shall include the 3 letter CPC code, followed by 4 numbers showing the year and 3 sequential numbers followed by the 3 letters ITD (CPC-20**/xxx/ITD). In this case the three sequential numbers have been replaced by five. The observer did not sign the ITD for this operation for the above reason.	The format of the FR declaration that had been printed for 2017 is: EU-FRA-2017/XXXXX/ITD. It was the same for the whole French Fleet. It is a numbering system mistake that was also made for 2016. It will be corrected for 2018. The EU-France Purse-seine fleet left port for the fishing season with an ITD which numbering system had 5 sequential number instead of 3. These ITD were issued by the EU-French authorities, and as such, could not be held against the purse seiner's Masters. No investigation on this matter was opened against the Masters.	Yes	
4	27/5/17	EU-France	000EU057	For a transfer conducted, the catch was split in to three separate cages. The ITD for these operations did not accurately reflect the three transfers that had occurred. The observer has not signed the ITD for this operation. Three control transfers were carried out.	An EU-Spain inspection report noted the infringement. An investigation is ongoing and infringement will be prosecuted by EU-Spain or EU-France.	Yes	

5	28/5/17	EU-France	000EU057	<p>The transfer activity was not recorded in the fishing logbook within the required time AND the BCDs being used are not in the required eBCD format or using the numbering to show that it is a printout of an eBCD.</p>	<p>1. The Relocation declaration (RLC) message has correctly been sent by the F/V to the flag EU member state through electronic logbook.</p> <p>2. The format of the EU-France declaration forms that had been printed for 2017 is EU-FRA-2017/XXXXX/ITD. It will be the same for the whole French Fleet. It is a numbering system mistake that was also made for 2016. It will be corrected for 2018. The EU-France Purse-seine fleet left port for the fishing season with an ITD which numbering system had 5 sequential number instead of 3. These ITD were issued by the EU-French authorities, and as such, could not be held against the purse seiner's masters. No investigation on this matter was opened against the Masters.</p>	<p>1. No 2. Yes</p>	
6	28/5/17	EU-France	000EU062	<p>The observer has reported that for two operations conducted within the group, where the xx was a non-catching vessel, the logbook was not completed for these within the required time. The logbook was subsequently completed the next day but did not fulfil the requirements with the following required information missing, the name and ICCAT number of the towing vessel, name of the destination farm and its ICCAT number.</p>	<p>1. The Fishing Activity Report declaration (FAR messages) have correctly been sent by the F/Vs to the flag Member State through electronic logbook.</p> <p>2. Regarding the second part of the PNC (i.e. logbook) investigations are ongoing.</p>	<p>1. No 2. Pending</p>	

7	28/5/17	EU-France	000EU066	For a fishing operation conducted no entry was made in the logbook on that day. Further to that, the BCD produced was not in the required eBCD numbering format and also the number and weight of fish transferred recorded within the BCD was not consistent with other records. The BCD number is FR-17-000001. The observer erroneously signed the ITD for this transfer despite the ITD number not being in the required format.	1. The Fishing Activity Report declaration (FAR) message has correctly been sent by the F/V to the flag member State through electronic logbook. 2. See explanation provided below for the numbering format. The number and weight of fish transferred recorded in the BCD have been checked and were consistent with the ITD (It'd: EU-FRA-2017/00051/ITD declared: 162000 Kg and 1200 pièces. BCD declared: FR: 110889.02 + BCD ESP 51230.98 Kg = 162000Kg). 3. See explanation above.	1. No 2. Yes 3. No	
8	28/5/17	EU-Italy	000EU050	The observer has reported a small mistake made in the ITD signed after the 1st transfer carried out by the vessel. On the document the ICCAT towing vessel is incorrect (one zero missing in ICCAT number).	This is considered a typing error and there were no grounds to consider the PNC as an infringement.	No	
9	28/5/17	EU-Spain	000EU006	The fishing logbook on board is unable to record the amount of catch, in number and weight, allocated from French catching vessels within its JFO. Instead it only registers 0 but does record the identity of the catching vessel. It appears that catches made by Spanish	The EU-Spanish e-logbook on board is capable of registering the catches within a JFO operation, both when the vessel is acting as catching vessel, and when it is acting as part of the JFO "other Catching vessels" regardless the nationality of the catching vessel. In this particular case preliminary records were registered to inform about the catches but a request for assistance due to IT malfunction was received regarding the vessel e-log. The	No	

				vessels within the JFO are recorded correctly. This was the case for two French catches and one Spanish one.	issue was solved on the 28th, being all information properly registered in the e-log from that point onwards.		
10	29/5/17	Libya	000LY014	For a transfer operation conducted on the 28th May 2017 the BCD number provided on the ITD was not in the required eBCD numbering format. The eBCD reference number stated in the ITD is a paper BCD number.	On 27/05/2017 the vessel catches and on 28/05/2017 a transfer had been conducted. Attempts to compile an EBCD failed because there was a general and internal problem in the eBCD system, the eBCD system did not work on that date and one could not input the name of the company. It was suggested to the observer to accept a number of a BCD on paper format that could be obtained from the CPC but he refused it. Operator sent an email to COFREPECHE about this issue and COFREPECHE confirmed that the observer is correct in refuting the paper BCD. In addition, COFREPECHE informed by email that one would have to wait until TRAGSA would resolve this problem. That would be on Monday 29/05/2017 because TRAGSA did not work on weekend. On 29/05/2017, the general problem of the eBCD system was resolved, the system was restarted; a number was assigned for the eBCD and the ITD was signed by the observer .	No	
11	29/5/17	Tunisia	000TN092	After the second fishing operation was performed, a transfer was carried out. Due to the poor quality of the video, the observer is not in a position to estimate the number of fish	The vessel involved is XX and not YY. Control transfer No. TUN2017-AUT011 was authorised.	Yes	

				transferred. The observer did not sign the ITD. An ICCAT inspection vessel is present in the area and a control transfer will soon be carried out.			
12	29/5/17	Tunisia	000TN104	Following the transfer carried out by the vessel, the video was sent to the observer late. It appears that the video was not of adequate quality for the observer to make an estimate.	Control transfer No. TUN2017-AUT014 was authorised.	Yes	
13	29/5/17	Turkey	000TR121	For a transfer operation the video did not show 100% of the transfer and no estimation of the number of fish transferred was possible. The ITD was not signed for this operation.	Turkish Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock (MoFAL) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operators. As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		
14	30/5/17	EU-Croatia	000EU075	For a transfer operation conducted on the 28th May 2017, the logbook was not fully completed following a catch and transfer within the required time due to technical problems and for a transfer operation	1. EU-Croatian FMC was immediately informed about the technical problem with the e-logbook and all relevant information were communicated to FMC in real time. The FMC undertook the remedial measures in order to resolve the occurred software related problem within the shortest time possible. After	No	

				conducted on the 29th May 2017, the ITD was not signed as the observer was unable to quantify the amount of tuna transferred due to the movement of the fish during the transfer.	the technical problem was resolved, the logbook was completed with the correct data. 2. Control transfer took place after this one, and the correct amount of fish was determined and verified by the national inspector. We would also like to stress that the reason such as "movement of fish" is not a valid one for impossibility of counting the fish.		
15	30/5/17	EU-Cyprus	000EU003	Observer reported a further problem with incomplete logbook information regarding positional data being recorded due to a technical problem with the GPS connection of the vessel.	All three PNC's (request number 00EU003) related to EU-Cyprus are linked and therefore are treated as one. In all three cases the vessel remained at port at all times. The captain of the vessel kept the Fisheries Monitoring Centre (FMC) informed.	No	
16	30/5/17	EU-France	000EU065	The BCDs being used are not in the required eBCD format or using the numbering to show that it is a printout of an eBCD.	Following an alert from EU-Spain farms who could no longer fill in the live trade section of the eBCD because the system was blocked, and without any answers from Tragsa, EU-France went temporarily back to paper BCD so as to avoid blocking the fishing operations. All paper BCD have been converted in eBCD.	No	

17	30/5/17	Libya	000LY014	<p>For a transfer operation conducted, the quality of the video provided does not allow him to provide an independent estimate. Due to the rough sea conditions, the diver recording the transfer was too far from the door to allow a sufficient visibility of the tunas transferred. The observer did not sign the ITD. The captain requested a control transfer.</p>	<p>The catch made by the vessel on 29th May 2017 was bad filmed. The first transfer numbered (LBY-2017/AUT/401) was done on 29th May 2017. The observer reported that because of the rough sea condition "the quality of the video provided does not allow him to provide an independent estimate", he refused to sign the ITD (LBY-2017/401/ITD). In such case the paragraph 76 of the ICCAT recommendation n° 1404, states: "however, in cases when the video record is of insufficient quality or clarity to make such estimations, the operator may request to the flag authorities of the vessel to conduct a new transfer operation and to provide the corresponding video record to the Regional Observer." The captain requested a control transfer, the flag authorities (Libya) of the vessel (ICCAT Reg Number: AT000LBY00060) gave authorisation for a control transfer numbered (LBY-2017/AUT CONTROL/AL402) The control transfer took place on 01st June 2017, it was good filmed. The regional observer verified, estimated the quantity of the pieces and weight. He signed the ITD numbered (LBY-2017/401/ITD).</p>	Yes	
----	---------	-------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

18	30/5/17	Turkey	000TR113	For a transfer operation the video did not show 100% of the door during transfer and so it was not possible to estimate the number of BFT. The ITD was not signed for this operation.	The operator confirmed that due to poor visibility conditions it was not possible to estimate the number of BFT by the observer. In accordance with the MoFAL's investigation, the number of fish were confirmed during the caging operation and no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined.		
19	31/5/17	EU-France	French fleet	The observers across the fleet have reported that when catches are allocated to their vessel following another operation within their group the towing vessel details and destination farm information are not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04. It appears to be due to the design of the logbook the Captain is unable to input this information unless they are the catching vessel. The towing vessel and farm information have been provided to the observers by the Captains in another format in all cases.	In the electronic logbook system only the catching vessel can provide these informations.	Yes	
20	31/5/17	EU-France	000EU066	For an unsuccessful fishing operation conducted on the 30 th May 2017 no entry was made in the logbook on that day.	The Fishing Activity Report declaration (FAR) message has correctly been sent by th F/V to the flag member State through electronic logbook.	No	

21	31/5/17	EU-France	000EU057	For one transfer (27 May) the observer did not sign the ITD as they were unable to estimate the quantity of fish transferred. In addition the ITD contained the same error as previously described and a paper based BCD was also used for this operation.	An investigation was opened on this case and closed after Inspection team checked the video and found that the number of tuna was within the 10 % margin. For BCD and ITD see explanations provided above.	No	
22	31/5/17	EU-France	000EU067	For a transfer, the door is completely lost from shot at one stage and partially lost at other stages and the closing of the door was not fully recorded. As a result no observer estimate was provided for this operation and the ITD was not signed.	Control transfer requested – written notice addressed to the master.	Yes	
23	31/5/17	EU-Italy	000EU042	2 small [typing] mistakes made in the ITD signed after the transfer carried out by the vessel. As these mistakes are not crucial therefore the observer agreed to sign the ITD.	This is considered a typing error and for this reason there were no grounds to confirm the PNC as an infringement.	No	
24	31/5/17	EU-Spain	Spanish fleet	The observers across the fleet have reported that when catches are allocated to their vessel following another operation within their group the towing vessel details and destination farm information are not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of	The catching vessel is the only one involved in the transfer operation, thus the one which shall register the information required in Annex 2 Rec. 14-04 referring the transfer operation itself, e.g. towing vessel data, farm of destination, etc.	No	

				Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04. It appears to be due to the design of the logbook the Captain is unable to input this information unless they are the catching vessel. The towing vessel and farm information have been provided to the observers by the Captains in another format in all cases.			
25	31/5/17	Turkey	000TR125	For a transfer operation conducted on the 29 th May 2017 the video did not show 100% of the net door and that it was not possible to estimate the number of BFT due to poor video quality. The ITD was not signed.	As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		
26	1/6/17	EU-Croatia	Croatian fleet	The observers across the fleet have reported that when catches are allocated to their vessel following another operation within their group the towing vessel details and destination farm information are not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04. Due to the design of the logbook the Captain is unable to	The Eu Croatian authorities have analysed the case and concluded that the towing vessel and farm information have been provided in another format to the observers, as confirmed by the statement in the PNC.	No	

				input this information unless they are the catching vessel. The towing vessel and farm information have been provided to the observers by the Captains in another format in all cases.			
27	1/6/17	EU-Cyprus	000EU003	Logbook entries for allocated catches are based on pre transfer estimates. Towing vessel and destination farm information are missing from the logbook for allocated catches.	All three PNC's (request number 00EU003) related to EU-Cyprus are linked and therefore are treated as one. In all three cases the vessel remained at port at all times. The captain of the vessel kept the Fisheries Monitoring Centre (FMC) informed.	No	
28	1/6/17	EU-France	000EU066	For a successful fishing operation and transfer conducted on the 31 st May 2017 no entry was made in the logbook on that day.	The FAR/ RLC messages have correctly been sent by the F/V to the flag member state through electronic logbook.	No	
29	2/6/17	EU-France	French fleet	All towing cage numbers have the following unique numbering format with the CPC code followed by 3 numbers as per article 71 of the Rec. 14-04, AND IN ADDITION another letter at the end, in this case R. The observers have noted this but in all cases have signed the ITDs if all else was in compliance.	On this matter, the MRAG consultant answer that « We have signed the ITD in the case of cage numbers as it is an issue that had been raised with ICCAT prior to the fishing season, and our guidance was, and is, to report it as a PNC, but also to sign the ITD nevertheless ». The codes used are in line with the minimum requirements established in the Rec. 14-04.	Yes	
30	2/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU044	The Logbook entries made 27.05.2017 to 01.06.2017 are incomplete and incorrect. The amount of	Cancel the PNC report n°1. The captain has made changes during the night between 02/06 and 03/06 on the logbook in order be in conformity with	No	

				catches deduced from the individual quota, name of the farm of destination and its ICCAT number are missing all days. The ICCAT numbers of the towing vessels are incorrect.	the Annex 2 of the Recommendation 14-04. The observer took a new photos of all the pages of the fishing logbook.		
31	2/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU041	The logbook of the vessel was missing the information following a fishing and transfer operation (catch and transfer made by another vessel in the JFO): Farm destination and ICCAT number; Time of transfer; Total quantity of BFT transferred to cage; Name of towing vessel; Flag and ICCAT number of towing vessel; Statement "No catches taken on board or transferred into cages".	The EU-Italy received a copy of the log-sheet (on 02/06/2017) from the PS vessel concerned showing compliance with the minimum requirements as set in Annex 2 of ICCAT Rec. 14-04.	No	
32	2/6/17	EU-Spain	000EU080	For an unsuccessful fishing operation carried out on the 31 st May 2017, no entry was made in the logbook on that day.	No Possible Non Compliance has been detected. On the 31 st of May, the master of the vessel recorded on the e-log a fishing operation with zero catches, which also was electronically transmitted on the 1 st of June.	No	
33	2/6/17	EU-Spain	Spanish fleet	All towing cage numbers have the following unique numbering format with the CPC code followed by 3 numbers as per article 71 of the Rec. 14-04, AND IN ADDITION another letter at the end, in this case R. The	According to article 71 of the ICCAT Rec. 14-04 the number of the cages shall be issued with a unique numbering system that includes at least the three letter CPC code followed by three numbers. There is no indication at the Rec. that makes impossible to add an extra character in order to distinguish perfectly a cage from	No	

				observers have noted this but in all cases have signed the ITDs if all else was in compliance.	another. The codes used accomplish with the minimum requirements established in the Rec. 14-04.		
34	2/6/17	Libya	000LY012	The observer reported today (02/06/2017) a transfer operation conducted on the 31st of May. However, due to a not sufficient quality video, independent estimate was not possible. The observer did not sign the ITD. The captain requested a control transfer and the control transfer was carried out on the 1st of June.	A control transfer was done on the 1st of June. The observer was provided by the video and was able to make an estimation of the total catch and the ITD was duly signed.	Yes	
35	2/6/17	Turkey	000TR124	For a transfer operation conducted on the 29 th May 2017 the video did not show 100% of the operation due to the camera being held at an incorrect angle whilst recording.	Turkish Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock (MoFAL) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operators. As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		

36	3/6/17	EU-France	000EU053	Following a transfer operation carried out on 01/06/2017, some dead fish were observed which were not recorded by the vessel [at least one actually observed].	Administrative penalty in process.	Yes	
37	3/6/17	EU-France	000EU066	For a successful fishing operation conducted on the 1 st June 2017 no entry was made in the logbook on that day.	The FAR message has correctly been sent by the F/V to the flag member State through electronic logbook.	No	
38	3/6/17	EU-France	000EU058	The video of the transfer conducted on 03/06/2017 into towing cag, did not show the entire opening of the cage door. As such the observer was not able to make estimation. The ITD was not signed by the observer.	Control transfer requested - written notice addressed to the master.	Yes	
39	3/6/17	Tunisia	000TN107	Clarification on completion of the logbook for a catch allocation within the framework of a JFO; following the transfer operation of 01/06/2017 of another, a quantity of fish hauled on board is recorded even though no fish was hauled on board the observer's vessel.	The master believed that he was obliged to complete all the information on the JFO which he was participating in. They were dead fish hauled on board during a transfer carried out by the vessel (AT000TUN00023).	Yes	

40	3/6/17	Tunisia	000TN104	<p>Following the fishing operation carried out on 28/05/2017 and the transfer performed by the vessel on 29/05/2017, the observer counted 12 dead specimens that the master had not recorded in the logbook. It should be noted that the observer received a copy of eBCD TN17900010 where no dead fish were registered during the transfer, contrary to his observations.</p>	<p>With regard to the 12 specimens alleged to be dead by the observer, we can confirm that no dead specimen was embarked since the few specimens crushed by the net at the time of turning were released alive into the sea and therefore there was no reason for the master to record them in his logbook.</p>	No	
41	3/6/17	Tunisia	000TN103	<p>Logbook: 1. The transfer operation of vessel xx is recorded in the logbook of yy on 28/05/2017. i.e. the catch day. The ICCAT towing vessel number is not registered. "Fishing" is mentioned in the vessel activity even though the vessel has not carried out any fishing operation. 2. During the transfer operation recorded on 30/05/2017, the farm of destination of the catch as well as the ICCAT towing vessel number are not recorded. "Fishing" is mentioned in the vessel activity even though the vessel has not carried out</p>	<p>On 28/05/2017, the master of the vessel noted a position (on page N° 00004/19 of the logbook) which corresponds to that unsuccessful catch. On 30/05/2017 and 01/06/2017 the master of the vessel effectively omitted to fill in the position at 12h00 because it was pursuing schools of fish. However, the VMS system allows positions to be retraced. In relation to the description of the vessel activity, the master of the vessel mentioned the term "fishing" because active seeking or pursuit of fish are actions which are an integral part of a "fishing" operation, even if the purse seine has not been put into the water to perform a catch operation (in contrast to the term "navigation", for example, which is contained in the logbook).</p>	Yes	

				<p>any fishing operation. 3. During the transfer operation of 31/05/2017, the farm of destination of the catch as well as its ICCAT number were not recorded. Only the number of specimens transferred is mentioned and not the quantity in kilos. "Fishing" is mentioned in the vessel activity even though the vessel has not carried out any fishing activity. 4. During the transfer operation of 01/06/2017 of vessel xx, the farm of destination of the catch as well as its ICCAT number were not recorded. Only the number of the specimens transferred is mentioned without the quantity in kilos. A quantity of fish hauled aboard is recorded even though no fish have been hauled aboard of yy. "Fishing" is mentioned in the vessel activity even though the vessel has not carried out any fishing operation.</p>			
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

42	3/6/17	Tunisia	000TN102	<p>Logbook: 1. On 01/06/2017 following the transfer carried out by vessel xxx, the master of vessel yyy has included in the box of quantity hauled on board (section 5 of the logbook) the quantity hauled aboard vessel xxx and not the quantity hauled aboard yyy – the observer vessel - (i.e. 0). 2. On the pages concerned with catches taken by the other vessel (xx) the position at midday of yy is systematically missing and "fishing" is mentioned in the vessel activity even though the vessel has not carried out any fishing operation.</p>	<p>On 28/05/2017, the master of the vessel noted a position (on page No. 00004/19 of the logbook) which corresponds to that of an unsuccessful catch. On 30/05/2017 and 01/06/2017 the master of the vessel effectively omitted to fill in the position at 12h00 because it was pursuing schools of fish. However, the VMS system allows positions to be retraced. As regards the description of the vessel activity, the master of the vessel mentions the term "fishing" because actively seeking or pursuing fish are actions which are an integral part of a "fishing" operation, even if the purse seine has not been put into the water to carry out a catch operation (in contrast to the term "navigation", for example, which is included in the logbook).</p>	Yes	
43	4/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU044	<p>On 4/06/2017 a transshipment at sea of dead BFT: The observer saw first small drops of blood on the vessel. After few minutes the observer saw crew members were putting tuna in the fridge. The vessel did not carry out any catching operation and the observer is suspecting that the fish is coming from another catching vessel which made a catch today. Furthermore, the two</p>	<p>All the PS vessels involved in the JFO n. 2017-018 had encountered some technical issues concerning e-logbook software, for several days. In accordance with EU-Italy internal provisions, in such cases, they are obliged to complete a paper logbook using the current EU combined format, that does not contain all the specific fields as established by Annex 2 of ICCAT Rec. 14-04. In any case copies of all the above paper log-sheets starting from 27-05-2017 until 31-05-2017, relating to all the mentioned PS vessels were produced.</p>	Yes (partially)	

				vessels where berthed for few hours. The regional observer on board the other catching vessel was still watching the transfer video and therefore could not see what is going on. The observer cannot confirm the exact quantity of fish transhipped.	Also, on the basis of further investigation carried out by our Control Services, the PS vessel in question has been duly sanctioned in accordance with EU-Italy national legislation: i.e. administrative offense related to the log-book missing figures with a monetary fine of 8.000 Euros.		
44	4/6/17	Tunisia	000TN094	A clarification on the completion of the logbook for catch allocations within the framework of the JFO. Following the group transfer operations, the amount of fish hauled aboard of vessel xxx is never recorded (no fish were hauled aboard the vessel during the fishing season).	This is a normal situation given that the vessel has not carried out a catching operation, nor consequently, a transfer operation.	No	
45	4/6/17	Tunisia	000TN083	Following the transfer carried out by the vessel on 27/05/2017, the transfer video was transmitted to the observer. However the video does not show the complete closing of the door at the end and also does not show part of the door at the end of the film. The observer did not sign the ITD. A control transfer was performed on 28/05/2017 and the observer signed the ITD.	Control transfer number TUN2017-AUT003 was authorised.	Yes	

46	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN093	<p>Following the transfer carried out by the vessel on 30/05/2017, the diver did not return immediately afterwards to the catching vessel. The observer had to wait 1h25 following the conclusion of the transfer to receive the video. Due to this delay, the observer refused to sign the ITD. Recommendation 14-04, Annex 8, paragraph i) provides that "The electronic storage device containing the original video record shall be provided to the observer as soon as possible after the end of the transfer operation who shall immediately initialize it to avoid any further manipulation." The observer had pointed out this obligation to the diver before the start of the transfer operations. A control transfer was carried out on 01/06/2017 and the observer signed the ITD.</p>	Control transfer number TUN2017-AUT019 was authorised.	Yes	
----	--------	---------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

47	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN092	<p>Following verification of the electronic BCD of transfer No. 1, it appears that: 1. The weight indicated under heading 2 of the eBCD, catch information - TOTAL WEIGHT, is not the weight of the total catch indicated in the vessel logbook. After verification of the electronic BCD of transfer No. 2 , it appears that: 1. The weight indicated under heading 2 of the eBCD, catch information - TOTAL WEIGHT, is not the weight of the total catch in the vessel logbook. 2. The number of specimens transferred indicated in the eBCD (section 3) is different from the number indicated in the ITD (900 specimens are recorded instead of 898). Moreover, the figure recorded in the eBCD is not the same as that indicated in the vessel logbook.</p>	<p>The vessel concerned is xx and not yy. In this case, the weight and number of fish caught that were recorded in the eBCD application (section 2) were adjusted in section 3 as 2 dead specimens were included in the "transfer" section. Total weight (live and dead) was noted in the ITD taking into account that the buyer is obliged to pay for the whole amount caught. The calculation of totals is automatically generated by the eBCD system.</p>	No	
----	--------	---------	----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--

48	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN093	<p>Following verification of the electronic BCD of the only transfer carried out by the vessel, it appears that:</p> <p>1. The weight and number of fish is different in the logbok and eBCD. The weight of total catch is 101 208 kg in the logbook 01/06/2017 whereas the total weight is 101 197.881 kg in the eBCD (section 2).</p> <p>2. The weight of transferred fish is 101 108 kg (logbook 01/06/2017) whereas the weight of transferred fish is 101 097,881 kg in section 3 of the eBCD.</p>	<p>1. In this case, the weight and number of fish caught that were recorded in the eBCD application (section 2) is adjusted in section 3 with the mention of 2 dead specimens in the "transfer" section. Total weight (live and dead) was noted in the ITD taking into account that the buyer is obliged to pay for the whole amount caught. 2. The calculation of totals is generated automatically by the eBCD system.</p>	No	
49	5/6/17	Libya	000LY037	<p>According to information provided by other observers on the same fishing group (JFO 2017-007), a transfer operation has been conducted on the 3rd of June by the vessel xxx. However, no allocated catch nor information relative to this operation are recorded on the fishing logbook of the vessel of the observer.</p>	<p>Due to technical communication failure, it was not possible for the captain to contact the other vessel. The captain was informed to fulfill his logbook and complete missing information upon his arrival by the land operator agent.</p>	Yes	

50	6/6/17	Libya	000LY035	According to information provided by other observers on the same fishing group (JFO 2017-007), a transfer operation has been conducted on the 3rd of June by the vessel xxx. However, no allocated catch nor information relative to this operation are recorded on the fishing logbook of the vessel of the observer.	The logbook was completed at midnight of the relative day	No	
51	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN088	Following verification of the electronic BCD of the only transfer carried out by the vessel, it appears that: 1. In section 2, catch description: the total weight indicated (79 992 kg) is different from that indicated in the logbook (80 000 kg), also the catch distribution is different from that recorded for the group vessels. 2. In section 3, description of transfer, the live weight indicated is equal to total weight (without deducting the weight of the dead fish which is 200 kg) and the number of live fish indicated is 1 000 whereas in the logbook and ITD it is 996.	1. The calculation is generated automatically on the eBCD system. 2. The dead fish are mentioned in the "transfer" section.	No	

52	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN087	Following verification of the electronic BCD of the only transfer carried out by the vessel, it appears that: 1. The total weight in the eBCD is different from that in the logbook. 2. The weight and number of live fish in the eBCD (720 specimens) is different from that in the logbook and ITD (716 specimens). 3. The weight of the dead fish in the eBCD is different from that in the logbook.	1. The calculation is generated automatically by the eBCD system. 2. The dead fish were mentioned in the "transfer" section. 3. The logbook was filled in by the master following the control carried out by the observer.	No	
53	5/6/17	Tunisia	000TN103	Fishing vessel xxx carried out a towing operation of the cage destined for transfer of the catch from the third operation of fishing vessel yyy on 01/06/2017. This towing operation lasted approximately 30 to 40 minutes, to the purse seine of vessel yyy to install the cage so as to start the transfer. The accredited towing vessel for this operation should have been zzz, as mentioned in the prior transfer notification.	Transfer to the tow vessel was authorised under number TUN2017-AUT021.	No	

54	5/6/17	Turkey	000TR118	For a transfer operation conducted on 27/05/2017, the video camera did not cover 100% of the door opening, and that the camera was not pointed at the door for a period of three seconds. The observer did not consider that any fish other than those counted could have been transferred, and the ITD was signed	MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		
----	--------	--------	----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

55	6/6/17	Algeria	000DZ024	<p>Fishing vessel xx carried out a transfer in 2 cages simultaneously on 02/06/2017. These 2 transfers were performed simultaneously with a single transfer authorisation, a single ITD and two video recordings. The observer received a copy of each of these videos (for your information, one of the videos is blurry and the door is not visible throughout the entire transfer). The observer did not sign the ITD.</p>	<p>The investigation carried out has established that the vessel performed a transfer on 02/06/2017 of its catch into two cages positioned side by side (cages EU.MLT.025-MB and EU.MLT.029-MB), for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The nearest cages to the vessel have a capacity of 200 t; - The transfer into two different cages and individually caused significant mortality due to the fact that the door of the purse seine closed in the middle of the fish transfer operation which led to a large number of fish becoming entangled and dying. - Distributing them between two cages meant that they had more living space. With regard to transfer authorisations, it was pointed out that under the provisions of Recommendation 14-04 on documentation of transfer operations and product traceability, each tow vessel is obliged to hold on board the transfer authorisation. On this basis and given that the same fish are concerned, and according to the provisions of Recommendation 14-04, two (02) different transfer authorisation documents were completed but with the same transfer authorisation number (DZA/2017/002/1 and DZA/2017/002/2). Also, it should be noted that according to the provisions of Recommendation 14-04, each fishing operation requires the completion of an eBCD. 	No	
----	--------	---------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--

					<p>With regard to video recordings, in order to control and count numbers of specimens, two (02) videos were installed for filming the transfer operation, the first is located between the door separating the purse seine from the first cage and the second between the first and second cages.</p> <p>The first video enables the total number of fish fished to be viewed while the second enables the quantity to be viewed which have crossed over to the second cage. The films produced comply with the requirements of paragraph 75 and Annex 8 of ICCAT Recommendation 14-04.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

56	6/6/17	Tunisia	000TN083	Following verification of the electronic BCD of the transfer performed by the vessel, it appears that: 1. The total weight of the catch in the eBCD is different from that in the logbook; 2. The weight and number of live fish recorded in the eBCD is different from that recorded in the logbook and that recorded in the ITD; 3. The weight of dead fish in the eBCD is different from that in the logbook.	1. The calculation is generation automatically on the eBCD system. 2. The dead fish have been mentioned in the "transfer" section.	No	
57	6/6/17	Libya	000LY034	According to information provided by other observers on the same fishing group, a transfer operation has been conducted on the 3rd of June by the vessel xxx. However, no allocated catch nor information relative to this operation are recorded on the fishing logbook of the vessel on which the observer is deployed.	This is clearly an oversight by the Master. His attention has been drawn to this ommitance and that this will not be tolerated a second time round.	Yes	
58	7/6/17	Turkey	000TR113	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04.	The operator confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.		

59	7/6/17	Turkey	000TR125	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04	The operator confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.		
60	7/6/17	Turkey	000TR124	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04	The operator confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.		
61	7/6/17	Turkey	000TR121	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04	The operator confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.		
62	7/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU045	The transfer operation n°1 carried out by the vessel is recorded in the fishing logbook at the page of the catching operation, the 29/05/2017 instead of the day of the transfer, the 30/05/2017. The ICCAT numbers of the towing vessels operating with the group are never reported in the fishing logbook of the vessel.	EU-Italy authorities received from the vessel the e-logbook clearly indicating that the catch carried on 30/05/2017 was transferred on the same day, as well as all the tug data.	No	

63	8/6/17	Tunisia	000TN106	<p>Following verification of the electronic BCD of the transfer carried out by the vessel on 02/06/2017, it appears that: 1. The weight and quantity transferred which is indicated in the eBCD is different from that recorded in the logbook (75 517 kg and not 76 017 kg as indicated in the logbook). The operator has explained that this error is due to the poor quality of the telephone communication. 2. In addition, 5 specimens of dead tuna have been reported in the logbook and in the eBCD with a weight of 625 kg while 6 dead specimens were observed by the observers and photographed.</p>	<p>1. The calculation is generated automatically on the eBCD system. 2. The dead fish are mentioned in the "transfer" section.</p>	No	
64	8/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU046	<p>In the eBCD relative to the third fishing operation with transfer, the dates of the catching operation and the transfer operation are incorrect. The document mentions a catch and a transfer on the 31/05/2017 instead of the 01/06/2017. In the eBCD relative to the fourth fishing operation with transfer, the dates of the</p>	<p>The EU-Italy, as soon as it was made aware of these mistakes in the completion of the eBCDs, requested TRAGSA to make the necessary modifications (corrections).</p>	No	

				catching operation and the transfer operation are incorrect. The document mentions a catch and a transfer on the 03/06/2017 instead of the 04/06/2017.			
65	8/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU046	Following the transfer operation n°1 carried out the 27/05/2017, the farm of destination and the ICCAT towing vessel number are missing on the logbook page. Following the transfer operation n°2 carried out the 30/05/2017, the farm of destination and the ICCAT towing vessel number are missing on the logbook page.	The EU-Italy, as soon as it was made aware of these mistakes in the completion of the eBCDs, requested TRAGSA to make the necessary modifications (corrections). - Paper log-sheet n. ITCT00131 duly completed with all the minimum required information and validated by inspectorate service (22.40 - 27/05/2017 - NO INFRINGEMENTS). - Paper log-sheet n. ITCT00134 duly completed with all the minimum required information and validated by inspectorate service (17.45 - 30/05/2017 - NO INFRINGEMENTS).	No	
66	9/6/17	EU-France	000EU052	For a fishing operation conducted on the 30 th May 2017 no entry was made in the logbook on that day for an unsuccessful operation.	The FAR message has been sent by the F/V to the flag member State through electronic logbook.	No	

67	10/6/17	Libya	000LY012	Following a transfer operation conducted on the 10th of June, due to an independent estimate being impossible, the observer did not sign the ITD. According to the details provided by phone, the transfer was made at the end of the afternoon and in order to finalise it quickly with a sufficient light for the video, the divers pushed quickly all the fish from the seine to the towing cage. Therefore, all the BFT crossed the door into 2 minutes.	A control transfer was ordered and was in fact done on the 23rd June and a video was provided to the observer. He was able to make an independent estimation and the ITD was duly signed.	Yes	
69	12/6/17	Turkey	000TR115	During a transfer occurring on 7th June 2017, whilst the video showed the inside of the cage at the start, the light source was not sufficient to see the inside of the cage. However, the observer considered the conditions good enough to count the fish, and the ITD was signed.	The operator confirmed that due to poor visibility conditions it was not possible to estimate the number of BFT by the observer. In accordance with the MoFAL's investigation, the number of fish were confirmed during the caging operation and no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined.		
70	12/6/17	EU-France	000EU060, 000EU061 000EU062.	Allocation key of JFO not exactly as reported to ICCAT.	The JFO notified by the EU was incorrectly registered by ICCAT. It was corrected during the PS campaign.	No	

71	12/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU048	<p>Following the debriefing of the observer, it was noted from the logbook of the vessel that three transfer operations conducted by the vessel xxx were recorded in the logbook with the day of the catch and not at the day of the transfer (30/05/2017 instead of 31/05/2017; 04/06/2017 instead of 05/06/2017) and 09/06/2017 instead of 10/06/2017. None of the entries indicate that another vessel in the JFO was the catching vessel.</p>	<p>Log-sheets reporting clear statements by the captains according to which the transfer operations were carried out the day after the catching date were handed-over to authorities.</p>	No	
72	14/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU039	<p>The transfer operation n°1 conducted by the vessel on the 29/05/2017 is recorded in the logbook on the 28/05/2017 – the day of the catching operation and not the day of the transfer operation (the 29/05/2017). On the logbook, for the 8 allocated catches declared, no mention relative to the catching vessel names can be found.</p>	<p>Due to a typing error the date of the catch was recorded on 28/05/2017 instead of 29/05/2017. With regard to the vessels names and the allocated catches, the electronic logbooks provided to the authority shows conformity with current rules. Paper logbook is a complementary requirement, foreseen in the Italian legislation, in cases where the electronic logbook fails.</p>	No	

71 bis	13/6/17	EU- France	000EU062	<p>Two dead fish thrown to the sea and not recorded as mortality in the logbook in the fishing operation performed by another vessel on the 27/05/2017; In the transfer operation performed on the 02-06-2017 the ITD number does not respect ICCAT Rec 14-04 Article 73 a), it has 5 numbers. The ITD was signed; In the transfer operation performed the 02-06-2017 the ITD number does not respect ICCAT Rec 14-04 Article 73 a), it has 5 numbers. The ITD was signed; A dead fish (at least 90 kg) was thrown to the sea and non-recorded adequately in the logbook in the transfer operation conducted by the vessel on the 04-06-2017. (Pictures available); The same fish was not recorded adequately in the e-BCD generated following the transfer operation conducted by the Jean Marie Christian 7 the 04-06-2017.</p>	<p>1. For dead fishes : administrative penalty in process. 2. For ITD number : see above</p>	Yes	
-----------	---------	---------------	----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

72 bis	14/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU039	In the logbook of the vessel the transfer operation n°1 conducted by the vessel xxx on the 29/05/2017 is recorded in the logbook on the 28/05/2017 – the day of the catching operation and not the day of the transfer operation (the 29/05/2017). On the logbook, for the 8 allocated catches declared, no mention relative to the catching vessel names can be found.	Due to a typing error the date of the catch was recorded on 28/05/2017 instead of 29/05/2017. With regard to the vessels names and the allocated catches, the electronic logbooks provided to the authority shows conformity with current rules. Paper logbook is a complementary requirement, foreseen in the Italian legislation, in cases where the electronic logbook fails.	No	
73	14/6/17	EU-Italy	JFO 2017-017	After the review of the transfer videos and the photos of the observers deployed on the JFO group, it appears that the cage numbering system used at sea by the farm was not in accordance with the article 71 of the recommendation 14-04. For all the 6 cages used at sea the cage number is only 2 letters instead of a number with a unique numbering system that includes at least the three letter CPC code followed by three numbers.	Considering that each cage was labelled with the ICCAT number of the farm of destination, the name of the company and the cage number this was just a minor misinterpretation of the Recommendation that still made each cage number unique and identifiable.	No	
74	15/6/17	Libya	000LY035	The observer reported today that according to information provided by other observers on the same fishing group, a	This is clearly an oversight by the Master. His attention has been drawn to this omittance and that this will not be tolerated a second time round	Yes	

				transfer operation has been conducted on the 9th of June by one vessel but no allocated catch nor information relative to this operation are recorded on the fishing logbook of the observer's vessel until now.			
75	18/6/17	Syria	000SY134	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04.	As it is required, the vessel operator made a catch declaration on 10th of June. Accordingly, the requested transfer authorization was granted based on the available quota of the vessel (which displayed the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm). The issued documents (ITD and the BCD) does also display the name and the number of the destination farm .		
76	17/6/14	Tunisia	000TN095	Following verification of the electronic BCD of the transfer carried out by the vessel on 11/06/2017, it appears that: 1. The transfer date recorded in section 3 (10 June 2017) is inaccurate. The transfer was performed on 11/06/2017. The observer counted 5 dead fish during the transfer operation whereas the 3 hauled aboard are mentioned in the logbook and the electronic BCD.	The trade transaction was concluded on the catch day itself (10 June 2017), the transfer was carried out the next day (11 June 2017) and authorised under No. TUN2017-AUT041.	No	

77	18/6/17	Tunisia	000TN086	Following verification of the electronic BCD of transfer No. 2 carried out by the vessel on 04/06/2017, it appears that the transfer date recorded in section 3 (03 June 2017) is inaccurate. The transfer was performed on 04/06/2017.	The trade transaction was concluded on the catch day itself (03 June 2017), the transfer was carried out the next day (04 June 2017) and authorised under No. TUN2017-AUT019.	No	
78	17/6/17	Turkey	000TR121	During a transfer operation on 1st June, the camera did not cover the door for approximately 30 seconds. This occurred immediately after another diver signaled that the transfer was complete and the observer considered that no fish passed without being counted, and therefore signed the ITD.	The operator has confirmed unavoidable interruption of the recording after completion of the transfer operation. In accordance with the MoFAL's investigation, the number of fish were confirmed during the caging operation and no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined.		
79	18/6/17	Turkey	000TR119	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04.	The operator confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.		

80	Through report	EU-Malta	000EU030	<p>The vessel's logbook did not contain its ICCAT number, did not record its midday position and did not contain information on the destination farm for allocated catches.</p>	<p>Vessel's logbook was fully in line with Annex 2 of ICCAT Rec. 14-04 except for the following minor issues as identified by the Regional Observer on-board: 1) ICCAT Number of the vessel was not included. The full vessel name, registration number, International Call Sign were duly reported in the paper logbook making the vessel clearly identifiable. ICCAT number of the vessel was also included on the logbook's front page.</p> <p>2) Daily position at noon during days of no fishing was not included. Any necessary queries on the location of the vessel during days of no fishing can be fully retrieved from the VMS reports.</p> <p>3) Farm of destination was not included for respective catches. The transport cage number is identified in the correct format including the farm , clearly identifying the farm of destination.</p>	No	
----	----------------	----------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--

81	19/6/17	Tunisia	000TN099	<p>Following verification of the electronic BCD of transfer No. 1 carried out by the vessel on 29/05/2017, it appears that: 1. The quantity caught in section 2 of eBCD (107989.2 kg) differs from the quantity mentioned in the logbook on page 29/05/2017 (108000 kg). With regard to the vessel logbook, for 01/06 and 02/06, the master has not completed in table 3 the deducted portion of the quota (information on the deducted portion is always indicated in the annexes of these two pages). In addition, it appears that the observer has omitted to add his ICCAT observer number after his name and signature to the ITD.</p>	<p>The difference in total catch weight catch indicated in eBCD No. TN17900005 is 10.8 kg and this difference was produced automatically in the electronic system of the eBCD which takes into consideration decimal figures and, as a result, the division of the parts will inevitably give an algorithmic difference of several kg when calculating the distribution. In addition, the basic data recorded on the eBCD system corresponds to the quantity appearing in the logbook.</p> <p>- Regarding the vessel logbook for the days 01/06 and 02/06, the master did not manage to complete in table 3 the deducted portion of the quota at the time of the control by the observer. This is why the copy filmed by the observer does not contain the portion deducted from the quota, however the master immediately filled in the information, and the copy transmitted to the towing vessel had been completed. - Concerning the oversight by the observer regarding not noting the ICCAT No. beside his name and handwritten signature, this PNC should usually be directed at the observer since he did not fill in the ITD in accordance with ICCAT regulations.</p>	No	
----	---------	---------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----	--

82	19/6/17	Tunisia	000TN106	<p>The observer did not receive the copy of the video of the transfer carried out on 02/06/2017 in electronic format. Following the transfer, the master gave the copy to the observer on a USB stick so that he could copy the video on his personal computer and he was asked to return it afterwards, which is what the observer did. Therefore, the observer has a copy of the video for the purposes of verification. This video will be copied by the Consortium on a physical medium prior to transmission to the ICCAT Secretariat. In addition, numerous errors were observed in the vessel logbook (No. 25): The page of 27/05 (n°000002) was cancelled and the reader is referred to page No. 000006; the page of 28/05 (n°000003) was cancelled and the reader referred is referred to page No. 000007; the page of 31/05 (No. 000008) is dated 31/06; the page of 08/06 (No. 000017) does not mention any activity for the</p>	The errors observed in the vessel logbook are due to a lack of familiarity with these documents.	Yes	
----	---------	---------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

				vessel; the page of 11/06 (No. 000020): the master has not recorded the commas in the quantities allocated following the transfer of xxx (for example: 12 671 908 instead of 12 671,908); the page of 12/06 (No. 000021): the name of the vessel that has carried out the transfer is not recorded on the logbook page.			
83	20/6/17	Tunisia	000TN105	1- The deducted portion of the quota following the transfer carried out by the vessel of the same group is recorded on 27/05/2017 instead of 28/05/2017 (date of the control transfer performed by the vessel). 2. Dead fish were hauled aboard of the vessel and are indicated in the logbook for several joint fishing operations whereas no dead fish were hauled aboard the vessel, the vessel did not fish.	1. Error rectified as a result of this enquiry. 2. Dead fish belong to other vessels of the same JFO, no dead fish were hauled aboard.	No	

84	30/6/17	Algeria	000DZ016	In the vessel logbook, section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number" as well as section 5 "Transfer information" are systematically empty. Therefore, the name of the vessel that has carried out the transfer as well as the information on the transfer are never recorded. (The vessel has not carried out any fishing operations but the 4 transfer operations that were performed within the context of the group were recorded).	Gaps have been observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of information to be provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter.	Yes	
85	20/6/17	Algeria	000DZ018	The transfer authorisation number is not visible on the video recording transmitted to the observer following the transfer carried out this morning. The diver's hand holding the sign covers the number, which cannot be read on the film. Therefore, the observer is not in a position to sign the ITD.	The investigation carried out established that the video recording meets the requirements of paragraph 75 and Annex 8 of Recommendation 14-04. However, a slight partial and involuntary obstruction of the last figure of the transfer authorisation has been observed, as indicated in the video of the transfer referred to above. In accordance with ICCAT Recommendation 14-04 this obstruction does not constitute a reason for refusal to sign the ITD by the regional observer. Also, it is clearly established from the transfer recordings that the cage and towing vessel numbers are clearly visible and tally with the information contained in the transfer authorisation.	No	

86	30/6/17	Algeria	000DZ020	In the vessel logbook, section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number" as well as section 5 "Transfer information" are empty for the three transfer operations carried out by the group. Therefore, the name of the vessel that has performed transfers Nos. 2, 3 and 4 are not recorded. No information on the 4 transfers is recorded in section 5. In addition, transfer No. 2 performed by the vessel on 11/06/2017 was recorded in the logbook 10/06/2017 (day of fishing operation). For information, the vessel did not carry out fishing operations but the 4 transfer operations performed within the context of the group were recorded in the logbook.	Gaps are observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular information on section No. 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of the information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter.	Yes	
87	30/6/17	Algeria	000DZ021	in the vessel logbook, section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number" is empty for the 4 transfer operations carried out by the group. Therefore, the name of the vessels that have performed these transfers are not recorded. In addition, section 5	Gaps are observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular, information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of the information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter.	Yes	

				"Transfer information" is only partially completed for the first two transfers (the name and ICCAT number of the towing vessel are missing as well as the farm of destination) and completely empty for the last 2 transfers of the group. For information, the vessel has not carried out any fishing operations but the 4 transfer operations performed within the context of the group were recorded in the logbook.			
88	2/7/17	Algeria	000DZ022	In the vessel logbook, only the name and number of the vessel that has carried out the fishing operation are included in section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number". Section 5 "Transfer information" is systematically empty for the 6 transfer operations recorded in the logbook. In addition, it appears that the vessel master did not fill in the logbook from 23/06/2017 (inclusive) until the observer's departure on 01/07/2017. The master effectively cancelled 5 pages of the logbook during the	Gaps have been observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter. As regards the logbook, Algeria used a 35 page logbook. Exceptionally this year, the last fishing operation of this JFO group was carried out on the last day of the fishing period and the transfer was carried out after 24 June 2017. Given that it had 35 pages, and 5 pages were cancelled, there were no pages left for the master to fill in the required information. In order to avoid this problem in the future, the number of pages will be increased.	Yes	

				campaign and he did not have any more pages left to record the continuation of the fishing campaign. Therefore, the transfer operations carried out by two vessels (on 24/06/2017 and 25/06/2017) are not recorded in the vessel logbook. For information, the vessel has not carried out any fishing operations.			
89	1/7/17	Algeria	000DZ023	<p>Following the transfer operation carried out by the vessel on 11/06/2017, the quantity transferred recorded in the ITD is 1069 specimens. This information is taken from the vessel logbook on the day of the transfer which refers to 1069 specimens with a weight of 82180 kg. However, in the eBCD transmitted to the observer, section 3, quantity transferred, refers to 1070 specimens with a weight of 82249.754 kg. In this same eBCD, section 2 – total catch (1070 specimens with a weight of 82249.754 kg) is not equal to section 3 – total</p>	<p>According to our interpretation of Recommendation 14-04, in particular Annex 11, the second paragraph of a), the quantity of the headings 3 and 4 must be the same as those declared under heading</p> <p>2. On verification of eBCD DZ17900011, the trade in fish is equal to the quantity transferred (82179.754 kg) plus a dead specimen (70 kg), i.e. a total of 82249,757 kg, which tallies with the quantity declared in section 2.</p>	No	

				transferred (1070 specimens with a weight of 82249.754 kg) + section 4 fish dead during transfer (1 specimen with a weight of 70 kg) as should be the case (Recommendation 14-04 Annex 11). Finally, in relation to the transfer operations carried out by the other vessels of the group, there is a reference in Table 2 next to the vessel name which clarifies that it was a catching vessel but section 3 "Catching vessel name and ICCAT number" and section 5 "Transfer information" are systematically empty for the 2 transfer operations carried out.			
90	1/7/17	Algeria	000DZ024	Following transfer operation No. 1 carried out by the vessel on 02/06/2017 into two cages simultaneously (as indicated in PNC report No. 1), it appears that: a single eBCD was provided, while the fish caught were transferred into two different cages. In the eBCD produced, section 2 – total catch (5 000 specimens with a weight of 424 998.725 kg) is not	According to our interpretation of Recommendation 14-04, in particular Annex 11, the second paragraph of a) the quantities under headings 3 et 4 must be the same as those declared under heading 2. Within the context of this fishing, the trade in fish is equal to the quantity transferred (424498,724 kg) plus 8 dead specimens (500,001 kg), i.e. a total of 424998,7255 kg, which tallies with the quantity reported in section 2. However, the eBCD system has not reported any problem in terms of traceability. Regarding the quality of the video, the fishing inspector boarded the	No	

				equal to section 3 – total transferred (5 000 specimens with a weight of 424 998.725 kg) + section 4 tuna dead during transfer (8 specimens with a weight of 500 kg) as should be the case (Recommendation 14-04 Annex 11). After viewing the videos of the transfers operated by the vessel we can indicate that the video was of very poor quality and corresponded to the transfer between the purse seine of the vessel and cage B of the towing vessel. As a reminder, the observer did not sign the ITDs produced.	vessel, and after viewing the video, confirmed that it meets the requirements of Recommendation 14-04.		
91	1/7/17	Algeria	000DZ025	In the vessel logbook, only the name and number of the vessel that has carried out the fishing operation are mentioned in section 3 "Catching vessel name and ICCAT number". Section 5 "Transfer information" is systematically empty for the 4 transfer operations carried out by the group. In addition, transfer No. 1 performed on 02/06/2017 was recorded in the logbook on 03/06/2017. For information, the vessel has not carried out any	Following verification of the logbook of this vessel, it has been established that the information on vessels that have participated in the JFO are referred to in table 3. However, gaps are observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular, information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter. Delays in communication of the logbook are recorded due to communication restrictions between the vessels. Drastic measures will be taken under the new framework to improve	No/Yes	

				fishing operations but the 4 transfer operations which are performed within the context of the group and recorded in the logbook.	the means of communication onboard tuna vessels.		
92	1/7/17	Algeria	000DZ026	In the vessel logbook, the master completed for every day of the campaign table 2 "Information on vessels participating in the joint fishing operation" by adding over the course of these days, the catch volume deducted from the individual quota following the 4 operations carried out within the group. The catch volume deducted from the individual quota, apart from for the first operation, does not correspond to the volume actually allocated but to the level of overall consumption of the vessel's quota which is updated after each operation. In addition, the completion of the table every day great complicates reading of the logbook. For information,	Errors have been observed in completion of the information in the logbook by the vessel master. Action will be taken next year to improve the content of this logbook in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions and facilitate its completion by the vessel master.	Yes	

				the vessel has not carried out any fishing operations but the 4 transfer operations performed within the framework of the group have been recorded in the logbook. All information linked to these operations has been recorded in the logbook.			
93	21/06/2007	EU-Croatia	000EU068	For a transfer that was conducted 21/06/2017, it was not possible to estimate the quantity of fish transferred due to poor water visibility and video quality. The ITD for this operation was not signed.	Control transfer was done afterwards (24.6.2017) and the correct amount of fish was determined and verified by national inspector.	No	
94	27/06/2007	EU-Croatia	000EU068	At the time of disembarkation of the observer, no amount of tuna by weight or number had been recorded in either the eBCD or the logbook for the operation observed.	The operation was recorded in the logbook and all the data available were submitted containing estimated quantity of fish. Based on this, the corresponding eBDC was created. Moreover, based on this information the 2017 BFT PS campaign was closed. After the control transfer (24.6.2017), all the data were corrected and the information recorded in the eBCD.	No	

95	28/06/2017	EU-Croatia	000EU068; 000EU069, 000EU071, 000EU073, 000EU074, 000EU075, 000EU078	The number and weight of tuna recorded in section 3 of the eBCD (Trade information) produced for the first fishing operation included dead fish	The concerned eBCDs were checked and the quantities in all sections corrected. Live trade section excludes the dead fish which is recorded as the mortality in the transfer section.	No	
96	29/6/17	EU-Croatia	000EU070; 000EU072	The number and weight of tuna recorded in section 3 of the eBCD (Trade information) produced for the first fishing operation included dead fish. The information in section 3 of the eBCD is inconsistent with information recorded in the ITD for the number of fish transferred	The eBCDs concerned were checked and the quantities in all sections corrected. Live trade section excludes the dead fish which is recorded as the mortality in the transfer section.	No	
97	26/6/17	EU-Italy	000EU042	On the logbook, for the 6 allocated catches declared, no mention relative to the catching vessel names can be found.	With regard to the vessels names and the allocated catches, the electronic logbooks provided to the authority shows conformity with current rules. Paper logbook is a complementary requirement, foreseen in the Italian legislation, in cases where the electronic logbook fails.	No	

98	23/6/17	Morocco	000MA110 [MAR 002]	<p>A fishing operation without catch was carried out by the vessel on 12 June and was not recorded in the logbook. In addition, the observer has indicated today that for the fishing operation of 10 June, the name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination were not recorded in the logbook as indicated in Recommendation 14-04, Annex 2.</p>	<p>Bluefin tuna purse seine fishing operations in the Mediterranean are authorised from 26 May to 24 June each year. For the fishing operation without catch carried out on 20 June 2017 and was not recorded in the logbook, knowing that the operation had been negative, the fishing master forgot to record this information, which has been described as an innocent oversight. For the operation of 10 June 2017, the name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination of the catch taken were included in the corresponding transfer authorisation application and authorisation issued by the Department of Maritime Fishing in real time, which is held by the regional ICCAT observer deployed onboard the vessel, and following which the ICCAT observer authorised this transfer. Likewise all the data relating to this transfer are recorded in the Transfer declaration approved and duly signed by: the master of the towing vessel, the master of the Moroccan tuna purse seine vessel and the ICCAT regional observer. The operator has undertaken to point out to the vessel master that in the future he must strictly ensure that all fishing operations conducted are recorded in the logbook, with or without catch, and that all documents are transmitted, including all the data required, in accordance with the ICCAT provisions, to which Morocco fully subscribes.</p>		
----	---------	---------	-----------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

99	1/7/17	Syria	000SY134	<p>For a transfer operation which occurred on 09/06/2017 that the camera did not cover the door for approximately 20 seconds. This occurred whilst the cameraman was moving forward to show the net was empty prior to closing the door. The ITD was signed, but it is unlikely that any fish were not shown in the video.</p>	<p>Regarding the video recording, it was noted that the sea conditions could affect the operation and quality of the video record. But transfer of all the catch with declared details was reconfirmed by the operator. As our authority, we have drawn their attention with an official warning about providing better quality of video records in next operation for transparency with all parties. Considering that the subject operation was made by fulfilling required documentation without any objection, and also the catch of Syrian vessel's will be seen and re-confirmed during the transfer operation in farming country by video records and observer reports; we trust the accuracy of the documentation and process.</p>		
100	19/6/17	Tunisia	000TN083	<p>It is the third PNC report transmitted for this vessel. It follows the debriefing of the observer on that day. After viewing the film of the control transfer carried out on 28/05/2017 with the ITD number, it clearly appears at the end of the film that fish are still present in the donor cage after the door is closed. Therefore all the catch was not transferred into the receiver cage as foreseen in the control transfer procedure. In addition following this control</p>	<p>The filmed fish are not part of the batch caught but belonged to a group of individuals that were around the cage. The observer finally validated the IRD.</p>	No	

				transfer operation, on 28/05/2017, it is mentioned in the ITD that all the fish were transferred again into the initial cage. The quantity recorded in the ITD and validated by the observer does not take into account these fish that were not transferred. The copy of the film will be transmitted to the ICCAT Secretariat at the end of the debriefing session.			
101	19/6/17	Tunisia	000TN090	After verification of the ITD TUN-2017/002/ITD linked with transfer No. 1 carried out on 27/05/2017, it appears that the cage number is not accurately recorded. The observer has not noted this error and has signed the ITD. Following verification of the electronic BCD corresponding to this same transfer operation, it appears that the catch date is not correct. The fishing operation was carried out on 26/05/2017 and not on 27/05/2017 as indicated in the BCD. In addition, the weight of total catch (section 2) contained in the eBCD as well as the transferred weight (section	In relation to transfer operation 1 carried out on 27/05/2017, the ITD completed by the master and signed by the observer, clearly shows the cage number that was correctly indicated. The master only recorded part of the cage number in the appropriate box but this does not change the conformity of the base data. In relation to BCD No. TN17900001, the vessel carried out the set correctly at the end of the day on 26/05/2017 however, due to the absence of teams of divers and towing vessels and given the uncertainty over whether the net contained fish or not, the fish were examined the next day, when the divers vessel arrived, who confirmed that the fishing had been successful. In addition, it was not possible for the master on the evening of 26/05/2017 to produce the prior transfer notice since he was unable to provide an the estimated quantity. The difference in	No	

				<p>3) are very slightly different from the quantities recorded in the logbook. The logbook refers to 121500 kg and the eBCD to 121 487.85. In relation to transfer operation 2 carried out on 29/05/2017, following verification of the electronic BCD the weight of total catch (section 2) referred to in the eBCD as well as the transferred weight (section 3) differ slightly from the amounts recorded in the logbook. The logbook mentions 117 000 kg and the eBCD refers to 116 988.30.</p>	<p>total weight of the catch indicated in the eBCD is 12.15 kg; this difference was produced automatically by the electronic system of the eBCD which takes into consideration decimal figures and as a result the division of the parts will inevitably give an algorithmic difference of several kilos when calculating the distribution. In addition, the base data recorded on the electronic system of the eBCD tally with the quantity appearing in the logbook. With regard to transfer operation 2 carried out on 29/05/2017, the difference in total weight of the catch indicated in eBCD No. TN17900003 is 11.7 kg. This difference was produced automatically by the electronic system of the eBCD which takes into consideration decimal figures, and as a result, the division of the parts will inevitably give an algorithmic difference of several kilos when calculating the distribution. In addition, the base data recorded on the electronic system of the eBCD tallies with the amount appearing in the logbook.</p>		
102	3/7/17	Tunisia	3 unknown vessels	<p>These vessels were present in the fishing area on 14/06/2017 (34°09.372 Latitude, 12°44.294 Longitude) and according to the comments made onboard, they were probably illegal catching vessels.</p>	<p>In relation to the photographs attached to the PNC, the 3 vessels reported do not engage in fishing but transit vessels, as they are attached to fishing vessels. It should be noted that the purse seine in the photograph belongs to the Libyan catching vessel where the observers were embarked.</p>	No	

103	1/7/17	Algeria	000DZ017	<p>In the vessel logbook, the name and number of the vessel that carried out the fishing operation in mentioned by an "*" next to the vessel name in table 2 with a footnote which explains that it is the catching vessel and that there no dead tuna were hauled onboard. In addition, sections 3 "Name and ICCAT number of catching vessel" and 5 "Transfer information" are systematically empty for the 4 transfer operations carried out by the group. In addition, transfer No. 1 was performed by another vessel on 02/06/2017 and was recorded in the observer vessel logbook on 03/06/2017. For information, the vessel has not carried out any fishing operations but 4 transfer operations performed within the context of the group were recorded in the logbook.</p>	<p>Errors were observed in the completion of the logbook by the vessel master. Action will be taken next year to improve the content of this logbook in accordance with the provisions of the relevant ICCAT recommendation and facilitate its completion by the vessel master.</p>	Yes	
-----	--------	---------	----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

104	22/6/17	Turkey	000TR120	For operations occurring on 5th and 8th July the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04. This information was included on subsequent dates. In addition, for an operation occurring on 5th July, the ICCAT number of the fishing vessel was not recorded. Upon reviewing the logbook, the names and ICCAT numbers of the other vessels in the JFO were not recorded in the logbook.	The operator has confirmed that the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook and other logbook failures was caused due to unintentional omission. The operator has received an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
105	27/6/17	Turkey	000TR111	For operations throughout the deployment the name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04. This information was included on subsequent dates	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
106	22/6/17	Turkey	000TR122	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		

107	22/6/17	Turkey	000TR126	The name and ICCAT number of the destination farm was not displayed in the logbook as per the requirements of Annex 2 of Rec. 14-04.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
108	27/6/17	Turkey	000TR116	The observer has reported the following PNCs: On 28th May, whilst the vessel was on standby in Mersin port, no logbook entry was completed that day. On 3rd June, bycatch from a fishing operation conducted on that day was not entered into the logbook. On 12th June, bycatch from a fishing operation conducted on that day was not entered into the logbook. On 20th June, no log book entry was completed that day	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season. Development of a new logbook template to fulfill the requirements set out by the relevant recommendation is scheduled by MoFAL.		
109	2/7/17	Turkey	000TR131	For an operation occurring on 07/06/2017, the number and weight of BFT did not correspond with that recorded on the BCD.	Turkish Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock (MoFAL) initiated an investigation in respect to the PNC reported with an official notification to the concerned operators. The justifications are given as follows; (1) The operator completed ITD and the logbook in relation to BFT caught by the vessel on 07.06.2017 after completion of the transfer operation. Based on skipper's estimation, the catch was recorded as 658 pieces of BFT corresponding to a total quantity of		

					<p>30.106 kg. (2) Subsequently, it was recognized that a nominal calculation error had been made by the operator during estimation and recording of average quantity, making the accurate total quantity 29.980 kgs instead of 30.106 kgs.</p> <p>(3) Since no miscalculation did occur and no changes were made in terms of number of fish, no revision has been made on the ITD form, as co-signed by the observer.</p> <p>(4) The operator has reflected the changes made onto the logbook only by scratching out the incorrect figures and handwriting the corrected ones. E-BCD has been revised accordingly in line with the corrected figures and submitted to the approval of the Ministry for validation.</p> <p>(5) With the changes made, the operator has stood for a fewer BFT than those set by its individual quota. MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, irregularities or illegal activities undertaken by the operators, as a result of examination of the related documents and eBCD.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

110	1/7/17	Turkey	000TR116	Unsuccessful fishing operations occurring on 27 th May, 5 th June, 6 th June, 8 th June, 11 th June, and two on 12 th June, were not recorded in the logbook	<p>The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure.</p> <p>MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.</p> <p>Development of a new logbook template to fulfill the requirements set out by the relevant recommendation is scheduled by MoFAL .</p>		
111	20/6/17	Libya	000LY038	In the eBCD the observer noted that in Section 4 "Transfer information" the ITD number is incorrect. The ITD number signed by the observer [had a different prefix] Furthermore in the signed ITD, the eBCD number stated is different.	<p>Accordingly, transfer authorization numbers of "LBY-2017/AUT/480 mistyping which is made earlier on the transfer section of e-BCD LY17900022 , has been corrected in the system at present .The mistake was caused by a mistyping while editing related information in the system .Necessary follow up has been done internally by us. The change on e-BCD number was made and followed within information of the authorities. While editing information of the catch in the E-bcd system ;date of the catch was seen as 15.06.2017 in the system due to a technical problem although the actual entry was 16.06.2017 which is correct. Therefore assistance and opinion of TRANGSA was requested on 17.06.2017.Due to the weekend, reply to the required assistance was received on 19.06.2017.And As per the information received as there was no possibility to change the date of catch in the system ;</p>	Yes	

					the ebcd entry was cancelled by our authority and a new e- BCD entry was made and validated .Due to the problem which raised ,e-bcd process could have been completed on 19.06.2017.		
112	2/7/17	Libya	000LY012	The Fishing logbook was not fulfilled for the 24/06/2017 (no more pages available). The 2 allocated catches relative to the 2 transfer operations carried out by a JFO vessel are recorded the day of the catching operations (11/06 and 14/06) instead of the day of the transfer operations (12/06 and 16/06).	The log book of vessel xx was duly filed by the Master of the vessel. on 24/06/2017. The data for the vessel yy was filled as provided by the captain of the vessel yy over satellite phone communication and it could be that there was a misunderstanding. Correction has been done in witness of observer then the ITD was signed.	No	

113	26/6/17	Libya	000LY035	<p>On the 21/06/2017, a fire started in the machine room. At this moment, the observer was on board the vessel with only two crewmates. The vessel master and all other crew members were at sea, helping another vessel during its fishing operation. One of the remaining crew member on board ordered to the observer to move to the other vessel nearby with a Zodiac. The observer did it. After this, the master of the vessel came on board the vessel to which the observer went and asked to the observer to remain on board until the end of the incident. Few hours later the observer has travelled back to his allocated vessel with a Zodiac. The observer and the vessel are arrived during the night to the port of La Valetta and are waiting for the operator instructions for the travel back to Tunisia. We deeply regret that the operator of the group did not contact us in order to inform us about this critical incident.</p>	<p>The vessel was participating in a catch effected by a partner JFO vessel. Most of the crew were at sea on the dinghies. The turbo charger of the main engine developed a slight leak and oil moisture sprayed onto the main engine and started smoking but not burning. While the two crew on board were attending to the problem the ROP was observed screaming and crying that he could not swim and did not want to die. A crew member from the vessel approached in a dinghy and being that he was not able to calm him down offered to take him to the vessel where he could stay until the situation was fully resolved. Problems with fuel and oil systems are not unusual happenings on vessels and the crew on board had it all under control so this incident was considered one of normal administration on a fishing vessel and being that the incident was resolved in a short time they did not see the need to even report it because turbo charger leak was fixed. In this case it is clear that due to his panic stricken situation the ROP was not in a position to give a fair representation of the facts. training program of ROP must be included this kind of foreseen accidents .</p>	Yes	
-----	---------	-------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

114	30/6/17	Libya	000LY007	In the ITD signed by the observer, the eBCD number stated was LY17900007. However the eBCD provided to the observer the day after the operation (04/06/2017) had the number. Furthermore, in the ITD issued after the transfer n°1, the regional observer did not add his ICCAT number.	In fact by mistake of giving it the number LY17900008 in place of the same number LY17900007. Correction has been done and all was resolved.	Yes	
115	2/7/17	Libya	000LY037	In the eBCD ssued after the transfer operation n°2 carried out the 16-06-2017, the quantity declared in Section 1 is equal to the section 2 (946 pieces and 64 000 kg). This numbers are not conformed with the quantity declared in the ITD (940 pieces) nor with the quantity declared in the fishing logbook page 001362 (940 pieces, 63700 kg). The difference comes from the dead fish declared for the operation and reported in Section 3 of the eBCD (6 dead fish – 300 kg) and in the fishing logbook (300 kg).	The presumed PNC is established basing on the information of the number of fish recorded on the ITD (940 pces) do not fit with the number mentioned in the section nb. 2 of the live trade section of the e-bcd (946 pces), which mean that the observer interpretation of the live trade information concern only the number of the live fish transferred to the cage. Such interpretation is not appropriate with the concept of the e-bcd for the following reasons: The number of live fish recorded in the ITD must be compared with the transfer section (nb. 4 of the e-bcd) and not to the live trade section nb.2. in this case both information of the transfer section of e-bcd and the ITD are 100% conform and no discrepancy. Indeed, it is totally explained by the e-bcd working group and e-bcd team that both way are allowed to be accepted (whether the LT quantity and number are the same that the total catch quantity and number (section nb.2) or in another case buyer	No	

					only purchase the quantity and number that has been transferred. (check Attached file). Obviously the ICCAT recommendations 14-04 in it's annexe nb.4, only precise the number of the live fish that has been transferred to the towing cage and does not refer to the total catch quantity and/or number, consequently the conformity with the e-bcd must be done on the appropriate section number 4, in order to declare if there is discrepancy or not.		
116	3/7/17	Libya	000LY011	The Fishing logbook was not fulfilled for the 24/06/2017 (no remaining page available); The 2 allocated catches relative to the 2 transfer operations carried out by JFO vessel are recorded the day of the catching operations (11/06 and 14/06) instead of the day of the transfer operations (12/06 and 16/06).	The log book of vessel xx was duly filed by the Master of the vessel. on 24/06/2017. The data for vessel yy was filled as provided by the captain of vessel yy over satellite phone communication and it could be that there was a misunderstanding. Correction has been done in witness of observer then the ITD was signed.	No	

117	3/7/17	Libya	000LY036	<p>For the allocated catch recorded on the 14/06/2017, the name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook. Transfer operation 2 carried out the 09/06/2017: The weight of the fish transferred is not registered in the fishing logbook nor the weight of the dead fish. The captain recorded only the total quantity caught in kg. Furthermore in the eBCD issued, the total quantity caught stated in Section 2 (1005 pieces and 90450 kg) is not equal to the quantity transferred stated in Section 3 (1005 pieces and 90450 kg) + the quantity of fish dead during the transfer stated in Section 4 (5 pieces and 405 kg). Transfer operation 3 carried out the 17/06/2017: The eBCD has been generated. In this eBCD the date of the catch registered (Section 1) is 17/06/2017 instead of 16/06/2017. Transfer operation 4 carried out the 21/06/2017: The weight of the fish transferred is not</p>	<p>The weight of fish transferred was registered correctly in logbook.</p> <p>The final eBCD (including the correction of some points mentioed here) will be issued upon SC analysis at caging.</p> <p>The ITD only included eBCD number for live fish.</p>	<p>Yes: <i>There should be a YES for omissions in reporting . There should be a NO where the non recording of the dead fish during the transfer - in all cases the dead fish was reported in separate eBCDs s they were kept on board for consumption by crew.</i></p>	
-----	--------	-------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

				registered in the fishing logbook nor the weight of the dead fish. The captain recorded only the total quantity caught in kg. Furthermore two eBCD where issued : one with the fish transferred alive only and one with the fish dead during the transfer only. However in the ITD signed by the observer one BCD is stated.			
117	03/07/2017 (cont)	Libya	000LY036	<p>Transfer operation 5 carried out the 22/06/2017: The weight of the fish transferred is not registered in the fishing logbook nor the weight of the dead fish. The captain recorded only the total quantity caught in kg. Furthermore two eBCD where issued : one with the fish transferred alive only and one with the fish dead during the transfer only. However in the ITD signed by the observer only one eBCD stated. Transfer operation 6 carried out the 24/06/2017: The weight of the fish transferred is not registered in the fishing logbook nor the weight of the dead fish. The captain recorded only the total</p>	<p>The weight of fish transferred was registered correctly in logbook.</p> <p>The final eBCD (including the correction of some points mentioned here) will be issued upon SC analysis at caging.</p> <p>The ITD only included eBCD number for live fish.</p>	<p>Yes: <i>There should be a YES for omissions in reporting . There should be a NO where the non recording of the dead fish during the transfer - in all cases the dead fish was reported in separate eBCDs s they were kept on board for consumption by crew.</i></p>	

				quantity caught in kg. Furthermore two eBCD where issued : one with the fish transferred alive only and one with the fish dead during the transfer only. However in the ITD signed by the observer only one the eBCD is stated. Furthermore, in the eBCDs, the date of the catch registered (Section 1) is 24/06/2017 instead of 23/06/2017.			
118	3/7/17	Libya	000LY035	For the allocated catch recorded on the 09/06/2017, 22/06/2017 and 24/06/2017: The name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook. Transfer operation carried out the 14/06/2017: The weight of the fish transferred is not registered in the fishing logbook nor the weight of the dead fish. The captain recorded only the total quantity caught in kg. Furthermore two eBCD where issued : one with the fish transferred alive only and one with the fish dead during the transfer only. However in the ITD signed	The fact that the name of the farm of destination is not recorded in the log book is an oversight and Master's attention has been drawn to it. The dead fish are not reported in the eBCD of live fish transferred but in a separate eBCD so there was not need to register them in the ITD. The dead fish were kept on board for consumption by the crew.	No	

				by the observer, only one eBCD is stated.			
119	3/7/17	Turkey	000TR122	During a transfer occurring on 14/06/2017, the camera was not pointed at the door for a number of seconds. The observer still considered that no fish were missed on the video, partially due to the availability of a second video recording, and the ITD was signed.	As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		
120	5/7/17	Turkey	000TR115	During a transfer on 12/06/2017, the camera is not pointed at the door for several seconds whilst the door is being opened. During a transfer on 19/06/2017, the camera is not pointed at the door for several seconds. During a transfer operation on 21/06/2017, a fish was seen still inside the net as the door was being closed. The diver subsequently moved inside the net and the fish was not seen again, and was not seen to be transferred into the cage. However, the observer did not observe any mortalities.	As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		

121	5/7/17	Turkey	000TR123	A fishing operation on 18/06/2017 which resulted in zero catch was not recorded in the logbook.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
122	5/7/17	Turkey	000TR123	The camera was not pointed at the door at all times for transfers which occurred on 28/05/2017, 08/06/2017, 13/06/2017 and 18/06/2017.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
123	5/7/17	Turkey	000TR114	The vessel did not complete the fishing logbook for two days, specifically 22/06/2017 and 23/06/2017.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season.		
124	5/7/17	Turkey	000TR114	During two transfer operations, which occurred on 11/06/2017 and on 12/06/2017, the camera was not pointed at the door for the entire time it was being opened.	As a result of detailed examination carried-out by the inspectors on the related documents and video footages of the relevant operation, MoFAL did not conclude any serious infringements, suspicious or illegal fishing/farming activities undertaken by the operators. During the subsequent cagings, no fish exceeding the declared quota / amount of fish transferred was determined by MoFAL.		

125	6/7/17	Turkey	000TR118	On the logbook page referring to a transfer which occurred on 08/06/2017, the allocated catch details (number and weight of fish) and the destination farm name and ICCAT number were not recorded.	The operator has confirmed the logbook failure owing to his own unintentional omission. He was given an official warning to avoid repetition of his failure. MoFAL has scheduled a focused training activity towards the skippers for the next fishing season. Development of a new logbook template to fulfill the requirements set out by the relevant recommendation is scheduled by MoFAL.		
126	3/7/17	Libya	000LY011	The name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook for all the allocated catches. 7 allocated catches from a JFO vessel are recorded in the logbook instead of 6 in reality. On the 31/05/2017 (page 001167) and on the 01/06/2017 two entries with different figures are made for the same transfer (control transfer carried out by the vessel on the 01/06/2017). The 2 allocated catches from a JFO vessel are recorded on the day of the catching operations instead of the day of the transfer operations: the 11/06/2017 and the 14/06/2017 instead of the 12/06/2017 and the 16/06/2017.	The name and ICCAT number of the farm have been omitted by the Master by accident. The double recording was also an mistake from the master and this was clearly explained so that it will be avoided in the future. The data for the vessel was filled as provided by the captain of the vessel over satellite phone communication and it could be that there was a misunderstanding.	Yes	

127	4/7/17	Libya	000LY009	The name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook for all the 8 allocated catches. The 2 allocated catches from a JFO vessel are recorded on the day of the catching operations instead of the day of the transfer operations: the 11/06/2017 and the 14/06/2017 instead of the 12/06/2017 and the 16/06/2017.	The name and ICCAT number of the farm have been omitted by the Master by accident. The data for the vessel 1 was filled as provided by the captain of the vessel over satellite phone communication and it could be that there was a misunderstanding.	Yes	
128	6/7/17	Libya	000LY033	On the fishing logbook on the day of the transfer operation carried out the 24/06/2017, the name and the ICCAT number of the towing vessel (Flag and call sign) are missing. Furthermore, the name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook for all the 7 allocated catches.	This vessel although in JFO was fishing some 500 miles away from the others and communication with the other vessels was at times difficult.	Yes	
129	6/7/17	Libya	000LY034	On the fishing logbook pages, at the day of the transfer operations carried out by JFO vessels, the captain mentioned dead fish taken on board. The vessel did not make a catch during the fishing season and the dead fish taken on board is in fact the dead fish	The dead fish reported in the log book refer to the dead fish caught by the other vessels not this vessel itself.	No	

				taken on board the catching vessels and not on board this vessel. Furthermore, the name and ICCAT number of the farm of destination is missing in the fishing logbook for all the 8 allocated catches.			
130	6/7/17	Libya	000LY034	For the first allocated catch, the name of the catching vessel involved is not recorded in the fishing logbook; the second allocated catch following the transfer carried out by the vessel xxx is recorded in the 10/06/2017 instead of the 09/06/2017. The third allocated catch following the transfer carried out by the vessel yyy is recorded in the 15/06/2017 instead of the 14/06/2017.	The Master received information late and had already closed his log book for the day - he could not re open the log book and preferred to report on the day after. This is a problem being faced by vessels in JFO fishing far away from each other and needs to be addressed.	Yes	

131	13/7/17	Algeria	000DZ015	<p>In the vessel logbook, the name and ICCAT number of the vessels that have carried out the first 3 transfers are missing. For the following 4 operations, only the vessel name that has carried out the fishing operation is mentioned in section3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number". Section 5 "Transfer information" is systematically empty for the 8 transfer operations recorded in the logbook. The transfer operation carried out on 25/06/2017 was recorded in the vessel logbook on 26/05/2017 (page DZA/2017/31/35). In addition, the observer reports on the transshipment of pieces of bluefin tuna. On 13/06/2017, pieces of bluefin tuna in black plastic bags were transhipped from [another] vessel. One of the bags was then transferred aboard a third vessel. The observers did not know where these pieces had come from. The regional observers of other vessels did not observe these exchanges.</p>	<p>In accordance with point 5 of annex 2 of Recommendation 14-04, annotated information on the other vessels participating in the joint fishing and a catching vessel that did not participate in the transfer of fish is included in table 2 of the logbook. However, action will be taken next year to improve the content of this logbook, in accordance with the provisions of the relevant ICCAT recommendation so as to facilitate its completion by the vessel master. With regard to transshipment: Small quantities of dead bluefin tuna (pieces of dead fish) were exchanged between the catching vessel and the other vessels for consumption by sea fishermen. Action will be taken within the new regulatory framework on fishing for large highly migratory species to strengthen sanctions for this type of practice.</p>	No/Yes	
-----	---------	---------	----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	--

132	12/7/17	Algeria	000DZ018	<p>For all transfers, the master has indicated in table 3 the volume of total catch in the box "Volume of catch deducted from quota (kg)". Transfer No. 1 carried out on 03/06/2017: section 2 of the eBCD indicates 213 specimens and 14909,971 kg which corresponds to the quantity recorded in section 1 (total catch) instead of the quantity transferred i.e. 200 individuals and 13999,971 kg. The difference is explained by the specimen that died during the operation which was duly recorded in section 4 (13 specimens with a weight of 910 kg). Transfer No. 2 carried out on 04/06/2017: section 2 of the eBCD indicates 1142 individuals and 125619.749 kg which corresponds to the quantity recorded in section 1 (total catch) instead of the quantity transferred i.e. 1136 specimens and 124959.749 kg. The difference is explained by the specimens that died during the operation that was duly</p>	<p>As to the logbook information: Errors were observed in the completion of the logbook by the vessel master. Action will be taken next year to improve the content of this logbook in accordance with the provisions of the relevant ICCAT recommendation and facilitate its completion by the vessel master. Regarding the information included in transfers Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4: Information on weight indicated in the different sections of the eBCDs meet the requirements of Annex 11, point a, paragraph 1 of Recommendation 14-04.</p>	Yes/No	
-----	---------	---------	----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	--

				<p>recorded in section 4 (6 specimens with a weight of 660 kg). Transfer No. 3 carried out on 10/06/2017: section 2 of the eBCD indicate 510 specimens and 30500 kg and section 1 (total catch) indicates (510 specimens and 30600 kg). The quantity indicated in section 2 should have been the quantity transferred i.e. 500 specimens and 30000 kg. The difference is explained by an data entry error (regarding the difference of 100 kg) as well as by the specimens that died during the operation which was duly recorded in section 4 (10 specimens with a weight of 600 kg). In addition, the eBCD number recorded in the ITD signed by the observer was different from the number of the eBCD received. Transfer No. 4 carried out on 17/06/2017: section 2 of the eBCD indicates 535 specimens and 64200 kg which corresponds to the quantity recorded in section 1 (total catch) instead of the quantity</p>			
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

				transferred i.e. 530 specimens and 63600 kg. The difference is explained by the specimens that died during the transfer operation which was duly recorded in section 4 (5 specimens with a weight of 600 kg).			
--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

132-cont	12/7/17	Algeria	000DZ018	<p>Transfer No. 5 carried out on 20/06/2017: (not signed by the observer) section 2 of the eBCD indicates 242 specimens and 30250 kg which corresponds to the quantity recorded in section 1 (total catch) instead of the quantity transferred i.e. 240 specimens and 30000 kg. The different is explained by the specimens that died during the transfer operation that was duly recorded in section 4 (2 specimens with a weight of 250 kg). The date of the fishing operation recorded in the eBCD is 20/06/2017 whereas the fishing operation was carried out on 19/06/2017. In addition, in the unsigned copy of the ITD transmitted to the observer, the eBCD number is not recorded. Transfer No. 6 carried out on 22/06/2017: section 2 of the eBCD indicates 355 specimens and 46150 kg which corresponds to the quantity recorded in section 1 (total catch) instead of the quantity transferred i.e. 352</p>	<p>In relation to transfer No. 5: According to our interpretation of Recommendation 14-04, in particular Annex 11, point a second paragraph, the quantities under headings 3 and 4 should be the same as those declared under heading 2. Within the framework of this fishing, trade in fish is equal to the quantity transferred (30000 kg) plus the 2 dead specimens (250 kg), i.e. a total of 30250 kg, which corresponds to the quantity declared in section 2. However, the eBCD system has not indicated any problem in terms of traceability. Regarding the fishing date: the fishing actually occurred on 19 June 2017 but when this data was entered in the eBCD system, i.e. 20 June 2017, the system did not accept the date of 19 June 2017. Regarding transfer No. 6: According to our interpretation of Recommendation 14-04, in particular Annex 11, point a second paragraph, the quantities under headings 3 and 4 should be the same quantities as those declared under heading 2. In the framework of this fishing, trade in fish is equal to the quantity transferred (45760 kg) plus the 3 dead specimens (390 kg), i.e. a total of 46150 kg, which corresponds to the weight indicated in section 2 (46150 kg). In relation to No. 7: The information on weight indicated in the different sections of the BCD comply with the requirements contained in Annex 11, point a paragraph 1 of Recommendation 14-04. In relation to the cage number: Indeed, there is a data entry error in the transfer</p>	No/Yes	
----------	---------	---------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------	--

				<p>specimens and 45760 kg. The difference is explained by the specimens that died during the operation that were duly recorded in section 4 (3 specimens with a weight of 390 kg). Transfer No. 7 carried out on 25/06/2017: the section has the same quantity as that declared in section 2. Within the framework of this fishing, trade in fish is equal to the quantity transferred (45760 kg) plus the three dead specimens (390 kg), i.e. a total of 46150 kg, which corresponds to the weight indicated in section 2 (46150 kg). In relation to transfer No. 7: Information on weights indicated in the different sections of the eBCD met the requirements in Annex 11, point a, paragraph 1 of Recommendation 14-04. Regarding the cage number: there is a data entry error in the transfer section of the eBCD. The towing vessel number has been entered instead of the cage number. Corrections will be made to the eBCD. In relation to information in</p>	<p>section of the eBCD. The towing vessel number has been entered instead of the cage number. Corrections will be made to the eBCD. Regarding logbook information: Errors have been observed in the completion of the logbook by the vessel master. Action will be taken next year to improve the content of this logbook in accordance with the provisions of the relevant ICCAT recommendation to facilitate its completion by the fishing master.</p>		
--	--	--	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

				the logbook, there are some errors.			
--	--	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	--	--

133	13/7/17	Algeria	000DZ028	<p>In the vessel logbook, section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number" is systematically empty for the transfer operations carried out by the vessels of the group. Section 5 "Transfer information" is partially completed. Therefore for the 7 transfer operations carried out by the fishing group, the following errors have been noted: The transfer of 02/06/2017 is recorded on 03/06/2017; the transfer of 04/06/2017 is recorded on 05/06/2017; the name and ICCAT number of the vessel that has carried out the transfer recorded on 10/06/2017 is not mentioned in the logbook. The transfer of 17/06/2017 is recorded on 18/06/2017 and the name and ICCAT number of the vessel that has carried out the transferred are not mentioned. The name and ICCAT number of the vessel that has carried out the transfer recorded on 20/06/2017 is not mentioned in the logbook. The transfer carried out on 22/06/2017 is not</p>	<p>Gaps have been observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of the information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter. Indeed, some delays occurred in terms of completion of logbook due to communication constraints between the vessels. Drastic action will be taken in the new framework to improve the means of communication onboard tuna vessels.</p>	Yes	
-----	---------	---------	----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	--

				recorded in the logbook. The transfers carried out on 24/06/2017 by the vessel Younes II and on 25/06/2017 are recorded together on the page of 26/06/2017. The master has added the two allocated catches to table 2.			
134	13/7/17	Algeria	000DZ029	In the vessel's logbook, section 3 "Name of catching vessel and ICCAT number" is systematically empty for the 7 transfer operations carried out by the vessels of the group. Section 5 "Transfer information" has been partially completed. The name of the catching vessel is sometimes included at the top of the page or not at all. In addition, the registration dates of the transfers do not correspond to the transfer dates.	Gaps have been observed in the completion of the logbook, in particular, the information on section 5 "Transfer information". Action will be taken to improve the quality of the information provided in accordance with the relevant ICCAT provisions on this matter.	Yes	

135	in final report	Albania	000AL108	<p>Tuna transferred to a vessel(s) and or to a cage without an ICCAT number/identification. The tuna for both transfer operations were transferred into a cage with an MB at the end and not in compliance with Rec. 14-04, para 71.</p>	<p>Authorisation issued by Albania but no details on missing cage number available at the time of writing.</p>		
136	4/9/17	Norway	000NO133	<p>Regarding the recommendation 14-04 annex 2, the following information are missing in the e-log book; 1. departure port; 2. vessel name, register nam, ICCAT Number and international radio call sign; 3. fishing gear info; 4. Exact position at noon when there was no fishing operation. Only one eBCD issued with 49 BFT relative to 3 different fishing operations: 1. 30/08/2017, fishing operation number 12 : 2 BFT were retained; 2. 01/09/2017, fishing operation number 22 : 27 BFT were retained; 3. 02/09/2017, fishing operation number 26 : 20 BFT were retained.</p>	<p>With regard to the logbook, the message we received in the e-logbook when the vessel left port (DEP includes information regarding : 1. Departure port: the port is marked as NOFRO, which is Florø; 2. Vessel name, register name, ICCAT Number and international radio call sign Both vessel name (Bluefin), register name (SF-12-F) and international radio call sign (LLAV) is provided in the message. With regard to ICCAT Number, this is not included in the message, but there is only one Norwegian vessel permitted to fish for bluefin tuna and we monitor this vessel closely. 3.Fishing gear info With regard to fishing gear information, the vessel is only permitted to fish for BFT with purse seine, and information regarding gear is provided in the vessel catch and activity report, which is sent every 24 hours. 4. Exact position at noon when there was no fishing operation . This is not included in the electronic logbook because Norwegian vessels, including MS Bluefin, are required to send VMS position reports to our FMC (which is open 24/7)</p>		

					<p>continuously every hour. In addition to the above, it is required that the vessel contact our FMC if they have any catch of BFT, and they are required to have an inspector from the Directorate of Fisheries present when the vessel is landing. With regard to the one eBCD issued for 3 different catches, we agree this is an error. This was due to a misunderstanding when the eBCD was issued. We will correct this (issue 3 eBCDs) this afternoon. We have contacted the buyer, and informed them that this fish cannot be sold further (not domestically nor for export) before new eBCDs are issued. [note: new eBCDs were subsequently provided].</p>		
--	--	--	--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--