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## FOREWORD

The Chairman of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas presents his compliments to the Contracting Parties of the International Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (signed in Rio de Janeiro, May 14, 1966), as well as to the Delegates and Advisers that represent said Contracting Parties, and has the honor to transmit to them the "Report for the Biennial Period, 2004-2005, Part I (2004)", which describes the activities of the Commission during the first half of said biennial period.

This issue of the Biennial Report contains the Report of the $14^{\text {th }}$ Special Meeting of the Commission (New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 15-21 November 2004) and the reports of all the meetings of the Panels, Standing Committees and Sub-Committees, as well as some of the Working Groups. It also includes a summary of the activities of the Secretariat and a series of National Reports of the Contracting Parties of the Commission, relative to their activities in tuna and tuna-like fisheries in the Convention Area.

The Report for 2004 has been published in three volumes. Volume $\mathbf{1}$ includes the Secretariat's Administrative and Financial Reports, the Proceedings of the Commission Meetings and the reports of all the associated meetings (with the exception of the Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics -SCRS). Volume 2 contains the Secretariat's Report on Statistics and Coordination of Research and the Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS) and its appendices. Volume 3 contains the National Reports of the Contracting Parties of the Commission and Reports of Observers.

This Report has been prepared, approved and distributed in accordance with Article III, paragraph 9, and Article IV, paragraph 2-d, of the Convention, and Rule 15 of the Rules of Procedure of the Commission. The Report is available in the three official languages of the Commission: English, French and Spanish.

MASANORI MIYAHARA
Commission Chairman
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## SECRETARIAT REPORT ON STATISTICS AND COORDINATION OF RESEARCH 2003-2004

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the activities of the Secretariat only in relation to statistical data collection and coordination of research activities. Other data required by the various recommendations of the Commission are presented separately in individual documents. This document refers only to information received at the time of writing this report (mid-Sept-2004). Some information may be submitted later, after this date.

## 2. Statistics

### 2.1 Submission of Task I and Task II data

The deadlines set by the SCRS should allow sufficient time for parties, entities or fishing entities to collect and process the information to be submitted to the Secretariat, which in turn should have a reasonable length of time to process and enter these data into the ICCAT data base with the required controls. A work plan for each species was drawn up, and showed the dates for submission of data. Despite some leeway being given with regard to these dates, the difficulties encountered in previous years have continued. Table $\mathbf{1}$ shows an overview of the situation and the details by fleet.

In 2004, 14 Parties reported their statistical information using the new electronic forms (Appendix 3 to SCRS/2003/012). This standard format is an important part of a data assimilation framework developed by the ICCAT Secretariat during last year. In conjunction with the protocols adopted last year, it allows the automatic integration of statistical data into the database. The efficiency and accuracy of this approach was very satisfactory, since the data manipulation is virtually none and the information is previously validated before being integrated into the database.

Given the advantages of this approach, the Secretariat requests a definitive adoption of the electronic formats. Moreover, it encourages all parties to adopt this approach for reporting statistical data to ICCAT. For those ICCAT Parties with large data sets, the Secretariat will develop specific routines based on previously defined formats.

### 2.2 Revisions to historical data

### 2.2.1 Algeria

Historical revisions to the bluefin tuna series 1991-1998 were again submitted by Algeria. Following a request by the Secretariat, a scientific document (SCRS/2004/169) was presented by the Algerian authorities to explain the changes. These data have not yet been included in the ICCAT database, pending the decision of the SCRS. Table 2 shows the differences between the current base and the proposed modifications.

### 2.2.2 South Africa

It was discovered that for some years the data for South African longline catches had been reported in dressed weight, but had not been converted. These data need to be re-estimated and the ICCAT Task I data revised.

### 2.2.3 Shark data

During the Inter-Sessional Meeting of the ICCAT Sub-Committee on By-Catches (Shark Stock Assessment), it was noted that catches of shortfin mako had previously been reported by both Côte d'Ivoire and the United States as mako unspecified. It was agreed that these catches be added to shortfin mako catches, but were retained separately in the ICCAT database.

### 2.2.4 EC-Spain

Following an enquiry by the Spanish authorities about the catch of south Atlantic albacore by Spanish purse seiners, the Secretariat requests that the SCRS undertake a review of the figures in the database.

The issue relates to the Secretariat's understanding that during the years 1999-2001, the figures for the Spanish south Atlantic albacore purse seine catch shown in the Executive Summary catch tables were duplicated. Table 3 shows the evolution of these data from 1998 to 2003, which is as follows:

A The Spanish purse seine albacore catch taken as a by-catch of the tropical species (bigeye, yellowfin, skipjack) was, up to 1998, included in the ICCAT database in the southeast (SE) area.

B In 1999, the Secretariat received a revised data series for this fishery for the period 1991-1998. The revised data were reported as taken in the east tropical area (ETRO) and they were entered in the database as ETRO. The previous series for the SE area was not eliminated at the time because no duplication was detected due to the differences in area classification (ETRO vs SE). In the process of producing the Executive Summary table for the 1999 SCRS, it was realized that ETRO is not a valid Task I area for albacore (ETRO is a valid area for the tropical species taken by the directed purse seine fisheries); the new series was assigned manually to the North Atlantic stock in the table.

C In 2000, the ETRO data were considered to be from the South Atlantic stock, and hence the revised data series that was reported in 1999 was assigned to the South Atlantic, and added to the previous data series in the preparation of the Executive Summary table.

D In 2001, the new database system was in place and it was used to generate the Executive Summary tables automatically. As ETRO was not within the parameters specified for either the North Atlantic or the South Atlantic albacore stocks, the series was automatically assigned to "Unclassified Area". The original (SE) series also remained in the database.

E In 2002, the Secretariat carried out a thorough revision of the data base and realized that both the SE and ETRO series were in the database. The Secretariat's understanding was that the two series were essentially duplicates. As the ETRO series had been reported in 1999 to update the existing SE data, the SE data which appeared up to 1998 were eliminated from the database and replaced by the ETRO series.

F In 2003, there was no change to the data which figured in the 2002 Executive Summary table.

### 2.3 Trade data

### 2.3.1 Submission of information

During the bigeye assessment, Japan submitted a document summarizing the import data for this species. After examining the data, the group concluded that almost all the data for recent years related to countries which have been submitting their data regularly to ICCAT.

Biannual reports of data from the ICCAT statistical document programs were received from Japan, Korea, Chinese Taipei, Singapore, Thailand, Tunisia and the United States, being the largest majority submitted in electronic format. Table 4 summarizes the information received during 2004.

As in recent years, no individual statistical documents have been received (as recommended by the SCRS contrary to the SCRS recommendation made in the last three years). The Secretariat recommends that ICCAT biannual reports should always be submitted electronically, and once again it reiterates the SCRS recommendation that they must be submitted individually and, if possible, in electronic format.

The document annexed to this report as Appendix 1 presents a comparison between Task I and trade statistics for bluefin tuna, swordfish and bigeye tuna.

The Secretariat underlines its request that such information must be submitted electronically with individual documents being submitted where possible.

### 2.3.2 NEI estimates

The Secretariat continues to estimate unreported data on the basis of trade data submitted by Contracting Parties. In some cases it is difficult to estimate these catches, as the ocean from which the catch was taken is unknown.

The issue of bluefin tuna farming in the Mediterranean has increased the uncertainties in estimates for this species. The Working Group on East Atlantic Bluefin Tuna recommended changing the procedure for calculating these estimates, which is discussed in document SCRS/2004/013. Appendix 1 includes more information.

### 2.4 Implementation of SCRS recommendations

The SCRS recommended that the origin of the data showing high albacore catches in 2000 and 2001 by St. Vincent and the Grenadines be clarified. The Secretariat found that these data had been reported directly by private companies that operated through St. Vincent and the Grenadines for these years, but which had not been reported in 2002. The question was raised during the Commission meeting in 2003, and the Chairman of the Commission sent a letter to St. Vincent and the Grenadines requesting clarification of albacore catches in 2002 and the monitoring of catches. To date no reply has been received.

The SCRS also recommended improving bluefin tuna data, and a working group met to discuss East Atlantic bluefin tuna data and to re-estimate the substitutions, which had been made to create the catch-at-size file. The report of the working group is available as document SCRS/2004/013.

The SCRS expressed serious concern about the poor quality of data for albacore and small tunas in the Mediterranean and recommended that the GFCM/ICCAT Joint Working Group should attempt to improve this situation. The $7^{\text {th }} A d H o c$ Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting on Stocks of Large Pelagic Fishes in the Mediterranean met in Malaga, 13-14 May 2004, but was unfortunately able to do little to improve the data. The FAO, however, presented a comparison of the FAO and ICCAT databases for Mediterranean catches. Document SCRS/2004/081 presented by FAO and ICCAT explains the origin of the discrepancies and provides some recommendations to improve the situation. Those countries that have not submitted data will be entered in the ICCAT database, however official data submissions have not been changed although differences existed between these and the FAO database.

It was also expected that Ghana should continue to improve the sampling scheme taking place in Tema and furthermore that the Task I should reflect the real species composition. In the hope that data submitted by Ghana follows these rules, no changes were made by the Secretariat to the data reported.

### 2.5 Survey on Statistic Collection Systems

In 2003, a total of 17 Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities had responded to the ICCAT Survey on Statistic Collection Systems, of which 13 were Contracting Parties. Given this low level of response, the Secretariat recirculated the questionnaire in 2004 requesting those who had not already done so to complete it. The Convener of the Sub-Committee on Statistics also urged those Contracting Parties that had not completed the questionnaire to do so as soon as possible. Since these requests, three additional countries have sent their completed questionnaires (Brazil, EC-Spain and South Africa). All the responses received to date are available at the Secretariat. The responses received are still very low to make any conclusions. Each species group should review the data deficiencies and make a list of the focal points where efforts of improvement are needed.

### 2.6 Data preparation for scientific meetings

### 2.6.1 GFCM-ICCAT

At the Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting (Malaga, 13-14 May 2004), the Secretariat presented the data available for albacore, small tunas and sharks in the Mediterranean area. Unfortunately no further information was submitted during that meeting except the data from FAO. It was noted that 5 or $10 \%$ of bluefin catches reported by Turkey may include albacore catches. Greek albacore catches also include other tuna species. The group reiterated the previous recommendations made by the SCRS asking for more active collaboration on behalf of the countries around the Mediterranean area to improve the albacore, bluefin and small tuna data.

### 2.6.2 Bigeye tuna

A bigeye tuna stock assessment was held in Madrid 28 June-3 July 2004, for which the Secretariat created the catch-at-size file, as described in SCRS/2004/015.

The Secretariat was also actively involved in the creation of datasets for the application of a statistical model for bigeye (MULTIFAN-CL). As the model was spatially-structured and configured to work on a quarterly basis, the preparation of the catch, size samples, effort and tagging data required substantial work. The data are summarized in SCRS/2004/015.

### 2.6.3 Blue shark and shortfin mako

During the stock assessment of blue and shortfin mako sharks held in Japan 14-18 June 2004, a lack of data for these species in the ICCAT database was noted. In order to carry out the assessment, many of the catches had to be raised in order to be able to estimate total catch. Figure 2 of SCRS/2004/014 shows the differences between estimated catch and reported catch. The data need to be revised in depth, and each country, entity or fishing entity should try to fill the gaps in the series.

### 2.6.4 Bluefin tuna

Following the recommendation by the East Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Working Group, a new catch-at-size file was created following new substitution rules. Document SCRS/2004/170 provides more details in the process and shows the existing differences.

### 2.6.5 CATDIS

The CATDIS working file (estimate by the Secretariat of Task I in $5^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ squares) was updated up to 2000 for all species except for bigeye, which was updated until 2002. Before the end of this year all the species will be updated up to 2003.

### 2.7 Tagging

### 2.7.1 Tagging data base

The information of the tagging data was incorporated into the ICCAT relational database, which includes 350,900 records for releases and 24,832 recoveries. The data set for sharks ( 75,000 records) was not incorporated to this data base due to problems in identifying the alpha prefix of the tag code and some records for tunas were flagged and pending for clarification. Table 5 and Table 6 include the current number of tags by species and the year.

### 2.7.2 Electronic tags

The payment of rewards for the recovery of electronic tags continues to present problems. A specific laboratory proposed to pay for computers or other equipment instead of a compensation in cash. An inventory of electronic tags, available through the ICCAT webpage was created including useful information as recommended by the SCRS in 2003. The collaboration of all the scientists involved in this issue is essential in order to have data up to date. As of October 2004 this file includes 687 tags received from Italy, Japan, Portugal and the United States. Detailed information is included in Table 7.

### 2.7.3 Conventional tags

The Secretariat continues to collaborate with the scientists in sending tags and applicators. This year 300 tags were sent to France, Spain and Portugal. At the time of writing the report only 37 recoveries were received for the annual lottery.

### 2.8 Progress made in the ICCAT relational data base

### 2.8.1 Current status

Currently, ICCAT-DB is a relational database system made of a statistical database of nearly 1.5 gigabytes and around 90 related tables containing all Task I and Task II data (current and historical updates), a tagging database with around 500 megabytes and around 30 related tables, and other specific databases used to manage catch-at-size estimates, CATDIS, trade statistics, contacts, publications, etc.

Conceptually and structurally, the database is considered completed. However, given the open design approach adopted, there is always the possibility for incorporating revisions or add-ons to it.

The consequences of changes to basic structures must be carefully planned. In general, addition of modules has minor implications to the overall system. On the contrary, revisions to the basic structures could have large implications at the level of code revision, and may consume a considerable amount of time.

### 2.8.2 Progress made

During this current year, the Secretariat concentrated its effort in various areas. The most important are the unification of all the statistical database, the development of the framework to assimilate the statistical data reported in the new electronic forms, the revision of database on tagging (structure and data), and the normalization of the ICCAT coding system which includes the proposal of new codes for fleets, gears and Task I areas.

The statistical database unification was basically a rearrangement of databases and respective structures in a way that allows a simplified and more efficient management of all statistical data. Currently all statistical information reported is previously assimilated by a "preliminary" database for validation purposes. After validation, the information is transferred to a central database. In the case of data revision, an association between "new" and "old" data is carried out, storing the "old" data in a historical database and transferring the "new" data to the central database. This approach allows to manage and track all changes made to Task I and Task II data, and more significantly, simplifies the association between Task I and Task II.

The Secretariat took about six weeks to develop and test the framework that automatically incorporates the data reported in the new electronic forms that are included in the ICCAT database. This framework is finalized and tested for Task II. However, for Task I it is only partially tested and an additional testing period is needed.

The in-depth revision made of the tagging database (data and structure) also included the integration of an inventory of all tags distributed by ICCAT all over the years. Currently, the link between this catalogue and the release/recoveries reported to ICCAT is partial (around $60 \%$ of all information reported), and needs to be finalized in the future.

### 2.8.3 Future enhancements

With the aim of improving the ICCAT database throughout the following year, the Secretariat will focus on the following tasks:

- Continue the work on the revision of tagging data, and complete the ICCAT tag inventory;
- Continue to develop the output programs for the most common requests of data (standard output, special requests, information for the ICCAT web, etc.);
- Integrate the new hierarchical codes adopted for Fleets, Gears and Task I areas, and reclassify the available statistical information with the new codes;
- Document all the ICCAT-DB system;
- Continue to develop the ICCAT web publishing facilities;
- Develop the programs to update CATDIS annually;
- Improve the routines for estimating catch-at-size;
- Develop the facilities to move on to the GIS system.

As usual, the work involved in the tasks aforementioned will run in parallel with the normal workload of the ICCAT Statistical Department. Finalizing them will depend largely on the time available.

### 2.9 Coding system

### 2.9.1 Geographical areas for Task I

Following frequent requests for a definition of the Task I areas which have never been precisely defined, the Secretariat has attempted to define the limits of these areas, and proposes that these be adopted by the SCRS for future use. The proposed area limits are shown in Figure 1.

### 2.9.2 Fisheries codes

As recommended by the Intersessional meeting of the Sub-Committee on Statistics held in March 2003, the Secretariat developed a new fleet coding system to include more detailed statistics within the same country/gear classification. Table 8 shows the codification system proposed by the Secretariat that should be improved and adopted. The same philosophy was used for the gear coding system in Table 9.

## 3. Coordination of research and publications

### 3.1 Internet

Throughout the year the Secretariat improved the presentation of the ICCAT web page and included interactive tables for information purposes; these include ICCAT Recommendations and Resolutions by year, category or number, and tables including statistical data and forms.

Task I data can now be accessed in three ways, either by downloading an Excel pivot table, by using the FAO FISHSTAT software, or through interactive access which has recently been completed by the Secretariat. This third option allows users to extract data by year, gear, area, species or flag, or a combination of any of these. This option can be used by scientists to ensure that all of the Task I data reported to ICCAT has been correctly entered in the database.

All the Task II data (catch and effort) are also available on the Internet. Next year the size sampling will be included on the ICCAT webpage.

Documents have also been included in the ICCAT web site in anticipation of the SCRS and Commission meetings. In addition, an FTP site is being used to provide for SCRS documents before they are published in the Collective Volume series.

### 3.2 Special research programs

The Secretariat was involved in the coordination of three special research programs: the Bigeye Tuna Year Program (BETYP), the Bluefin Tuna Year Program (BYP) and the Enhanced Program for Billfish Research (EPBR). Reports on these activities are presented elsewhere in documents for the SCRS.

### 3.3 FIGIS/FIRMS

ICCAT became an official partner in the FIGIS-FIRMS Partnership in 2004 with the approval of the Commission. As part of the agreement, the Secretariat will be required to contribute with the species Executive Summaries from the SCRS Report in a special (XML) format for publication in the FIRMS web site. These reports have not yet been formatted because the partnership needs to finalize a work plan for all partners. A technical meeting for partners is expected in early 2005.

### 3.4 ASFA

The Secretariat has contracted the National Information Centre for Marine Sciences (India) to input 505 bibliographic entries (SCRS documents from 2000-2004) into the ASFA database. By April 2005, the ICCAT contribution to ASFA will be up-to-date. Progress has also been made on the preparation of a bibliographic database geared more to the needs of ICCAT. Information from ASFA (approximately 2,500 references) corresponding to ICCAT entries, have been integrated into the ICCAT bibliographic database.

### 3.5 Publications

### 3.5.1 Data Record

This volume has not been published for the last three years due to the restructuring of the database. The 2003 SCRS, on the advice of the Sub-Committee on Statistics, has recommended that this no longer be published in book form. This information (Task II) is currently published on the Internet by means of a downloadable data file. In the future, the information will be available in a web application (including query facilities). A CD-ROM with all the Task II data available at the Secretariat will be published before the end of 2004. The data available
in the public domain respect the confidentiality of the information as outlined by the Sub-Committee on Statistics (see SCRS/2003/012).

### 3.5.2 Statistical Bulletin

This publication was published in February 2004, and equivalent information is partially available on the Internet.

### 3.5.3 Collective Volume (Red Book)

In May 2004, Volume 56 was published on CD-ROM, which contained 109 documents and a total of 1,532 pages. In addition, another 14 documents submitted to the 2003 SCRS were either withdrawn by the senior author or submitted after the SCRS deadline. As in 2002 and 2003, due to the request from numerous scientists and libraries that wished to continue receiving the printed version of the publication, 75 copies of Volume 56 were printed, and were sent to those addresses indicted by the Chief Scientists in response to a survey.

In 2004, further efforts have been made to standardize the Publication Guidelines for both the Detailed Reports and the papers submitted so that publication time is reduced and the end product is more consistent. The Ad Hoc Working Group on SCRS Organization recommended that formatting be dealt with by scientists; this resulted in approximately $33 \%$ of the papers being returned to authors for re-formatting. The net result was the publication of the Collective Volume two months earlier than in 2003. The Secretariat notes that in 2004, 32\% of manuscripts were submitted after the deadline for submission for publication ( 30 November) and only following a reminder.

Following the suggestion made in the $A d$ Hoc Working Group on SCRS Organization, the Secretariat has set up an FTP site containing electronic copies of all draft SCRS papers available at the Secretariat (those presented at inter-sessional meetings, as well as those sent to the Secretariat before the October meeting).

### 3.5.4 Biennial Report

Part II of the ICCAT Report for the 2002-2003 Biennial Period was published in three volumes (Commission, SCRS and National Reports), in Spanish, French and English. The Commission volume contains approximately 310 pages, the SCRS volume approximately 210 pages and the National Report volume approximately 140 pages.

### 3.5.5 Other

In 2004, the ICCAT Staff Regulations and Rules was updated and published in a tri-lingual volume.

## 4. Other

### 4.1. Purchase of electronic equipment

In 2003, the Sub-Committee on Statistics recommended that an annual allowance of $€ 50,000$ be allocated to the maintenance and updating of electronic equipment and software. This proposal was modified by the Commission and a budget of $€ 25,000$ for the purchase of electronic equipment was approved, with an additional $€ 16,000$ for the maintenance of the database and $€ 10,000$ for the Internet connection for the ICCAT web page.

To date the following equipment has been purchased: 3 computers, 12 flat screens, and 1 printer.
The Secretariat is planning to replace the older computers before the end of the year.
In order to update computers and the software used by the Secretariat with the day-to-day technology, the Committee may suggest to the Commission the necessity to change the computers every four years and to upgrade the software accordingly.

### 4.2 Inter-agency coordination

ICCAT staff were present at several meetings where statistics or research issues of interest to ICCAT were discussed. A summary of these meetings is included in the Administrative Report.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Tuna |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sharks |  |  | Other spec. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ALB | BET | BFT | BUM | SAI | SKJ | SWO | WHM | YFT | small t. | Other | BSH | SMA | other |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  | RR |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  | TP |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  | TR |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  | TW |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U.S.S.R. |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.Anguilla |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.Bermuda | UK.BMU | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-02 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.British Virgin Islands |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.Falklands |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.Sta Helena | UK.SHN | BB |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | UK.Turks and Caicos Islands |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Uruguay | URY | LL |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vanuatu |  |  |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Venezuela | VEN | BB |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-15 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCC | Chinese Taipei | TAI | LL | X | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-16 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-05-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Guyana | GUY | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-05-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NCO | Colombia | COL | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  | NEI | NEI.001-ETRO | BB |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-04-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  |  |  | PS |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-04-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | cas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-06-28 |
|  | Cuba | CUB | LL |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  | Dominica | DMA | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-08-02 |
|  | Dominican Republic | DOM | LL |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  |  |  | TR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  | Grenada | GRD | LL | X | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  |  |  | TR |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-13 |
|  | Liberia | LBR | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | ANT | BB |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  |  |  | PS |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-30 |
|  | Saint Kitts and Nevis | KNA-KN-NEVIS | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  |  | KNA-KN-ST.KITTS | UN |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-28 |
|  | Senegal | SEN | BB |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-09-29 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | VCT | LL | X | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-08-12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | CE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-08-12 |
|  |  |  | TR |  | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-08-12 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | ${ }^{\text {LCA }}$ | TR |  | ${ }^{\text {T1 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-07-28 |
|  |  |  | UN | X | T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2004-07-28 |
| NOTE | The USA reported Task II dat Korea (Rep) reported Task I d | November, 2004, which in November 2004, whic | wn in thi hown in th |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Revised catches submitted by Algeria.


Table 3. Historical albacore Spanish data in the South Atlantic.

|  | GEAR | AREA | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SCRS } \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | PS | South Atl | 389 | 1691 | 849 | 725 | 217 | 14 |  |  |
|  | LL | South Atl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 389 | 1691 | 849 | 725 | 217 | 14 |  |  |


| SCRS | PS | South Atl | 389 | 1691 | 848 | 725 | 217 | 14 | 63 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | LL | South Atl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total |  | 389 | 1691 | 848 | 725 | 217 | 14 | 63 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCRS } \\ & \text { 1999** } \end{aligned}$ | PS | South Atl | 389 | 1691 | 848 | 725 | 217 | 14 | 63 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS (North Atl)** | North Atl | 279 | 1816 | 649 | 682 | 255 | 4 | 66 | 173 |
|  | LL | South Atl | 1 | 127 | 135 | 149 | 202 | 180 | 190 | 20 |
|  | Total |  | 669 | 3634 | 1632 | 1556 | 674 | 198 | 319 | 193 |


| SCRS | PS | South Atl | 668 | 3507 | 1496 | 1407 | 472 | 18 | 129 | 173 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2000* | SL | South Atl | 1 | 127 | 135 | 149 | 202 | 180 | 190 | 20 |
|  | Total |  | 669 | 3634 | 1631 | 1556 | 674 | 198 | 319 | 193 |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCRS } \\ & \text { 2001* } \end{aligned}$ | PS | Uncl. area | 279 | 1816 | 648 | 682 | 255 | 4 | 66 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PS | South Atl | 389 | 1691 | 849 | 725 | 217 | 14 | 63 | 193 |
|  | LL | South Atl | 1 | 127 | 135 | 149 | 202 | 180 | 190 | 20 |
|  | Total |  | 669 | 3634 | 1632 | 1556 | 674 | 198 | 319 | 213 |


| SCRS | PS | South Atl | 279 | 1816 | 648 | 682 | 255 | 4 | 66 | 173 |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | LL | South Atl | 1 | 127 | 135 | 149 | 202 | 180 | 190 |
|  | Total |  | 280 | 1943 | 783 | 831 | 457 | 184 | 256 | 193 |


| SCRS | PS | South Atl | 279 | 1816 | 648 | 682 | 255 | 4 | 66 | 173 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | LL | South Atl | 1 | 127 | 135 | 149 | 202 | 180 | 190 | 20 |
|  | Total |  | 280 | 1943 | 783 | 831 | 457 | 184 | 256 | 193 |

* Years in which data seem double reported.
**New data series that should have substituted the PS series, entry error in the North area

Table 4. Catalogue of biannual reports of Statistical documents (SD) and Re-export Certificates (RC) reported to ICCAT

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Doc. } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reporting } \\ \text { Flag } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Year | Semester | Reporting date | Ref. <br> Num | Standard format | Electronic data | $\begin{gathered} \text { In } \\ \text { database } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BET | SD | Chinese Taip, | 2003 | 1 | 26/09/2003 | 1818 | X |  | X |
|  | SD | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Thailand | 2003 | 1 | 09/04/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Thailand | 2003 | 2 | 28/04/2004 | 720 | X |  | X |
|  | RC | Chinese Taips | 2003 | 1 | 26/09/2003 | 1818 | X |  | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Thailand | 2003 | 1 | 09/04/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Thailand | 2003 | 2 | 16/04/2004 | 609720 | X |  | X |
| BFT | SD | Chinese Taips | 2003 | 1 | 26/09/2003 | 1818 | X |  | X |
|  | SD | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Tunisia | 2003 | 2 | 29/01/2004 | 156 | X |  | X |
|  | SD | USA | 2003 | 1 | 09/10/2003 | 1911 | X |  | X |
|  | SD | USA | 2003 | 2 | 21/04/2004 | 634 | X |  | X |
|  | RC | Chinese Taip، | 2003 | 1 | 26/09/2003 | 1818 | X |  | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | USA | 2003 | 1 | 09/10/2003 | 1911 | X |  | X |
|  | RC | USA | 2003 | 2 | 21/04/2004 | 634 | X |  | X |
| SWO | SD | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |
|  | SD | Singapore | 2004 | 1 | 04/08/2004 |  | X |  | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 1 | 01/10/2003 |  | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Japan | 2003 | 2 | 31/03/2004 | 479 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 1 | 20/10/2003 | 2001 | X | X | X |
|  | RC | Korea | 2003 | 2 | 01/04/2004 | 487 | X | X | X |

Table.5. Number of tags released and validated in ICCAT database, by species and year

| Year | ALB | BET | BFT | BIL | BLF | BLM | BLT | BON | BUM | CER | KGM | LTA | SAI | SBF | SKJ | SPF | SSM | SWO | TUN | WAH | WHM | YFT | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940 |  |  | 24 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 76 |  | 62 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 26 | 6 | 221 |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 1954 |  |  | 193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 200 |
| 1955 |  |  | 230 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 13 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 141 |  | 390 |
| 1956 |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 415 | 102 | 627 |
| 1957 |  |  | 37 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 137 | 1 | 242 |
| 1958 |  |  | 38 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  | 116 |
| 1959 |  |  | 147 | 7 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 252 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |  | 610 |
| 1960 | 15 | 2 | 236 | 2 | 8 | 1 |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  | 936 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 59 | 1375 |
| 1961 | 3 |  | 185 | 4 | 24 | 8 |  | 13 | 5 |  | 27 |  | 1306 |  | 24 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 236 | 19 | 1857 |
| 1962 | 2 | 9 | 127 | 9 | 19 | 8 |  | 16 | 15 |  | 5 |  | 1498 |  | 28 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 380 | 4 | 2121 |
| 1963 | 16 | 45 | 222 | 341 | 11 | 33 |  | 7 | 116 |  | 3 |  | 1423 |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  | 4 | 654 | 39 | 2923 |
| 1964 | 25 | 34 | 552 | 15 | 76 | 27 |  | 1 | 63 |  | 2 |  | 1306 |  | 585 |  |  | 32 |  | 3 | 531 | 107 | 3359 |
| 1965 | 1 | 4 | 1806 | 12 | 85 | 24 |  | 1 | 50 |  | 3 |  | 1317 |  | 334 |  |  | 28 |  | 6 | 376 | 18 | 4065 |
| 1966 | 11 | 21 | 4131 | 2 | 86 | 22 |  | 6 | 43 |  | 2 |  | 1279 |  | 780 |  |  | 27 |  | 4 | 482 | 23 | 6919 |
| 1967 |  | 3 | 713 | 1 | 19 | 25 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  | 877 |  | 41 | 1 |  | 20 |  | 1 | 523 | 50 | 2317 |
| 1968 | 19 |  | 519 |  | 34 | 31 |  | 4 | 67 |  |  |  | 849 |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  | 1 | 881 | 32 | 2487 |
| 1969 | 11 | 1 | 566 |  | 29 | 35 |  | 3 | 102 |  | 1 |  | 819 |  | 53 |  | 2 | 25 |  | 12 | 1307 | 12 | 2978 |
| 1970 | 15 |  | 733 | 1 | 37 | 18 |  | 23 | 69 |  |  |  | 632 |  | 111 | 2 |  | 80 |  | 3 | 833 | 12 | 2569 |
| 1971 | 37 | 4 | 446 |  | 89 | 9 |  | 3 | 113 |  |  |  | 1076 |  | 45 | 1 |  | 12 |  | 2 | 973 | 36 | 2846 |
| 1972 | 24 | 17 | 287 |  | 27 | 6 |  | 7 | 110 |  |  |  | 920 |  | 35 | 1 |  | , |  |  | 466 | 13 | 1916 |
| 1973 | 17 | 126 | 397 |  | 71 | 17 |  | 11 | 95 |  |  |  | 915 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 274 | 17 | 1995 |
| 1974 | 1 | 17 | 1750 | 1 | 55 | 183 |  | 16 | 95 |  | 3 |  | 870 |  | 17 | 2 |  | 9 |  | 5 | 260 | 27 | 3311 |
| 1975 | 11 | 16 | 355 | 1 | 54 | 90 |  | 13 | 94 |  | 9 |  | 1018 |  | 62 |  |  | 21 |  | 2 | 451 | 23 | 2220 |
| 1976 | 246 |  | 2442 |  | 43 | 68 |  | 13 | 142 |  | 12 |  | 1467 |  | 28 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 312 | 68 | 4845 |
| 1977 | 49 | 19 | 2281 |  | 45 | 23 |  | 7 | 170 |  | 1 |  | 1397 |  | 60 |  |  | 17 |  | 9 | 327 | 133 | 4538 |
| 1978 | 146 | 109 | 1885 | 4 | 19 | 4 |  | 26 | 299 |  | 2 |  | 1556 |  | 126 | 20 |  | 121 |  | 1 | 834 | 93 | 5245 |
| 1979 | 36 | 10 | 1244 |  | 20 |  |  | 5 | 283 |  |  |  | 1885 |  | 39 | 27 | 1 | 49 |  |  | 736 | 87 | 4422 |
| 1980 | 227 | 97 | 3527 | 1 | 36 | 7 |  | 6 | 487 |  | 6 | 1 | 2160 |  | 356 | 18 |  | 261 |  | 2 | 982 | 185 | 8359 |
| 1981 | 22 | 211 | 2253 |  | 58 |  |  | 4 | 437 |  |  |  | 1898 |  | 1159 | 2 |  | 185 |  | 2 | 771 | 602 | 7604 |
| 1982 | 57 | 7 | 619 |  | 35 | 17 |  | 1 | 362 |  |  | 2 | 1676 |  | 1966 |  |  | 99 |  | 3 | 940 | 199 | 5983 |
| 1983 | 291 | 5 | 873 |  | 123 | 1 |  | 2 | 419 |  |  |  | 1839 |  | 28 | 2 |  | 80 |  | 1 | 1032 | 352 | 5048 |
| 1984 | 201 | 7 | 949 | 12 | 93 | 3 |  | 7 | 521 |  | 5 | 1 | 2238 | 1 | 105 | 2 |  | 72 |  |  | 1049 | 282 | 5548 |
| 1985 | 146 | 5 | 545 |  | 80 | 8 |  | 1 | 613 |  | 1 | 2 | 1949 |  | 38 | 3 |  | 157 |  |  | 911 | 174 | 4633 |
| 1986 | 214 | 96 | 900 |  | 70 |  |  | 4 | 795 | 1 | 461 | 6 | 2263 |  | 77 | 5 | 211 | 267 |  |  | 917 | 303 | 6590 |
| 1987 | 40 | 23 | 65 |  | 51 | 5 |  | 2 | 1368 |  | 762 | 1 | 2024 |  | 15 | 9 | 1502 | 292 |  |  | 1049 | 208 | 7416 |
| 1988 | 540 | 9 | 1261 | 1 | 38 | 13 |  |  | 1700 |  | 408 |  | 2518 |  | 39 | 12 | 30 | 346 |  | 1 | 1118 | 319 | 8353 |
| 1989 | 3115 | 26 | 248 |  | 50 | 8 |  | 9 | 2048 | 3 | 285 |  | 2222 |  | 68 | 14 | 1 | 163 |  |  | 1224 | 309 | 9793 |
| 1990 | 4655 | 69 | 1986 |  | 53 | 4 |  | 6 | 2178 |  | 483 | 3 | 3593 |  | 270 | 20 | 28 | 412 | 5 | 6 | 1310 | 632 | 15713 |
| 1991 | 4735 | 210 | 3543 | 3 | 82 | 42 |  | 6 | 2628 | 1 | 1991 |  | 5077 |  | 103 | 36 | 37 | 1436 | 9 | 2 | 1728 | 1038 | 22707 |
| 1992 | 46 | 246 | 1574 |  | 49 | 94 |  | 2 | 2549 | 1 | 4161 | 1 | 5912 |  | 174 | 25 | 28 | 1631 | 3 | 8 | 1774 | 548 | 18826 |
| 1993 | 219 | 218 | 991 |  | 82 | 264 |  | 1 | 3102 | 3 | 2624 |  | 5592 |  | 6 | 87 | 16 | 1528 | 3 |  | 2316 | 915 | 17967 |
| 1994 | 338 | 262 | 2120 |  | 37 | 327 | 14 | 18 | 3188 |  | 887 | 7 | 5774 |  | 345 | 84 |  | 1780 | 10 | 5 | 1987 | 1615 | 18805 |
| 1995 | 14 | 111 | 1998 |  | 14 | 320 |  | 50 | 3735 |  | 1170 |  | 6641 |  | 45 | 90 | 1 | 985 | 1 | 2 | 2785 | 792 | 18754 |
| 1996 | 20 | 116 | 3526 |  | 19 | 492 |  |  | 4357 |  | 228 |  | 5004 |  | 548 | 105 | 1 | 617 | 3 | 6 | 1663 | 345 | 17050 |
| 1997 | 4 | 586 | 3976 |  | 26 | 345 |  |  | 3392 |  | 23 |  | 5551 |  | 3099 | 141 |  | 737 | 4 | 5 | 1986 | 462 | 20337 |
| 1998 | 74 | 40 | 1951 |  | 6 | 273 |  | 2 | 3239 |  | 12 |  | 5081 |  | 418 | 124 |  | 310 | 4 | 3 | 2740 | 571 | 14848 |
| 1999 | 3 | 3657 | 751 |  | 12 | 437 |  |  | 4570 |  | 11 |  | 7030 |  | 3048 | 171 |  | 181 | 3 | 5 | 1675 | 1121 | 22675 |
| 2000 | 20 | 1421 | 706 | 4 | 17 | 189 |  |  | 2572 |  | 3 |  | 4065 |  | 1502 | 103 |  | 92 | 4 | 6 | 1039 | 921 | 12664 |
| 2001 | 12 | 348 | 314 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 22 |  | 3649 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1885 | 6254 |
| 2002 | 80 | 1199 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 4561 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 | 1760 | 7631 |
| 2003 | 350 | 262 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 621 |
| total | 16108 | 9668 | 56331 | 441 | 1886 | 3482 | 14 | 302 | 46285 | 9 | 13600 | 24 | 103929 | 1 | 24139 | 1110 | 1865 | 12109 | 49 | 117 | 42910 | 16521 | 350900 |

Table 6. Number of tags recovered validated in ICCAT database, by specie and year.

| Year | ALB | BET | BFT | BIL | BLF | BLM | BON | BUM | CER | KGM | LTA | SAI | SBF | SKJ | SPF | SSM | SWO | TUN | WHM | YFT | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1954 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1959 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 1961 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 |
| 1962 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| 1963 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 37 |
| 1964 |  |  | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 118 |
| 1965 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1 | 212 |
| 1966 |  |  | 584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 10 | 1 | 610 |
| 1967 |  |  | 712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 734 |
| 1968 | 8 |  | 203 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 10 |  | 235 |
| 1969 | 10 |  | 57 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 19 |  | 96 |
| 1970 | 10 |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 24 |  | 207 |
| 1971 | 23 |  | 142 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  | 207 |
| 1972 | 19 | 14 | 83 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 18 |  | 143 |
| 1973 | 19 | 127 | 118 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 12 |  | 284 |
| 1974 | 13 | 12 | 105 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 9 |  | 159 |
| 1975 | 9 | 16 | 158 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 5 | 1 | 209 |
| 1976 | 4 | 1 | 267 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 1 | 314 |
| 1977 | 5 | 1 | 142 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 6 | 196 |
| 1978 | 18 | 115 | 348 | 2 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  | 9 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | 16 | 560 |
| 1979 | 4 | 7 | 213 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 28 |  | 6 |  |  | 3 |  | 8 | 2 | 272 |
| 1980 | 4 | 67 | 211 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 40 |  | 151 |  |  | 5 |  | 16 | 24 | 520 |
| 1981 | 3 | 333 | 448 |  | 8 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 81 |  | 884 |  |  | 16 |  | 25 | 253 | 2059 |
| 1982 | 2 | 30 | 39 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 | 64 |  | 2153 |  |  | 28 |  | 45 | 69 | 2438 |
| 1983 | 23 | 4 | 70 |  | 22 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 36 |  |  | 28 |  | 34 | 47 | 316 |
| 1984 | 3 | 5 | 45 |  | 20 |  | 2 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 43 |  | 84 |  |  | 13 |  | 22 | 56 | 303 |
| 1985 |  |  | 61 |  | 19 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 2 | 66 | 1 | 28 |  |  | 2 |  | 46 | 17 | 247 |
| 1986 | 2 | 89 | 61 |  | 15 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 4 | 7 | 89 |  | 39 |  |  | 10 |  | 34 | 80 | 435 |
| 1987 |  |  | 12 |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  | 13 |  | 38 |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  | 16 | 15 | 111 |
| 1988 | 28 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  | 8 |  | 77 |  |  |  |  | 10 |  | 30 | 16 | 231 |
| 1989 | 28 | 1 | 35 |  | , |  |  | 18 |  | 11 |  | 50 |  | 22 |  |  | 21 |  | 17 | 16 | 225 |
| 1990 | 123 |  | 56 |  | 9 |  |  | 17 |  | 25 | 2 | 112 |  | 226 |  |  | 17 |  | 25 | 14 | 626 |
| 1991 | 106 |  | 87 |  | 2 |  |  | 27 |  | 72 | 2 | 176 |  | 69 |  | 4 | 19 |  | 52 | 60 | 676 |
| 1992 | 137 | 2 | 59 | 1 | 10 |  |  | 35 |  | 172 | 1 | 176 |  | 155 |  |  | 23 |  | 47 | 50 | 868 |
| 1993 | 25 | 2 | 92 |  | 5 | 1 |  | 23 | 1 | 127 |  | 209 |  |  |  |  | 79 |  | 44 | 42 | 650 |
| 1994 | 16 | 31 | 169 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 49 |  | 96 |  | 204 |  | 122 |  |  | 110 | 1 | 82 | 165 | 1049 |
| 1995 | 14 | 15 | 94 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 38 |  | 81 |  | 123 |  | 9 |  |  | 67 |  | 66 | 142 | 653 |
| 1996 | 2 | 19 | 187 |  |  | 1 |  | 66 |  | 36 |  | 114 |  | 64 |  |  | 56 |  | 98 | 59 | 702 |
| 1997 | 3 | 186 | 384 |  |  |  |  | 168 |  | 22 |  | 186 |  | 593 | 2 |  | 60 |  | 88 | 107 | 1799 |
| 1998 | 2 | 67 | 152 |  |  | 1 |  | 176 |  | 6 |  | 197 |  | 93 |  |  | 64 |  | 143 | 35 | 936 |
| 1999 | 2 | 1061 | 132 |  |  | 1 |  | 281 |  | 4 |  | 181 |  | 512 | 2 |  | 30 |  | 107 | 150 | 2463 |
| 2000 | 2 | 520 | 47 |  |  |  |  | 94 |  |  |  | 118 |  | 116 | 2 |  | 29 |  | 22 | 58 | 1008 |
| 2001 | 2 | 63 | 96 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 42 |  |  |  | 51 |  | 141 |  |  | 15 |  | 17 | 33 | 465 |
| 2002 | 1 | 136 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 44 |  |  |  | 47 |  | 877 |  |  | 11 |  | 24 | 222 | 1392 |
| 2003 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 23 |
| total | 670 | 2938 | 6248 | 4 | 138 | 13 | 32 | 1124 | 1 | 680 | 17 | 2753 | 1 | 6401 | 6 | 4 | 741 | 1 | 1300 | 1760 | 24832 |

Table 7. Number of electronic tags available at the ICCAT Secretariat.

| Country | Species | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITALY | BFT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 34 |
| JAPAN | BFT |  |  | 60 |  | 16 |  |  | 76 |
| PORTUGAL | BET |  |  |  |  | 11 | 7 |  | 18 |
| USA | BFT | 33 | 8 | 13 | 53 | 78 | 130 | 124 | 439 |
|  | BKM |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | BUM | 7 |  |  | 2 | 6 | 32 |  | 47 |
|  | SAI |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | SMA |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | SWO |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  | 29 |
|  | WHM |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  | 30 |
|  | YFT |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Grand Total |  | 40 | 8 | 73 | 65 | 111 | 232 | 158 | 687 |

Table 8. Fleet-Identification codes proposed by the Secretariat


| 011 T 30 | EC.ITA-IT-LIGURY | EC.Italy (Ligurian sea) |  |  |  | IT | Ligurian sea | EC | CP | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0111740 | EC.ITA-IT-SARDHA | EC.Italy (Sardenha) |  |  |  | IT | Sardenha | EC | CP | 24 |
| 011 IT 50 | EC.ITA-IT-TYRREN | EC.Italy (Tyrrenean sea) |  |  |  | IT | Tyrrenean sea | EC | CP | 24 |
| 011 IT 51 | EC.ITA-IT-TYRR.N | EC.Italy (North Tyrrenean sea) |  |  |  | IT | North Tyrrenean sea | EC | CP | 24 |
| 011 T 52 | EC.ITA-IT-TYRR.S | EC.Italy (South Tyrrenean sea) |  |  |  | IT | South Tyrrenean sea | EC | CP | 24 |
| 012JP00 | JPN | Japan | 012 | JPN | Japan | JP | --- | JPN | CP | 2 |
| $013 \mathrm{KR00}$ | KOR | Korea, Republic of | 013 | KOR | Korea, Republic of | KR | --- | KOR | CP | 9 |
| 014LY00 | LBY | Libya | 014 | LBY | Libya | LY | --- | LBY | CP | 21 |
| 015MT00 | EC.MLT | EC.Malta | 015 | EC.MLT | EC.Malta | MT | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 016MA00 | MAR | Maroc | 016 | MAR | Maroc | MA | --- | MAR | CP | 8 |
| 017NO00 | NOR | Norway | 017 | NOR | Norway | NO | --- | NOR | CP | 37 |
| 018PL00 | EC.POL | EC.Poland | 018 | EC.POL | EC.Poland | PL | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 019PT00 | EC.PRT | EC.Portugal | 019 | EC.PRT | EC.Portugal | PT | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 019 PT 01 | EC.PRT-PT-MAINLND | EC.Portugal (Mainland based) |  |  |  | PT | Mainland | EC | CP | 24 |
| 019 PT 02 | EC.PRT-PT-AZORES | EC.Portugal (Azores based) |  |  |  | PT | Azores | EC | CP | 24 |
| 019PT03 | EC.PRT-PT-MADEIRA | EC.Portugal (Madeira based) |  |  |  | PT | Madeira | EC | CP | 24 |
| 0201S00 | ZAF-ISL | South Africa (Island) | 020 | ZAF | South Africa | IS | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020KR00 | ZAF-KOR | South Africa (Korea) |  |  |  | KR | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020NA00 | ZAF-NAM | South Africa (Namibia) |  |  |  | NA | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020PA00 | ZAF-PAN | South Africa (Panama) |  |  |  | PA | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020SC00 | ZAF-SYC | South Africa (Seychelles) |  |  |  | SC | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020VC00 | ZAF-VCT | South Africa (St. Vincent) |  |  |  | VC | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 020ZA00 | ZAF | South Africa |  |  |  | ZA | --- | ZAF | CP | 3 |
| 021ES00 | EC.ESP | EC.España | 021 | EC.ESP | EC.España | ES | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021 ES01 | EC.ESP-ES-CANARY | EC.España (Canary based) |  |  |  | ES | Canarias | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021 ES02 | EC.ESP-ES-FTRBIA | EC.España (Fuenterrabia based) |  |  |  | ES | Fuenterrabia | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021 ES03 | EC.ESP-ES-CORNHA | EC.España (Coruña based) |  |  |  | ES | Coruña | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021ES04 | EC.ESP-ES-MALAGA | EC.España (Malaga based) |  |  |  | ES | Malaga | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021 ES05 | EC.ESP-ES-STNDER | EC.España (Santander based) |  |  |  | ES | Santander | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021 ES06 | EC.ESP-ES-VIGO | EC.España (Vigo based) |  |  |  | ES | Vigo | EC | CP | 24 |
| 021ES07 | EC.ESP-ES-ETRO | EC.España (East tropical fishery) |  |  |  | ES | ETRO | EC | CP | 24 |
| 022SE00 | EC.SWE | EC.Sweden | 022 | EC.SWE | EC.Sweden | SE | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 023 TN00 | TUN | Tunisie | 023 | TUN | Tunisie | TN | --- | TUN | CP | 25 |
| 023TN01 | TUN-TN-MONAST | Tunisie (Monastir based) |  |  |  | TN | --- | TUN | CP | 25 |
| 024 TR 00 | TUR | Turkey | 024 | TUR | Turkey | TR | --- | TUR | CP | 35 |
| 025US00 | USA | U.S.A. | 025 | USA | U.S.A. | US | --- | USA | CP | 1 |
| 026YU00 | YUG-Ex | Ex. Yugoslavia | 026 | YUG | Yugoslavia | YU | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 027 GH 00 | GHA | Ghana | 027 | GHA | Ghana | GH | --- | GHA | CP | 4 |
| 027 GH 01 | GHA.BASED | Ghana (Ghanian based) |  |  |  | GH | based in Ghana | GHA | CP | 4 |
| 027 GH 02 | GHA.LOCMARK | Ghana (Ghanian local market) |  |  |  | GH | Local market | GHA | CP | 4 |
| 028 PA 00 | PAN | Panama | 028 | PAN | Panama | PA | --- | PAN | CP | 26 |
| 029 VE 00 | VEN | Venezuela (foreign based) | 029 | VEN | Venezuela | VE | --- | VEN | CP | 17 |
| 029VE01 | VEN-FOR.FLTS | Venezuela |  |  |  | VE | --- | VEN | CP | 17 |
| 030GD00 | GRD | Grenada | 030 | GRD | Grenada | GD | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 031MX00 | MEX | Mexico | 031 | MEX | Mexico | MX | --- | MEX | CP | 32 |
| 034AO00 | AGO | Angola | 034 | AGO | Angola | AO | --- | AGO | CP | 11 |
| 035RU00 | RUS | U.S.S.R. | 035 | USR | U.S.S.R. | RU | --- | RUS | CP | 12 |


| 037DO00 | DOM | Dominican Republic | 037 | DOM | Dominican Republic | DO | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 038IL00 | ISR | Israel | 038 | ISR | Israel | IL | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 039LB00 | LBN | Lebanon | 039 | LBN | Lebanon | LB | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 040SL00 | SLE | Sierra Leone | 040 | SLE | Sierra Leone | SL | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 041TT00 | TTO | Trinidad and Tobago | 041 | TTO | Trinidad and Tobago | TT | --- | TTO | CP | 27 |
| 042UY00 | URY | Uruguay | 042 | URY | Uruguay | UY | --- | URY | CP | 15 |
| 043VI00 | VIR | US Virgin Islands | 043 | VIR | US Virgin Islands | VI | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 047BG00 | BGR | Bulgaria | 047 | BGR | Bulgaria | BG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 048 CY 00 | EC.CYP | EC.Cyprus | 048 | EC.CYP | EC.Cyprus | CY | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 049DE00 | DDR | Germany Democratic Rep. | 049 | DDR | Germany Democratic Rep. | DE | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 050CI00 | CIV | Côte D'Ivoire | 050 | CIV | Côte D'Ivoire | CI | --- | CIV | CP | 10 |
| 050 CI 01 | CIV (Abidjan based) | Côte D'Ivoire |  |  |  | CI | Abidjan | CIV | CP | 10 |
| 051 LR 00 | LBR | Liberia | 051 | LBR | Liberia | LR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 052RO00 | ROU | Rumania | 052 | ROU | Rumania | RO | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 053 SN 00 | SEN | Senegal | 053 | SEN | Senegal | SN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 058 PR 00 | PRI | Puerto Rico | 058 | PRI | Puerto Rico | PR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 060EG00 | EGY | Egypt | 060 | EGY | Egypt | EG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 061 SH00 | UK.SHN | Sta. Helena | 061 | UK.SHN | Sta. Helena | SH | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 062 CO 00 | COL | Colombia | 062 | COL | Colombia | CO | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 063BB00 | BRB | Barbados | 063 | BRB | Barbados | BB | --- | BRB | CP | 29 |
| 064SY00 | SYR | Syrian Arab Republic | 064 | SYR | Syrian Arab Republic | SY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 065CV00 | CPV | Cape Verde | 065 | CPV | Cape Verde | CV | --- | CPV | CP | 14 |
| 066IE00 | EC.IRL | EC.Ireland | 066 | EC.IRL | EC.Ireland | IE | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 067BJ00 | BEN | Benin | 067 | BEN | Benin | BJ | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 068GA00 | GAB | Gabon | 068 | GAB | Gabon | GA | --- | GAB | CP | 13 |
| 069CG00 | COG | Congo | 069 | COG | Congo | CG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 070NL00 | EC.NLD | EC.Netherlands | 070 | EC.NLD | EC.Netherlands | NL | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 071HN00 | HND | Honduras | 071 | HND | Honduras | HN | --- | HND | CP | 30 |
| 072TG00 | TGO | Togo | 072 | TGO | Togo | TG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 074KY00 | CYM | Cayman Islands | 074 | CYM | Cayman Islands | KY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 076AN00 | ANT | Netherlands Antilles | 076 | ANT | Netherlands Antilles | AN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 077LC00 | LCA | Sta. Lucia | 077 | LCA | Sta. Lucia | LC | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 079ST00 | STP | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 079 | STP | S. Tomé e Príncipe | ST | --- | STP | CP | 16 |
| 081GQ00 | GNQ | Guinea Ecuatorial | 081 | GNQ | Guinea Ecuatorial | GQ | --- | GNQ | CP | 18 |
| 082MR00 | MRT | Mauritania | 082 | MRT | Mauritania | MR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 083BM00 | UK.BMU | UK.Bermuda | 083 | UK.BMU | UK.Bermuda | BM | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 084CM00 | CMR | Cameroon | 084 | CMR | Cameroon | CM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 088NG00 | NGA | Nigeria | 088 | NGA | Nigeria | NG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 090AW00 | ABW | Aruba | 090 | ABW | Aruba | AW | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 094GW00 | GNB | Guinea Bissau | 094 | GNB | Guinea Bissau | GW | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 101HR00 | HRV | Croatia | 101 | HRV | Croatia | HR | --- | HRV | CP | 23 |
| 104RU00 | RUS | Russian Federation | 104 | RUS | Russian Federation | RU | --- | RUS | CP | 12 |
| 105GN00 | GIN | Guinée Conakry | 105 | GIN | Guinée Conakry | GN | --- | GIN | CP | 19 |
| 110UA00 | UKR | Ukraine | 110 | UKR | Ukraine | UA | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 111VC00 | VCT | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 111 | VCT | St. Vincent and Grenadines | VC | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 112GB00 | EC.GBR | EC.United Kingdom | 112 | EC.GBR | EC.United Kingdom | GB | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 113NA00 | NAM | Namibia | 113 | NAM | Namibia | NA | --- | NAM | CP | 28 |


| 114AG00 | ATG | Antigua and Barbuda | 114 | ATG | Antigua and Barbuda | AG | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115JM00 | JAM | Jamaica | 115 | JAM | Jamaica | JM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 116DM00 | DMA | Dominica | 116 | DMA | Dominica | DM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 118CN00 | CHN | China, People's Republic of | 118 | CHN | China, People's Republic of | CN | --- | CHN | CP | 22 |
| 119GY00 | GUY | Guyana | 119 | GUY | Guyana | GY | --- | GUY | NCC | 91 |
| 134BZ00 | BLZ | Belize | 134 | BLZ | Belize | BZ | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 142CR00 | CRI | Costa Rica | 142 | CRI | Costa Rica | CR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 143EE00 | EC.EST | EC.Estonia | 143 | EC.EST | EC.Estonia | EE | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 143EE01 | EC.EST.EE.Vigo | EC.Estonia (Vigo based) |  |  |  | EE | Vigo | EC | CP | 24 |
| 144 GE 00 | GEO | Georgia | 144 | GEO | Georgia | GE | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 145LV00 | EC.LVA | EC.Latvia | 145 | EC.LVA | EC.Latvia | LV | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 146LT00 | EC.LTU | EC.Lithuania | 146 | EC.LTU | EC.Lithuania | LT | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 147GM00 | GMB | Gambia | 147 | GMB | Gambia | GM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 148AL00 | ALB | Albania | 148 | ALB | Albania | AL | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 152 YU 00 | YUG | Yugoslavia Fed. Rep. | 152 | YUG | Yugoslavia | YU | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 156IS00 | ISL | Iceland | 156 | ISL | Iceland | IS | --- | ISL | CP | 34 |
| 157BY00 | BLR | Belarus | 157 | BLR | Belarus | BY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 164FO00 | FRO | Faroe Islands | 164 | FRO | Faroe Islands | FO | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 165PH00 | PHL | Philippines | 165 | PHL | Philippines | PH | --- | PHL | CP | 36 |
| 166 KH 00 | KHM | Cambodia | 166 | KHM | Cambodia | KH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 167FK00 | UK.FLK | Falkland Islands | 167 | UK.FLK | Falkland Islands | FK | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 172PM00 | FR.SPM | FR-Saint Pierre et Miquelon | 172 | FR.SPM | FR.Saint Pierre et Miquelon | PM | --- | FR.PM | CP | 6 |
| 178PS00 | PSE | Palestinian Territory, Occupied | 178 | PSE | Palestinian Territory, Occupied | PS | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 178PS01 | PSE-PS-GAZA.ST | Palestenean (Gaza strip based) |  |  |  | PS | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| $179 \mathrm{SC00}$ | SYC | Seychelles | 179 | SYC | Seychelles | SC | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 185 KN 00 | KNA | St. Kitts and Nevis | 185 | KNA | Saint Kitts and Nevis | KN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 185 KN 01 | KNA-KN-ST.KITTS | St. Kitts and Nevis (St. Kitts based) |  |  |  | KN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 185KN02 | KNA-KN-NEVIS | St. Kitts and Nevis (Nevis based) |  |  |  | KN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 191MU00 | MUS | Mauritius | 191 | MUS | Mauritius | MU | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 192IN00 | IND | India | 192 | IND | India | IN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 193VU00 | VUT | Vanuatu | 193 | VUT | Vanuatu | VU | --- | VUT | CP | 33 |
| 194IR00 | IRN | Iran, Islamic Republic of | 194 | IRN | Iran, Islamic Republic of | IR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 195MY00 | MYS | Malaysia | 195 | MYS | Malaysia | MY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 196 GT 00 | GTM | Guatemala | 196 | GTM | Guatemala | GT | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 197SV00 | SLV | El Salvador | 197 | SLV | El Salvador | SV | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 198AI00 | UK.AIA | Anguilla | 198 | UK.AIA | Anguilla | AI | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 199TC00 | UK.TCA | Turks and Caicos Islands | 199 | UK.TCA | Turks and Caicos Islands | TC | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 200BE00 | EC.BEL | EC.Belgium | 200 | EC.BEL | EC.Belgium | BE | --- | EC | CP | 24 |
| 201 VG 00 | UK.VGB | British Virgin Islands | 201 | UK.VGB | British Virgin Islands | VG | --- | UK.OT | CP | 20 |
| 202 TH 00 | THA | Thailand | 202 | THA | Thailand | TH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 203 CL 00 | CHL | Chile | 203 | CHL | Chile | CL | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 510DK00 | NEI. 007 | NEI (Denmark) | 500 | NEI.FLAGS | Flag related NEI's | DK | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 511FR00 | NEI. 008 | NEI (France) |  |  |  | FR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 512 GR 00 | NEI. 010 | NEI (Greece) |  |  |  | GR | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 513IT00 | NEI. 011 | NEI (Italy) |  |  |  | IT | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 514MA00 | NEI. 016 | NEI (Norway) |  |  |  | MA | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 515PT00 | NEI. 019 | NEI (Portugal) |  |  |  | PT | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |


| 516 ES 00 | NEI. 021 | NEI (Espana) |  |  |  | ES | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 517PA00 | NEI. 028 | NEI (Panama) |  |  |  | PA | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 518MX00 | NEI. 031 | NEI (Mexico) |  |  |  | MX | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 519SL00 | NEI. 040 | NEI (Sierra Leone) |  |  |  | SL | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 520 UY00 | NEI. 042 | NEI (Uruguay) |  |  |  | UY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 521 IE 00 | NEI. 066 | NEI (Ireland) |  |  |  | IE | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 522 HN 00 | NEI. 071 | NEI (Honduras) |  |  |  | HN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 523ST00 | NEI. 079 | NEI (S. Tomé e Principe) |  |  |  | ST | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 524 GQ 00 | NEI. 081 | NEI (Eq. Guinea) |  |  |  | GQ | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 525 GW 00 | NEI. 094 | NEI (Guine Bissau) |  |  |  | GW | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 526 RU 00 | NEI. 104 | NEI (Russian Fed.) |  |  |  | RU | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 527 GN 00 | NEI. 105 | NEI (G. Conakry) |  |  |  | GN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 528 VC 00 | NEI. 111 | NEI (St. Vincent) |  |  |  | VC | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 529 GB 00 | NEI. 112 | NEI (EC.GBR) |  |  |  | GB | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 530 CN 00 | NEI. 118 | NEI (China) |  |  |  | CN | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 531BZ00 | NEI. 134 | NEI (Belize) |  |  |  | BZ | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 532GE00 | NEI. 144 | NEI (Georgia) |  |  |  | GE | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 533 GM 00 | NEI. 147 | NEI (Gambia) |  |  |  | GM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 534BY00 | NEI. 157 | NEI (Belarus) |  |  |  | BY | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 535 KH 00 | NEI. 166 | NEI (Cambodia) |  |  |  | KH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 536PM00 | NEI. 172 | NEI (France, S. Pierre Miquellon) |  |  |  | PM | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 501--00 | NEI. 001 | NEI (ETRO) | 501 | NEI. 001 | Combined NEI | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 502--00 | NEI. 002 | NEI (Mediterranean Unclassified) | 502 | NEI. 002 | Combined NEI | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 503--00 | NEI.COMB | NEI (Combined fleets BFT) | 503 | NEI.COMB | Combined NEI | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 504--00 | NEI.UK.OT | NEI (UK-O. Territories unclassified) | 504 | NEI.UK.OT | Combined NEI | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 800--00 | MIX.FIS | France + C. Ivoire + Senegal | 800 | MIX.FIS | Mixed flags | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 801--00 | MIX.KR+PA | Korea + Panama | 801 | MIX.KR+P | Mixed flags | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 802--00 | MIX.FR+ES | EC-France + España | 802 | MIX.FR+ES | Mixed flags | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 900JP00 | JPN.REF | Japan (Reference data) | 900 | JPN.ref | Japan | JP | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 910CA00 | JPN.OB.CAN | Japan (observed by Canada) | 910 | JPN.obs | Japan (foreign obs.) | CA | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 910SH00 | JPN.OB.SHN | Japan (observed by Sta. Helena) |  |  |  | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 910US00 | JPN.OB.USA | Japan (observed by USA) |  |  |  | US | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 911SH00 | TAI.OB.SHN | Chinese Taipei (observed by Sta. Helena) | 911 | TAI.Obs | Chinese Taipei (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 912SH00 | HND.OB.SHN | Honduras (observed by Sta. Helena) | 912 | HND.Obs | Honduras (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 913 SH00 | PAN.OB.SHN | Panama (observed by Sta. Helena) | 913 | PAN.Obs | Panama (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 914SH00 | SGP.OB.SHN | Singapore (Observed by Sta. Helena) | 914 | SGP.Obs | Singapore (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| $915 \mathrm{SH00}$ | BLZ.OB.SHN | Belize (Observed by Sta. Helena) | 915 | BLZ.Obs | Belize (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 916SH00 | SYC.OB.SHN | Seychelles (Observed by Sta. Helena) | 916 | SYC.Obs | Seychelles (foreign obs.) | SH | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 920--00 | GHA.ICCAT | Ghana (ICCAT program) | 920 | GHA.ICCA | Ghana (ICCAT program) | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 921--00 | JPN.ICCAT | Japan (ICCAT program) | 921 | JPN.ICCAT | Japan (ICCAT program) | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 922--00 | CUB.ICCAT | Cuba (ICCAT program) | 922 | CUB.ICCAT | Cuba (ICCAT program) | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 923--00 | CHN.ICCAT | China (ICCAT program) | 923 | CHN.ICCA | China (ICCAT program) | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |
| 999--99 | UNCL.FLEETS | OTHERS (Unclassified fleets) | 999 | UNCL | Unclassified flag | -- | --- | --- | NCO | 99 |

Table 9. Gear classification
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Figure 1. Geographic delimitations of areas used in Task I.

## Comparison Between Task I Data and Trade Data and Revised NEI Estimates

In accordance with the ICCAT Recommendations, the Secretariat received reports of statistical documents for bluefin tuna, swordfish and bigeye tuna and also information on re-export certificates. When possible, the data in product type from the statistical documents and the re-export certificates were converted to live weight and, if there were no conversion factors available, the weight from the trade data in product type was maintained. Then, the nominal catch from Task I was compared with the trade data thus estimating possible unreported catch.

## Bluefin tuna

For the comparative analysis the information from the trade data of Appendix Table 1 was not used for the following reasons:

- Information on the ocean was not submitted,
- The Atlantic area was not specified,
- The Party/Entity/Fishing Entity for re-export product was not reported.

The conversion factors in Appendix Table 2 were used without any exception. In Appendix Table 3 the estimate of NEI-Combined was estimated according to the recommendations made by the ICCAT working group at the Data Exploratory meeting for the East Atlantic and Mediterranean (SCRS/2004/013). The result of this calculation shows zero catch for NEI-Combined except 2003. At the time of the writing this report the information for 2003 was very incomplete. We have also presented in Appendix Table 4 a comparison between Task I and trade data by flag, area, gear and year.

## Swordfish and bigeye tuna

The Secretariat was unable to find conversion factors from product type to live weight for these two species. The information received for swordfish and bigeye was very poor. Nevertheless, in Appendix Table 5 and Appendix Table 6 a comparison is made of Task I and trade data in product type.

Appendix Table 1. BFT trade imformation (SDs \& RCs) not used in the comparative analysis (t)


Appendix Table 2. Conversion factors

|  |  | Area | BM | DR | FL | GG | OT | RD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ild | AE | 10,9 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |  |
|  |  | AW | 10,9 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | 2 |  |
|  |  | MED | 10,28 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | 2 |  |
| Farmed |  | AE | 1 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | 2 |  |
|  |  | AW | 1 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | 2 |  |
|  |  | MED | 1 | 1,25 | 1,67 | 1,16 | 2 |  |
| $\underset{\text { BR }}{\text { BR }}$ ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 3. NEI-COMB revised estimates for MED and AE ( t , round weight) between 1993 and 2003




Appendix Table 5 SWO comparison between Task I catches and trade statistics (product weight) between 2000 and 2003, by flag and gear.


Appendix Table 6. BET comparison between Task I catches and trade statistics (product weight) between 2000 and 2003, by flag and gear.

|  |  |  |  |  | TASK |  |  | TRADE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | JPN | KOR |  | TWN |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SD | RC | SD | SD |
| Area | Status | Flag | Gear | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 | 2003 |
| Atlantic | CP | Barbados | LL | 18 | 6 | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Brasil | BB | 6 | 126 | 0 | 81 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 2762 | 2534 | 2582 | 2356 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Canada | HP | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 285 | 220 | 265 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RR | 10 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TL | 31 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Cape Verde | RR | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | China, People's Republic of | LL | 6564 | 7210 | 5840 | 7890 | 7909 |  | 8 |  |
|  |  | EC.España | BB | 4084 | 3897 | 2775 | 4026 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 598 | 211 | 333 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 6427 | 5923 | 7038 | 6372 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TR | 141 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  |  | 379 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EC.France | ${ }^{\text {BB }}$ | 1921 | 1593 | 786 | 758 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | GN | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 4013 | 3355 |  | 3182 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TW | 15 |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EC.Ireland | TW |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | BB | 1498 | 1605 | 2420 | 1572 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 1 |  | 170 | 83 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FR. Saint Pierre et Miquelon |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | F.Sant Prite et Miquelon | UNCL |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gabon | GN | 47 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S.UN | 102 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TR | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TW |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ghana | BB | 2105 | 6573 | 4378 | 1566 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 3481 | 7522 | 1516 | 3250 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Japan | LL | 24411 | 18056 | 15097 | 18585 |  |  | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | LL | 43 | 1 | 87 |  | 122 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Libya | LL | 400 | 31 | 593 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Maroc | S.UN | 770 | 857 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  |  | 913 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mexico | LL | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Namibia | BB | 107 | 359 | 77 | 65 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 482 | 280 | 196 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Panama | BB | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 378 | 89 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Philippines | LL | 975 | 377 | 837 | 855 | 649 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Russian Federation | PS | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | South Africa | BB | 48 | 104 | 22 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 177 | 63 |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sta. Helena | BB | 8 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | LL | 5 | 11 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | U.S.A. | GN |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 532 | 682 | 511 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | RR | 40 | 400 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TP | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TW | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | LL | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Uruguay | LL | 25 | 51 | 67 | 43 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Venezuela | BB | 7 | 131 | 153 | 91 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | LL | 38 | 17 | 33 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 181 | 513 | 444 | 359 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NCC | Chinese Taipei | LL | 16795 | 16429 | 18483 | 18682 | 17818 |  | 263 |  |
|  | NCO | Belize (foreign obs.) | LL | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Combined NEI | BB | 1306 | 1169 | 458 | 913 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 979 | 1855 | 1790 | 4324 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Congo | PS | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Cuba | LL |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dominica | UNCL |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Faroe Islands | LL | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Flag related NEI's | LL | 15092 | 8470 | 531 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Grenada | LL |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Liberia | UNCL | 57 | 57 | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | BB | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | PS | 2359 | 2803 | 1879 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Senegal | BB | 2262 | 2616 | 1130 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Seychelles | LL | 58 |  | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Seychelles (foreign obs.) | LL | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sierra Leone | LL | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | LL UNCL | $1215$ | $\begin{array}{r} 506 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 15 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | RR |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TR |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | UNCL |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | CP | Japan | UNCL |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | UNCL |  |  |  |  |  | 162 |  |  |
|  | NCO | Seychelles | UNCL |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |

# REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH AND STATISTICS (SCRS) (Madrid, Spain - 4-8 October 2004) 

## 1 Opening of the meeting

The 2003 Meeting of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS) was opened on Monday, 4 October, at the Hotel Velazquez, in Madrid, by Dr. Joao Gil Pereira, the Chairman of the Committee. Dr. Pereira welcomed the participants to the annual meeting.

Dr. Pereira introduced the new Executive Secretary, Mr. Driss Meski. Mr. Meski welcomed all the participants, and stressed the importance of the work of the SCRS, which is the basis of Commission management and conservation measures. He assured the Committee of his and the Secretariat's full support to facilitate the work of the Committee, and wished the scientists a successful meeting. The opening address of the Executive Secretary is attached as Appendix 4.

## 2 Adoption of Agenda and arrangements for the meeting

The Tentative Agenda was reviewed and adopted as in Appendix 1.
The following scientists served as Rapporteurs for the species sections (Agenda item 8) of the 2004 SCRS Report:

| Tropical tunas- general | R. Pianet |
| :--- | :--- |
| YFT - Yellowfin tuna | C. Brown |
| BET - Bigeye tuna | N. Miyabe |
| SKJ - Skipjack tuna | D. Gaertner |
| ALB - Albacore | V. Ortiz de Zarate |
| BFT - Bluefin tuna | J. Powers (W), J.M. Fromentin (E) |
| BIL - Billfishes | D. Die |
| SWO- Swordfish | G. Scott (Atl.), G. Tserpes (Med.) |
| SBF - Southern Bluefin | CCSBT |
| SMT - Small tunas | A. Hattour |

The ICCAT Secretariat served as rapporteur for all other SCRS Agenda items.

## 3 Introduction of Contracting Party delegations

Delegates from the following 15 Contracting Parties were present at the 2004 SCRS Meeting: Brazil, Canada, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, European Community, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Morocco, Sao Tome and Principe, South Africa, Turkey, United Kingdom (Overseas Territories) and United States of America. The List of Participants of the Species Groups and the SCRS Plenary is attached as Appendix 2.

## 4 Introduction and admission of observers

The Representative of FAO, the depository of the ICCAT Convention, was introduced and welcomed. The address to the Committee by Mr. Majkowski is included in Appendix 4.

Scientists from Chinese Taipei, Senegal and the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) were admitted to the meeting as observers (see Appendix 2).

## 5 Admission of scientific documents

The Secretariat informed the Committee that about 153 scientific documents had been submitted during the year, many prepared for inter-sessional meetings. Not all of these documents were made available in paper format for the SCRS meeting, as authors must provide 80 copies for distribution at the Plenary, but all those received electronically by 1 October 2004 were available on the ICCAT ftp site. It was noted that this had been very helpful, and scientists were encouraged to submit copies of their documents as far as possible in advance of the meeting, in order for these to be made available before the SCRS.

In addition to the scientific papers, there were six inter-sessional scientific meeting reports, 17 National Reports and Reports of Observers, and several documents prepared by the Secretariat. The List of Documents is attached as Appendix 3.

## 6 Report of Secretariat activities in data and research

The Secretariat briefly summarized the main points of the Secretariat's Report on Statistics and Coordination of Research in 2003-2004, which had been presented to the Meeting of the Sub-Committee Statistics and thoroughly discussed at that time.

The Committee congratulated the Secretariat on the improvements both in the data collection system and the ICCAT web-site. The Secretariat informed the Committee that further improvements were expected in the future, and that a project was currently under way to make available electronic copies of all documents which have been published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers. It was also clarified that ICCAT was committed to providing the scientific abstracts to ASFA.

## 7 Review of national fisheries and research programs

## Brazil

In 2003, the Brazilian tuna longline fleet consisted of 119 vessels, a $7.7 \%$ decrease from 2002, when 129 vessels were operating. The number of baitboats in 2003 was 39 , with an increase of $5.1 \%$ from 2002.

The Brazilian catch of tunas and tuna-like fishes, including billfishes, sharks, and other species of minor importance, was $48,828.4 \mathrm{t}$ (round weight), representing a decrease of about $3.4 \%$ from 2002, when $50,575.5 \mathrm{t}$ were caught. The majority of the catch was again taken by baitboats (about $50 \%$ ), with skipjack tuna being the most abundant species $(20,416.1 \mathrm{t})$. The total catch of the tuna longline fishery, equal to $14,869.6 \mathrm{t}$, was about $8.8 \%$ lower than 2002. Swordfish was the most abundant species with a catch close to $3,000 \mathrm{t}$. Bigeye tuna and blue shark, accounting for $15.9 \% ~(2,373.9 \mathrm{t})$ and $14.5 \%(2,160.1 \mathrm{t})$ of the catches, were respectively the second and third most caught species. Yellowfin tuna ranked fourth, with $1,940.9 \mathrm{t}$. The total catch of white marlin, blue marlin and sailfish, including dead and live discards, was $262.6 \mathrm{t}, 577.0 \mathrm{t}$, and 346.7 t , respectively. Besides the blue shark, $1,710.7 \mathrm{t}$ of other shark species were caught as by-catch as well as a target species.

The responsibility for all issues relating to highly migratory species in Brazil (including data collection and submission to ICCAT) pertains to the Special Secretary of Aquaculture and Fisheries (SEAP), which has the status of Ministry. However, several institutions directly assisted the Secretariat in processing and analyzing data: Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco (Federal Rural University of Pernambuco - UFRPE) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (Federal University of Rio Grande do Nortel), located in the Northeast, Universidade Federal do Pará (Federal University of Pará), located in the North, Instituto de Pesca (Fishery Institute), located in the Southeast, and Universidade do Vale do Itajaí (Itajaí University - UNIVALI), located in the South. These institutions, together with IBAMA- Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis (Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources), continued to conduct several research and statistics activities on tuna species caught by Brazilian boats.

Besides the catch and effort data regularly collected from Brazilian tuna fisheries, a total of 111,671 fish were measured at landing as follows: 875 yellowfin; 558 bigeye; 319 albacore; 1,139 swordfish; and 108,780 skipjack. Data have also been collected from several recreational fisheries based off southeast Brazil, mainly in Rio de Janeiro-RJ and Ilhabela-SP, where sport tournaments are conducted by local yacht clubs and billfish tag and release (tags from The Billfish Foundation) has been conducted since the early 1990s.

In order to adequately comply with ICCAT recommendations, the Brazilian Government has, in the past, implemented several fishery regulations. A new Rule (I.N. 02/2004), regulating Brazilian tuna fishery, was published on April 12, 2004, establishing the catch limits for South and North Atlantic swordfish, North Atlantic albacore, white marlin and blue marlin. It also reiterated the mandatory release of all specimens of marlins that are still alive by the time of boarding. The sale of any white marlin or blue marlin landed was also prohibited until December 31, 2004.

## Canada

Bluefin tuna are harvested in Canadian waters from July through December over the Scotian Shelf, in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, in the Bay of Fundy, and off Newfoundland. The Canadian nominal landings of Atlantic bluefin tuna in 2003 were 556.6 t . In addition, 14.0 t were estimated to have been discarded dead from the pelagic longline fleet. All traditional bluefin tuna fishing areas produced catches of tuna in 2003. The tended line fishery in the area between Georges and Browns Bank off southwest Nova Scotia known as the Hell Hole and the Gulf of St. Lawrence rod and reel fishery produced the largest fractions of the total Canadian landings in 2003, each constituting about $34 \%$ of the Canadian landings. Additional catches were also taken from the St. Margaret's Bay traps, from the rod and reel fishery off northeastern Nova Scotia, and from coastal fishing areas off Nova Scotia. In the Bay of Fundy, bluefin tuna were taken by electric harpoon. Finally, a tended line fishery exists on the Tail of the Grand Banks of Newfoundland.

Swordfish occur in Canadian waters from April to December, primarily on the edge of Georges Bank, the Scotian Shelf and the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. The Canadian nominal landings of swordfish in 2003 were 1284.9 t. Landings of undersized swordfish were $2 \%$ (by number). Based on data from at-sea Observers on the pelagic longline fleet, 78.6 t of swordfish were estimated to have been discarded dead. In 2002, swordfish fishery changed from a competitive one to one operated under Individual Transferable Quotas (ITQs). Under the ITQ system, fishers are able to direct for swordfish or use the Individual Quota for by-catch to support the other tunas fishery. This resulted in a longer fishing season for swordfish than in previous years.

The other tunas (albacore, bigeye and yellowfin) are at the northern edge of their range in Canada, and have traditionally been a minor portion of the overall Canadian catch of large pelagic species. However, more attention has been given to fishing for these species, and the ITQ management regime allows for more emphasis on the development of the other tunas fishery.

Among the shark species, Canada has a directed longline fishery for porbeagle. Historically, blue shark and shortfin mako have been a by-catch of the Canadian swordfish and groundfish longline fisheries. Total reported landings in 2003 were 142 t of porbeagle, 6.1 t of blue shark and 73 t of shortfin mako. Observer records indicate a substantial discarded catch of blue shark and shortfin mako.

Some highlights of Canada's research program in 2003 are as follows:
1 Evaluation of the effectiveness of time/area closures for minimizing by-catches of bluefin tuna in pelagic longline fisheries.
2 Collaborative research on genetics of bluefin and bigeye tuna.
3 Comparative studies of growth of Atlantic and Pacific porbeagle shark populations.
Details on Canada's research program may be found in the National Report.

## China (People's Rep.)

Longline is the only fishing gear for tunas by China fishing fleet in the Atlantic Ocean. The total number of tuna longliners operating in the Atlantic Ocean was 38 in 2003. The total catch of tuna and tuna-like species amounted to $10,048 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003, higher than that of 2002 . Bigeye tuna ( 7889.7 t ) is the most important species, accounting for $78.5 \%$ of the total, $5.7 \%$ more than that of 2002 . The yellowfin tuna catch increased from 696.7 t in 2002 to $1,049.7 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003 . The swordfish catch amounted to 669.1 t , a $30.4 \%$ decrease from the previous year. The estimated catch of blue shark and short fin mako amounted to 600 t and 260 t , respectively.

The data compiled, including Task I and Task II as well as the number of fishing vessels, have been routinely reported to the ICCAT Secretariat by Shanghai Fisheries University (SHFU). China started carrying out a tuna observer program in ICCAT waters in 2001. One observer was sent to the Chinese Atlantic tuna longline fishing fleet. The observer covered area was $15^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-15^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-40^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$.

In terms of implementation of the relevant ICCAT conservation and management measures, the fishery administration authority of China required all the fishing companies operating in the Atlantic Ocean to report their catch monthly to the Tuna Working Group in Shanghai Fisheries University in order to comply with the catch limits. The Government of China is establishing a fishing vessel management system, including the issuance of licenses to all Chinese fishing vessels in the high seas of the world oceans, and plans to implement a VMS program in two years.

## Côte d'Ivoire

Côte d'Ivoire, while it does not have tuna vessels, plays a very important role in the management of tunas in the Atlantic. The Centre de Recherches Océanologiques (CRO) (Center for Oceanographic Research) is in charge of the research work on marine and high seas fisheries. This center is responsible for the research and statistics on tunas landed regularly at the fishing port of Abidjan. During the course of 2003, the industrial tuna fishery was monitored by the CRO, together with the Institut de Recherche por le Developpement (IRD) (Research Institute for Development) and the Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO) (Spanish Institute of Oceanography).

Besides this industrial fishery, there is an artisanal fishery that also lands small tunas and mainly billfishes (marlins and swordfish) and sharks. The monitoring of this fishery has been reinforced due to the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program on Billifsh.

The landings of tunas at the fishing port of Adibjan in 2003 were mainly made by Spanish (15), French (14) and Ghanaian (12) purse seiners. In addition to these, there were 8 vessels flying flags of other countries.

In 2003, the industrial fleet landed or transshipped $131,964 \mathrm{t}$ of tunas and $9,534 \mathrm{t}$ of "false" tuna at the fishing port of Abidjan. Catches of the artisanal fishery were about 500 t , comprised mainly of billfish and small tunas.

## Croatia

The total Croatian catch of tuna and tuna-like fishes in 2003 was 1,139 metric tons $(t)$. Bluefin tuna comprise $100 \%$ of the catch. Purse seine catches amounted to $1,137 \mathrm{t}$, and 2 t were caught by sport fishing. Almost the total purse seine catch is transferred to floating cages for growing purposes.

Additionally, $1,123 \mathrm{t}$ of large bluefin tuna have been imported to Croatia in 2003 from France and Spain for growing purposes.

There were 31 reported licensed large-scale vessels ( $>24 \mathrm{~m}$ ), while 20 of these have reported catches in 2003.
A study on bluefin tuna farming, based on the tagging of live specimens in the grow-out floating cages, within the framework of the Bluefin Year Program (BYP), is still under way. A new conversion factor has been estimated regarding the conversion from gilled and gutted (GG) product weight (originated from farming) to round weight (RWT) as reported in document SCRS/2004/096. In addition, samples of heart muscles have been taken for genetic studies.

A new national regulation entered into force in 2003, introducing mandatory reporting of farming data.

## European Community

## EC-Cyprus

## Annual fisheries information

Large pelagic species are taken by a large variety of fishing gears in the inshore fishery and by both a multipurpose and trawl fishery in international waters, mainly in the eastern and central Mediterranean. In 2003, 33 vessels were licensed and 14 of them reported bluefin tuna catches. The recreational fishery is also directed at these species, basically albacore.

Bluefin tuna are fished from April to November. The nominal catches in 2003 were 78.9 t . Bluefin tuna catches increased in the last five years, as the demand on the market has increased. Swordfish are also caught from April to November, with a peak season in the summer months. Nominal landings is 2003 were 47.4 tons; 22 vessels
have been involved in this fishery. Nominal catches of albacore and bonito in 2003 were 30 and 10.4 t , respectively. Albacore catches increased in 2003 as the multi-purpose vessels have been targeting this species. Shark catches in the inshore fishery were insignificant. The multi-purpose fleet reported 12.9 t of shark species in 2003.

## Research and statistics

- Research

Under the National Program for Fisheries Data Collection for 2005, a pilot bluefin tuna tagging project is foreseen. Discard sampling in the large pelagic fisheries will also be undertaken.

- Statistics

All trawlers and multi-purpose vessels are required by law to keep logbooks. Production from the inshore fishery is collected from a $10 \%$ sampling of this fleet segment. Production of trawlers is collected by daily return of the logbooks. Those of the multi-purpose fleet are collected after each trip. The system ensures $100 \%$ log records and individual weights.

Cyprus is participating in the MedFisis project, which is aimed at improving the statistical system (collection and processing of data).

## EC-France

## Information on the national fisheries

French total catches of tunas and tuna-like species in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean in 2003 amounted to $66,880 \mathrm{t}$, i.e., considerably higher than that of $2002(64,850 \mathrm{t})$. This relative stabilization has come about following a decline in French catches observed since the mid-1990s, mainly due to the effect of the moratoria in the Gulf of Guinea, to the decrease in the number of tropical purse seiners, and to the decreases in catches of temperate tunas.

- Temperate tunas

Albacore: Albacore fishing in the Atlantic Ocean in the 2003 fishing season was carried out primarily by pelagic trawl, and secondly by baitboat and purse seine, as a result of the re-conversion of driftnet vessels. The 2003 total catches amounted to $3,641 \mathrm{t}$.

In the Mediterranean, albacore are caught very incidentally by purse seiners, and are actively fished by the sport fishers from mid-August and the end of October; their catches vary between 3 and 5 t .

Bluefin tuna: The overall French catches of bluefin tuna amounted to 6,497.5 t in 2003.
In the Mediterranean, bluefin tuna are caught mainly by purse seiners since the 1970s. The 2003 catch ( $5,849.4 \mathrm{t}$ ) continues the declining trend in catches observed since 1994 ( $11,800 \mathrm{t}$ ). The majority of the fishing effort, traditionally concentrated in the western part of the Mediterranean basin, shows an increasingly marked extension towards North Africa, on the one hand, and towards the eastern Mediterranean basin on the other. The majority of the fish caught have an average weight of $10-30 \mathrm{~kg}$, except during the fishing season of the Balearic Islands and Malta, when catches are mainly comprised of fish weighing 140-250 kg.

Bluefin tuna catches in the East Atlantic amounted to 648.1 t in 2003. Albacore continues to be the main target species of the French tuna fisheries in the northeastern Atlantic, whereas bluefin tuna catches are minor. The other fleets catch bluefin tuna as by-catch using pelagic trawl.

Other species: Swordfish are caught occasionally in the northeastern Atlantic, as by-catch of the fleets targeting albacore.

## - Tropical tunas

The total catches of tropical tunas (baitboats and purse seiners) in 2003 amounted to $56,742 \mathrm{t}(32,429 \mathrm{t}$ of yellowfin tuna, 19,898 t of skipjack tuna, $3,940 \mathrm{t}$ of bigeye tuna, 63 t of southern albacore, 167 t of by-catches, and 246 t of discards), representing a $6 \%$ increase as compared to 2002 , with a decline in overall effort, in terms of number of days fishing ( $-3 \%$ ). Given the multi-species nature of the tropical tuna fisheries, information by fleet is more appropriate than information by species.

1 Purse seine: Fourteen (14) French vessels caught 53,341 tof tunas in 2003, representing a slight increase ( $8 \%$ ) as compared to 2002: 31,672 t of yellowfin tuna, $18,021 \mathrm{t}$ of skipjack tuna, $3,182 \mathrm{t}$ of bigeye tuna, 63 t of southern albacore, 159 t of by-catches, and 246 t of discards. A comparison between the average catches obtained prior to the implementation of the moratoria (1991-1996, 65,600 t) and those made after its application (1998-2003, 50,600 t) shows an important decrease in catches. This is due mainly to the important decline in sets on floating objects and to some increase in fishing on free schools, all in an overall context of a decrease in fishing effort in terms of vessels and in days at sea.

2 Baitboat: Five (5) vessels based in Dakar obtained a total catch of 3,401 t in 2003, as follows: 757 t of yellowfin tuna, $1,878 \mathrm{t}$ of skipjack tuna, 758 t of bigeye tuna, and 8 t of frigate tuna and Atlantic black skipjack. This catch is considerably less than that of $2002(-25 \%)$, obtained by a fleet that has been stable for five years.

As concerns tropical tunas, fishery statistics and research are carried out in close collaboration with the research institutes of Côte d'Ivoire and Senegal, according to the procedures defined in previous statistical studies and in the context of a regulation that establishes a Community framework for the collection and management of the data necessary for a common fishery policy. These statistics cover $100 \%$ of the logbooks of this fleet and in $2003,460,000$ tropical tunas were sampled to determine species composition of the landings (i.e., 8.2 tunas per ton landed) and 194,000 fish were measured (i.e., 3.4 tunas per ton landed) to determine stock structure of the landings of the major species from vessels fishing within the Community framework. The detailed fishery statistics on French inter-tropical fleets in 2003 have been submitted in a timely manner to ICCAT and were the subject of two documents presented to the Bigeye Tuna Working Group (June 2003)

## Research and statistics

French research on temperate tuna and tuna-like species is carried out by the Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la Mer (IFREMER). The Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) conducts research on the tropical species.

- Bluefin tuna and albacore

The fleets that direct their activity at bluefin tuna and albacore have to submit fishing logbooks in compliance with the European regulation. For the North Atlantic, biological sampling of the landings of the catches is carried out to evaluate the size structure of the catches.

In 2003, the IFREMER carried out an observer program on board French tuna purse seiners fishing bluefin tuna in the Mediterranean to estimate the size composition of the catches. The protocol and the sampling strategy were defined by IFREMER and the operations were carried out by two independent scientific observers (each one embarked on a purse seiner during the course of two specific fishing seasons). The results indicate that the sampling obtained is nevertheless insufficient to be representative of this fleet and besides it is more biased since the large fish are very under-sampled (because they are preferably transferred live to the cages). In conclusion, only the development of a joint observer program on board the purse seiners and in the cages will result in covering the size composition of the purse seine catches.

In the spring of 2000 the "Stromboli" program was initiated, a research program on bluefin tuna co-financed by the European Union and coordinated by France; the program ended in 2002. In 2003 aerial surveys have been carried out in the Gulf of Lyon, which was a follow-up of the work carried out within the framework of the "Stromboli" program to test the possibility of establishing an abundance index on juvenile bluefin tuna.

A new research program, "FEMS", was stared in 2002, co-financed by the EU, coordinated by CEFAS (UK), in which France actively participates, and expected to continue until 2005. Its objective is to develop simulation models to evaluate the different management strategies of the fish stocks exploited. The tuna stocks included in
this project are: the East Atlantic bluefin tuna stock, the North Atlantic albacore stock, and the three major stocks of Atlantic tropical tunas.

These programs contribute to the objectives of the ICCAT Bluefin Year Program (BYP).

- Tropical tunas

Scientists of the IRD actively participated in the BETYP Symposium (Madrid, March 2004) where they presented four documents, independently or in collaboration with other scientists, at the Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna (Madrid, March 2004), where three documents were presented and at the pertinent working (Statistics, Tropical Species Working Group, SCRS).

Other research carried out on tropical tunas concerned the following: (1) the analysis of the ethology and the dynamics of the association between schools and baitboats developed by the Dakar fleet, in the course of being finalized with the last publications, particularly within the framework of the BETYP Symposium; (2) two purse seine observer trips in January and July 2003 in the Gulf of Guinea within the framework of the "trophodynamic" program, whose results confirm that tuna schools search for single species concentrations of prey for feeding, which constitute the almost total food regime of adult yellowfin fished by purse seine; (3) the continuation of the study, in collaboration with the PNAAPD of Mexico, on the utilization of neural networks to simulate the behavior of purse seine fish and evaluate the impact of the exchange of information among fishers on the estimation of abundance; (4) work carried out on the tag attrition models as well as with a Bayesian approach, for a comparison of the efficiency of the two types of tags on the recovery rates of bigeye tuna (2 articles); (5) within the framework of the European FEMS project (see above), a study has been published on the sensitivity of errors of some biological parameters (growth, natural mortality) on the stock assessments; (6) realtime monitoring of the environmental conditions of the sub-surface in the Gulf of Guinea within the framework of the PIRATA program; (7) the updating (to 2003) of the majority of the GAO databases (Atlantic and Indian Oceans), with the inclusion of supplemental functions in the user interface.

## EC-Greece

## Annual fisheries information

The fishery is characterized by a variety of vessel types and fishing gears, with landing sites dispersed in many locations depending on the season and the local abundance of the different species. The local fleets depend entirely on the exploitation of coastal species, involving a multi-species fishery in the eastern Mediterranean.

The system is very complex to monitor due to the large number of landing sites.
Bluefin tuna are mainly caught by longline and hand line, with catches amounting to 397 t in 2003. Bluefin tuna catches by purse seiners were only 25 t . Albacore catches by longline and hand line amounted to 472 t in 2003, and those by purse seine were 478 t . Swordfish production by longline in 2003 was $1,538 \mathrm{t}$. As for other tunas, the total production reached 348 t ( 246 t of Auxis spp. and 102 t of skipjack tuna), mainly caught by purse seine.

## Research and statistics

The operational statistical data collection system for bluefin tuna production improved this year by applying a special mechanism for monitoring catches that also includes better enforcement by Port Authorities in landing declarations. For other species, a combination of a system of non-compulsory landing declarations, marketed quantities at auction, and scientific data collection were used to improve the production estimates by different gears. Considerable effort was deployed in establishing a logbook system for fishing vessels.

## EC-Ireland

The Irish fishery for tunas and tuna like fishes is restricted to a commercial fishery for northern albacore tuna in the northeast Atlantic. In 2003 the fishery was carried out by a fleet of 25 vessels, reporting an annual catch of $755 t$, a decrease of $31 \%$ from the previous year's figures. A total of $12 t$ of broadbill swordfish and $3 t$ of bluefin tuna were reported as by-catch in the northern albacore fishery and in non-tuna pelagic fisheries. Recreational vessels less than 12 meters in length caught and released 15 bluefin tuna, of which three were also tagged using archival satellite tags. No by-catch of sharks was observed or reported.

Fishing for northern albacore tuna was conducted, in the main, by mid-water pair pelagic trawlers (MWTD). In addition, two vessels used trolling gear and a single vessel was engaged in trials using experimental longlines. The fishery commenced in July in the Bay of Biscay in an area bounded by latitudes $43^{\circ}$ to $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitudes $1^{\circ}$ to $6^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Fishing continued in this area until the end of August and thereafter moved northwest during September and October towards the Chapelle bank and off the continental shelf to the southwest of Ireland.

Ireland has conducted annual scientific monitoring program of the northern albacore tuna fishery since Irish vessels began to exploit this species. During 2003 scientific observers recorded biological data from 45 hauls on 3 pairs of vessels. Fork lengths were collected from 2,359 fish with 593 measured in August and 1,766 in September. In addition, dorsal fin spines were collected from 125 individuals to obtain estimates of fish age.

In response to market demands, in 2003 the Irish fleet targeted large fish in the north and northwest of the Bay of Biscay. Sampled fish ranged in size from 49 cm to 118 cm fork length with a mean fork length of 74 cm and with clearly defined modes at 52 cm and 80 cm . These fish were larger than those taken in the southeast of the Bay of Biscay $\left(44^{\circ}-46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right.$ and $\left.2^{\circ}-4^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$ in the previous year (mean 61 cm FL$)$.

In recent years schools of bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus) have appeared off the coast of Ireland in the late summer and autumn. As the origin of these tuna and their destination after leaving the Irish coast remain uncertain, scientists from the Irish Sea-fisheries Board (BIM) and Stanford University tagged three bluefin tuna (ranging in size from 221 cm to 264 cm curved fork length) with pop-up satellite archival transmitting (PAT) tags in September. Data on the movement patterns, depth and temperature preferences have been collected from two of these fish.

A minimum landing size of 6.4 kg for bluefin tuna and 25 kg for broadbill swordfish, mandated under European Council Regulation No. 850/98, is enforced and implemented by Irish vessels operating in the northern albacore tuna fishery. In response to market demands, juvenile fish (1-2 yr) were actively avoided by Irish vessels in the northern albacore tuna fishery in 2003.

## EC-Italy

The Italian tuna fleet remained almost stable in 2003, with no significant variation. The fishing pattern remained almost the same as the previous year, except for the displacement of some vessels in the southern Mediterranean, where a productive fishing ground for albacore has been identified. The bluefin tuna fishery has been managed by applying the quota system to each single vessel, as previous years. The swordfish fishery had a strong increase in production, due to the high availability of this species and to the long favorable weather conditions.

Production showed some variations: the bluefin tuna catches reached $4,972 \mathrm{t}$, swordfish catches reached a total of $8,395 \mathrm{t}$, albacore provided $6,912 \mathrm{t}$, while there were $3,753 \mathrm{t}$ of several minor tuna species. The detailed catches, by species, fishing area and gear have been provided to the ICCAT Secretariat, as Task I data.

At the same time, the enforcement of the EC Data Collection regulation (Reg. CE 1543/00) provided good sampling for the most important species (bluefin tuna, albacore, swordfish and Atlantic bonito), continuing the effort already carried out in 2002. Because of the important difficulties encountered in sampling some fishing activities, like tuna purse seining, this scientific work was the base to provide reliable statistics and size frequencies for most of the fisheries. Furthermore, updated biological information has been provided.

Research activities have been continued on a national or international basis. The pilot study on the bluefin tuna sport fishing has been completed and the results regularly reported to ICCAT. At the same time, a national tagging program, using electronic pop-up tags, has been carried out, in collaboration with Spanish and Turkish scientists, providing new information about the movements of bluefin tuna tagged in the eastern Mediterranean. All the Italian teams traditionally working on large pelagic species have been involved in the EC Data Collection framework, under national co-ordination provided by the Italian Society of Marine Biology. Italian scientists also cooperate in several EC research programs, such as REPRO-DOTT, SFITUM and others concerning tuna and tuna like species, and also cooperate in the FAO-COPEMED project on the same subject. Italian scientists also attended relevant international meetings, like the ICCAT-GFCM Joint Expert Meeting on Large Pelagic Species in Malaga and the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies in Marseille.

## EC-Malta

## Annual fisheries information

Bluefin tuna and swordfish are the target species of a surface longline fishery, involving 91 vessels. In 2003, landings of bluefin tuna amounted to 255.2 t and while those of swordfish were 162.5 t . In addition, 4.7 t of albacore have been taken as by-catch in the bluefin tuna fishery.

## Research and statistics

Malta took part in the FAO-COPEMED project. The results obtained in this project have been presented to ICCAT SCRS as scientific documents. Tagging activities have been undertaken in the context of the Bluefin Year Program.

The domestication aspects of the bluefin tuna are investigated through the participation in the DOTT project (European Commission $5^{\text {th }}$ RTD Framework Program). Cages have also been installed to conduct other research activities on bluefin tuna.

## EC-Portugal

Portuguese catches of tuna and tuna-like-species amounted to $9,398 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003, which represents a decrease of $33 \%$ over the catch of $1998(13,979 \mathrm{t})$. This decreasing trend is mainly due to the decline in baitboat fisheries in recent years. Apart from the tuna and tuna-like species catches a total amount of $5,423 \mathrm{t}$ of pelagic sharks were caught as by-catch from the longline fisheries.

Portuguese tuna fisheries take place mainly in the Azores and Madeira archipelagos, where local baitboat fleets target different species of tuna, depending on the season and local abundance of each species. In 2003, these bait-boat fleets caught 6,355 t in Azores and 2,150 t in Madeira, which included 4,300 t of skipjack, 1,609 t of bigeye tuna, 967 t of albacore and 3 t of yellowfin tuna. Part of the Azores fleet fished in the Madeira area during 2003.

A long-line fleet based at Portugal mainland targeting mainly swordfish and operating both in the North and South Atlantic, caught during 2003 a total of $1,056 \mathrm{t}$ of swordfish, of which 354 t were caught in the South Atlantic. The catches of the long-line fleets based in the Azores and Madeira and operating in the NE Atlantic amounted to 309 and 21 t , respectively.

Longliners based in Madeira which had been operating since 1990 in the Eastern Atlantic and in the Mediterranean, catching an average of 300 t of bluefin tuna per year, did not operate in the 2003.

One tuna-trap has been operating in the South of Portugal since 1995, targeting mainly bluefin tuna. In 2003 the total catch amounted to 122 t , of which 26 t corresponded to bluefin tuna.

Research programs on tuna and tuna-like species have been carried out by the Azores University, the Fisheries Research Laboratory of Madeira and the National Research Institute for Agriculture and Fisheries (INIAP/IPIMAR) in mainland Portugal. The collection of tuna statistics and sampling size frequencies have been routinely reported to the ICCAT Secretariat and the results of the scientific research have also been submitted to the regular meetings and inter-sessional workshops of the SCRS.

An observer program on the Azores baitboat fishery has been carried out since 1998, covering more than $50 \%$ of the fleet.

## EC-Spain

Spanish catches of tunas and tuna-like species in 2003 in the ICCAT area were $110,669 \mathrm{t}$ (preliminary data): $24,884 \mathrm{t}$ of yellowfin tuna; $11,768 \mathrm{t}$ of bigeye tuna; $44,837 \mathrm{t}$ of skipjack tuna; $12,723 \mathrm{t}$ of albacore; $9,149 \mathrm{t}$ of swordfish; 4,650 t of bluefin tuna; 444 t of billfishes; and 247 t of others). Size sampling was conducted on 349,438 fish (preliminary data): 43,363 yellowfin; 67,709 skipjack; 39,597 bigeye; 52,828 albacore; 55,238 bluefin; 75,415 swordfish; and 15,288 other species. In addition, 197,624 fish were identified for species. Fortytwo (42) scientific documents were presented to the 2004 SCRS. The most important fisheries continue to be those for tropical tunas (including Canary Islands tunas), with purse seine and baitboat gears, and those for
temperate tunas, such as bluefin tuna, albacore, swordfish and small tunas, with a variety of gears. In the tropical tuna fisheries 368,681 fish were sampled, with size data on 170,787 fish. The major source of information on the purse seine fishery is the fishing logbooks ( $88 \%$ coverage). Since 1990, there has been a massive introduction of artificial floating objects. Four (4) observer trips were carried out in 2003 and 2004. There was active participation in the Bigeye Tuna Year Program (BETYP) and the final BETYP Symposium. A bigeye tagging trip was carried out ( 265 tunas tagged) and data from the various tagging cruises were analyzed. Work was conducted on the development of the base case of an operational model for bigeye (FEMS project). In the baitboat fisheries, the major catches are made by objects ("manchas") fishing. Their estimated logbook coverage rate is close to $100 \%$. In 2003 43,714 tuna were measured in Dakar, Senegal. In the Canary Islands 22,417 tunas of various species were measured and the data coverage is close to $100 \%$.

As concerns bluefin tuna, there were 28,134 fish sampled in the Atlantic and species sampling continued for growth and genetics studies. In the Mediterranean, observer activities continued on board longliners and 1,203 bluefin tuna were sampled in canneries. Sampling continued for biological studies. The TUNIBAL projects on bluefin tuna spawning areas by means of larval surveys continued as did the REPRODOTT and GPM projects on the reproduction of bluefin tuna in captivity and in the natural environment, and the SFITUM project on the importance of the bluefin tuna recreational fishery. Work continued on relative abundance indices, growth, mortality, migrations, stock structure, environmental influence, and other research on tag-recapture with traditional, electronic and "dummy" archival tags, as well as coordination of the COPEMED project.

With regard to albacore, surveys were carried out ( $85-95 \%$ coverage) and 52,828 fish were sampled ( 4.2 fish per ton). Opportunistic-sport tagging activities continued. Studies were conducted on the effects of sampling error on the estimates of catch by age, in the albacore abundance index of the troll fleet, as well as the relation between the albacore stocks and the environment. The FEMS project was initiated on the development of an integrated framework to evaluate the management strategies applied to the North stock of albacore. Work was done on the ICCAT Manual.

Swordfish activities centered on the scientific monitoring of the fleet activity and comparison of the olfactory and visual capacity of swordfish based on experiments in the natural environment using different types of bait (natural, artificial and mixed). Work was carried out on the biological aspects of the most important species associated with this fishery and on their tag-recapture data according to historical information available from international tagging projects. There were 58,498 swordfish sampled in the Atlantic ( $26 \%$ coverage) and size-sex sampling continued. There were 600 fish were tagged and released and 180 recoveries of different species has been received. In the Mediterranean, sampling and observer activity continued and about 17,000 swordfish and other associated species were sampled. Studies were conducted on biological parameters and on associated species and several documents were prepared within the COPEMED and GFCM-ICCAT framework.

As concerns small tunas, significant progress was made in biological research of the species, on biological parameters of frigate tuna, Atlantic bonito and Atlantic black skipjack. Within the FAO-COPEMED project on Large Pelagics, scientific results were presented at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group held in Marseille (France).

## Japan

All the Japanese catch in the Atlantic Ocean has been made by the longline fishery since 1993. In recent years, the number of Japanese longline boats has been decreasing from 291 of 1996 to 193 and 201 in 2002 and 2003, respectively. This decline has also synchronized with the decline of fishing effort (about 40\%) in the Atlantic, although 2003 effort was slightly recovered. The reduction of fishing effort is attributable to the shift of longline vessels to the Pacific or to other areas partly due to the low catches for bigeye.

The provisional 2003 catch of tunas and tuna-like fishes in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea by the Japanese fishery is estimated to be $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ (an increase of $6,000 \mathrm{t}$ over 2002). Bigeye tuna is the most important species, accounting for about $65 \%$ of the total catch, followed by bluefin tuna, yellowfin tuna, southern bluefin tuna, albacore and swordfish. In 2003, catches for most species increased except for bluefin tuna. It appears there have been no new known changes to this fishery in recent years except for the above-mentioned decline of fishing effort.

## Korea (Rep. of)

The 2003 catch of tunas and tuna-like fishes by the Korean longline fishery in the Atlantic Ocean amounted to 402 t , representing a $31 \%$ increase from the previous year's figure. Yellowfin and bigeye tuna made up of the major component of the total Korean longline catch, accounting for $52 \%$ and $36 \%$, respectively, of the total catch. Yellowfin tuna catches increased from 7.8 t in 2002 to 209 t in 2003 and bigeye tuna catches increased to 143 t . Small quantities of albacore and billfishes were also caught by longliners.

The scientific monitoring work carried out by the National Fisheries Research and Development Institute (NFRDI) continued. This monitoring covers the collection of catch and fishing effort statistics from Korean tuna longliners in the Atlantic to meet the ICCAT data requirements. To implement the recommendations adopted by ICCAT, Korea has taken the necessary measures, including the introduction of new domestic regulations. Since 2002, the Korean Government has initiated the training of international observers to control the international fisheries, including the tuna fisheries.

## Mexico

Fishing effort of the longline fleet in the Gulf of Mexico is directed at yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares). In 2003, there were 30 active vessels, carrying out a total of 413 fishing trips and reporting a catch of $1,362 \mathrm{t}$ of yellowfin tuna. In addition, there was an incidental catch in this fishery of bluefin tuna (18 $t$ ), bigeye tuna ( $4 t$ ), swordfish ( 40 t ), sailfish ( 45 t ) and minor amounts of blue marlin and white marlin. Of the group of sharks caught as by-catch, those of oceanic whitetip (Carcharhinus longimanus), blacktip sharp (C. limbatus), and shortfin mako (Isurus oxyrhinchus) are noteworthy. Besides this fishery, the Gulf of Mexico waters sustain an artisanal fishery for small tunas along the coasts of the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. In this fishery, there was a total reported catch of $10,960 \mathrm{t}$ of small tunas in 2003, of which those of spotted Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus), king mackerel (Scomberomorus cavalla) and Atlantic bonito (Sarda sarda) are noteworthy. As concerns research, Mexico continues to maintain a National Observer Program for the longline fleet, covering $100 \%$ of the fishing trips. Through this program, information is collected that supports the various areas of research, in which the research work on the dynamics of the incidental catches of non-target species, such as other tunas, billfish, sharks and chelonians. The analysis consists of observing the possible relations that the catches of fish from these groups have with variables such hook type, depth of the set, time of the year and others. The objective is to observe which of these variables have an influence on by-catches, so as to reduce them or eliminate them. Currently, only partial results are available from the Exploratory Data Analysis, with a time-area perspective.

## Morocco

Fishing for tuna and tuna-like species is an important component in the maritime fishing sector and continues to occupy an important place in the national economy of this sector.

The major tuna species caught by Moroccan fishers are bluefin tuna, bigeye tuna, swordfish, yellowfin and small tunas (skipjack, Atlantic bonito, frigate tuna, etc.) as well as many other species.

In 2003, the catches of tunas and tuna-like species amounted to $10,104 \mathrm{t}$, representing a $19 \%$ decrease as compared to catches in 2002

This decline is mainly due to the decrease in the catches of small tunas ( $-40 \%$ ) and bluefin tuna, due to poor weather conditions which prevailed during the trap setting periods.

As regards the conservation and management measures on the tuna resources, Morocco continues to apply all the recommendations and resolutions adopted by ICCAT, in order to contribute to the sustainable and rational exploitation of these shared stocks.

In 2003, the Institut National de Recherche Halieutique continued its research programs aimed at the study of the biology and the exploitation of tuna and tuna-like species, with the support of the COPEMED project.

## South Africa

The South African tuna fishery comprises three sectors, namely baitboat and sport, which target albacore when seasonally available in near-shore waters off southwest Africa, and tuna longline, which predominantly targets
swordfish throughout the year. A small percentage of tuna are also taken as by-catch in the pelagic shark longline fishery. Of the 163 authorized baitboat vessels only 88 vessels were active in 2003 . The tuna longline sector continued to operate under experimental permits and 22 of 30 vessels were active 2003 . There were approximately 50 vessels active in the sport sector and seven vessels in the pelagic shark longline sector in 2003.

Total albacore landings in 2003 by the baitboat fleet was estimated at $3,322 \mathrm{t}$, which is the lowest on record since 1983, and was almost $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ lower than the annual ten year average from 1993-2002. This decline was due to a decrease in fishing effort in this sector as a result of the strong South African currency and the unavailability of the resource in near-shore waters, making albacore fishing economically unviable. Longline fishing effort decreased by $40 \%$, from 1.1 million hooks in 2002 to 0.7 million hooks in 2003 , and was also affected by the strong South African currency. Nominal swordfish CPUE continued to decline from $785 \mathrm{~kg} / 1000$ hooks in 1998 to $311 \mathrm{~kg} / 1000$ hooks, which resulted in some fishers shifting fishing effort into the southwest Indian Ocean. Reported landings of bigeye tuna ( 90 t ) and yellowfin tuna ( 24 t ) and nominal CPUE for these species also decreased in comparison to 2002 figures. Shark longline effort increased by $500 \%$ from 35,000 hooks in 2002 to 174,000 hooks in 2003 , due to increased market prices for shark. Consequently, reported landings (in dressed weight) increased from 4 t to 132 t for blue sharks and 1 t to 96 t for shortfin mako sharks.

Port sampling trips for albacore length measures declined to two trips in 2003. An onboard scientific observer program for tuna longliners has been in existence since 1998 and is aimed at covering $20 \%$ of all fishing trips. Observer coverage declined from $17 \%$ in 2001 to $7 \%$ of 202 fishing trips in 2003.

The major focus of research, since 1998, has been the life history and stock delineation of swordfish occurring in the waters of Southern Africa. Consequently, biological material of swordfish for ageing, sexing, maturation, diet and genetic studies are continually collected. A tagging program for billfish, tunas and pelagic sharks is expected to be implemented in 2005.

## Tunisia

During 2003, about 53 tuna vessels measuring between 15 and 38 meters total draft, and three traps set in the Gulf of Tunis carried out to tuna fishing along the Tunisian coasts. In addition, 90 longliners of various sizes continued to fish in Tunisian waters targeting swordfish.

The catches of tunas and tuna-like species (swordfish) amounted to $3,575 \mathrm{t}$. In terms of proportion, small tunas comprised the major component of the catches, with $69.8 \%$ of the total catches, i.e., $2,498 \mathrm{t}$, while bluefin tuna catches, estimated at 791 t , only represent $22.1 \%$. Swordfish catches have also declined, from $1,138 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002 to only 288 t , representing $8.1 \%$ of the national landings.

Purse seine landings of bluefin tuna continue to constitute more than $96.5 \%$ of the national catches of this species.

The contribution of the three Tunisian traps sent in the North of the country, to the catches of bluefin tuna is decreasing more and more. In 2003 their accumulated production was did not exceed 5 t of bluefin tuna, which represents less than $0.6 \%$ of the national catches.

In 2003, six bluefin tuna farms were created, with a total capacity of $2,400 \mathrm{t}$. The national caches only amounted to 587 t , which obliged the various companies to import bluefin tuna from elsewhere. In this way, 745 t of live bluefin tuna were repatriated to Tunisian cages. According to the report of the services concerned with this activity, production would be on the order of $1,627 \mathrm{t}$.

As concerns research activities, Tunisia continues to carry out research work on large pelagics, through the Institut National des Sciences et Technologie de la Mer (INSTM). The INSTM actively participates in the research activities of FAO/COPEMED, a program aimed at improving knowledge on the fishery, the biology and ecology of large pelagics in the Mediterranean, which is co-financed by the FAO/COPEMED and the INSTM.

## Turkey

The total Turkish catch of bluefin tuna and tuna species in 2003 was about $9,330 \mathrm{t}$. The catch of about $3,300 \mathrm{t}$ of bluefin tunas was made by 50 licensed purse seiners. Almost all of the purse seine catch was transferred to
floating cages for on-growing. Albacore comprised about 5\% of the bluefin tuna catch. In 2003, no official catch data for bullet tuna and Atlantic black skipjack were given. The total catch of swordfish in 2003 was about 350 t .

In 2003, biological sampling on bluefin tunas (gonads, liver, muscle, dorsal spines and otoliths) from the purse seine fisheries and farms was conducted, giving the first histological evidence of the presence of spawning of bluefin tunas in the Levantine Sea in May 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004. It was shown that the reproductive period of bluefin tuna in the eastern Mediterranean can be dated to around mid-late May, almost one month earlier than this spawning period in other Mediterranean spawning grounds.

Forty-three (43) bluefin tuna, donated by Turkish purse seiners and bluefin tuna farms, were tagged by pop-up satellite tags during the same period when gonad samples were collected. The results show that no bluefin tuna tagged in the Levantine Sea moved towards the Strait of Gibraltar after spawning. On 5-18 June 2004, the first larval cruise TUNALEV was conducted in the Levantine Sea. For the first time, good numbers of bluefin tuna, bullet tuna and Atlantic black skipjack larvae were found, indicating the first evidence of spawning in the Levantine Sea.

As regards the mandatory reporting of bluefin tuna farming in Turkish waters, a new regulation entered into force in 2003.

The minimum catch size of bluefin tunas is 90 cm FL. The minimum size for bonitos is 25 cm FL, with a catch restriction between 1 April and 1 September.

## United Kingdom (Overseas Territories)

The Bermuda commercial fishing fleet consisted of 220 vessels during the year 2003 with approximately 75 of the vessels actively fishing for tuna and tuna-like species. Most of the fishing effort is carried out in the inner 50 km of the Bermuda Exclusive Economic Zone, which includes two offshore banks, while longline vessels work further offshore.

For the year 2003, the total catch of tuna and tuna-like species was 155 t . This represents approximately a 45 t increase in the total catch over 2002. This increase was made up almost entirely of larger catches of wahoo and yellowfin tuna taken by commercial trolling. The larger catches may be due in part to an increase in fishing effort for pelagic species but inter-annual variability in the abundance of these highly migratory species is also an important factor.

Bermuda remained active in the ICCAT Enhanced Program for Billfish Research. A study on the post-release survival of blue marlin caught on recreational fishing vessels in the western Atlantic, utilizing pop-up satellite tags, continued this year. In 2003, there were further deployments of pop-up tags in Bermuda waters and in the Caribbean. In addition, tournament sampling of blue marlin continued to provide important data on reproductive seasonality at Bermuda's northerly latitude $\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\right)$. The Bermuda Marine Resources Division (formerly Fisheries) continues to be engaged in a number of regional research programs directed at various pelagic species including wahoo, yellowfin, tuna blackfin tuna and blue marlin. These studies include species biology, population genetics and tagging. In addition, recreational fishing for tuna and tuna-like species is monitored (mainly through tournaments) thus ensuring compliance with all ICCAT recommendations.

## United States

Total (preliminary) reported U.S. catch of tuna and tuna-like fishes (including swordfish, but excluding other billfishes) in 2003 was about $27,000 \mathrm{t}$, an increase of about $9 \%$ from about $25,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002. The estimated swordfish catch in 2003 (including estimated dead discards) marginally decreased ( 25 t ) and was about 2,800 t. Provisional landings from the U.S. fishery for yellowfin in the Gulf of Mexico increased in 2003 to about 2,500 t from about 2,400 tin 2002. The estimated 2003 Gulf of Mexico landings of yellowfin tuna accounted for about $33 \%$ of the estimated total U.S. yellowfin landings in 2003. U.S. vessels fishing in the northwest Atlantic landed in 2003 an estimated $1,489 \mathrm{t}$ of bluefin, a decrease of 400 t compared to 2002. Provisional skipjack landings decreased by 9 t to 78 t from 2002 to 2003, estimated bigeye landings decreased by 117 t compared to 2002 to an estimated 483 t in 2003, and estimated albacore landings decreased from 2002 to 2003 by 39 t to 449 t .

In addition to monitoring landings and size of swordfish, bluefin tuna, yellowfin tuna, billfish, and other large pelagic species through continued port and tournament sampling, logbook and dealer reporting procedures, and scientific observer sampling of the U.S. fleet, major research activities in the past year focused on several items.

Research on development of methodologies to determine the genetic discreteness of large pelagic fishes in the Atlantic was continued as were larval surveys for bluefin tuna and other large pelagics in the Gulf of Mexico. Research on development of robust estimation techniques for population analyses and on approaches for characterization of uncertainty in assessments and methods for translating that uncertainty into risk levels associated with alternative management approaches was further conducted. U.S. scientists also continued to coordinate efforts for the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish and for the Bluefin Year Program. Participants in the Southeast Fisheries Science Center's Cooperative Tagging Center (CTC) and The Billfish Foundation tagging program tagged and released 4,829 billfishes (swordfish, marlins, sailfish, and spearfish) and 608 tunas in 2003. This represents a decrease of $43 \%$ for billfish and a $9 \%$ decrease for tunas from 2002 levels. Electronic tagging studies of bluefin tuna and of marlins were substantially enhanced. Cooperative research was conducted with scientists from other nations on development of assessment methodologies, on biological investigations and on development of indices of abundance for species of concern to ICCAT.

During the past year, U.S. scientists participated in the various scheduled ICCAT intersessional meetings held in 2004 and prepared 30 scientific working papers in support of the working group activity.

## Observers from Cooperating Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities

## Chinese Taipei

Chinese Taipei started to fish tuna and tuna-like species in the Atlantic Ocean since early-1960s. Large-scale longline vessels (>200 Gross Registered Tonnage) have declined since 1996, particularly those vessels larger than 500 GRT, whereas Chinese Taipei registered small-scale tuna longline vessels (<100 GRT) that operated in the western tropical Atlantic Ocean numbered about 17 in the same time period. In 2003, the total number of vessels was 163 , including 13 re-registered vessels.

The fishery has only targeted albacore since the beginning in both the North and South Atlantic Ocean, and since the development of deep longline operations in late-1980s in the tropical Atlantic Ocean, some of the fishing effort has shifted to target bigeye and yellowfin tunas. Those three species constituted of over $86 \%$ of the annual catch since 1991. The catch composition of the Chinese Taipei registered small-scaled longline fleet shows a very similar target status with large scale-longline vessels.

The total catch made by the fleet was preliminarily estimated to be about $51,400 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003, including $2,537 \mathrm{t}$ from re-registered vessels under encouragement of ICCAT and the Japan-Chinese Taipei Joint Action Plan (in February 1999). The albacore in 2003 was estimated at $21,686 \mathrm{t}$, of which $4,539 \mathrm{t}$ were caught in the North Atlantic Ocean and $17,147 \mathrm{t}$ in the South. There was a 234 t increase for the North Atlantic Ocean, from 4,305 t, and a 75 t decrease for the South Atlantic Ocean, from 17,222 t in 2002. Catches of bigeye tuna and yellowfin tuna from the Atlantic Ocean in 2003 were estimated at about $19,541 \mathrm{t}$ and $6,486 \mathrm{t}$, respectively. The 2003 catch of bigeye tuna includes a $1,250 \mathrm{t}$ quota transfer from Japan, and shows an increase over the total catch in 2002 $(16,503 \mathrm{t})$. The 2003 catch of yellowfin tuna also increased from the 2002 catch $(4,542 \mathrm{t})$.

The catch of re-registered vessels has been reported in Chinese Taipei's catch report. The preliminary catch estimates of re-registered vessels operating in the Atlantic Ocean for albacore, bigeye tuna, yellowfin tuna and swordfish were $222 \mathrm{t}, 1,822 \mathrm{t}, 380 \mathrm{t}$ and 74 t in 2003, respectively. More detailed information on other tuna species is described in the report of Chinese Taipei (NAT-39).

Routine collection and compilation of fishery data on tuna and tuna-like species have continued. Recently, the Fishery Agency of Chinese Taipei not only initiated an Observer Program, but it also improved the national data collection systems, such as VMS, to improve data collection. In addition to conventional data collection, another focus for fishing activities and catch information of small-scale longline vessels is planned, combined with the port sampling program starting from the coming year. 2004 is the third year Chinese Taipei dispatched observers in the Atlantic Ocean to collect information on fishing activities. The information and data collected through this program can be classified into three categories, including fishing effort and catch, oceanographic data and biological information on target species and by-catch species. The analysis of the collected data is in process.

The Fishery Agency of Chinese Taipei supports research programs on main tuna and tuna-like species and has continued providing financial contribution for scientific research programs implemented by ICCAT. As a CPC observer, the amount of US $\$ 80,000$ has been contributed to the ICCAT Secretariat for fiscal year 2004.

## Guyana

Guyana's large pelagic fishery is currently restricted to the harvest of several shark species, Spanish mackerel, and king mackerel. All fishing occurs within Guyana's Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). The shark fishery developed in the late 1970s-early 1980s, in order to supply a growing local market. In 2003, 991 fishing vessels were involved in the large pelagic fishery, with sharks targeted mainly by the larger vessels using gillnets with a mesh size of 6-8 inches. In 2003, shark production amounted to 1811 t , with the total catch of Spanish and king mackerels being 388 t and 390 t , respectively. Juvenile sharks, taken as by-catch by the vessels operating gillnets in shallow waters, comprised $2 \%$ of the total shark catch in 2003. Juvenile sharks were landed whole. All other sharks continued to be landed dressed, making it difficult to record all of the catch by individual species. In 2003, the Coast Guard conducted 27 fisheries surveillance trips, i.e., just over two trips per month. Tuna and tuna-like species are known to occur in Guyana's waters, and Guyana is interested in developing a fishery to target these species that conforms fully to ICCAT regulations.

## Observers from intergovernmental organizations

## Caribbean Community (CARICOM)

Those CARICOM countries that are not members of ICCAT and which are submitting separate national reports in 2003 are: Guyana, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, and Belize. The report prepared by the Caribbean Regional Fisheries Mechanism (CRFM), on behalf of CARICOM, includes data and information from four other CARICOM countries that are also not members of ICCAT, namely: Grenada, Dominica, St. Kitts and Nevis, and St. Lucia. Large pelagic fisheries in these latter four countries involve multi-species, multi-gear, opportunistic operations. Small recreational fisheries operate in the four islands, with some catch data recorded during annual fishing tournaments. The catch levels and species composition of the catches observed in the four countries in 2003 were similar to those of 2002. The practice of fishing around FADs has become more organized in three islands, and this has improved the efficiency of local fishing operations in recent years. The most important species landed in 2003 were yellowfin and skipjack tunas, small tunas, and billfishes. In June 2003, the CRFM held its first annual scientific meeting, and examined wahoo and Spanish mackerel data available from a few eastern Caribbean CARICOM countries. Grenada continued to impose gear and other restrictions to help limit swordfish catches, and St. Lucia now regulates the total allowed catch per recreational fisher per trip, as well as the areas of recreational fishing operations.

## Observers from non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities

## Senegal

In Senegal there are three types of tuna fisheries, as follows:

- The industrial fishery that mainly targets three species: yellowfin (YFT), skipjack (SKJ) and bigeye (BET). These species are caught by the baitboat fleet which lands all its catches at the port of Dakar. Catches in 2003 amounted to $1,470 \mathrm{t}$ ( 279 t of yellowfin tuna, 733 t of skipjack tuna and 407 t of bigeye tuna). The most important catches were obtained from June to December, which corresponds to the period of activity of the tuna vessels.
- The artisanal fishery that catches coastal tunas: Atlantic black skipjack (LTA), west African Spanish mackerel (MAW), Atlantic bonito (BON), and billfish (swordfish-SWO, blue marlin-BUM, sailfish (SAI). The total catches in 2003 amounted to $4,113 \mathrm{t}(2,659 \mathrm{t}$ of Atlantic black skipjack-LTA, 592 t of west African Spanish mackerel-MAW, 622 t of Atlantic bonito-BON, and 240 t of sailfish-SAI).
- The sport fishery that targets billfish, sailfish and swordfish during the fishing season from May to November. A total (in number) of 1,265 sailfish and 79 billfish, on average, were caught from 1997 to 2000 with maximum catches from June to August.

The statistical data collection system is based on a daily logbook that is distributed at the various landing sites or from sampling which is also carried out.

Besides these fisheries, in 2003 two tuna canneries will be in operating (PFS and SE-SNCDS).

## 8. Executive Summaries on species

The Committee stresses that the main purpose of an Executive Summary is to provide a succinct overview to the Commission. These are summaries of the biology and fisheries affecting stocks of concern, the status and outlooks for these stocks, evaluations of effectiveness of management measures agreed by the Commission, and recommendations for additional management measures that the Committee feels would improve the odds of meeting the Commission's objective of attaining Maximum Sustainable Yield levels from the stocks. In order to avoid misunderstanding the Committee's intent, the SCRS stresses the need to recognize and cite all the conditions and uncertainties identified in the Executive Summary, if figures and tables are used apart from the entire Executive Summary Report.

The Committee also suggests that, in order to obtain a more rigorous scientific understanding of these Executive Summaries, readers consult the corresponding Detailed Reports, which are published in the Collective Volume series.

The Committee also notes that the texts and tables in these summaries generally reflect the information that was available to ICCAT immediately before the plenary sessions of the SCRS, as they were drafted by the Species Group meetings. Therefore, catches reported to ICCAT during or after the SCRS meeting may not be included in the Summaries.

### 8.1 YFT - YELLOWFIN TUNA

The last stock assessment was carried out in 2003, at which time catch and effort data through 2001 were available. This report includes the latest data available on catches and the fisheries.

## YFT-1. Biology

Yellowfin tuna is a cosmopolitan species distributed mainly in the tropical and subtropical oceanic waters of the three oceans, where they form large schools. The sizes exploited range from 30 cm to 170 cm FL. Smaller fish (juveniles) form mixed schools with skipjack and juvenile bigeye, and are mainly limited to surface waters, while larger fish are found in surface and sub-surface waters. The majority of the long-term recoveries have been tagged in the West Atlantic and recovered in the East Atlantic, where several recaptures are recorded each year. Maturity occurs at about 100 cm FL. Reproductive output among females has been shown to be highly variable, although the extent of this is unknown. The main spawning ground is the equatorial zone of the Gulf of Guinea, with spawning occurring from January to April. Juveniles are generally found in coastal waters off Africa. In addition, spawning occurs in the Gulf of Mexico, in the southeastern Caribbean Sea, and off Cape Verde, although the relative importance of these spawning grounds is unknown. Although such separate spawning areas might imply separate stocks or substantial heterogeneity in the distribution of yellowfin tuna, a single stock for the entire Atlantic is assumed as a working hypothesis (Atlantic Yellowfin Working Group, Tenerife 1993), taking into account the transatlantic migration (from west to east) indicated by tagging, a 40-year time series of longline catch data that indicates yellowfin are distributed continuously throughout the entire tropical Atlantic Ocean, and other information (e.g., time-area size frequency distributions and locations of fishing grounds). Growth patterns are variable with size, being relatively slow initially, and increasing at the time the fish leave the nursery grounds. Males are predominant in the catches of larger sized fish. Natural mortality is assumed to be higher for juveniles than for adults. This assumption is supported by tagging studies for Pacific yellowfin. New data on biology and catches obtained from the Brazilian longline fishery were presented in 2004.

## YFT-2. Description of the fisheries

Yellowfin tuna are caught in the entire tropical Atlantic, between $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, by surface gears (purse seine, baitboat and handline) and by longline. Total Atlantic catches in 2003 amounted to 123,929 t (YFT-Table 1, YFT-Figure 1 and YFT-Figure 2), the lowest level since 1984. It should be noted that the catch totals shown include estimates derived from Japanese import statistics, which list the country of origin but do not indicate flag of the landing vessel nor ocean of origin for the catch. In 2003, landings estimated through these import statistics totaled only 578 t . Further investigation of the historical import statistics is planned in order to ascertain if flag and ocean can be confirmed.

In the eastern Atlantic, there are several baitboat fisheries that operate along the African coast. The most important is the fishery based at Tema (averaging about 2.5 kg per fish); another is based in Dakar (averaging about 7 kg ) and others operate in the various archipelagos in the Atlantic (Azores, Madeira, Canary Islands and Cape Verde) with average weights around 30 kg . Purse seiners catch large yellowfin in the Equatorial region in the first quarter of the year, coinciding with the spawning season and area. They also catch small yellowfin in association with skipjack and bigeye using floating objects. Fish caught in free schools average around 34 kg , whereas those caught using floating objects average about 4 kg , with an overall average of around 18 kg .

In the western Atlantic, Venezuelan and Brazilian baitboats catch yellowfin (averaging about 14 kg ) together with skipjack and other small tuna. Purse seine fisheries have operated since 1980 in coastal areas to the north of the coast of Venezuela.

Longline fisheries capturing yellowfin tuna (averaging $27-51 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) are found throughout the Atlantic. YFTFigure 2 shows the total Atlantic catches by gear.

## YFT-3. State of the stock

A full assessment was conducted for yellowfin tuna in 2003 applying various age-structured and production models to the available catch data through 2001. Unfortunately, at the time of the assessment meeting, only $19 \%$ of the 2002 catch had been reported (calculated relative to the catch reports available at the time of the SCRS Plenary). The results from all models were considered in the formulation of the Committee's advice.

Overall trends in the catch at age available at the time of the assessment are shown in YFT-Figure 3. The variability in overall catch at age is primarily due to variability in catches of ages 0 and 1 (note that the catches in numbers of ages 0 and especially 1 were particularly high during the period 1998-2001).

Both equilibrium and non-equilibrium production models were examined in 2003. The effective effort used for the production models was calculated by first creating a combined index from the available abundance indices by fleet and gear, and weighting each index by the catch of that fishery. One of the non-equilibrium models applied estimated the annual effective fishing effort internally, allowing the fishing power trends by fleet to vary.

The estimate of MSY based upon the equilibrium models ranged from 151,300 to $161,300 \mathrm{t}$; the estimates of $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ranged from 0.87 to 1.29 . The point estimate of MSY based upon the non-equilibrium models ranged from 147,200-148,300 t . The point estimates for $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ ranged from 1.02 to 1.46 (YFT-Figures 4a-b); the main differences in the results were related to the assumptions of each model. The Committee was unable to estimate the level of uncertainty associated with these point estimates.

An age-structured virtual population analysis (VPA) was made using eight indices of abundance. The results from this model were more comparable to production model results than in previous assessments, owing in part to a greater consistency between several of the indices used. The VPA results compare well to the trends in fishing mortality and biomass estimated from production models. The VPA estimates that the levels of fishing mortality and spawning biomass in recent years have been very close to MSY levels (YFT-Figures 5a-b). The estimate of MSY derived from these analyses was $148,200 \mathrm{t}$.

In summary, the age-structured and production model analyses implied that although the 2001 catches of $159,000 \mathrm{t}$ were slightly higher than MSY levels, effective effort may have been either slightly below or above (up to $46 \%$ ) the MSY level, depending on the assumptions. Consistent with these model results, yield-per-recruit analyses also indicated that 2001 fishing mortality rates could have been either above or about the level which could produce MSY. Yield-per-recruit analyses further indicated that an increase in effort is likely to decrease the yield-per-recruit, while reductions in fishing mortality on fish less than 3.2 kg could result in substantial gains in yield-per-recruit and modest gains in spawning biomass-per-recruit (YFT-Figures 6a-b).

## YFT-4. Outlook

Since reported yellowfin landings in 2001 appeared to be somewhat above the MSY level estimated during the 2003 assessment and fishing effort and fishing mortality may have been in excess of the levels associated with MSY, it is important to ensure that effective effort does not increase beyond the 2001 level. Projections indicate that stock biomass is likely to decrease if fishing mortality increases to the level estimated for 1992, which is currently being approached or exceeded. Thus the possibility that the fishing power of the purse seiners and other fleets may further increase, even if the total capacity of the fleet were to remain constant, is also cause for concern. It should be noted that the current estimates of total yellowfin landings in 2002 and 2003, which were not available at the time of the assessment, are $139,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $124,000 \mathrm{t}$, respectively.

## YFT-5. Effects of current regulations

In 1973, the Commission adopted a regulation that imposed a minimum size of 3.2 kg for yellowfin tuna, with a $15 \%$ tolerance in the number of fish per landing. This regulation has not been adhered to, as the proportion of landings of yellowfin tuna less than 3.2 kg has been far in excess of $15 \%$ per year for the purse seine and baitboat fisheries. Based on the catch species composition and catch-at-size data available during the 2003 assessment, yearly catches in number ranged between $54 \%$ and $72 \%$ undersized yellowfin tuna by purse seiners, from $63 \%$ to $82 \%$ undersized fish for baitboats over the period 1997-2001. Landings of undersized fish occur primarily in the equatorial fisheries. Unfortunately, it is difficult to realize substantial reductions in catches of undersized fish in these fisheries because small yellowfin are mostly associated with skipjack, especially when fishing occurs on floating objects; thus it is difficult to avoid catching small yellowfin when catching skipjack, the latter being an important component of eastern Atlantic (equatorial) purse seine fleet catches. The Committee plans further investigations of the utility of minimum size regulations and alternative measures to reduce juvenile mortality in this multi-species fishery.

In 1993, the Commission recommended "that there be no increase in the level of effective fishing effort exerted on Atlantic yellowfin tuna, over the level observed in 1992." As measured by fishing mortality estimates from the 2003 assessment, effective effort in 2001 appeared to be approaching or exceeding the 1992 levels (YFTFigure 5b).

An evaluation of the effects of the moratorium on FAD fishing was conducted as a response to the Commission [ICCAT Report for the Biennial Period 2002-03, Part II (2003), Vol. 2 (2004)] and is detailed in " 2003 ICCAT Tropical Tuna Species Working Group Session" [Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. 56(2):283-352 (2004)].

## YFT-6. Management recommendations

Estimated catches of yellowfin tuna have averaged $141,000 \mathrm{t}$ over the past three years. This average falls near the lower estimate of the range of MSY from the age-structured and production model analyses conducted during the 2003 assessment. The Committee considers that the yield of $159,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2001 is likely somewhat above the replacement yield and that levels of fishing effort and fishing mortality may have been near MSY. Total catches since 2001 have been declining, but without a new assessment it is not clear whether or not this reflects decreases in fishing effort and fishing mortality. Therefore the Committee reaffirms its support for the Commission's 1993 recommendation "that there be no increase in the level of effective fishing effort exerted on Atlantic yellowfin tuna, over the level observed in 1992." During the 2003 assessment, the Committee's estimates of effective fishing effort for recent years fell near the estimate for 1992.

The Committee also continues to recommend that effective measures be found to reduce fishing mortality of small yellowfin, based on previous results of yield-per-recruit analysis. In 2003, the Committee evaluated the effects of the moratorium on fishing on floating objects (and other measures to reduce catches of small fish) begun in late 1997, but there were insufficient data to fully evaluate the impact on yellowfin tuna. In general, the approach was intended to benefit bigeye tuna and is not expected to reduce the mortality of juvenile yellowfin tuna. In fact, the fishing mortality on juvenile yellowfin tuna appears to have increased substantially during the moratorium years, although it is unclear that this is related to the moratorium.

| ATLANTIC YELLOWFIN TUNA SUMMARY |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) ${ }^{1}$ | $\sim 148,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Current Yield ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| (2001) | 159,000 t |
| (2002) | 139,000 t |
| (2003) | 124,000 t |
| Replacement Yield (2001) | May be somewhat below the 2001 yield |
| Relative Biomass $\mathrm{B}_{2001} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}{ }^{3}$ | 0.73-1.10 |
| Relative Fishing Mortality: $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}{ }^{3}$ | 0.87-1.46 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{99-01} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}{ }^{4}$ | 1.13 (80\% confidence limits 0.94 to 1.38) |
| $\mathrm{F}_{0.1}{ }^{4}$ | 0.55 |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}{ }^{4}$ | 0.72 |
| Management measures in effect: |  |
| - 3.2 kg minimum size [Rec. 72-01]. |  |
| - Effective fishing effort not to exceed 1992 level [Rec. 93-04]. |  |
| - Closed area/season for fishing on FADs [Rec. 99-01]. |  |
| MSY estimates based upon results of age-structured and non-equilibrium production models, and VPA. The complete range of results from all models is $147,200-161,300 \mathrm{t}$. |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ The assessment was conducted using the available catch data through 2001. Reports for 2003 should be considered provisional. |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ These are ranges of point estimates; no estimates of uncertainty were calculated around these point estimates during the assessment. 4 Result exclusively from VPA and yield-per-recruit analyses. |  |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  |  | 127517 | 130961 | 155818 | 165001 | 165373 | 113940 | 156547 | 146535 | 144428 | 135219 | 161322 | 192456 | 163848 | 160492 | 158338 | 168170 | 149112 | 150624 | 136469 | 147459 | 141717 | 132870 | 158904 | 139004 | 123929 |
|  | AT.E |  | 114158 | 117798 | 138114 | 138711 | 124953 | 76053 | 113656 | 106606 | 110304 | 99180 | 123239 | 157112 | 123371 | 120167 | 115163 | 113854 | 108075 | 111903 | 99604 | 110718 | 104943 | 95461 | 116415 | 108872 | 98125 |
|  | AT.W |  | 13359 | 13163 | 17704 | 26290 | 39666 | 37481 | 42365 | 31751 | 27680 | 30284 | 32807 | 27095 | 32640 | 32895 | 37230 | 46335 | 34047 | 30682 | 29609 | 28044 | 28980 | 30184 | 38098 | 29317 | 24978 |
|  | UNCL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 754 | 406 | 526 | 8178 | 6444 | 5755 | 5276 | 8249 | 7837 | 7430 | 5944 | 7982 | 6990 | 8040 | 7256 | 8697 | 7794 | 7225 | 4392 | 816 | 826 |
| Landings | AT.E | Bait boat | 13715 | 7690 | 9788 | 13211 | 11507 | 14694 | 16120 | 15301 | 16750 | 16020 | 12168 | 19560 | 17772 | 15095 | 18470 | 15652 | 13496 | 13804 | 13974 | 17480 | 19056 | 13009 | 19886 | 14163 | 13312 |
|  |  | Longline | 6777 | 12508 | 7986 | 10456 | 6040 | 8092 | 9444 | 3684 | 4481 | 7511 | 6385 | 7640 | 5502 | 3903 | 4107 | 8503 | 7955 | 8567 | 5964 | 8036 | 7495 | 8436 | 5221 | 5259 | 7640 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 1375 | 574 | 5347 | 3224 | 3904 | 2407 | 1516 | 2296 | 2932 | 2532 | 2485 | 2239 | 3783 | 2509 | 2081 | 1905 | 1854 | 1946 | 2031 | 1554 | 1469 | 1632 | 1738 | 1981 | 1872 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 92291 | 97026 | 114993 | 11820 | 103502 | 50860 | 86576 | 85325 | 86141 | 73117 | 102200 | 127673 | 96314 | 98660 | 90505 | 87794 | 84770 | 87586 | 77634 | 83647 | 76922 | 72383 | 89569 | 87469 | 75301 |
|  | AT.W | Bait boat | 605 | 392 | 1917 | 2970 | 3603 | 3698 | 5478 | 2421 | 5468 | 5822 | 4834 | 4718 | 5359 | 6276 | 6383 | 7094 | 5297 | 4560 | 4275 | 5511 | 5349 | 5649 | 5315 | 6009 | 3764 |
|  |  | Longline | 9277 | 6735 | 11323 | 9926 | 6969 | 8503 | 9743 | 12407 | 9990 | 14736 | 13033 | 13215 | 9410 | 11777 | 9925 | 9463 | 8833 | 8737 | 8823 | 8795 | 11596 | 11465 | 12684 | 11578 | 10178 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 2442 | 901 | 1642 | 1282 | 3345 | 2077 | 6150 | 7101 | 5557 | 3692 | 3293 | 2362 | 3457 | 3483 | 4842 | 10166 | 13580 | 6601 | 4801 | 4580 | 5345 | 5200 | 7027 | 3763 | 6429 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 1035 | 5135 | 2822 | 12112 | 25749 | 23203 | 20994 | 9822 | 6665 | 6034 | 11647 | 6800 | 14414 | 11359 | 16081 | 19612 | 6338 | 10784 | 11710 | 9157 | 6523 | 7870 | 13072 | 7966 | 4607 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 754 | 406 | 526 | 8178 | 6444 | 5755 | 5276 | 8249 | 7837 | 7430 | 5944 | 7982 | 6990 | 8040 | 7256 | 8697 | 7794 | 7225 | 4392 | 737 | 826 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | -- |
| Discards | AT.W | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Landings | AT.E | Angola | 904 | 558 | 959 | 1467 | 788 | 237 | 350 | 59 | 51 | 246 | 67 | 292 | 510 | 441 | 211 | 137 | 216 | 78 | 70 | 115 | 170 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
|  |  | Belize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Benin | 48 | 95 | 100 | 113 | 49 | 65 | 60 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Cape Verde | 581 | 864 | 5281 | 3500 | 4341 | 2820 | 1901 | 3326 | 2675 | 2468 | 2870 | 2136 | 1932 | 1426 | 1536 | 1727 | 1781 | 1448 | 1721 | 1418 | 1663 | 1851 | 1684 | 1661 | 1661 |
|  |  | Cayman Islands | 0 | 602 | 1460 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 71 | 1535 | 1652 | 586 | 262 | 1033 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 190 | 71 | 432 | 203 | 452 | 87 | 146 | 254 | 193 | 207 | 96 | 2244 | 2163 | 1554 | 1301 | 3851 | 2681 | 3985 | 2993 | 3643 | 3389 | 4014 | 2787 | 3363 | 4672 |
|  |  | Congo | 0 | 140 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 22 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Cuba | 3168 | 5128 | 2945 | 2251 | 1916 | 1467 | 1585 | 1332 | 1295 | 1694 | 703 | 798 | 658 | 653 | 541 | 238 | 212 | 257 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Côte D'tvoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 40083 | 38759 | 51428 | 54164 | 51946 | 40049 | 66874 | 61878 | 66093 | 50167 | 61649 | 68603 | 53464 | 49902 | 40403 | 40612 | 38278 | 34879 | 24550 | 31337 | 19947 | 24681 | 30937 | 31260 | 24709 |
|  |  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 234 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | EC.France | 47776 | 54372 | 55085 | 45717 | 40470 | 7946 | 12304 | 17756 | 17491 | 21323 | 30807 | 45684 | 34840 | 33964 | 36064 | 35468 | 29567 | 33819 | 29966 | 30739 | 31246 | 29789 | 32211 | 32753 | 32429 |
|  |  | EC.Ireland | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 54 | 16 | 0 | 55 | 151 | 223 | 97 | 25 | 36 | 72 | 334 | 334 |
|  |  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.Poland | 0 | 0 |  | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 185 | 77 | 208 | 981 | 1333 | 1527 | 36 | 295 | 278 | 188 | 182 | 179 | 328 | 195 | 128 | 126 | 231 | 288 | 176 | 267 | 178 | 194 | 3 | 6 | 4 |
|  |  | Faroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 88 | 218 | 225 | 225 | 295 | 225 | 162 | 270 | 245 | 44 |
|  |  | Gambia |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Georgia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Ghana | 1426 | 1974 | 5510 | 9797 | 7689 | 9039 | 12550 | 11821 | 10830 | 8555 | 7035 | 11988 | 9254 | 9331 | 13283 | 9984 | 9268 | 11720 | 16504 | 17807 | 28328 | 17010 | 30642 | 23499 | 19030 |
|  |  | Guinea Ecuatorial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 1241 | 2217 | 2863 | 4815 | 3062 | 4344 | 5765 | 3634 | 4521 | 5808 | 5882 | 5887 | 4467 | 2961 | 2627 | 4194 | 4770 | 4246 | 2733 | 4092 | 2101 | 2268 | 1485 | 1506 | 1766 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 6449 | 5349 | 4288 | 4010 | 1629 | 1917 | 1668 | 965 | 1221 | 1248 | 1480 | 324 | 259 | 174 | 169 | 436 | 453 | 297 | 101 | 23 | 94 | 142 | 3 | 8 | -- |
|  |  | Libya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Maroc | 2986 | 3243 | 4817 | 4540 | 2331 | 614 | 2270 | 2266 | 1529 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
|  |  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3121 | 5388 | 1104 | 0 | 0 | 2077 | 3140 | 5436 | 12513 | 3988 | 10049 | 8251 | 6186 | 6143 | 8437 | 5981 | 7224 | 5190 | 5448 | 9273 | 8209 | 4913 |
|  |  | Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 72 | 69 | 3 | 147 | 59 | 165 | 13 | 139 |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3183 | 6082 | 6110 | 3962 | 5441 | 4793 | 4035 | 6667 * |
|  |  | Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 813 | 418 | 493 | 1787 | 1790 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 739 | 1661 | 341 | 1933 | 1568 | 1653 | 3100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6706 | 7041 | 7838 | 8644 | 10854 | 5759 | 3137 | 1753 | 930 | 1103 | 574 | 1022 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 126 | 173 | 86 | 0 | 50 | - |
|  |  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3200 | 1862 | 2160 | 1503 | 2936 | 2696 | 4275 | 4931 | 4359 | 737 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 28 | 31 | 97 | 193 | 194 | 177 | 180 | 180 | 178 | 184 | 198 | 228 | 223 | 229 | 140 | 0 | 0 | , |  | , | , | 4 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 90 | 132 | 40 | 19 | 6 | 20 | 41 | 208 | 251 | 834 | 252 | 295 | 447 | 279 |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
|  |  | South Africa | 4595 | 540 | 178 | 49 | 456 | 759 | 382 | 55 | 68 | 137 | 671 | 624 | 52 | 69 | 266 | 486 | 183 | 157 | 116 | 229 | 318 | 353 | 316 | 144 | 295 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 2884 | 1614 | 1472 | 636 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 806 | 448 | 541 | 1004 | 1282 | 2168 | 3768 | 1851 | 1275 | 3207 | 4246 | 3615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | UK.Sta. Helena | 69 | 55 | 59 | 97 | 59 | 80 | 72 | 82 | 93 | 98 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 166 | 171 | 150 | 181 | 151 | 109 | 181 | 116 | 136 | 72 | 9 | -- |
|  |  | Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Venezuela | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Catch estimated by SCRS as no species composition correction had been made. The figure reported by Netherlands Antilles is 7082
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|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 628 | 655 | 22 | 470 | 435 | 17 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 848 | 616 | 435 | 407 | 87 | 559 | 780 | 1156 | 709 | 1641 | 762 | 5221 | 2009 | 2974 | 2895 | 2809 | 2017 | 2668 | 1473 | 1685 | 1022 | 1647 | 2018 | 1296 | 1814 |
|  |  | Colombia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 180 | 211 | 258 | 206 | 136 | 237 | 92 | 95 | 2404 | 3418 | 7172 | 238 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 46 |
|  |  | Cuba | 232 | 689 | 1997 | 1503 | 793 | 2538 | 1906 | 2081 | 1062 | 98 | 91 | 53 | 18 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 54 | 40 | 40 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 |
|  |  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 23 | 30 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 78 | 120 | 169 | 119 |
|  |  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 220 | 226 | 226 | 226 | 226 |
|  |  | EC.España | 1052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1957 | 3976 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1462 | 1314 | 989 | 7 | 4 | 36 | 34 | 46 | 30 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.France | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Ghana | 0 | 265 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Grenada | 148 | 487 | 64 | 59 | 169 | 146 | 170 | 506 | 186 | 215 | 235 | 530 | 620 | 595 | 858 | 385 | 410 | 523 | 302 | 484 | 430 | 403 | 759 | 593 | 749 |
|  |  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Japan | 1707 | 1117 | 2983 | 3288 | 1218 | 1030 | 2169 | 2103 | 1647 | 2395 | 3178 | 1734 | 1698 | 1591 | 469 | 589 | 457 | 1004 | 806 | 1081 | 1304 | 1602 | 1085 | 545 | 740 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 4414 | 1933 | 3325 | 2249 | 1920 | 989 | 1655 | 853 | 236 | 120 | 1055 | 484 | 1 | 45 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 16 | 42 | 128 | 612 | 1059 | 562 | 658 | 33 | 283 | 345 | 112 | 433 | 742 | 855 | 1093 | 1126 | 771 | 826 | 788 | 1283 | 1390 | 1084 | 1133 | 1313 |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 173 | 150 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 155 | 140 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 102 | 807 | 262 | 675 | 62 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 106 | 78 | 12 | 79 | 145 |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 48 | 22 | 65 | 16 | 43 | 37 | 35 | 48 | 38 | 33 | 24 | 884 | 568 |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 28 | 27 | 25 | 26 | 23 | 56 | 79 | 125 | 76 | 97 | 70 | 58 | 49 | 58 | 92 | 130 | 144 | 110 | 110 | 276 | 123 | 134 | 145 | 94 | 139 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 304 | 543 | 4 | 4 | 120 | 79 | 183 | 223 | 213 | 163 | 112 | 122 | 125 | 186 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 298 | 553 | 1688 | 1095 | 2553 | 2180 | 9735 | 9938 | 9661 | 11064 | 8462 | 5666 | 6914 | 6938 | 6283 | 8298 | 8131 | 7745 | 7674 | 5621 | 7567 | 7051 | 6703 | 5710 | 7702 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 26 | 35 | 21 | 22 | 10 | 11 | 42 | 44 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 15 | 17 | 42 | 58 | 44 | 44 | 67 | 55 | 53 | 59 | 31 | 37 | 48 | 47 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 67 | 214 | 357 | 368 | 354 | 270 | 109 | 177 | 64 | 18 | 62 | 74 | 20 | 59 | 53 | 171 | 53 | 88 | 45 | 45 | 90 | 91 | - |
|  |  | Venezuela | 2811 | 5397 | 4500 | 14426 | 26576 | 21879 | 20535 | 11755 | 11137 | 10949 | 15567 | 10556 | 16503 | 13773 | 16663 | 24789 | 9714 | 13772 | 14671 | 13995 | 11187 | 10549 | 18651 | 11421 | 7411 |
| Discards | AT.W | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | UNCL | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 156 | 200 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 209 | 175 |
|  |  | Libya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 73 |
|  |  | Maroc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7222 | 5147 | 3431 | 2496 | 4149 | 3519 | 3594 | 3134 | 3422 | 2588 | 1954 | 1156 | 358 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1956 | 1341 | 280 | 0 |
|  |  | NEI-other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 754 | 406 | 526 | 956 | 1297 | 2324 | 2780 | 4100 | 4318 | 3836 | 2671 | 4404 | 4202 | 5962 | 6100 | 8339 | 7409 | 5269 | 2883 | 175 | 578 |

Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made
NEI-1 estimates are based on scientific data. 'NEI-other' estimates are based on the comparison between trade statistics and reported catches, and flag and ocean of catch is uncertain.


YFT-Fig.1. Geographical distribution of Atlantic yellowfin tuna catches, by fishing gear, 1950-2000 combined. Black, mediumshaded, dark-shaded and light-shaded areas in circles correspond to catches by longline, purse seine, baitboat and other fisheries, respectively.



YFT-Fig. 2. Estimated annual catch (t) of Atlantic yellowfin tuna by fishing gear, 19502003.

YFT-Fig.3. Relative distribution of Atlantic yellowfin tuna catches by age and year (bubble size is proportional to total catches).


YFT-Fig.4a. Trends in yield (observed and predicted), predicted biomass and predicted fishing mortality from the ASPIC non-equilibrium production model.


YFT-Fig. 4b. Trends in yield (observed and predicted), predicted biomass and predicted fishing mortality from the Multifleet non-equilibrium production model.


YFT-Fig. 5a. Comparison of relative biomass trends calculated using VPA and non-equilibrium production models.


YFT-Fig. 6a. Yield-per-recruit based on VPA estimated selectivities. F Multiplier is the value of F that is multiplied by age-specific selectivities.


YFT-Fig. 5b. Comparison of relative fishing mortality trends calculated using VPA and non-equilibrium production models.


YFT-Fig. 6b. Spawners-per-recruit based on VPA estimated selectivities. F Multiplier is the value of F that is multiplied by age-specific selectivities.

### 8.2 BET - BIGEYE TUNA

This year, a new stock assessment was conducted for bigeye tuna in July. Due to the early date of the meeting, the catch information for 2003 was incomplete and could not be incorporated in the assessment.

The ambitious Bigeye Tuna Year Program (BETYP) was proposed and was adopted by the Commission in 1996. The activities were started in 1999 after external funds were made available. The major activities of the Program, such as tagging, genetic studies, modeling, and port sampling, have been completed and the preliminary reports were presented to ICCAT. The program culminated in a Symposium held in March 2004 in Madrid, during which the knowledge gained was shared and discussed with scientists and managers from throughout the world. The Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna took place immediately after the Symposium, giving an opportunity for scientists working in different oceans to exchange views on common problems in fisheries management, data, biological parameters, models, etc., Some of the recommendations made in both meetings were implemented during the 2004 assessment session. Thus, the outcomes of the BETYP are already being utilized to assist and improve the task of the Committee substantially.

## BET-1. Biology

The geographical distribution of bigeye tuna is very wide and covers almost the entire Atlantic Ocean between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. This species is able to dive deeper than other tuna species and exhibits extensive vertical movements. Similar to the results obtained in other oceans, pop-up tagging and sonic tracking studies conducted on adult fish in the Atlantic has revealed that they exhibit clear diurnal patterns being much deeper in the daytime than at night. Spawning takes place in tropical waters when the environment is favorable. From the nursery areas in tropical waters, juvenile fish tend to diffuse into temperate waters as they grow larger. Catch information from the surface gears indicate that the Gulf of Guinea is a major nursery ground for this species.

Dietary habits of bigeye are varied such that various prey organisms like fish, mollusks, and crustaceans are found in stomach contents. A growth study based on otolith and tagging data resulted in the adoption by the Committee of a new growth curve. According to it, bigeye exhibit relatively fast growth: about 105 cm in fork length at age $3,140 \mathrm{~cm}$ at age of 5 and 163 cm at age 7 . Bigeye tuna become mature at about age 3 and a half. Young fish form schools mostly mixed with other tunas such as yellowfin and skipjack. These schools are often associated with drifting objects, whale shark and sea mounts. This association appears to weaken as bigeye grow larger.

An estimate of natural mortality (M) for juvenile fish was provided based on the results of an IRD tagging program conducted in relation to the BETYP. According to this study, the level of M is at a similar level as currently used for the Atlantic stock as well as for other oceans.

Various pieces of evidence such as a genetic study, the time-area distribution of fish and movements of tagged fish, suggest an Atlantic-wide single stock for this species, which is currently accepted by the Committee. However, the possibility of other scenarios, such as north and south stocks, should not be disregarded.

## BET-2. Description of the fisheries

The stock has been exploited by three major gears (longline, baitboat and purse seine fisheries) and by many countries throughout its range of distribution (BET-Figure 1). The size of fish caught varies among fisheries: medium to large for the longline fishery, small to large for the directed baitboat fishery, and small for other baitboat and for purse seine fisheries. Average weights are $45-50 \mathrm{~kg}, 20-30 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $3-4 \mathrm{~kg}$ for these three types of fisheries, respectively.

The total annual catch (BET-Figure 2) increased up to the mid-1970s reaching $60,000 \mathrm{t}$ and fluctuated over the next 15 years. In 1991, it surpassed $95,000 \mathrm{t}$ and continued to increase, reaching a historic high of about $130,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1994. Catch has declined since then and it was $76,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002. The total reported catch for 2003 was about $85,000 \mathrm{t}$, which indicated an increase of about $9,000 \mathrm{t}$ over 2002.

The major baitboat fisheries are located in Ghana, Senegal, the Canary Islands, Madeira and Azores. The tropical purse seine fleets operate in the Gulf of Guinea and off Senegal in the East Atlantic and off Venezuela
in the West Atlantic. The fleets are comprised of EC-France EC-Spain, Ghana and other flag vessels managed by EC companies in the east, and the Venezuelan fleet operates in the west. While bigeye tuna is a primary target species for most of the longline and some baitboat fisheries, this species has always been of secondary importance for other surface fisheries. Unlike yellowfin, bigeye tuna are mostly caught in operations on associated schools such as log and artificial fish aggregating devices (FADs).

There are two major longline fisheries, operated by Japan and Chinese Taipei, whose catch accounted for $45 \%$ of the total catch in weight in 2002. In recent years, China and the Philippines started fishing in 1993 and 1998, respectively.

The activities of illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) longliners that fly flags of convenience appear to have started in the early 1980s, and became significant thereafter. The catches by those activities were estimated from the Japanese import statistics but considered uncertain. Estimates indicate a peak in unreported catches of $25,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1998 and a quick reduction thereafter (BET-Figure 3). This quick reduction reflects increased reporting by the countries/entities who engaged in this activity as well as the efforts made by the longline countries that have cooperated in reducing the number of IUU boats. Nevertheless, the Committee expressed concern that unreported catches may have been underestimated.

## BET-3. State of the stocks

The 2004 stock assessment was conducted by various types of models. However, there were considerable sources of uncertainty arising from the lack of information regarding (a) reliable indices of abundance for small bigeye from surface fisheries, (b) the species composition of Ghanaian fisheries that target tropical tunas, and (c) details on the historical catch and fishing activities of IUU fleets (e.g., size, location and total catch).

Three indices of relative abundance were available to assess the status of the stock. All were from longline fisheries conducted by Japan, Chinese Taipei and U.S. While the Japanese indices (BET-Figure 4) have the longest duration since 1961 and represent roughly $20-40 \%$ of the total catch, the other two indices are shorter and generally account for a smaller fraction of the catch than the Japanese fishery does. These three indices primarily relate to medium and large-size fish.

Various types of production models were applied to the available data. It should be noted that this year's model fits to the data were better than in past assessments, although they required similar assumptions regarding stock productivity. The point estimates of MSY obtained from different production models ranged from $93,000 \mathrm{t}$ to $113,000 \mathrm{t}$. The lower limit of this range is higher than the one estimated in the 2002 assessment, probably due to the revised indices and the addition of new index. An estimate obtained from another age-aggregated model was $114,000 \mathrm{t}$. The inclusion of estimation uncertainty would broaden this range considerably.

These analyses estimate that the total catch was larger than the upper limit of MSY estimates for most years between 1993 and 1999, causing the stock to decline considerably, leveling off thereafter as total catches decreased (BET-Figure 5). These results also indicate that the current biomass is slightly below or above $(85 \%-107 \%)$ the biomass at MSY, and that current fishing mortality is also in the range of $73 \%$ to $101 \%$ of the level that would produce MSY (see Summary Table). However, indications from the most targeted and wideranging fishery are of a more pessimistic status than implied by these model results (BFT-Figure 4).

Several types of age-structured analyses were conducted using the above-mentioned longline indices from the central fishing grounds and catch-at-age data converted from the available catch-at-size data. In general, the trajectories of biomass and fishing mortality rates are in accordance with the production model analyses. Model fits appeared improved over those of past assessments, apparently as a result of using a new growth curve for the calculation of catch at age. However, time constraints did not permit a full and detailed exploration of these analyses.

The application of a statistical integrated model was attempted on bigeye stock for the first time. This model is able to more directly account for the statistical properties of the various data types used and is useful for testing alternative hypotheses on the population dynamics. The results are promising but it is premature to utilize them at this time. The Committee recommends further development and refinement of this model be made in order to provide better scientific advice to the Commission.

## BET-4. Outlook

Stock projections were conducted based on the production model results, assuming a catch of $75,480^{1} \mathrm{t}$ in 2003 and varying levels of constant catch thereafter. The projection results suggest that the biomass of the stock will likely decline further with constant catches of $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ or more. On average, increases in biomass are expected with catches of $90,000 \mathrm{t}$ or less. However, due to uncertainty, there is a non-negligible probability of further decline of the stock with a constant future catch of $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ or more (BET-Figure $\mathbf{6}$ ).

## BET-5. Effects of current regulations

The Commission asked the Committee to analyze the effectiveness of the current minimum size recommendation and advise on alternative measures for the protection of juvenile bigeye, taking into account the current moratorium. A response to the Commission is provided separately under Agenda Item 16 of the 2004 Committee Meeting.

The bigeye minimum size regulation of 3.2 kg [Rec. 79-01] was adopted in 1980 to reinforce the same regulation for yellowfin. It is clear that a large quantity of juvenile bigeye tuna smaller than 3.2 kg continues to be captured mostly from the equatorial surface fleets (baitboat and purse seine). The percentage and total number of fish smaller than the minimum size (BET-Figure 7) has increased since 1989 and was more than $45 \%$ of the total fish caught or more than 6 million fish thereafter, although the absolute number of undersized fish might have been reduced in some fisheries. According to previous yield-per-recruit analyses, a full implementation of this regulation could result in an increase in yield-per-recruit by almost $20 \%$ at $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ (BETFigure 8).

The moratorium on FAD fishing by surface gears in the Gulf of Guinea has been implemented by ICCAT since 1999. The full evaluation of this program is somewhat hindered by the multi-species nature of surface fisheries and the existence of other types of fisheries. The updated analysis indicated that this regulation appeared effective in reducing mortality for juvenile bigeye and increasing SBR (see 2003 Report of the Evaluation of the Moratorium on Surface Fisheries). The full compliance with this regulation by all surface fisheries will greatly increase the effectiveness of this regulation. The Committee was pleased to note that Ghana implemented this moratorium in the 2003/2004 season (SCRS/2004/027).

Limiting the annual catch to the average catch in two years of 1991 and 1992 entered into force for the major fishing countries whose 1999 catch reported to the 2000 SCRS was larger than $2,100 \mathrm{t}$ [Rec. 01-01]. The 2003 total reported catch for the major countries and fishing entities to which the catch limit applies (EC-Spain, ECFrance, EC-Portugal, Japan, Ghana, China and Chinese Taipei) was $67,700 \mathrm{t}$ and $18,800 \mathrm{t}$ lower than the total catch limit $(86,500 \mathrm{t})$. As a whole, the total catch in 2003 for all countries is about $11,300 \mathrm{t}$ lower than the average total catch of 1991 and 1992.

## BET-6. Management recommendations

Previous yield-per-recruit and spawner-per-recruit analyses highlighted the potential importance of reducing fishing mortality on small fish. However, the percentage of fish less than this minimum size ( 3.2 kg ) is very high ( $46-62 \%$ of the total fish caught) since 1989. The Committee, therefore, recommends the full implementation of the moratorium on FAD fishing by all surface fisheries in the Gulf of Guinea.

This assessment indicated that the stock has declined due to the large catches made since around the mid-1990s to around or below the level that produces the MSY, and that fishing mortality exceeded $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ for several years during that time period. Projections indicate that catches of more than $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ will result in continued stock decline. The Commission should be aware that if major countries were to take the entire catch limit set under Recommendation [Recs. 01-01, 02-01, 03-01] and other countries were to maintain recent catch levels, then the total catch could exceed $100,000 \mathrm{t}$. Thus, if the Commission wants to ensure a rebuilt stock, it is highly recommended that catch levels of around $90,000 \mathrm{t}$ or lower be maintained at least for the near future.

The Committee expresses its appreciation for the effort made by the Commission in establishing the Statistical Document Program for bigeye and hopes that the data to be submitted to the Secretariat will be useful to

[^0]improve estimates of unreported catches. The Committee also appreciates the initiatives to reduce the IUU activities taken by several fishing authorities. These efforts are very helpful in identifying and reducing the unreported catches in the Atlantic and will make the catch limit regulation more effective, and thus will contribute to reduce uncertainties in the bigeye stock assessment. As far as the IUU catches are concerned, they are almost disappearing as shown in BET-Figure 3 according to the available estimates. Nevertheless, the Committee expressed concern that unreported catches may have been under-estimated.

| ATLANTIC BIGEYE TUNA SUMMARY |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield (likely range ${ }^{1}$ ) | 93,000 t-114,000 t |
| Current (2003) Yield ${ }^{2}$ | 85,000 t |
| Replacement Yield $2003{ }^{1}$ | 89,000-103,000 t |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative Biomass }\left(\mathrm{B}_{2003} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)^{1} \\ & \text { Relative Fishing Mortality } \\ & \quad\left(\mathrm{F}_{2002} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85-1.07 \\ & 0.73-1.01 \end{aligned}$ |
| Conservation \& management measures in effect: | - 3.2 kg minimum size [Rec. 79-1] <br> - Limits on numbers of vessels [Recs. 98-03, 02-01, 03-01]. <br> - Catch limits for those who reported 1999 catch in 2000 was larger than 2,100 t [Rec. 02-01]. <br> - Moratorium on FAD fishing for all surface fleets, Nov 1 to Jan 31, in eastern tropical area. Observers on board are required during the moratorium [Rec. 99-01]. |

[^1]BET-Table 1. Estimated catches ( t ) of Atlantic bigeye tuna by major area, gear and flag 1979-2003

|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  | 45975 | 63596 | 67753 | 73493 | 59370 | 71052 | 78215 | 65396 | 55976 | 65796 | 78068 | 84337 | 94795 | 97758 | 110060 | 129512 | 123155 | 119114 | 105639 | 109879 | 121169 | 102415 | 95715 | 75835 | 85088 |
| Bait boat |  | 9591 | 12349 | 10124 | 6922 | 9796 | 11439 | 17651 | 15618 | 12631 | 9710 | 12672 | 18106 | 17750 | 16248 | 16467 | 20290 | 25552 | 18959 | 18639 | 21263 | 22360 | 12311 | 16870 | 11639 | 9932 |
| Longline |  | 27560 | 41677 | 41608 | 51805 | 33757 | 43303 | 52595 | 39942 | 35570 | 47758 | 58389 | 56537 | 61556 | 62359 | 62871 | 78296 | 74816 | 74900 | 68251 | 71825 | 76513 | 70976 | 55162 | 46509 | 51606 |
| Other Surf. |  | 481 | 366 | 365 | 290 | 163 | 247 | 415 | 550 | 626 | 469 | 636 | 287 | 434 | 604 | 648 | 974 | 561 | 353 | 536 | 429 | 1373 | 1219 | 1623 | 1495 | 1313 |
| Purse seine |  | 8343 | 9204 | 15656 | 14476 | 15654 | 16063 | 7554 | 9286 | 7148 | 7859 | 6371 | 9407 | 15055 | 18547 | 30074 | 29952 | 22226 | 24902 | 18213 | 16362 | 20923 | 17909 | 22060 | 16192 | 22237 |
|  | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 41 | 72 | 50 | 17 | 78 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 6 | 11 | 11 |
|  | Belize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Benin | 0 | 0 | 40 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 30 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 782 | 698 | 505 | 776 | 521 | 656 | 419 | 873 | 756 | 946 | 512 | 591 | 350 | 790 | 1256 | 601 | 1935 | 1707 | 1237 | 644 | 2024 | 2768 | 2659 | 2582 | 2455 |
|  | Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 144 | 95 | 31 | 10 | 26 | 67 | 124 | 111 | 148 | 144 | 166 | 120 | 263 | 327 | 241 | 279 | 182 |
|  | Cape Verde | 45 | 27 | 72 | 200 | 293 | 167 | 112 | 86 | 60 | 117 | 100 | 52 | 151 | 105 | 85 | 209 | 66 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 428 | 476 | 520 | 427 | 1503 | 7347 | 6564 | 7210 | 5840 | 7890 |
|  | Chinese Taipei | 2486 | 2561 | 1887 | 2147 | 1623 | 925 | 1220 | 1125 | 1488 | 1469 | 940 | 5755 | 13850 | 11546 | 13426 | 19680 | 18023 | 21850 | 19242 | 16314 | 16837 | 16795 | 16429 | 18483 | 19541 |
|  | Congo | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 2300 | 1385 | 711 | 521 | 421 | 447 | 239 | 171 | 190 | 151 | 87 | 62 | 34 | 56 | 36 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
|  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.España | 5419 | 8430 | 10010 | 9332 | 8794 | 13617 | 10340 | 10884 | 8875 | 8475 | 8263 | 10355 | 14705 | 14656 | 16782 | 22096 | 17849 | 15393 | 12513 | 7115 | 13739 | 11250 | 10134 | 10524 | 10969 |
|  | EC.France | 7308 | 6283 | 8020 | 7074 | 8124 | 4254 | 4615 | 4266 | 3905 | 4161 | 3261 | 5023 | 5581 | 6888 | 12719 | 12263 | 8363 | 9171 | 5980 | 5624 | 5529 | 5949 | 4948 | 4293 | 3940 |
|  | EC.Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Portugal | 3483 | 3706 | 3086 | 1861 | 4075 | 4354 | 6457 | 7428 | 5036 | 2818 | 5295 | 6233 | 5718 | 5796 | 5616 | 3099 | 9662 | 5810 | 5437 | 6334 | 3313 | 1498 | 1605 | 2590 | 1655 |
|  | France -St. P.M. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
|  | Faroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 87 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 150 | 121 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ghana | 238 | 332 | 780 | 791 | 491 | 2162 | 1887 | 1720 | 1178 | 1214 | 2158 | 5031 | 4090 | 2866 | 3577 | 4738 | 5517 | 5805 | 7431 | 13252 | 11460 | 5586 | 14095 | 5893 | 4816 |
|  | Grenada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 65 | 25 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | Guinea Ecuatorial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Japan | 12150 | 20922 | 22091 | 33513 | 15212 | 24870 | 32103 | 23081 | 18961 | 32064 | 39540 | 35231 | 30356 | 34722 | 35053 | 38503 | 35477 | 33171 | 26490 | 24330 | 21833 | 24411 | 18056 | 15435 | 18909 |
|  | Korea, Republic of | 8022 | 10235 | 12274 | 10809 | 9383 | 8989 | 10704 | 6084 | 4438 | 4919 | 7896 | 2690 | 802 | 866 | 377 | 386 | 423 | 1250 | 796 | 163 | 124 | 43 | 1 | 87 | -- |
|  | Liberia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 16 | 13 | 42 | 65 | 53 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
|  | Libya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 508 | 1085 | 500 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 31 | 593 | 593 |
|  | Maroc | 414 | 387 | 622 | 625 | 552 | 120 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 770 | 857 | 913 | 889 |
|  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 4 |
|  | NEI 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338 | 1141 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 20 | 93 | 785 | 751 | 1462 | 2787 | 2321 | 2008 | 3822 | 1910 | 1685 | 3697 | 2285 | 3024 | 2248 | 7229 |
|  | NEI-other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 369 | 354 | 758 | 1406 | 2155 | 4650 | 5856 | 8982 | 6151 | 4378 | 9000 | 10697 | 11862 | 16569 | 24896 | 24060 | 15092 | 8470 | 531 | -- |
|  | Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 7 | 46 | 16 | 423 | 589 | 640 | 274 | 215 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1893 | 2890 | 2919 | 3428 | 2359 | 2803 | 1879 | 3203 |
|  | Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , |
|  | Panama | 513 | 4518 | 2500 | 2844 | 2732 | 3165 | 4461 | 5173 | 5616 | 3847 | 3157 | 5258 | 7447 | 9991 | 10438 | 13234 | 9927 | 4777 | 2098 | 1252 | 579 | 952 | 89 | 63 | -- |
|  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1154 | 2113 | 975 | 377 | 837 | 855 |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 38 | 4 | 8 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 126 | 237 | 138 | 258 | 730 | 1473 | 1131 | 1308 | 565 | 407 |
|  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
|  | Sierra Leone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | South Africa | 19 | 422 | 381 | 137 | 187 | 60 | 102 | 168 | 200 | 553 | 367 | 296 | 72 | 43 | 88 | 76 | 27 | 7 | 10 | 41 | 41 | 225 | 167 | 304 | 99 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1216 | 506 | 15 | 103 |
|  | UK-Sta. Helena | 8 |  | 14 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  | 3 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 17 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 5 | -- |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |


|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Togo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 52 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 86 | 23 | 6 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 41 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 57 | 263 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 27 | 37 | 36 | 24 | 19 | 5 | 11 | 30 | 6 |
| U.S.A. | 212 | 202 | 158 | 422 | 315 | 539 | 639 | 1085 | 1074 | 1127 | 847 | 623 | 975 | 813 | 1090 | 1402 | 1209 | 882 | 1138 | 929 | 1263 | 574 | 1085 | 601 | 484 |
| U.S.S.R. | 2229 | 2813 | 2832 | 635 | 352 | 1233 | 870 | 1071 | 1887 | 1077 | 424 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 86 | 397 | 605 | 714 | 597 | 177 | 204 | 120 | 55 | 38 | 20 | 56 | 48 | 37 | 80 | 124 | 69 | 59 | 28 | 25 | 51 | 67 | 43 |
| Venezuela | 347 | 661 | 1684 | 999 | 4284 | 4142 | 2918 | 1136 | 349 | 332 | 115 | 161 | 476 | 270 | 809 | 457 | 457 | 189 | 274 | 222 | 140 | 226 | 708 | 629 | 516 |

Shaded cells represent changes made after the assessment (Jun/04). 2003 data were not used in the assessment.
Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made.
NEI-1 estimates are based on scientific data. 'NEI-other' estimates are based on the comparison between trade statistics and reported catches, and flag and ocean of catch is uncertain.


BET-Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of bigeye catches (1950-2002) by major tuna fishery.

BET-Fig. 2. Trend of bigeye catches (1950-2003) by major tuna fishery.


BET-Fig. 3. Bigeye catches (1983-2002) from IUU activities estimated by comparing Japanese import statistics with reported catches.


BET-Fig. 4. Abundance indices in number of fish. All ages are aggregated.


BET-Fig. 5. Trajectory of the biomass modeled in production model analyses (thick line). The upper and lower lines denote $80 \%$ confidence intervals.



BET-Fig. 6. Future projections from production model results. Constant catches of $75,000 \mathrm{t}$ (top) and $100,000 \mathrm{t}$ (bottom) are assumed after 2003. Dashed lines are $80 \%$ confidence intervals from generalized surplus production models (ASPIC).


BET-Fig. 7. Proportion of undersized fish ( $<3.2 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) based on the number of fish caught.


BET-Figure 8. Yield-per-recruit (Y/R) and spawner-per-recruit results for bigeye using two different selectivity profiles (the current selectivity --lower curves-- and one that assumes full implementation of a 3.2 kg minimum size --upper curves--). The x-axis represents multipliers of the current fishing mortality. Vertical lines identify the relative fishing mortality levels that correspond to various biological reference points.

### 8.3 SKJ - SKIPJACK TUNA

The last skipjack stock assessment was carried out in 1999. Nevertheless, this report includes the latest data available on the catches and the fisheries.

## SKJ-1. Biology

Skipjack tuna is a cosmopolitan species forming schools in the tropical and subtropical waters of the three oceans. Skipjack spawn opportunistically throughout the year in vast areas of the Atlantic Ocean. The size at first maturity is about 45 cm for males and about 42 cm for females in the East Atlantic, while in the west sexual maturity is reached at around 51 cm for females and 52 cm for males. Skipjack growth is seasonal, with substantial differences according to the latitude. There remains considerable uncertainty about the variability of the growth parameters between areas. It is therefore a priority to gain more knowledge on the growth schemes of this species.

Skipjack is a species that is often associated with floating objects, both natural objects or fish aggregating devices (FADs) that have been used extensively since the early 1990s by purse seiners and baitboats (during the 1991 to 2003 period, about $55 \%$ of skipjack were caught with FADs). The concept of viscosity (low interchange between areas) could be appropriate for the skipjack stocks. A stock qualified as "viscous"can have the following characteristics:

- It may be possible to observe a decline in abundance for a local segment of the stock;
- Over-fishing of that component may have little, if any, repercussion on the abundance of the stock in other areas;
- Only a minor proportion of fish may make large-scale migrations.

The increasing use of fish aggregating devices could have changed the behavior of the schools and the migrations of this species. It is noted that, in effect, the free schools of mixed species were much more common prior to the introduction of FADs than now. These possible behavioral changes ("ecological trap" concept) may lead to changes in the biological parameters of this species as a result of the changes in the availability of food, predation and fishing mortality. Skipjack caught with FADs are usually found associated with other species. The typical catch with floating objects is comprised of about $63 \%$ skipjack, $20 \%$ small yellowfin, and $17 \%$ juvenile bigeye and other small tunas.

A comparison of size distributions of skipjack between periods prior to and after the introduction of FADs show that, in the East Atlantic, there has been an increase in the proportion of small fish in the catches, as well as a decline in the total catch in recent years in some areas.

The Committee reviewed the current stock structure hypothesis that consists of two separate management units, one in the East Atlantic and another in the West Atlantic, separated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. The boundary of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ was established when the fisheries were coastal, whereas in recent years the East Atlantic fisheries have extended towards the west, surpassing this longitude, and showing the presence of juvenile skipjack tuna along the Equator, west of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, following the drift of the FADs. This implies the potential existence of a certain degree of mixing (SKJ-Figure 1).

Nevertheless, taking into account the large distances betweenthe east and west areas of the ocean, various environmental constraints, the existence of a spawning area in the East Atlantic as well as in the northern zone of the Brazilian fishery, and the lack of additional evidence (e.g. transatlantic migrations in the tagging data), the hypothesis of separate East and West Atlantic stock is maintained as the most plausible alternative.

On the other hand, in taking into account the biological characteristics of the species and the different fishing areas, smaller management units could be considered.

## SKJ-2. Description of the fisheries

Skipjack are caught almost exclusively by surface gears in the entire Atlantic Ocean, although minor amounts of skipjack are taken by longline as by-catch (see Figure 1 for the catch distribution). Reported catches are
considered to be somewhat under-estimated, due to the discards of small-sized tunas, which include skipjack, by the purse seine fleets fishing under objects (about 0.06 t per ton of skipjack landed according to a preliminary estimate) and by some baitboat fleets in the equatorial area of the East Atlantic.

Total Atlantic catches in 2003 amounted to 147,500 t (SKJ-Table 1, SKJ-Figure 2).
As concerns the East Atlantic, the skipjack fishery underwent important changes in the early 1990s, with the introduction of artificial floating objects (FADs), with the subsequent expansion of the purse seine fishery towards the west $\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$, in latitudes close to the Equator, following the drift of the FADs, the introduction of these FADs in the Ghanaian purse seine and baitboat fisheries (1992), and the development of a fishing technique (whose main target species is bigeye) in which the baitboat is used as the floating object, fixing the school (comprised of bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack) during the entire fishing season in waters off Senegal, Mauritania and the Canary Islands (1992). All these changes have resulted in an increase in the exploitable biomass of the skipjack stock (due to the expansion of the fishing area) and in its catchability. At present, the most important fisheries are the purse seine fisheries, mainly those of EC-Spain, EC-France, the NEI fleet, Ghana and Netherlands Antilles, followed by the baitboat fisheries (Ghana, EC-Spain and EC-France). In 2003, catches in the East Atlantic reached 123,400 t, a 33\% increase as compared to 2002 (92,900 t) (SKJ-Figure 3).

The most important fishery in the West Atlantic is the Brazilian baitboat fishery. As concerns the purse seine fisheries, whose catches are considerably less than those taken by baitboat, catches were only made by the Venezuelan fleet. Catches in the West Atlantic in 2003 amounted to $24,000 \mathrm{t}, 12 \%$ more than in $2002(21,400$ t) (SKJ-Figure 4).

There is no information available on the effective fishing effort exerted on skipjack tuna in the east, particularly after the introduction of fishing with artificial floating objects. Considering vessel carrying capacity as a measure of nominal effort, in the East Atlantic Ocean, the total carrying capacity of the baitboat fleets remained relatively stable between 1972 and 2003. On the other hand, purse seine carrying capacity showed an increasing trend until 1983, followed by a spectacular decline in 1984, due to the shift of a part of the fleet to the Indian Ocean. Since 1991, this carrying capacity of the purse seine fleet has declined gradually until 1997, before stablizing at about $32,000 \mathrm{t}$. Afer having reached a maximum of $36,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2001, this index has stablized at about $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ in recent years (SKJ-Figure 5).

The increase in the efficiency of the fleet due to introduction of technological improvements on board the vessels, the development of fishing with floating objects, etc., as described by the Working Group on Abundance Indices in the Tropical Tuna Surface Fisheries (Miami, 1998), have resulted in an increase in the efficiency of the different fleets which is not well quantified. Preliminary analyses estimated an average annual increase of $5 \%$ in the efficiency of all the fleets for the period considered (1969-1998). Therefore, fishing effort expressed in number of fishing days is not a precise measure of effective fishing effort on skipjack, even though this type of information should be taken into consideration.

Fishing effort of Brazilian baitboats decreased by half between 1985 and 1996, whereas an increase in effort was observed between 1997 and 1998. From 1999 to 2003 it remained at the level of 1998. In spite of some analyses presented on the standardization of some fisheries (e.g., Brazil), the Committee was unable to evaluate the catch and effort pattern of the majority of the skipjack fisheries in 2004, although this is planned for a next assessment.

The fluctuation in the overall size of the area exploited by a fishery is an important component in the assessment of the eastern stock. The number of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ squares in which the purse seine fishery caught skipjack in the East Atlantic has shown an increasing trend since the end of the early 1970s (SKJ-Figure 6). However, the expansion of the fishing grounds was not continuous throughout the years. It seems that skipjack catches are very much related to the number of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{x} 1^{\circ}$ squares exploited. In the absence of other measures of fishing effort, the number of squares exploited could be considered as an alternative measure.

## SKJ-3. State of the stocks

The last assessment on Atlantic skipjack tuna was carried out in 1999.
The state of the Atlantic skipjack stock(s), as well as the stocks of this species in other oceans, show a series of characteristics that make it extremely difficult to conduct an assessment using current models. Among these characteristics, the most noteworthy are:

- the continuous recruitment throughout the year, but heterogeneous in time and area, making it impossible to identify and monitor the individual cohorts;
- apparent variable growth between areas, which makes it difficult to interpret the size distributions and their conversion to ages;
- exploitation by many and diverse fishing fleets (baitboat, purse seine), having distinct and changing catchabilities, which makes it difficult to estimate the effective effort exerted on the stock in the East Atlantic.

For these reasons, no standardized assessments have been able to be carried out on the Atlantic skipjack stocks. Notwithstanding, some estimates were made, by means of different indices of the fishery and some exploratory runs were conducted using a new development of the generalized production model.

## Eastern stock

Standardized catch rates are not available. However, an analysis was made, for the 1969-2002 period, of the different indices of the purse seine fishery that could provide valuable information on the state of the stock. The indices analyzed were: catches, catch per day fishing, number of sets per fishing day, positive sets, catch by $1^{\circ} \mathrm{x} 1^{\circ}$ squares exploited (SKJ-Figure 7), average weight, Grainger and Garcia index (annual growth rate of catches with respect to the average catch of the previous three years). For the majority of the indices, the trends were divergent, depending on the area, which may indicate the viscosity of the skipjack stock, with limited mixing rates between areas. In general, the development of the catches (with stable nominal effort), the average weights, and the catch per positive set showed a possible scenario of local over-fishing in the Equatorial area of maximum fishing concentration on FADs, even though the last index could be biased due to an increase in purse seine catchability. Other indices, such as the number of sets per fishing day or the catch by area fished, could also show similar biases. In other areas, particularly in the Senegalese area where there is a predominance of fishing on free schools, the indices showed, on the contrary, a different development (no trend, and by inference, a stable stock status).

The Grainger and García index is a gross indicator of stock status under the hypothesis that the skipjack fisheries in the East Atlantic have supported increasing effort over time. When this index shows negative values it can be interpreted as a sign that catches are too high. However, the Group expressed doubts about the validity of applying this conclusion to the entire eastern stock. The Committee was informed that since the Madeira Working Group carried out the stock assessment of skipjack, a scientific paper has been published on this topic. Because this method presupposes that fishing effort increased during this period, the changes over time in the relative rate of catch increase (RRCI) was broken down into two historical periods (data before 1984 in one hand and data from 1990 to 1999, on the other hand (see Grainger and García index, revised); SKJ-Figure 8); the period from 1985 to 1989 was not used in the analysis because fishing effort decreased due to the partial shift of European Community purse seiners to the Indian Ocean in the second half of the 1980s. Notice that the last period began in the early 1990s with the massive use of FADs fishing operations. In keeping to the spirit of reserve expressed by the Committee concerning the total eastern stock, the state of potential over-exploitation occurred in 1994-1995.

A new, non-equilibrium production model was presented based on a generalized model. A run of the fit of this model showed a possible decline in the yield of the stock following the introduction of FADs. However, the MSY estimates are not considered robust enough to be utilized as a measure of the state of the stock. In the same way, the model estimated a possible generalized increase in the efficiency of the fishing gears of about $5 \%$ annually for this species.
Because of the difficulties to assign ages to the skipjack catches, the estimates of the values of natural mortality by age and obtaining indices of abundance (especially for the eastern stock), no catch-by-age matrices were developed and, consequently, no analytical assessment methods (VPA type) were applied.

## Western stock

Standardized abundance indices up to 1998 were available from the Brazilian baitboat fishery and the Venezuelan purse seine fishery (SKJ-Figure 9), and in both cases the indices seem to show a stable stock status.

## SKJ-4. Outlook

Uncertainties in the underlying assumptions for the analyses prevent the extracting of definitive conclusions regarding the state of the stock. However, the results suggest that there may be over-exploitation within the FAD fisheries, although it was not clear to what extent this applies to the entire stock.

The Committee could not determine if the effect of the FADs on the resource is only at the local level or if it had a broader impact, affecting the biology and behavior of the species. Under this supposition, maintaining high concentrations of FADs would reduce the productivity of the overall stock. However, since 1997, and due to the implementation of a voluntary Protection Plan for Atlantic tunas, agreed upon by the Spanish and French boat owners in the usual areas of fishing with objects, which later resulted in a Commission regulation on the surface fleets that practice this type of fishing, there has been a reduction in the skipjack catches associated with FADs. Maintaining this closure could have a positive effect on the resource.

## SKJ-5. Effects of current regulations

There is currently no specific regulation in effect for skipjack tuna. However, the French and Spanish boat owners voluntarily applied a moratorium for the period of November 1997 through January 1998, and November 1998 through January 1999. The moratorium, which was implemented in order to protect juvenile bigeye tuna, has had an influence on the skipjack catches made with FADs. Since 1999, a similar moratorium was applied, recommended by the Commission, and is still in force.

The average purse seine skipjack catches during the months from November to January by the fleets that applied the moratoria were reduced by $64 \%$ compared to the average catches between the 1993-1996 period (before the moratoria) and those corresponding to the 1998-2002 period. For the entire period in which the moratoria have been in effect (1998-2002), the average annual skipjack catches by the purse seine fleets that applied the moratoria decreased by $41 \%$, which is equivalent to 42,000 t per year. However, this decrease is likely a combined result of the decrease in effort and the moratorium impact; this is supported by the observation that the mean annual catch by boats has decreased only $18 \%$ between the two periods.

## SKJ-6. Management recommendations

No management recommendations were proposed.

|  | ATLANTIC SKIPJACK TUNA SUMMARY |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | East Atlantic | West Atlantic |
| Current (2003) Yield | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Current Replacement Yield | $123,420 \mathrm{t}$ | $24,053 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2003} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality: $\mathrm{F}_{2003} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Management measures in effect | Not estimated | Not estimated |


|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  | 89696 | 111358 | 131061 | 154909 | 135038 | 126826 | 118713 | 122172 | 114566 | 139962 | 116120 | 138659 | 203175 | 152815 | 180929 | 164435 | 151249 | 142118 | 141079 | 145869 | 161465 | 138752 | 148998 | 114559 | 147478 |
|  | AT.E | 83119 | 98774 | 107941 | 122368 | 102669 | 91230 | 78441 | 90021 | 90402 | 116226 | 89738 | 112549 | 169771 | 122660 | 147708 | 134486 | 129390 | 114557 | 109367 | 116790 | 134159 | 109457 | 117591 | 93002 | 123420 |
|  | AT.W | 6565 | 12573 | 23073 | 32520 | 31839 | 35596 | 40272 | 32151 | 24164 | 23736 | 26382 | 26110 | 33404 | 30155 | 33221 | 29949 | 21859 | 27561 | 31712 | 29079 | 27306 | 29295 | 31407 | 21440 | 24053 |
|  | UNCL | 12 | 11 | 47 | 21 | 530 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 5 |
| $\overline{\text { AT.E }}$ | Bait boat | 44645 | 38134 | 38918 | 44488 | 34873 | 28085 | 29868 | 30009 | 38803 | 48015 | 41000 | 36569 | 41612 | 35660 | 31656 | 37817 | 33691 | 32047 | 38624 | 42012 | 41403 | 30548 | 44437 | 24074 | 32652 |
|  | Longline | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 62 | 22 | 6 | 19 | 6 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 47 | 85 | 42 | 48 | 53 | 33 | 79 |
|  | Other Surf. | 1508 | 3906 | 2528 | 3054 | 2242 | 1328 | 219 | 1640 | 1040 | 1332 | 1469 | 1178 | 1890 | 1449 | 1028 | 311 | 308 | 323 | 138 | 930 | 288 | 1162 | 403 | 255 | 181 |
|  | Purse seine | 36966 | 56734 | 66473 | 74824 | 65492 | 61795 | 48348 | 58353 | 50553 | 66875 | 47260 | 74802 | 126264 | 85548 | 115022 | 96348 | 95388 | 82180 | 70558 | 73762 | 92425 | 77699 | 72698 | 68634 | 90509 |
|  | Trawl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |
| AT.W | Bait boat | 4365 | 9351 | 17999 | 22402 | 20057 | 16771 | 28490 | 25278 | 18675 | 21057 | 23292 | 22246 | 23972 | 20852 | 19697 | 22645 | 17744 | 23741 | 26797 | 24724 | 23881 | 25754 | 25142 | 18737 | 21366 |
|  | Longline | 1 | 1 | 9 | 23 | 8 | 25 | 24 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 25 | 23 | 33 | 29 | 20 | 16 | 33 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 76 | 27 |
|  | Other Surf. | 710 | 149 | 410 | 390 | 653 | 842 | 567 | 1657 | 518 | 355 | 600 | 600 | 871 | 764 | 710 | 1577 | 2023 | 452 | 556 | 514 | 480 | 465 | 951 | 511 | 363 |
|  | Purse seine | 1489 | 3072 | 4654 | 9705 | 11121 | 17958 | 11191 | 5208 | 4964 | 2315 | 2466 | 3241 | 8527 | 8509 | 12794 | 5712 | 2059 | 3349 | 4347 | 3826 | 2936 | 3063 | 5297 | 2116 | 2296 |
|  | Trawl |  | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | - | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| UNCL | Longline | 12 | 11 | 47 | 21 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 |
|  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | -- |
| AT.E | Algerie | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 43 | 89 | 77 | 0 | -- |
|  | Angola | 3628 | 3482 | 2532 | 2257 | 318 | 46 | 131 | 56 | 80 | 30 | 85 | 69 | 66 | 41 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 52 | , | 32 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
|  | Benin | 8 | 30 | 60 | 68 | 38 | 10 | 20 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 7 |  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cape Verde | 998 | 2094 | 1588 | 1636 | 1400 | 1391 | 2030 | 877 | 2076 | 1456 | 971 | 806 | 1333 | 864 | 860 | 1007 | 1314 | 470 | 591 | 684 | 962 | 789 | 794 | 334 | 334 |
|  | Cayman Islands | 0 | 289 | 1800 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | , |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 47 | 73 | 39 | 41 | 24 | 23 | 27 |
|  | Congo | 0 | 1250 | 200 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 100 | 196 | 198 | 189 | 135 | 310 | 246 | 569 | 81 | 206 | 331 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 18748 | 26384 | 35458 | 38016 | 28934 | 46659 | 35100 | 41992 | 33076 | 47643 | 35300 | 47834 | 79908 | 53319 | 63660 | 50538 | 51594 | 38538 | 38513 | 36008 | 44520 | 37226 | 30954 | 25441 | 44832 |
|  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.France | 18602 | 25767 | 26926 | 31132 | 29727 | 12994 | 13645 | 13045 | 17114 | 16504 | 15211 | 17099 | 33271 | 21890 | 33735 | 32779 | 25188 | 23107 | 17023 | 18382 | 20344 | 18183 | 16593 | 16637 | 19899 |
|  | EC.Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
|  | EC.Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 29 |
|  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Portugal | 3074 | 1954 | 2825 | 5530 | 1113 | 3974 | 2409 | 5446 | 8420 | 14257 | 7725 | 3987 | 8059 | 7477 | 5651 | 7528 | 4996 | 8297 | 4399 | 4544 | 1810 | 1302 | 2167 | 2958 | 4315 |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 51 | 26 | , | 59 | 76 | 21 | 101 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ghana | 4299 | 5812 | 7858 | 18272 | 24376 | 20697 | 19082 | 22268 | 24347 | 26597 | 22751 | 24251 | 25052 | 18967 | 20225 | 21258 | 18607 | 19602 | 27667 | 34150 | 43460 | 29950 | 43340 | 31887 | 32766 |
|  | Japan | 14686 | 12304 | 12935 | 9930 | 6002 | 1504 | 2098 | 2031 | 1982 | 3200 | 2243 | 2566 | 4792 | 2378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Korea, Republic of | 12017 | 6718 | 7538 | 2827 | 1553 | 699 | 153 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Maroc | 1863 | 5001 | 3017 | 3956 | 2532 | 885 | 1015 | 1222 | 1041 | 428 | 295 | 1197 | 254 | 559 | 312 | 248 | 5024 | 684 | 4513 | 2486 | 858 | 1199 | 268 | 281 | 524 |
|  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1560 | 3383 | 927 | 590 | 540 | 791 | 2994 | 2263 | 10516 | 6054 | 6941 | 9482 | 6521 | 6146 | 10220 | 4901 | 6749 | 7701 | 7128 | 8121 | 8544 | 7696 |
|  | Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7096 | 8444 | 8553 | 9932 | 10008 | 13370 | 5427 | 12084 |
|  | Norway | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 581 | 738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Panama | 1750 | 1735 | 144 | 2541 | 1611 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8312 | 8719 | 13027 | 12978 | 14853 | 5855 | 1300 | 572 | 1308 | 1560 | 281 | 342 | -- |
|  | Rumania | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 59 | 142 | 349 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1175 | 1110 | 540 | 1471 | 1450 | 381 | 1146 | 2086 | 1426 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 34 | 33 | 90 | 78 | 103 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 134 | 652 | 260 | 95 | 59 | 18 | 163 | 455 | 1963 | 1631 | 1506 | 1271 | 1046 | 733 |
|  | South Africa | 2 | 48 | 110 | 37 | 104 | 14 | 66 | 101 | 88 | 157 | 96 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | U.S.A. | 2073 | 2608 | 2800 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | U.S.S.R. | 1161 | 2991 | 1750 | 3957 | 1223 | 1000 | 1404 | 1688 | 547 | 1822 | 1915 | 3635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK.Sta. Helena | 76 | 70 | 112 | 271 | 103 | 85 | 62 | 139 | 139 | 158 | 397 | 171 | 24 | 16 | 65 | 55 | 115 | 86 | 294 | 298 | 13 | 64 | 205 | 63 | 63 |
|  | Venezuela | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Catch estimated by SCRS. As no species composition correction had been made .The figure reported by Netherlands Antilles is 11100 t

|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT.W | Argentina | 0 | 17 | 1 | 137 | 243 | 505 | 101 | 138 | 90 | 7 | 111 | 106 | 272 | 123 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 78 | 72 | 39 | 48 | 36 | 33 | 21 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 2065 | 6071 | 13913 | 18322 | 15945 | 13567 | 25101 | 23155 | 16286 | 17316 | 20750 | 20130 | 20548 | 18535 | 17771 | 20588 | 16560 | 22528 | 26564 | 23789 | 23188 | 25164 | 24146 | 18338 | 20416 |
|  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 32 | 26 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 13 |
|  | Colombia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2074 | 789 | 1583 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 2000 | 2255 | 1086 | 1134 | 1700 | 1248 | 1632 | 1277 | 1101 | 1631 | 1449 | 1443 | 1596 | 1638 | 1017 | 1268 | 886 | 1000 | 1000 | 651 | 651 | 651 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 38 | 41 | 24 | 43 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 85 | 86 | 45 | 55 | 51 |
|  | Dominican Republic | 87 | 59 | 71 | 80 | 106 | 68 | 204 | 600 | 62 | 63 | 117 | 110 | 156 | 135 | 143 | 257 | 146 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 1052 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 | 2610 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1592 | 1120 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.France | 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | , | 0 | 3 |
|  | Ghana | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Grenada | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 22 | 11 | 23 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 15 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 15 | 14 | 16 |
|  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Korea, Republic of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Mexico | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 30 | 48 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 6 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Panama | 161 | 1026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 20 | 66 | 56 | 53 | 37 | 42 | 57 | 37 | 68 | 97 | 264 | 92 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 41 | 40 | 37 | 38 | 35 | 64 | 53 | 76 | 60 | 53 | 38 | 37 | 51 | 39 | 53 | 86 | 72 | 38 | 100 | 263 | 153 | 216 | 151 | 106 | 132 |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | U.S.A. | 1029 | 981 | 2753 | 33 | 697 | 853 | 1814 | 1115 | 734 | 57 | 73 | 304 | 858 | 560 | 367 | 99 | 81 | 85 | 84 | 106 | 152 | 44 | 70 | 88 | 78 |
|  | UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Venezuela | 0 | 1890 | 4900 | 12645 | 12778 | 16526 | 10712 | 5690 | 5750 | 4509 | 3723 | 3813 | 8146 | 7834 | 11172 | 6697 | 2387 | 3574 | 3834 | 4114 | 2981 | 3003 | 6870 | 2554 | 3247 |
| UNCL | Chinese Taipei | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 |
|  | Korea, Republic of | 2 | 4 | 47 | 21 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | -- |

Dashes indicate that no report was received nor estimate made.


SKJ-Fig. 1. Distribution of reported skipjack surface catches (1950-2000) by $5 \times 5$ area and by gear (dark $=$ baitboat; light = purse seine).


SKJ-Fig. 2. Total eastern and western Atlantic skipjack landings (1950-2003).


SKJ-Fig. 3. Reported landings of skipjack in the eastern Atlantic, by major gear (1950-2003).


SKJ-Fig. 4. Reported landings of skipjack in the western Atlantic, by major gear (1950-2003).


SKJ-Fig. 5. Carrying capacity (in t) of purse seiners and baitboats in the eastern Atlantic (1969-2002).


SKJ-Fig. 7. Average skipjack catch per $1 \times 1$ degree area (where catches were reported) by the eastern Atlantic purse seine fishery (1969-2002).


SKJ-Fig. 6 Number of 1x1 degree areas where skipjack catches were reported in the eastern Atlantic purse seine fisheries (1969-2002).


SKJ-Fig. 8. Changes over time in Grainger and Garcia index (RRCI revised to account for the assumption concerning the increase in fishing effort) for eastern Atlantic skipjack for the two main historical periods of the fishery. Years that correspond to major changes in the fishery were not used and are represented by empty circles.


SKJ-Fig. 9. Left: Venezuelan purse seiner CPUEs: estimated values by GLM (continuous line) and raw values (dotted line). Right: Brazilian baitboat CPUE estimated by GLM delta-lognormal standardization.

### 8.4 ALB - ALBACORE

The last assessment of the North stock was conducted in 2000 (1975-1999) and that of the South stock in 2003; no assessment of the Mediterranean stock has ever been carried out. This report includes the catch data available for 2003.

## ALB-1. Biology

Albacore is a temperate tuna widely distributed throughout the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. On the basis of the biological information available, for assessment purposes the existence of three stocks is assumed: northern and southern Atlantic stocks (separated at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ) and a Mediterranean stock (ALB-Figure 1).

Albacore spawning areas in the Atlantic are found in subtropical western areas of both hemispheres and throughout the Mediterranean Sea. Spawning takes places during austral and boreal spring-summer. Maturity is considered to occur at about 90 cm FL (age 5) in the Atlantic, and at smaller size ( 62 cm , age 2) in the Mediterranean. Until this age they are mainly found in surface waters, where they are targeted by surface gears. Some adult albacore are also caught using surface gears but, as a result of their deeper distribution, they are mainly caught using longlines. Young albacore are also caught by longline in temperate waters.

## ALB-2. Description of fisheries

## North Atlantic

The northern stock is exploited by surface and longline fisheries (ALB-Table 1; ALB-Figure 2). Traditional surface fisheries include Spanish trolling and baitboats, used mainly in the Bay of Biscay and adjacent waters in the northeastern Atlantic along with the Canary Islands baitboat fishery and some Spanish and Portuguese baitboats around the Azores Islands. New surface fishing gears, driftnets and pair pelagic/mid-water trawling, were introduced in 1987 in the Bay of Biscay and adjacent waters by EC-France. EC-Ireland and EC-United Kingdom joined the driftnet fishery at the beginning of the 1990s. In 1998 Ireland initiated experimental fishing trials using trolling and pelagic trawling. These surface fisheries mainly target juveniles and sub-adults ( 50 cm to 90 cm FL). Following an EC ban, the driftnet fishery stopped its activity in 2002. A longline fleet from Chinese Taipei targets sub-adult and adult albacore ( $60-120 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in the central and western North Atlantic. Other fleets make minor catches and in most cases albacore constitute a component of the by-catch of longliners.

The total catch in the North Atlantic has shown a downward trend since the mid-1960s, largely due to a reduction of fishing effort by traditional surface and longline fisheries (ALB-Table 1; ALB-Figure 2). After stabilization in the 1990s, mainly due to the increased effort and catch by new surface fisheries since 1987 and a peak in 1999 at $34,840 \mathrm{t}$, catches decreased in the 2001-2002. Catches in 2003 were 25,516 t , an increase with reference to 2001-2002, in particular for surface fisheries.

## South Atlantic

In the last five years, more than $92 \%$ of the total annual South Atlantic albacore landings were attributed to four fisheries, namely the surface baitboat fleets from South Africa and Namibia, and the longline fleets from Brazil and Chinese Taipei (ALB-Table 1; ALB-Figure 2). The surface fleets are entirely albacore directed and mainly catch juvenile fish ( $70-90 \mathrm{~cm}$ FL). These fisheries operate seasonally, from October to May, when albacore are available in coastal waters. The longline fleets contain vessels that target albacore and vessels that take albacore as a by-catch in swordfish- or bigeye-directed fishing operations. On average, the longline vessels catch larger albacore $(60-120 \mathrm{~cm})$ than the surface fleets. The Chinese Taipei fleet expends substantial effort in the South Atlantic and the albacore catch (both directed and by-catch) by this fleet is about $56 \%$ of the global catch of South Atlantic albacore. In 2003, South African baitboats recorded their lowest annual catch since 1983, due to a combination of unfavorable exchange rates (making albacore fishing economically unviable) and the unavailability of albacore in near-shore waters. Brazilian longline albacore catches have declined slightly in 2003 compared with 2002 due to a small reduction in the number of longline freezer vessels. Other albacore by-catches of note were made by Japanese, Spanish, South African and Namibian long liners.

Surface and longline catches remained relatively constant at around $8,000 \mathrm{t}$ and $21,000 \mathrm{t}$, respectively, since 1995-2003 (ALB-Table 1; ALB-Figure 2). This is due, in part, to the implementation of management regulations by some countries in response to the 1994 ICCAT Resolution.

## Mediterranean

The Mediterranean catches are highly uncertain. Reported catches have fluctuated between 2,000 tand 5,600 t since 1984, reaching a maximum of $7,415 \mathrm{t}$ in 2003, the highest ever recorded. (ALB-Table 1 and ALBFigure 2). Catches in the Mediterranean, were mainly by EC-Italy that reported the emergence of a significant fishery in the southern Mediterranean Sea.

## ALB-3. State of stocks

The Committee noted the considerable uncertainty that continues to remain in the catch-at-size data for the North and South stocks, and the profound impact this has had on attempts to complete a satisfactory assessment of northern albacore. The Committee assessed the status of the South Atlantic albacore stock after a review of the Task I and Task II data available. In respect of the North Atlantic, however, the Committee concluded that it was not appropriate to proceed with a VPA assessment based on the 2003 catch-at-age until the catch-at-size to catch-at-age transformation is reviewed and validated. No attempt was made to analyze the status of the Mediterranean stock.

## North Atlantic

The Committee carried out an initial analysis of the state of the northern stock using a model (VPA) essentially the same as that used in previous assessments. However revisions to catch-at-size data, provided to the Secretariat during and shortly before the assessment, altered the historical data series. The impacts of these revisions are such that the Committee concluded that it was not appropriate to proceed with an assessment based on the 2003 catch-at-age. Consequently, the Committee's opinion of the current state of the northern albacore stock is based primarily on the last assessment conducted in 2000 together with observations of CPUE and catch data provided to the Committee since then. The results, obtained in 2000, showed consistency with those from previous assessments.

The Committee noted that CPUE trends have varied since the last (2000) assessment, and in particular differed between those representative of the surface fleets (Spain Troll age 2 and Spain Troll age 3) and those of the longline fleets of Japan, Chinese Taipei and the United States (ALB-Figure 3). The Spanish age 2 troll series, while displaying an upward trend since the last assessment, none the less declines over the last 10 years. For the Spanish age 3 troll series the trend in the years since the last assessment is down, however, the trend for the remainder of the last decade is generally unchanged. For the longline fleets, the trend in CPUE indices is either upwards (Chinese Taipei and US) or unchanged (Japan) in the period since the last assessment. However, variability associated with all of these catch rate estimates prevented definitive conclusions about recent trends of albacore catch rates.

Equilibrium yield analyses, carried out in 2000 and made on the basis of an estimated relationship between stock size and recruitment, indicate that spawning stock biomass was about $30 \%$ below that associated with MSY (ALB-Figure 4). However, the Committee noted considerable uncertainties in these estimates of current biomass relative to the biomass associated with MSY ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ), owing to the difficulty of estimating how recruitment might decline below historical levels of stock biomass. Thus, the Committee concluded that the northern stock is probably below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$, but the possibility that it is above it should not be dismissed. However, equilibrium yield- per-recruit analyses made by the Committee in 2000 indicate that the northern stock is not being growth-over fished ( $\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$; ALB-Figure 5).

## South Atlantic

In 2003, an age-structured production model (ASPM), using the same specifications as in 2000, was used to provide a Base Case assessment for South Atlantic albacore. Results were similar to those obtained in 2000, but the confidence intervals were substantially narrower in 2003 than in 2000. In part this may be a consequence of additional data now available, but the underlying causes need to be investigated further. The estimated MSY and replacement yield from the 2003 Base Case ( $30,915 \mathrm{t}$ and $29,256 \mathrm{t}$, respectively) were similar to those estimated in $2000(30,274 \mathrm{t}$ and $29,165 \mathrm{t})$. In both 2003 and 2000 the fishing mortality rate was estimated to be
about $60 \%$ of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$. Spawning stock biomass has declined substantially relative to the late 1980 s, but the decline appears to have leveled off in recent years (ALB-Figure 6) and the estimate for 2002 remains well above the spawning stock biomass corresponding to MSY. A statistical (Bayesian) age-structured production model was used for the first time in 2003. The results from this model were qualitatively similar to those from the ASPM. Projections were carried out using this alternate model.

## Mediterranean

Due to the lack of proper data, an assessment of the Mediterranean stock has never been carried out by the ICCAT Committee.

## ALB-4. Outlook

## North Atlantic

In terms of yield per recruit, the VPA assessment carried out in 2000 indicates that the fishing intensity is at, or below, the fully exploited level (ALB-Figure 5). Concerning MSY-related quantities, the Committee recalls that they are highly dependent on the specific choice of stock-recruitment relationship. The Committee believed that using a particular form of stock-recruitment relationship that allows recruitment to increase with spawning stock size provided a reasonable view of reality. This hypothesis together with the results of the VPA assessment conducted in 2000 indicate that the spawning stock biomass ( $\mathrm{B}_{1999}$ ) for the northern stock $(29,000 \mathrm{t})$ was about $30 \%$ below the biomass associated with MSY ( $42,300 \mathrm{t}$ ) and that current F (2000) was about $10 \%$ above $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$. However, an alternative model allowing for more stable recruitment values in the range of observed SSB values would provide a lower estimate of SSB at MSY, below the current value.

## South Atlantic

Catches of albacore in the South Atlantic in 2001 and 2002 were above replacement yield, and were below estimates of MSY in 2003. Nevertheless, both the 2000 and 2003 albacore assessments estimated that the stock is above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$. There is now greater confidence in these estimates of MSY and therefore there is justification to base a TAC recommendation on MSY instead of replacement yield estimates from the ASPM as in 2000. This results from the Committee's view that current stock status is somewhat above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ and catch of this level, on average, would be expected to reduce the stock further towards $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$. Recent estimates of high recruitment could allow for some temporary increase in adult stock abundance under a $31,000 \mathrm{t}$ catch, but this result is uncertain (ALB-Figure 7).

## Mediterranean

Given the lack of an assessment, the implications of the rapid increase in landings are unknown.

## ALB-5. Effects of current regulations

## North Atlantic

Since 2001, the Commission established a total allowable catch (TAC) of 34,500 t for this stock. In 2003 the Commission extended this TAC up to 2006. The Committee noted that reported catches for 2001, 2002 and 2003 have been below the TAC. A 1998 Recommendation that limits fishing capacity to the average of 19931995 also remains in force. The Committee is unable to assess whether or not these recommendations have had a direct effect on the stock.

## South Atlantic

Since 1999, the Commission established the Total allowable catch (TAC) for this stock (in 2001-2003 the TAC has been set to $29,200 \mathrm{t}$ ). In 2003 the Commission extended this TAC to 2004. The Committee noted that reported catches have not exceeded the TAC in 2003. Also the total catch by Chinese Taipei, South Africa, Brazil and Namibia ( $26,620 \mathrm{t}$ ) did not exceed the $27,500 \mathrm{t}$ catch limit of parties actively fishing for southern albacore, as stipulated by resolution 02-06. (It should be noted that sufficient capacity exists within the fisheries to exceed the TAC as was done in 2000, 2001, and 2002.) Japan adhered to its by-catch limit of $4 \%$ of the total
catch of bigeye tuna in the Atlantic Ocean, as stipulated by Resolution [Rec. 03-06]. However, the Committee is unable to assess whether or not these catch limits have had a direct effect on the stock.

## Mediterranean

There are no ICCAT regulations directly aimed at managing the Mediterranean albacore stock.

## ALB-6. Management recommendations

## North Atlantic

No VPA assessment of the North Atlantic albacore stock was possible in 2003 because of uncertainties associated with the catch-at-age. In 2000, the Committee recommended that in order to maintain a stable Spawning Stock Biomass in the near future the catch should not exceed 34,500 t (the 1999 catch level) in the period 2001-2002. The 2000 Committee further noted that should the Commission wish the Spawning Stock Biomass to begin increasing towards the level estimated to support MSY, then catches in 2001 and 2002 should not exceed $31,000 \mathrm{t}$. The 2003 Committee reiterates its previous advice and extends it until the next assessment.

## South

Recent catches of albacore in the South Atlantic are in the vicinity of the current and recent estimates of MSY ( 30,915 t). Both the 2000 and the 2003 albacore assessments estimated that the stock is above $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ (2003 estimates $\mathrm{B}_{\text {current }} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=1.66, \mathrm{~F}_{\text {current }} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}=0.62$ ). The Committee recommends that in order to maintain SSB in the near future the catch should not exceed $31,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the next 3 to 5 years.

## Mediterranean

There were no management recommendations for the Mediterranean stock. However the Committee recommends to the Commission that reliable data be provided on catch, effort and size for Mediterranean albacore. The Committee also recommends that an effort be made to recover historical data. Improvements to these basic inputs are essential before a stock assessment of Mediterranean albacore can be attempted.

|  | North Atlantic ${ }^{1}$ | South Atlantic ${ }^{2}$ | Mediterranean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current (2003) Yield | 25,516 $\mathrm{t}^{5}$ | 27,811 t | 7,415 t |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | 32,600 t (32,400-33,100) | 30,915 t (26,333-30,915) | Unknown |
| Replacement Yield (2003) | Not estimated | 29,256 t (24,530-32,277) | Not estimated |
| Relative Biomass ${ }^{3}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\text {current }} / \mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ | 0.68 (0.52-0.86) | 1.66 (0.74-1.81) | Not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality ${ }^{3,4}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {current }} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | 1.10 (0.99-1.30) | 0.62 (0.46-1.48) | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {curren }} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MAX}}$ | 0.71 (0.66-0.78) | -- | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\text {current }} / \mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 1.25 (1.14-1.39) | -- | Not estimated |
| Management measures in Effect | [Rec. 98-08]: Limit number of vessels to 1993-1995 average. <br> TAC: 34,500 t [Rec. 03-06] | [Rec. 03-07]: Limit catches to 29,200 t. | None |
| VPA results based on catch data (1975-1999). $80 \%$ confidence intervals from bootstrap. <br> 2 ASPM results based on catch data (1956-2002). $80 \%$ confidence intervals from bootstrap. <br> ${ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1999}=$ North Atlantic, Geometric Mean 1996-1998. <br> ${ }_{5}$ North "current" is from 2000 assessment $\mathrm{F}_{1999}$ ); South "current" is from 2003 assessment $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2002}\right)$. <br> 5 This figure includes reported catch, provisional catch reported to the Committee. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL |  |  | 74826 | 62137 | 60071 | 73617 | 67643 | 59842 | 76052 | 88554 | 82738 | 68048 | 63342 | 67167 | 56342 | 69598 | 73078 | 71614 | 67512 | 60352 | 59439 | 58880 | 67295 | 71409 | 70204 | 60004 | 60742 |
|  | AT.N |  | 51365 | 38707 | 34531 | 42673 | 51490 | 41829 | 40826 | 47554 | 38115 | 33878 | 32070 | 36557 | 27938 | 30815 | 38063 | 35036 | 38295 | 28780 | 28988 | 25587 | 34840 | 33754 | 25186 | 22617 | 25516 |
|  | AT.S |  | 22628 | 22930 | 24040 | 29672 | 14918 | 14599 | 31097 | 37288 | 40630 | 30107 | 27212 | 28714 | 25866 | 35918 | 32516 | 34733 | 27231 | 27898 | 27802 | 30487 | 27553 | 29259 | 34489 | 31706 | 27811 |
|  | MEDI |  | 833 | 500 | 1500 | 1272 | 1235 | 3414 | 4129 | 3712 | 3993 | 4063 | 4060 | 1896 | 2378 | 2202 | 2130 | 1349 | 1587 | 3125 | 2541 | 2698 | 4851 | 5577 | 4866 | 5608 | 7415 |
|  | UNCL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 663 | 369 | 496 | 399 | 549 | 108 | 108 | 50 | 2819 | 5662 | 73 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Bait boat | 15764 | 16170 | 13410 | 15857 | 21108 | 8305 | 12589 | 15202 | 18756 | 16752 | 15374 | 18625 | 8985 | 12449 | 15646 | 11967 | 16411 | 11337 | 9820 | 7562 | 8781 | 12113 | 6099 | 6639 | 7918 |
|  |  | Longline | 12207 | 9451 | 9819 | 13206 | 16863 | 19709 | 17413 | 21232 | 7296 | 3013 | 2228 | 2683 | 5304 | 3103 | 7020 | 7196 | 4776 | 4620 | 4044 | 3875 | 6621 | 6606 | 5939 | 6147 | 7379 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 62 | 10 | 523 | 694 | 367 | 2231 | 108 | 213 | 343 | 994 | 1662 | 3865 | 3999 | 5173 | 7279 | 7506 | 3555 | 3337 | 4378 | 6846 | 7646 | 6119 | 3089 | 376 | 924 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 0 | 16 | , | 84 | 364 | 555 | 59 | 60 | 1 | 97 | 12 | 1 | 222 | 139 | 229 | 278 | 278 | 263 | 0 | 91 | 55 | 191 | 263 | 118 | 211 |
|  |  | Trawl | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 262 | 1693 | 2240 | 1033 | 469 | 2603 | 1779 | 2131 | 3049 | 2571 | 2877 | 1318 | 4892 | 3703 | 5485 | 5331 | 3836 |
|  |  | Troll | 23332 | 13059 | 10778 | 12831 | 12788 | 11029 | 10654 | 10847 | 11457 | 11329 | 10554 | 10350 | 8959 | 7348 | 6109 | 5959 | 10226 | 6652 | 7870 | 5894 | 6845 | 5023 | 4312 | 4007 | 5249 |
|  | AT.S | Bait boat | 53 | 1346 | 1721 | 2575 | 1794 | 4166 | 7909 | 6829 | 8181 | 7696 | 7393 | 5981 | 3454 | 6490 | 7379 | 8947 | 7091 | 6960 | 8110 | 10353 | 6709 | 6873 | 10360 | 9712 | 6973 |
|  |  | Longline | 21843 | 20671 | 20426 | 25255 | 11941 | 9834 | 22672 | 29815 | 30964 | 21828 | 19407 | 21590 | 21859 | 26519 | 23650 | 24224 | 19718 | 20472 | 19447 | 19699 | 20588 | 22275 | 23728 | 21632 | 20500 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 544 | 449 | 89 | 493 | 484 | 234 | 334 | 400 | 537 | 398 | 411 | 1139 | 137 | 393 | 39 | 483 | 10 | 209 | 127 | 0 | 73 | 58 | 377 | 323 | 82 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 188 | 464 | 1804 | 1349 | 699 | 365 | 182 | 244 | 948 | 185 | 0 | 4 | 416 | 2516 | 1448 | 1079 | 412 | 257 | 118 | 435 | 183 | 53 | 25 | 39 | 256 |
|  | MEDI | Bait boat | 0 | 0 | 900 | 539 | 535 | 1331 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 499 | 171 | 231 | 81 | 163 | 205 | 0 | 33 | 96 | 88 | 77 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 375 | 150 | 161 | 168 | 165 | 624 | 523 | 442 | 402 | 350 | 87 | 366 | 348 | 194 | 417 | 2800 | 2597 | 3706 | 4248 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 833 | 500 | 600 | 700 | 700 | 1716 | 2973 | 3552 | 3782 | 3879 | 3879 | 1098 | 1198 | 1533 | 879 | 766 | 1031 | 2435 | 1991 | 2426 | 4265 | 2689 | 2193 | 1755 | 3166 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 274 | 10 | 50 | 16 | 16 | 91 | 110 | 6 | 559 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | Troll | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 50 | 59 | 129 | 306 | 119 | 202 | 45 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 663 | 369 | 496 | 399 | 549 | 108 | 108 | 50 | 2819 | 5662 | 18 | 0 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.N | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 47 | 22 | 6 | 5 |  | 9 | 32 | 12 | 24 | 31 | 23 | 38 | 122 | 51 | 113 | 56 |
|  |  | Cape Verde | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 57 | 196 | 155 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 6973 | 7090 | 6584 | 10500 | 14254 | 14923 | 14899 | 19646 | 6636 | 2117 | 1294 | 3005 | 4318 | 2209 | 6300 | 6409 | 3977 | 3905 | 3330 | 3098 | 5785 | 5299 | 4399 | 4330 | 4557 |
|  |  | Cuba | 0 | 31 | 48 | 82 | 38 | 69 | 20 | 31 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- |
|  |  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 121 | 73 | 95 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 29630 | 25202 | 20819 | 25478 | 29557 | 15685 | 20672 | 24387 | 28206 | 27557 | 25424 | 25792 | 17233 | 18176 | 18380 | 16998 | 20197 | 16323 | 17294 | 13285 | 15364 | 15965 | 9177 | 8952 | 12530 |
|  |  | EC.France | 9320 | 3955 | 2929 | 2855 | 2391 | 2797 | 1860 | 1200 | 1921 | 2805 | 4050 | 3300 | 4123 | 6924 | 6293 | 5934 | 5304 | 4694 | 4618 | 3711 | 7189 | 6019 | 6344 | 4289 | 3641 |
|  |  | EC.Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 451 | 1946 | 2534 | 918 | 874 | 1913 | 3750 | 4858 | 3464 | 2093 | 1100 | 755 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 149 | 79 | 442 | 321 | 1778 | 775 | 657 | 498 | 433 | 184 | 169 | 3185 | 709 | 1638 | 3385 | 974 | 6470 | 1634 | 395 | 91 | 324 | 278 | 1175 | 1953 | 553 |
|  |  | EC.United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 499 | 613 | 196 | 49 | 33 | 117 | 343 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | France-St. Pierre et Miquelo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | -- |
|  |  | Grenada * | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 21 | 23 | 46 |
|  |  | Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 1219 | 1036 | 1740 | 781 | 1156 | 576 | 844 | 470 | 494 | 723 | 764 | 737 | 691 | 466 | 485 | 505 | 386 | 466 | 414 | 446 | 425 | 680 | 1090 | 698 | 781 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 2997 | 797 | 938 | 1326 | 478 | 967 | 390 | 373 | 18 | 16 | 53 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 425 | 193 | 177 | 494 | 357 | 2551 | 601 | 525 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Sierra Leone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 300 | 1555 |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 268 | 194 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 9 | ---- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 22 | 472 | 699 | 347 | 2206 | 98 | 251 | 301 | 288 | 243 | 357 | 479 | 438 | 509 | 741 | 545 | 472 | 577 | 829 | 315 | 406 | 322 | 480 | 448 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 59 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Venezuela | 593 | 300 | 331 | 137 | 823 | 1076 | 467 | 172 | 26 | 137 | 41 | 95 | 319 | 205 | 246 | 282 | 279 | 315 | 49 | 107 | 91 | 1374 | 349 | 162 | 424 |
| Discards |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* The CARICOM representative indicated that these reported values are not valid, owing to a problem of species mis-identification /

| Landings | AT.S | Argentina | 0 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 55 | 209 | 153 | 356 | 469 | 344 | 354 | 151 | 60 | 306 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Belize (Obs. by Sta. Helena) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 515 | 476 | 276 | 800 | 731 | 732 | 382 | 520 | 395 | 421 | 435 | 514 | 1113 | 2710 | 3613 | 1227 | 923 | 819 | 652 | 3418 | 1872 | 4411 | 6862 | 3228 | 2647 |
|  |  | Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, P. R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 89 | 26 | 30 | 26 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 20340 | 18710 | 18187 | 22800 | 9502 | 7889 | 19643 | 27592 | 28790 | 20746 | 18386 | 21369 | 19883 | 23063 | 19400 | 22573 | 18351 | 18956 | 18165 | 16106 | 17377 | 17221 | 15833 | 17321 | 17351 |
|  |  | Cuba | 0 | 27 | 53 | 29 | 36 | 67 | 27 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 889 | 106 | 295 | 307 | 155 | 200 | 807 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 1943 | 783 | 831 | 457 | 184 | 256 | 193 | 1027 | 282 | 573 | 836 | 376 |
|  |  | EC.France | 172 | 457 | 912 | 947 | 372 | 7 | 18 | 35 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 449 | 564 | 129 | 82 | 190 | 38 | 40 | 13 | 23 | 16 | 18 | 63 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741 | 1357 | 1029 | 899 | 1153 | 557 | 732 | 81 | 184 | 483 | 1185 | 655 | 494 | 256 | 124 | 232 | 486 | 41 | 433 | 415 |
|  |  | Honduras (Obs. by Sta. Hele | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 105 | 333 | 558 | 569 | 188 | 224 | 623 | 739 | 357 | 405 | 450 | 587 | 654 | 583 | 467 | 651 | 389 | 435 | 424 | 418 | 601 | 547 | 322 | 209 | 309 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 878 | 803 | 682 | 563 | 599 | 348 | 511 | 321 | 383 | 180 | 54 | 19 | 31 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Maroc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 122 | 68 | 55 | 63 | 41 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 14 | -- |
|  |  | Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 915 | 950 | 982 | 1199 | 1429 | 1162 | 2418 | 3419 | 2962 | 3152 |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 192 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 125 | 167 | 129 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 129 | 168 | 213 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | South Africa | 480 | 1850 | 2320 | 3180 | 2760 | 3540 | 6697 | 5930 | 7275 | 6570 | 6890 | 5280 | 3410 | 6360 | 6881 | 6931 | 5214 | 5634 | 6708 | 8412 | 5101 | 3610 | 7236 | 6507 | 3469 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 |
|  |  | Sta. Helena | 2 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 38 | 5 | 82 | 47 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 58 | 12 | 2 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 11 | 0 | 2 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 23 | 235 | 373 | 526 | 1531 | 262 | 178 | 100 | 83 | 55 | 34 | 31 | 28 | 16 | 49 | 75 | 56 | 110 | 90 | 90 | 135 | 111 | -- |
| Landings | MEDI | EC.Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 30 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 900 | 572 | 535 | 1331 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 547 | 227 | 290 | 218 | 475 | 404 | 380 | 126 | 284 | 152 | 200 | 209 | 1 |
|  |  | EC.France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 250 | 20 | 60 | 31 | 31 | 121 | 140 | 11 | 64 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 952 | 741 | 1152 | 2005 | 1786 | 1840 | 1352 | 472 |
|  |  | EC.Italy | 833 | 500 | 600 | 700 | 700 | 1942 | 3348 | 3208 | 3433 | 3529 | 3529 | 1191 | 1191 | 1464 | 1275 | 1107 | 1109 | 1769 | 1414 | 1414 | 2561 | 3630 | 2826 | 4032 | 6912 |
|  |  | EC.Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | -- |
|  |  | Ex. Yugoslavia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Maroc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | * |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 281 | 159 | 133 | 110 | 180 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 382 | 210 | 363 | 289 | 369 | 58 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2819 | 5662 | 18 | 0 |

* Morocco reported 81t of albacore which were omitted from the table in error.

Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made



ALB-Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of annual albacore catches in 1980-1989 (left) and 1990-2000 (right). Dark symbols represent longline and lighter symbols represent surface gears.



ALB-Fig. 2. Albacore landings (t) by stock and major gear types, 1950-2003. Data from the Mediterranean Sea are highly uncertain and provisional in recent years.


ALB-Fig. 3. CPUE series for North (top 3 panels) and South Atlantic (bottom 2 panels) albacore (scaled to the means).
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ALB-Fig. 4. North Atlantic albacore Base Case VPA estimates (2000 assessment) of fishing mortality rates (F) and numbers of fish by age-groups (top 6 panels), and spawning stock biomass and recruits with $80 \%$ confidence limits (bottom panels).


ALB-Fig. 5. Yield-per-recruit (left) and equilibrium yield (right) estimated by the 2000 VPA for the northern albacore stock. Fishing mortality ( x -axis) is relative to current fishing mortality $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1999}=0.57\right.$ ).


ALB-Fig. 6. Spawner biomass for South Atlantic albacore estimated from ASPM fits for the 2003 Base Case (heavy line) and 2000 Base Case (thin line), for comparison.


ALB-Figure 7. Time series of recruitment (1-year old) for the Reference Case SASPM assessment for the South Atlantic albacore stock (dashed line is the estimated preexploitation recruitment).

### 8.5 BFT - ATLANTIC BLUEFIN TUNA

In 1998, the Commission adopted a 20-year Rebuilding Program for the western Atlantic bluefin management area [Rec. 98-07] aimed at rebuilding to the stock size that will produce MSY ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) by 2018 with a $50 \%$ or greater probability. The Program states that the TAC for the west would only be adjusted from the $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ level adopted for 2003-2004 if SCRS advises that (a) a catch of $2,700 \mathrm{t}$ or more has a $50 \%$ or greater probability of rebuilding or (b) a catch of $2,300 \mathrm{t}$ or less is necessary to have a $50 \%$ or greater probability of rebuilding. According to the Program, the MSY rebuilding target can be adjusted according to advice from SCRS. In 2002, the Commission set the annual Total Allowable Catch, inclusive of dead discards, for the western Atlantic management area to $2,700 \mathrm{t}$, effective beginning in 2003 [Rec. 02-07].

The Commission also recommended in 1998 that bluefin tuna catches in the East Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea should be reduced to $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1999 and $29,500 \mathrm{t}$ in the year 2000. This recommendation entered into force in August 1999 with exceptions noted for Morocco and Libya. Subsequently, the Commission recommended in 2000 that bluefin tuna catches in the East Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea in 2001 for China, Croatia, EC, Japan, Korea, Tunisia, Morocco, Libya, non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities be limited to specific levels totaling 32,143 t [Rec. 00-09]. This recommendation entered into force in June 2001. In 2002, the Commission fixed the Total Allowable Catch for the East Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna at $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 [Rec. 02-08]. The Committee conducted assessments on East Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna in 2002 for the first time since 1998. An assessment was not completed in 2000 because of uncertainties in the basic catch data primarily in the Mediterranean. Uncertainties remain in 2002 and 2003 and are a central issue in the east plus Mediterranean assessment. For this reason, a data exploratory meeting was held in June 2004 (see SCRS/2004/013). In 2002, the Commission also recommended several actions to improve data collection of bluefin tuna put into cages for farming [Rec. 02-10]. Although this recommendation concerns all Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities whose flag vessels transfer bluefin tuna into cages and/or under whose jurisdiction are located the farms, only one country fulfilled this recommendation at the date of the SCRS meeting.

There has been an accumulation of evidence on bluefin tuna mixing in the last few years through the collection of tagging data and its examination through the modeling of mixing scenarios for evaluating their effect on management. However, the origin of fish older than one year still remains unknown. Mixing results were reviewed in 2001 by the Workshop on Bluefin Tuna Mixing. This research led to a long-term plan for modeling finer scale spatial mixing and to short-term strategies for assessment to assist the advice for management. The data and research were reviewed again in 2002. Progress was made on both fronts and is discussed later in this Executive Summary. The Commission, at its 2002 Meeting in Bilbao, called for a Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies [Rec. 02-11] which met in 2003 and again in 2004. In response to the recommendations from these meetings, the Committee is developing a revised proposal for initiating a coordinated Bluefin Tuna Research Program, to address priority research and data needs for providing scientific advice to the Commission related to revised management procedures for Bluefin. The recommendations of the SCRS in regards to the Bluefin Tuna Research Program are reported in the section on general recommendations to the Commission (Section 16.6).

It should be noted that the perception of the importance of mixing differs depending upon whether management advice is being provided for the east (plus Mediterranean) or for the west. This arises quite naturally because the east stock is much larger than the west stock. Because of this, both the nature and extent of mixing could be perceived important in the success of the West Rebuilding Program. However, the most important uncertainty for providing management advice for the east is the uncertainty in the catch data that is being taken. Therefore, this Executive Summary balances those two concerns in providing advice.

No full stock assessments were conducted in 2003 or 2004, and as such the sections "Status of the stock" and "Outlook" were not changed. "Management Recommendations" were, also, not changed, except that additional comments on data limitations were included in the "Management Recommendations" section for the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean (Section BFTE-6).

## BFT-1. Biology

Present fisheries for Atlantic bluefin tuna are distributed from the Gulf of Mexico to Newfoundland in the West Atlantic, from roughly the Canary Islands to south of Iceland in the East Atlantic, and throughout the Mediterranean Sea. Historically, catches of bluefin were made from a broad geographic range in the Atlantic and

Mediterranean (BFT-Figure 1). In 1982, the Commission established a line for separating the eastern and western Atlantic management units based on discontinuities in the distribution of catches at that time in the Atlantic and supported by limited biological knowledge. However, the overall distribution of the catch in the 1990s is much more continuous across the North Atlantic than was seen in previous decades. Tagging evidence indicates that movement of bluefin across the current east/west management boundary in the Atlantic does occur, that movements can be extensive (including transatlantic) and complex, that there are areas of concentration of electronically tagged fish (released in the west) in the central North Atlantic just east of the management boundary, and that fisheries for bluefin tuna have developed in this area in the last decade. At least some of these fish have moved from west of the current boundary. Complementary studies, which might show east to west movement, are less advanced. The composition and natal origin of these fish in the central North Atlantic area are not known. Nevertheless, it is clear that the current boundary does not depict our present understanding of the biological distribution and biological stock structure of Atlantic bluefin tuna. Note, however, that the current boundary is a management boundary and its effectiveness for management is a different issue.

Atlantic bluefin tuna can grow to over 300 cm and reach more than 650 kg . The oldest age considered reliable is 20 years, based on an estimated age at tagging of 2 years and about 18 years at liberty, although it is believed that bluefin tuna may live to older ages. Bluefin tuna are, thus, characterized by a late age at maturity (thus, a large number of juvenile classes) and a long life span, which make it well adapted to variations in recruitment success, but more vulnerable to fishing pressure than rapid growth species such as tropical tuna species. Bluefin tuna in the West Atlantic generally reach a larger maximum size compared to bluefin caught in the East Atlantic. Bluefin in the west are assumed to first spawn at age 8 compared to ages 4 to 5 in the east. Distribution expands with age; large bluefin are adapted for migration to colder waters. Bluefin tuna are opportunistic feeders, with fish, squid, and crustaceans common in their diet. In the West Atlantic, bluefin tuna are thought to spawn from mid-April into June in the Gulf of Mexico and in the Florida Straits. Juveniles are thought to occur in the summer over the continental shelf, primarily from about $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and offshore of that area in the winter. In the East Atlantic, bluefin tuna generally spawn from late May to July depending on the spawning area, primarily in the Mediterranean, with highest concentrations of larvae around the Balearic Islands, Tyrrhenian Sea, and central and eastern Mediterranean where the sea-surface temperature of the water is about $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sexually mature fishes have also been recently observed in May and June in the eastern Mediterranean (between Cyprus and Turkey).

## BLUEFIN TUNA - WEST

## BFTW-2. Description of the fisheries

One of the most noteworthy changes in the fisheries since 1998 was that a substantial amount of additional catch that was not in accordance with Commission's recommended allocation of catch, was recorded through the Bluefin Tuna Statistical Document system. The reported total catches (landings and discards exclusive of estimated unreported catch) of western Atlantic bluefin tuna in 2001, 2002, and 2003 are estimated as $2,718 \mathrm{t}$, $3,187 \mathrm{t}$ and $2,146 \mathrm{t}$, respectively (BFT-Table 1; BFT-Figure 2). The 2002 catches were the highest since 1981; however the 2003 catches were the lowest since 1994. The Japanese longline fishery catches in the West Atlantic decreased in from 575 t in 2002 to 71 t in 2003. This decrease resulted from the adjustments made by Japan for previous quota overages and due to the use of fishing years (rather than calendar years) to manage this fishery. The Canadian reported landings (exclusive of discards) for $2003(557 \mathrm{t}$ ), decreased from the 2002 level of 604 t . The estimate of Canadian dead discards in 2003 was 14 t , which was a decrease from the 2002 estimate of 37 t . Reported catches of U.S. fisheries in 2002 and 2003 were $1,882 \mathrm{t}$ and 1,481 , respectively. The estimates of U.S. dead discards for 2003 were higher than the dead discards presented for 2002. Mexico reported 29 t in 2000, 10 t in 2001, 12 t in 2002, and 22 t in 2003, all higher than all reported catches since the early 1980s. Notably, Cuba has reported 74 t in 2002, whereas they had no reported catches since 1978. Cuba and a number of other countries have yet to report catches for 2003.

## BFTW-3. State of the stock

The assessment results (BFT-Figure 3) are similar to those from previous assessments. They indicate that the spawning stock biomass (SSB) declined steadily from 1970 (the first year in the assessment time series) through the late 1980s, before leveling off at about $20 \%$ of the level in 1975 (which has been a reference year used in previous assessments). A steady decline in SSB since 1997 is estimated and leaves SSB in 2001 at $13 \%$ of the 1975 level. The assessment also indicates that the fishing mortality rate during 2001 on the spawning stock
biomass (SSB) is the highest level in the series. Estimates of recruitment of age 1 fish have been generally lower since 1976. However, recruitment of age 1 fish in two recent years (1995 and 1998) is estimated to be comparable in size to some of the year-classes produced in the first half of the 1970s.

While the large decline in SSB since the early 1970s is clear from the assessment, the potential for rebuilding is less clear. Key issues are the reasons for relatively poor recruitment since 1976, and the outlook for recruitment in the future. One school of thought is that recruitment has been poor because the SSB has been low. If so, recruitment should improve to historical levels if SSB is rebuilt. Another school of thought is that the ecosystem changed such that it is less favorable for recruitment. If so, recruitment may not improve even if SSB increases. Therefore, the Committee considered two recruitment scenarios as described below (BFTW-4. Outlook). For both scenarios, the assessment indicates that the fishing mortality on the western Atlantic bluefin resource exceeds $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ and the SSB is below $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ (thus over-fished according to the Convention's objective of maintaining stocks at the MSY-biomass level) (See Summary Table).

## BFTW-4. Outlook

In general, the outlook for bluefin tuna in the West Atlantic is similar to the outlook reported based on the 2000 western Atlantic bluefin tuna assessment session. The assessment and projection results for the present assessment are somewhat less optimistic than in 2000 but the confidence in the strength of the 1994 year-class has increased. Therefore, the increases associated with different levels of future catch projected for the shortterm are smaller but are estimated more confidently. It should be noted that the 1995 year-class was estimated to be strong in 2000 but it is now estimated to only of average strength.

As noted by the previous assessment session, western Atlantic bluefin tuna catches have not varied very much since 1983 (the range over this period is 2,106 to $3,011 \mathrm{t}$ ), and the estimated spawning stock size (SSB measured as the biomass of fish age 8+) has been relatively stable, notwithstanding the indication of a decline in the most recent years. Thus, over an extended period of time, catches around recent levels have maintained stock size at about the same level, in spite of several past assessments that predicted the stock would either decline or grow if the current catch was maintained. This observation highlights the challenge of predicting the outlook for this stock.

In order to provide advice relative to rebuilding the western Atlantic bluefin resource, the Committee conducted projections for two scenarios about future recruitment, which reflect the two schools of thought discussed in Section BFTW-3. One scenario assumed that future average recruitment will approximate the average estimated recruitment (at age 1) since 1976, unless spawning stock size declines to low levels (such as the current level estimated in the assessment, but generally lower than estimates during most of the assessment history). The second scenario allowed average recruitment to increase with spawning stock size up to a maximum level no greater than the average estimated recruitment for 1970 to 1974 . These scenarios are referred to as the low recruitment and high recruitment scenarios, respectively. The low and high recruitment scenarios implied that the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (expressed in SSB) is $42 \%$ and $183 \%$ of the biomass in 1975, respectively. With the current information the Committee could not determine which recruitment scenario is more likely, but both are plausible. Therefore, management strategies should be chosen to be reasonably robust to this uncertainty.

The results of projections for both recruitment scenarios are given in BFTW-Figure 4 for several catch levels and BFTW-Figure 5 for 2,500 t only. The results are summarized in the table below.

The projections for the low recruitment scenario estimated that a constant catch of $3,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year has an $83 \%$ probability of allowing rebuilding to the associated $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ by 2018. A constant catch of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ per year has a $35 \%$ probability of allowing rebuilding to the 1975 SSB by 2018.

The results of projections based on the high recruitment scenario estimated that a constant catch of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ per year has a $60 \%$ probability of allowing rebuilding to the 1975 level of SSB, and there is a $20 \%$ chance of rebuilding SSB to $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ by 2018. If the low recruitment scenario is valid, the TAC could be increased to at least 3000 t without violating the Commission's rebuilding plan. If the high recruitment scenario is valid, the TAC should be decreased to less than $1,500 \mathrm{t}$ to comply with the plan.

| Probability of achieving target biomass in 2018 <br> Low Recruitment Scenario <br>  <br> Catch (t) SSB $_{1975}$ |  |  |  | SSB $_{\text {MSY }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 500 t | $95 \%$ | $100 \%$ | SSB $_{1975}$ | $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ |
| 1000 t | $89 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| 1500 t | $77 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 2000 t | $60 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 2300 t | $45 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 2500 t | $35 \%$ | $97 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 2700 t | $26 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 3000 t | $14 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| 5000 t | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

The estimate of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ for the high recruitment scenario is critical to inferences regarding the probability of achieving rebuilding under different future levels of catch, and also less well determined by the data than $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ for the low recruitment scenario. In particular, the estimates of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ based on the high recruitment scenario are substantially larger than the largest spawning stock size included in the assessment. This extrapolation considerably increases the uncertainty associated with these estimates of $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$. Previous meetings have used $\mathrm{SSB}_{1975}$ as a rebuilding target in the context of interpreting projections. Arguably $\mathrm{SSB}_{1975}$ is appropriate as a target level for interpreting the implications of projections based on the high recruitment scenario. Under such a target level for the high recruitment scenario, a TAC of $2,700 \mathrm{t}$ has an estimated probability of reaching the rebuilding level of about $50 \%$.

The Committee cautioned that these conclusions do not capture the full degree of uncertainty in the assessments and projections. An important factor contributing to uncertainty is mixing between fish of eastern and western origin (this factor is considered further in Section Responses to Commission in 2002 SCRS Report). Furthermore, the projected increases in stock size are strongly dependent on estimates of recent recruitment, which are a particularly uncertain part of the assessment. A sensitivity test in which the estimates of the below average 1996 and the strong 1997 year-classes were excluded from the analysis gave somewhat less optimistic results in terms of the estimated probabilities of recovery by 2018. However, these projections still predicted increases in spawning biomass for both recruitment scenarios, except for extreme increases in catch.

## BFTW-5. Effects of current regulations

The first regulatory measure for a scientific monitoring level was adopted for western Atlantic bluefin catches in 1981. Since then, monitoring levels have been changed in various years. Until 1987, both estimated catches and landings were below or equal to the level of the catch limits. However, from 1988 to 1997, estimated landings were very close to the level of the limits and, for some years, exceeded the limit by a maximum of 100 t . Estimated catches (including discards) were higher than the limits every year during this period (by about 200 to 300 t ) with the exceptions of 1992 and 1997. The estimated catches exceeded the $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ limit in 2000 by 165 t , by 218 t in 2001, and by 715 t in 2002. It should be pointed out that for compliance purposes, some countries are using fishing years that do not correspond to calendar years, while the catches discussed here are in calendar years. Also, according to the ICCAT regulatory measure, the amount of catch that exceeded quota or was left over from the quota can be carried over to succeeding years. Hence, the catch limit set for each year could have been adjusted accordingly. It should also be pointed out that the excess of the catch limits in most recent years is due to some new fisheries that operated without a quota (see Section BFTW-2).

For the West Atlantic, a size limit of 6.4 kg with 15 percent allowance, in number of fish, has been in effect since 1975. In addition, a prohibition on the taking and landing bluefin tuna less than 30 kg (or 115 cm ) with an $8 \%$ tolerance, by weight on a national basis, became effective in 1992. It is noted that, since 1992, the proportion of undersized fish for all catches combined has been below the allowance level (e.g., $1 \%$ and $3 \%<115 \mathrm{~cm}$ in 2000 and 2001, respectively).

In 2002, the Commission set the annual Total Allowable Catch, inclusive of dead discards, for the western Atlantic management area to $2,700 \mathrm{t}$, effective beginning in 2003 [Rec. 02-07]. The reported 2003 catches were 2,146 t.

## BFTW-6. Management recommendations

The Committee's management recommendation for the western Atlantic bluefin tuna management area is directed at the Rebuilding Program adopted by the Commission in 1998. The essence of the Program is to rebuild with $50 \%$ probability by 2018 to the spawning biomass level associated with MSY. In light of the uncertainty in the assessment, the choice between recruitment scenarios and rebuilding targets, and assumptions about mixing, the weight of scientific opinion within the Committee favored no change from the current TAC of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ per year.

Projections based on the low recruitment scenario indicate that the TAC could be increased without violating the Rebuilding Program, assuming that relatively large recruitment estimates for some recent year-classes are realistic. The high levels of recruitment estimated for some recent year-classes are consistent with a higher biomass level as a rebuilding target. In previous assessment sessions, the spawning biomass level in 1975 was considered a useful rebuilding target. The 1975 biomass is more than twice the MSY spawning biomass level associated with the low recruitment scenario. The projections indicate a $35-60 \%$ probability of rebuilding to the 1975 spawning biomass level for a catch of $2,500 \mathrm{t}$ per year, depending on the recruitment scenario assumed. It seems likely that a recruitment scenario corresponding to a $\mathrm{SSB}_{\text {MSY }}$ equal to the level in 1975 would indicate a probability of rebuilding by 2018 for a catch of $2,500 t$ per year within the range of $35-60 \%$.

The MSY spawning biomass associated with the high recruitment scenario, which is nearly twice the 1975 level, is unlikely to be reached by 2018 if the recent level of catch (and TAC) is maintained. However, the Committee does not recommend the sharp reduction in TAC that would be necessary to comply with the rebuilding Program based on the high recruitment scenario because of:
a uncertainty about which recruitment scenario is most appropriate,
b recognition that for the high recruitment scenario the spawning biomass associated with MSY is not well determined (because estimation leads to extrapolation beyond biomass levels included within the current assessment), and
c the generally positive outlook for the resource according to the current assessment regardless of the recruitment scenario assumed.

As emphasized in previous assessments, mixing across management unit boundaries of fish of western and eastern origin could be important for management of the resource in both areas. In particular, the condition of the eastern Atlantic stock and fishery could adversely affect recovery in the West Atlantic, which was also noted in the Committee's 1998, 2000, and 2001 reports. Therefore, the Committee stressed the importance of continuing efforts to manage the fisheries in both the East and West Atlantic according to the Commission's objectives. Further recommendations concerning the issue of mixing are included in the SCRS response to the request of the Commission.

## WEST ATLANTIC BLUEFIN TUNA SUMMARY <br> (Catches and Biomass in $t$ )

Current (2003) Catch ${ }^{1}$ (including discards)
Short-term Sustainable Yield
Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY)
Relative Spawning Stock Biomass
$\mathrm{B}_{2001} \mathrm{~B}_{1975}$
$\mathrm{B}_{2001} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$
Relative Fishing Mortality
$\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$
$\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{0.1}$
$\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$
Management measures

2,146 t
Probably $>3,000 \mathrm{t}$
$3,500(3,300-3,700)^{2}$
$0.13(0.07-0.20)^{2} \quad 0.13(0.07-0.20)^{3}$
$0.31(0.20-0.47)^{2} \quad 0.06(0.03-0.10)^{3}$
$2.35(1.72-3.24)^{2} \quad 4.64(3.63-6.00)^{3}$
4.87
2.35

- No landing of fish $<6.4 \mathrm{~kg}$, with a $15 \%$ tolerance, in number [Rec. 74-01, Rec. 98-07]
- Limit catches $<115 \mathrm{~cm}(30 \mathrm{~kg})$ to no more than $8 \%$ by weight [Rec. 98-07]
- TAC of 2,700 t from 2003 including dead discards [Rec. 02-07].

[^2]
## BLUEFIN TUNA - EAST

## BFTE-2. Description of the fisheries

The East Atlantic bluefin fisheries (including the Mediterranean) are characterized by a variety of vessel types and fishing gears with landing sites located in many countries. Therefore, the landing statistics are difficult to obtain, particularly for the Mediterranean. Historical statistics show there were important catches since more than ten centuries ago, with catches of more than $10,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the past and an average of about $30,000 \mathrm{t}$ in the 1950-1965 period. (BFT-Table 1 and BFT-Figure 2). Certain fisheries, such as the traps (which in the longterm caught about $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ on average), go back to ancient times. Other fisheries, such as the Mediterranean purse seine fishery, mainly emerged in the 1960s. Based on estimates of 1995-2000 catches, the most important catches were from: longline, traps and baitboat for the East Atlantic; and from purse seine and longline for the Mediterranean; the purse seine fleet accounts for $60-80 \%$ of the Mediterranean catch. Additionally, it is suspected that large quantities of undersized fish are caught but not reported.

In 2002, the stock assessment did not include 2001 data as they were incomplete. In 2002, landings for the East Atlantic and the Mediterranean amounted to $33,111 \mathrm{t}$, which is less than 1998 ( $39,097 \mathrm{t}$ ), but within the range of the 1999-2001 years ( $32,454 \mathrm{t}, 33,752 \mathrm{t}$ and $34,557 \mathrm{t}$, respectively). The 2002 reported catch remains, however, incomplete and if the missing value was approximated to the last reported catch; the 2002 catch would be similar or slightly higher than the 2001 level. In 2003, the reported catch at the time of the meeting reached $28,365 \mathrm{t}$, but several important fishing countries had not reported Task I. If these missing catches were approximately at their last reported levels, then the total catch in 2003 would be around $32,500 \mathrm{t}$. Based on the knowledge of the fisheries and the exceptionally good fishing conditions in 2003, especially in the Mediterranean, the Committee was surprised by such a low value. This clearly reinforces the skepticism of scientists regarding the veracity of basic fishery statistics for the East Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna stock.

Economic gains in Atlantic bluefin tuna farming have led the private sector to invest in this relatively new culture system. The interest over the past few years has increased remarkably as reflected by the increased number of farming units established throughout the Mediterranean Sea and new license applications being submitted to the relevant national authorities. Fattened bluefin tuna are mostly provided by Mediterranean purse seiners and to a much lesser extent by traps. The transfer of live fish from the seine to the towing cages is done in the open sea (generally where the catch has occurred), simply by joining both nets. There was a general agreement within the Committee that bluefin tuna farming operations in the Mediterranean Sea significantly affected bluefin tuna data collection, and consequently the stock assessment procedure. The difficulties to estimate size composition of the catch are, for instance, encountered by all countries having purse seine fleets involved in farming operations.

The Committee is concerned about the introduction in 2003 of new gears such as purse seines and pelagic trawls that are replacing albacore driftnets in the Bay of Biscay that could be targeting or increasing by-catch of juvenile bluefin in this area.

## BFTE-3. State of the stock

The Committee notes that basic catch statistics are still undergoing revisions by the reporting agencies and, also, the Committee suspects that there was over-reporting between 1993 and 1997 and that there has been increased under reporting in the last few years, especially since 1998. Additionally, although there have been improvements to most of the available CPUE indices, the CPUE and size data are not available for important Mediterranean fisheries. Thus, the Committee does not have confidence in assessments based upon these data. Nevertheless, the Committee's best determination of the state of the stock is that which was developed in the 2002 assessment at the Commission's request.

An assessment was done in 2002 with similar specifications to those used in the previous assessment in 1998, but using alternative scenarios. The scenarios included two trials using catches as reported to ICCAT (but using two alternative modeling constraints). These were trials 5 and 9. A third trial was also tested in which catches were assumed to be over-reported in 1994-1997, and under-reported, subsequently (Trial 12). The Committee evaluated these different analyses but, due to the low quality of the data used, it had no basis to assign preference to any one of the sets of outputs. Therefore, no "Base Case" assessment was defined for the eastern stock. Results of this assessment are similar to the results obtained in 1998 in terms of trends, but are more optimistic in terms of current depletion. The new assessment indicates that the SSB in 2000 was about $86 \%$ of the 1970
level (first year of data in the assessment), while the ratio of the 1997/1970 SSB estimated in the 1998 assessment was $47 \%$. This difference is due primarily to the new and updated CPUE indices used in the 2002 assessment, as well as recent increased recruitment (1995-1996; BFT-Figure 6).

The assessment indicates two peaks in spawning biomass and an increase in fishing mortality rates, especially for older fish after 1993 (BFT-Figure 6). There appears to have been a general trend of increasing recruitment in the early 1980s followed by a period without trend (BFT-Figure 6).

The 2000 level of fishing mortality was almost 2.5 times higher than that which maximizes yield per recruit. Estimates in recent years should be judged with caution since such VPA estimates are generally imprecise.

The Committee recognizes that many of the inputs to the assessment are uncertain. These include doubts about the historical catches (mainly in recent years), the absences of size composition for many fisheries, and the unknown adequacy of available CPUE indices as measures of overall stock abundance. These uncertainties make it easier to interpret trends in relative abundance rather than absolute levels of the stock.

## BFTE-4 Outlook

Since the Committee was unable to identify adequate assumptions about the relationship between stock size and recruitment, projections were made assuming that future recruitment would vary around recent (1980-1997) levels without a trend. This was the same option used in the 1998 stock assessment. It should be noted that incomplete catch data from the period prior to 1970 might indicate that there have been periods in the past with very different levels of recruitment from that at present. Therefore, one should be cautious when making longterm projections, especially if spawning stock biomass falls below historically observed levels.

Long-term projections were made for the East Atlantic at levels of fishing mortality approximately equal to the value estimated for 2000. The Committee conducted projections using the three trial assessment scenarios presented above. The table below summarizes projection results for the three trials that use the current selection pattern and current fishing mortality rate.

|  | Trial 5 | Trial 9 | Trial 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yield $_{\text {long-term }}$ | 24,649 | 23,543 | 24,294 |
| Yield $_{\text {long-term }} /$ Yield |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 0.69 | 0.66 | 0.59 |
| SSB $_{\text {long-term }} /$ SSB $_{2000}$ | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.36 |

The results of these projections were similar to those obtained in the 1996 and 1998 assessments. These results suggest that current catch levels cannot be sustained in the long-term under the current selectivity pattern and current fishing mortality rate for the stock. The Committee recognizes that zero fishing mortality on juvenile bluefin is an impracticable objective. If either total fishing mortality or the mortality of small fish could be reduced substantially, then projections by the Committee indicated that current or even higher yields (perhaps more than $50,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) could be sustained.

The Committee continues to be concerned about the intensity of fishing pressure on small fish. This contributes substantially to growth over-fishing, and it seriously reduces the long-term potential yield from the resource. Additionally, the recent abrupt increase of catches of large fish since 1994 is of grave concern.

## BFTE-5. Effect of current regulations

A regulatory recommendation stating that Contracting Parties should limit the fishing mortality to recent levels came into force in 1975 for one year and was extended indefinitely in 1982 for the East Atlantic. Fishing mortality rates have exceeded that of 1974 levels in most years (BFT-Figure 6).

The Commission recommended in 1998 that bluefin tuna catches in the East Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea should be reduced to $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1999 and $29,500 \mathrm{t}$ in the year 2000 [Rec. 98-05]. This recommendation entered into force in August 1999 with exceptions noted for Morocco and Libya. Catches were 32,454 tin 1999 and $33,752 \mathrm{t}$ in 2000 (including SCRS estimates of unreported catches from the Bluefin Statistical Document Program (BFT-Table 1).

In 1975, a minimum size of 6.4 kg with a $15 \%$ tolerance, in number of fish, was recommended for the entire Atlantic (including the Mediterranean, [Rec. 74-01]). The 6.4 kg size regulation had been poorly enforced for the East Atlantic and Mediterranean fisheries. Subsequently the Commission established a minimum size with no tolerance of 1.8 kg (prohibition of retention, landing and sale). This was amended by the Commission to 3.2 kg in 1998, to be implemented in 1999 [Rec. 98-04]. The available data indicate that $36 \%$ of the number of fish in the Mediterranean catch was less than 3.2 kg in 2000 and $40 \%$ less than 6.4 kg . In the East Atlantic it was $2 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively. While it is known that catches of age 0 fish are still occurring, the Committee does not have sufficient catch-at-size data to fully evaluate this. Clearly catches of age 0 fish are under-reported.

Also, the recent use of smaller bluefin for tuna farming is a reason for concern to the Committee. Additionally, compliance with minimum sizes in these situations is difficult to evaluate.

There is a regulation that entered into force on 1 June 1994 that prohibits large pelagic longliners of more than 24 m in length from fishing in the Mediterranean during the months of June and July [Rec. 93-07 and Ref. 0208]. The objective of this regulation is to limit fishing mortality. Various measures taken by ICCAT to curb IUU fishing activities (such as market-related measures, monitoring transfer of catches of IUU, etc.) appear to be having some positive effects as seen in the decline in bluefin tuna imports to the Japanese market from IUU fishing vessels.

In 1999 the prohibition of purse seine fishing in the Mediterranean (except for the Adriatic) was amended to include the period from 16 July through 15 August. Additionally, purse seining in the Adriatic was prohibited for the month of May. This regulation was modified in 2002, so that prohibition of purse seiner fishing now only applies from 16 July through 15 August for the whole Mediterranean Sea [Rec. 02-08]. This prohibition was designed to protect juveniles. The Committee has not yet been able to evaluate the effect of these new measures. However, reservations on the effects of this system were expressed. It seems, however, that the previous closure (for the month of mid-July through mid-August in the Mediterranean) was being adhered to. In 1997 the Commission prohibited the use of airplanes or helicopters supporting fishing operations in the Mediterranean in the month of June.

High catch of small individuals still occurs and the Committee recommends that every effort be made so that the current measures on the size limit of 6.4 kg are adhered to. Reduction of fishing on juveniles could contribute substantially to increases in both biomass and yield (Section BFTE-4 Outlook). The Committee reiterated that effective measures be taken to implement Recommendation [Rec. 02-08], avoiding catches of age 0 and 1 fish.

In 2002, the Commission recommended new measures. One new measure fixed the Total Allowable Catch for the East Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna at $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 [Rec. 0208]. Also, the Commission modified the minimum size tolerance from 3.2 kg to 4.8 kg for the Mediterranean. The tolerance between the 3.2 kg and 6.4 kg limits for the East Atlantic and the 4.8 kg and 6.4 kg limits for the Mediterranean was also modified and reduced to $10 \%$ [Rec. 02-08].

## BFTE-6. Management recommendations

The Committee continues to be strongly concerned about the quality of the catch, effort and catch-at-size data available to conduct quantitative assessments for East Atlantic (and Mediterranean) bluefin tuna now and in the future. Unless this situation improves, the quality of the advice that the Committee can provide will continue to deteriorate. Indeed, the present East Atlantic assessment was limited due to these uncertainties, particularly the uncertainty in catches. For example:
a) The assessment was only conducted using reported landings through the year 2000, due to the lack of reports for 2001;
b) The Committee conducted assessments based on reported landings and upon an alternative catch scenario in which landings were assumed to be both under- and over-reported since 1993 in reaction to management. The Committee has limited confidence that either the reported catches or the alternative scenario represent the true level; and
c) It has been noted that the practice of fish fattening has become increasingly prevalent in the Mediterranean and this practice has probably led to deterioration in the collection of catch statistics.

Because of the above limitations, the Committee is unwilling to make definitive management recommendations.
The Committee noted these same concerns in 2000 and determined that, given these issues, an assessment was not warranted at that time. While an assessment was conducted in 2002 and reviewed in 2003, the Committee does not believe that these data issues have been substantially resolved.

Following these repetitive concerns, a data exploratory workshop held in 2004 (SCRS/2004/013). The main problems identified by the group relate to: (i) probable misreporting of Task I data (especially after the TAC implementation), (ii) the low proportion of size samples (used to build Task II data), (iii) the very large amount of substitutions to estimate the size composition of the various fleets for which no size samples are available (most of them being problematic) and (iv) high uncertainties in the ageing of older age-classes. Consequently, the working group concluded that the uncertainties in catch data (especially in size composition) are so numerous that it is not defensible to assess the East Atlantic and Mediterranean stock using methods that assume that the catch-at-size(age) is known exactly (e.g., VPA). For the 2005 assessment, the Bluefin Tuna Species Group should explore the use of simpler assessment methods that do not use size/age data. For the medium-term (after the 2005 assessment), the Species Group should consider using methods that are better suited to handle these uncertainties (e.g., so-called statistical models).

The Committee is concerned about the status of East Atlantic (including Mediterranean) bluefin tuna resources in the light of assessment results; the historically high reported catches made in 1994-1997 (in excess of 46,000 t 1994-97; and in excess of $50,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 1996), and possible under-reporting since 1998. Analyses suggest that at current levels of recruitment and the present level of large- and small-fish fisheries, catch levels of $26,000 \mathrm{t}$ or more are not sustainable over the long-term (see Section BFTE-4 Outlook). Because of the lack of confidence in the input data and in the assessment results, the Committee is not in a position to give or suggest any strong management recommendations for the short or medium term. The Committee can only offer advice about longterm consequences of maintaining current catches. The Committee thinks that long-term sustainable yield is probably lower than current catches because of high fishing mortality rates.

Because there are big differences between the size of the western and eastern Atlantic bluefin tuna stocks, mixing is likely to influence these two management units differently (see Responses to the Commission section in the 2002 SCRS Report).

## EAST ATLANTIC AND MEDITERRANEAN BLUEFIN TUNA SUMMARY ${ }^{1}$

| Current (2001) Yield ${ }^{2,3}$ | $34,557 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2001 Replacement Yield | Not estimated |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | Not estimated |
| Relative biomass | 0.80 |
| SSB $_{2000} /$ SSB $_{1970}$ | 0.70 |
| Relative numbers $^{\mathrm{N}_{8+2000} / \mathrm{N}_{8+, 1970}}$ | 2.4 |
| Relative fishing mortality |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ |  |
| Management Measures: |  |

- Fishing mortality not to exceed circa 1975 level [Rec. 74-01].
- No spotter planes/helicopters in Med. In June [Rec. 96-02].
- No longlining in Med. in June- July by vessels $>24 \mathrm{~m}$ [Rec. 02-08].
- No purse seining 16 July-15 August in the Mediterranean Sea [Rec. 02-08].
- No landing, retaining aboard or selling of fish $<4.8 \mathrm{~kg}$ in the Mediterranean Sea [Rec. 02-08].
- No landing of fish $<6.4 \mathrm{~kg}$, with a $10 \%$ tolerance in number of individuals [Rec. 02-08].
- TACs are fixed to $32,000 \mathrm{t}$ for the 2003-2006 years [Rec. 02-08].
- No use of driftnets for pelagic fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea [Rec. 03-04].

[^3]BFT-Table 1. Estimated catches (landinds and discards, t) of Atlantic bluefin tuna by major area, gear and flag 1979-2003

|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | 18478 | 19904 | 19616 | 23820 | 24202 | 26717 | 24647 | 21373 | 20789 | 27128 | 23818 | 26045 | 29420 | 34012 | 36579 | 48577 | 49716 | 53163 | 48988 | 41688 | 35116 | 36417 | 37274 | 36298 | 30513 |
|  |  | AT.E+MED | 12223 | 14103 | 13845 | 22375 | 21660 | 24425 | 21962 | 19051 | 18196 | 24117 | 20951 | 23247 | 26428 | 31897 | 34268 | 46471 | 47290 | 50762 | 46758 | 39097 | 32454 | 33752 | 34557 | 33111 | 28365 |
|  |  | AT.W | 6255 | 5801 | 5771 | 1445 | 2542 | 2292 | 2685 | 2322 | 2592 | 3011 | 2867 | 2798 | 2992 | 2115 | 2311 | 2106 | 2426 | 2401 | 2230 | 2591 | 2662 | 2665 | 2718 | 3187 | 2144 |
|  |  | UNCL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Landings | AT.E+MED | Bait boat | 2128 | 1874 | 1653 | 1010 | 3032 | 4647 | 2644 | 2253 | 2128 | 2682 | 2683 | 2018 | 1796 | 1624 | 4048 | 2285 | 3299 | 5362 | 3542 | 2787 | 1590 | 2014 | 2426 | 2568 | 1371 |
|  |  | Longline | 970 | 1255 | 917 | 4255 | 3606 | 2734 | 1763 | 1448 | 1703 | 2396 | 1974 | 2522 | 6066 | 6416 | 5059 | 9224 | 12867 | 12959 | 10206 | 7049 | 6484 | 7052 | 7052 | 5180 | 4642 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 230 | 640 | 941 | 551 | 808 | 1960 | 3352 | 3666 | 3119 | 3344 | 3596 | 1474 | 1544 | 2451 | 2602 | 3845 | 1598 | 1470 | 1168 | 2272 | 3380 | 1577 | 1657 | 1691 | 3398 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 6369 | 8978 | 8795 | 12786 | 10746 | 10302 | 11305 | 9621 | 8857 | 11198 | 9450 | 11304 | 13291 | 18269 | 19321 | 26026 | 24046 | 26344 | 25006 | 21608 | 15636 | 17341 | 17324 | 18340 | 15260 |
|  |  | Hand line / Rod and Reel | 1176 | 105 | 93 | 100 | 194 | 275 | 508 | 323 | 436 | 839 | 459 | 1553 | 738 | 951 | 1237 | 2257 | 3556 | 2105 | 2468 | 1252 | 1652 | 2032 | 1334 | 1688 | 1473 |
|  |  | Traps | 1350 | 1251 | 1446 | 3673 | 3274 | 4507 | 2390 | 1740 | 1953 | 3658 | 2789 | 4376 | 2993 | 2186 | 2001 | 2834 | 1924 | 2522 | 4367 | 4129 | 3711 | 3735 | 4763 | 3644 | 2223 |
|  | AT.W | Bait boat | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Longline | 3691 | 3972 | 3879 | 363 | 829 | 835 | 1245 | 764 | 1134 | 1373 | 678 | 739 | 895 | 674 | 696 | 539 | 466 | 528 | 382 | 764 | 914 | 859 | 610 | 727 | 188 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 196 | 131 | 133 | 323 | 514 | 377 | 293 | 166 | 156 | 425 | 755 | 536 | 578 | 509 | 406 | 307 | 384 | 433 | 295 | 344 | 281 | 283 | 202 | 110 | 140 |
|  |  | Purse seine | 1381 | 758 | 910 | 232 | 384 | 401 | 377 | 360 | 367 | 383 | 385 | 384 | 237 | 300 | 295 | 301 | 249 | 245 | 250 | 249 | 248 | 275 | 196 | 208 | 265 |
|  |  | Hand line / Rod and Reel | 956 | 893 | 808 | 459 | 808 | 676 | 750 | 518 | 726 | 601 | 786 | 1004 | 1083 | 586 | 854 | 804 | 1114 | 1028 | 1179 | 1106 | 1124 | 1120 | 1656 | 2035 | 1401 |
|  |  | Traps | 31 | 47 | 41 | 68 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 79 | 72 | 90 | 59 | 68 | 44 | 16 | 16 | 28 | 84 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.W | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 514 | 192 | 215 | 248 | 133 | 199 | 44 | 31 | 76 | 141 | 73 | 51 | 57 | 50 | 113 | 38 | 79 | 66 |
| BFT | AT.W | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BFT | AT.W | Sport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Landings AT.E+MED Algerie

| Algerie | 15 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cape Verde |  |
| China, P.R. |  |
| Chinese Taipei |  |
| Croatia |  |
| EC.Cyprus |  |
| EC.Denmark |  |
| EC.España | 365 |
| EC.France | 185 |
| EC.Germany, Fed. Rep. |  |
| EC.Greece |  |
| EC.Ireland |  |
| EC.Italy |  |
| EC.Malta |  |
| EC.Portugal | 3020 |
| EC.Sweden |  |
| EC.United Kingdom |  |
| Ex. Yugoslavia | 75 |
| Faroe Islands |  |
| Guinée Conakry |  |
| IIceland |  |
| Israel |  |
| Japan |  |
| Korea, Republic of | 729 |
| Libya |  |
| Maroc | 42 |
| NEI-1 | 208 |
| NEI-2 |  |
| NEI (Combined fleets BF |  |
| NEI other |  |
| Namibia |  |

$\qquad$
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| EC.Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 52 | 22 | 8 | 15 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EC.Italy | 4020 | 6272 | 6017 | 6658 | 5865 | 7140 | 7199 | 7576 | 4607 | 4201 | 4317 | 4110 | 3783 | 5005 | 5328 | 6882 | 7062 | 10006 | 9548 | 4059 | 3279 | 3845 | 4377 | 4628 | 4973 |
| EC.Malta | 23 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 41 | 36 | 24 | 29 | 81 | 105 | 80 | 251 | 572 | 587 | 399 | 393 | 407 | 447 | 376 | 219 | 240 | 255 |
| EC.Portugal | 35 | 24 | 17 | 41 | 174 | 34 | 29 | 193 | 163 | 48 | 3 | 27 | 395 | 358 | 208 | 668 | 481 | 473 | 749 | 377 | 487 | 502 | 468 | 186 | 63 |
| EC.Sweden | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EC.United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ex. Yugoslavia | 756 | 573 | 376 | 486 | 1222 | 755 | 1084 | 796 | 648 | 1523 | 560 | 940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Faroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 104 | 118 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Guiné Conakry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- |
| Israel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Japan | 729 | 999 | 615 | 3534 | 3286 | 2550 | 1426 | 1080 | 1180 | 1427 | 965 | 1636 | 3066 | 3473 | 3277 | 2611 | 4784 | 4106 | 3090 | 3556 | 3071 | 3031 | 2577 | 2926 | 3173 |
| Korea, Republic of | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 688 | 663 | 683 | 613 | 66 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | -- |
| Libya | 424 | 398 | 271 | 310 | 270 | 274 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 84 | 328 | 370 | 737 | 635 | 1422 | 1540 | 1388 | 1029 | 1331 | 1195 | 1549 | 1940 -- |  | -- |
| Maroc | 208 | 161 | 179 | 993 | 366 | 175 | 98 | 344 | 472 | 577 | 746 | 1557 | 1456 | 767 | 494 | 1812 | 1713 | 1621 | 2603 | 2430 | 2227 | 2923 | 3008 | 2986 | 2557 |
| NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 172 | 183 | 638 | 763 | 415 | 1754 | 1349 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| NEI-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| NEI (Combined fleets BF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 773 | 211 | 0 | 101 | 1030 | 1995 | 109 | 571 | 508 | -- |
| NEI other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 144 | 223 | 495 | 828 | 242 | 1274 | 891 | 140 | 17 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Norway | 60 | 282 | 161 | 50 | 1 | 243 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Panama | 14 | 117 | 48 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 22 | 11 | 76 | 67 | 0 | 74 | 287 | 484 | 467 | 1500 | 1517 | 3400 | 491 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | Sierra Leone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 118 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Tunisie | 262 | 228 | 218 | 298 | 293 | 307 | 369 | 315 | 456 | 624 | 661 | 406 | 1366 | 1195 | 2132 | 2503 | 1897 | 2393 | 2200 | 1745 | 2352 | 2184 | 2493 | 2528 | 791 |
|  |  | Turkey | 27 | 391 | 565 | 825 | 557 | 869 | 41 | 69 | 972 | 1343 | 1707 | 2059 | 2459 | 2817 | 3084 | 3466 | 4220 | 4616 | 5093 | 5899 | 1200 | 1070 | 2100 | 2300 | 3300 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Yugoslavia Fed. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | AT.W | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Canada | 245 | 324 | 425 | 291 | 433 | 264 | 142 | 73 | 83 | 393 | 619 | 438 | 485 | 443 | 459 | 392 | 576 | 597 | 503 | 595 | 576 | 549 | 524 | 604 | 557 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 49 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Cuba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | -- |
|  |  | France- St. Pierre et Miq. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | Japan | 3621 | 3936 | 3771 | 292 | 711 | 696 | 1092 | 584 | 960 | 1109 | 468 | 550 | 688 | 512 | 581 | 427 | 387 | 436 | 322 | 691 | 365 | 492 | 506 | 575 | 71 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Mexico | 22 | 10 | 20 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 29 | 10 | 12 | 22 |
|  |  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 24 | 23 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | NEI-other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 429 | 270 | 49 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 10 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 2 | 43 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 2297 | 1505 | 1530 | 807 | 1394 | 1320 | 1424 | 1142 | 1352 | 1289 | 1483 | 1636 | 1582 | 1085 | 1237 | 1163 | 1311 | 1285 | 1334 | 1235 | 1213 | 1212 | 1589 | 1840 | 1428 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- |
|  | UNCL | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | EC.United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.W | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 11 | 46 | 13 | 37 | 14 |
|  |  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 514 | 192 | 215 | 248 | 133 | 199 | 44 | 31 | 76 | 141 | 77 | 51 | 44 | 39 | 67 | 25 | 42 | 52 |

* Dashes indicate that no reports were received. Also, the 2003 data are provisional and are likely to increase


BFT-Fig. 1. Distribution of Atlantic bluefin catches by longline (circles) and surface gears (bars) for the period 1950-1999.


BFT-Fig. 2. Atlantic bluefin catches (in t , including discards) by region. Approximately $6 \%$ and $15 \%$ of the Task I data have not been reported yet for 2002 and 2003, respectively.


BFT-Fig 3. West Atlantic bluefin tuna spawning biomass ( t , recruitment (numbers) and fishing mortality rates for fish of age $8+$, estimated by the Base Case VPA run.







BFT-Fig. 4 Western Atlantic bluefin tuna: Median projections of spawning stock biomass (SSB) for the Base Case assessment under various levels of constant catch, expressed in absolute terms, relative to 1975 levels and relative to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ for the low (left) and high (right) recruitment scenarios.


BFT-Fig. 5. West Atlantic bluefin tuna: Projection results with $80 \%$ confidence intervals for the Base Case Low Recruitment Scenario (left plates) and High Recruitment Scenario (right plates) for west Atlantic bluefin (with 2,500 t constant annual catches) for recruitment and spawning stock biomass (SSB).


BFT- Fig. 6. East Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna: Estimates of fishing mortality rates (average for ages 1-3 and $8+$ ), recruitment and spawning stock biomass (SSB) obtained by three models for the eastern stock.

### 8.6 BUM - BLUE MARLIN

No new blue marlin assessments have been conducted since 2000.

## BUM-1. Biology

Blue marlin are found throughout tropical and temperate waters of the Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas, and range from Canada to Argentina on the western side, and from the Azores to South Africa on the eastern side (BUM-Figure 1). Blue marlin are large apex predators with an average weight of about 100-175 kg. Blue marlin have an extensive geographical range, migratory patterns that include transatlantic as well as transEquatorial movements, and are generally considered to be a rare and solitary species relative to the schooling scombrids. Blue marlin spawn in tropical and subtropical waters in the warmer months of the year, and can be sexually mature by ages 2-4 in some areas. There has not been an Atlantic-wide study of the spawning activity of this species. Non-spawning adults can also be found in colder, temperate waters. Young blue marlin are one of the fastest, if not the fastest growing of all teleosts, reaching from $30-45 \mathrm{~kg}$ by age 1 . Females grow faster and reach a much larger maximum size than males.

Blue marlin feed on a wide variety of fish and squid, but show a dietary preference for scombrids. They are found predominately in the open ocean near the upper reaches of the water column although this species can range down to or below the mixed layer. For this reason, they are caught most frequently as a by-catch by the offshore longline fisheries that target tropical or temperate tunas using gears intended to fish shallow. However, significant by-catch landings are also made by offshore longline fisheries that target swordfish and bigeye tuna using gear intended to fish deep.

Prior to 1995, the stock hypothesis for assessment purposes has historically been a North Atlantic and South Atlantic stock (divided at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), and a total Atlantic stock. However, the 1995 SCRS recognized the increased importance of the single Atlantic hypothesis for blue marlin. More recently (1996), the Committee reviewed and discussed new data on genetic mitochondria DNA analysis, as well as tag release-recapture data, and concluded that these data were most consistent with a single (total) Atlantic hypothesis. Additionally, the Committee concluded that the North/South separation is arbitrary for this tropical species (as with white marlin). The Fourth Billfish Workshop reviewed all available data on stock structure and concluded that the single Atlantic hypothesis should be used as the management unit for Atlantic blue marlin.

## BUM-2. Description of the fisheries

The fisheries for Atlantic blue marlin are characterized by many different participants. The major landings of blue marlin are incidental to the large offshore longline fisheries that have targeted tuna and swordfish, including Brazil, Cuba, Japan, Korea, Chinese Taipei, and others. Other major fisheries are the directed recreational fisheries of the United States, Venezuela, Bahamas, Brazil, and many other countries and entities in the Caribbean Sea and off the West coast of Africa. Other directed fisheries include artisanal fisheries in the Caribbean Sea and off West Africa. Development and geographical expansion of other longline fisheries that take blue marlin in the West Atlantic, Caribbean Sea, and East and South Atlantic by various countries have been reported. Tropical purse seine fisheries also have an incidental catch of blue marlin, mainly from sets associated with floating objects. For 2001 and thereafter, the United States implemented time area closures that were intended to reduce interactions between longline fishing and unintended catch including blue marlin.

Landings for the total Atlantic first developed in the early 1960s, reached a peak of over 9,000 t in 1963, declined to the range of about $2,000-3,000 \mathrm{t}$ during the period 1967-1977, and have fluctuated with an increasing trend over the period 1978-1996, and a decreasing trend thereafter (BUM-Table 1 and BUMFigure 2). The 2003 reported catches for Blue marlin ( $1,951 \mathrm{t}$ ) are incomplete and may represent a substantial underestimate of the real catch, because of the lack of reports from some of the fleets that have historically landed large numbers of this species. The general trends in catches have followed the intensity of the offshore longline fisheries, however, recent reported catches in the coastal gillnet fisheries have become important.

The Committee notes that some blue marlin are likely to have been caught by IUU fleets. Unfortunately there is no information on billfish equivalent to that available from market statistics for bigeye tuna or bluefin tuna that can be used to estimate IUU catches of billfish.

Recently some large catches of unclassified billfish have been reported to the Committee. The 2001-2003 reported catch of unclassified billfish was $12 \%$ of the reported catch of all billfish. For some fisheries this percentage is much greater. The Committee recommends that every effort be made to report catches by species for all fisheries.

## BUM-3. State of the stock

The 1996 blue marlin assessment indicated that in the mid-1990s biomass was about $25 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$, that fishing mortality was about three times $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$, and that over-fishing had been occurring for about three decades. MSY was estimated to be near $4,500 \mathrm{t}$.

An assessment was carried out in 2000 using similar methods to the previous assessment, but with data sets that had been revised extensively in response to concerns raised since the 1996 assessment. The assessment might reflect a retrospective pattern wherein improvement in estimated biomass ratios result in estimated lower productivity. The results from the 2000 assessment were not adjusted for retrospective patterns and were slightly more optimistic than the 1996 assessment. These results suggest that the total Atlantic stock is approximately $40 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ and that over-fishing has taken place in the last 10-15 years (BUM-Figures 3 and 4). But this assessment also suggests a less productive stock than previously estimated, with an MSY of about $2,000 \mathrm{t}$, and a current fishing mortality that is about four times higher than $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$.

For the assessment, the Committee considered a range of models and data sets, including cases in which much of the historical data were disregarded or down-weighted. While the sensitivity analyses were not meant to quantify possible biases, the Committee notes that many of the sensitivity runs provided more optimistic results than those reported above, with stock estimates somewhat closer to $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSy }}$ levels. However, most of the sensitivity results were within the range of uncertainty reported for the assessment. Thus, there is uncertainty in the assessment related to the historical data that is not well quantified. The Committee notes that the historical catch and effective fishing effort data must be validated and focused research be conducted before such uncertainties can be reduced. To address these uncertainties would require a substantial research investment in historical data validation efforts and in biological investigations of the habitat requirements of blue marlin.

## BUM-4. Outlook

As noted, there is uncertainty in the assessment related to the historical data that is not well quantified. However, given that the 2000 assessment estimated that over-fishing was still occurring and that productivity (MSY and a stock's capacity to replenish) was lower than previously estimated, it is expected that landings in excess of estimated replacement yield would result in further stock decline.

## BUM-5. Effect of current regulations

0 (paragraph deleted)
Recommendation [Rec. 97-09] requires to "Reduce, starting in 1998, blue marlin and white marlin landings by at least $25 \%$ for each species from 1996 landings, such reduction to be accomplished by the end of 1999." Recommendations [Rec. 00-13], [Rec. 01-10] and finally [Rec. 02-13] placed additional catch restrictions for blue marlin. The latter established that "the annual amount of blue marlin that can be harvested by pelagic longline and purse seine vessels and retained for landing must be no more than $50 \%$ of the 1996 or 1999 landing levels, whichever is greater" and also, "All blue marlin and white marlin brought to pelagic longline and purse seine vessels alive shall be released in a manner that maximizes their survival. The provision of this paragraph does not apply to marlins that are dead when brought along the side of the vessel and that are not sold or entered into commerce".

The following are the Atlantic-wide blue marlin reported (Task I) landings for the recent period, for longline and purse seine vessels:

|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LL | 3,257 | 3477 | 2468 | 2276 | 2127 | 1614 | 1202 | 1652 |
| PS | 96 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 79 | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ |
| Total | 3,353 | 3559 | 2548 | 2359 | 2206 | 1614 | 1202 | 1652 |

* Reported landing are likely to be under-estimates of the total catch.

However, because the last stock assessment was conducted in 2000, it is too early to evaluate the effect of this recommendation on the stock. Some countries already acted on these recommendations but no data are yet available to evaluate the effect of this last recommendation on the stock status of blue marlin.

In 2000, the Commission recommended that a blue marlin minimum size be established by recreational fisheries, (e.g., 251 cm LJFL). The Committee does not expect to have enough new information to provide an assessment of the effect of these recent regulations until 2006.

## BUM-6. Management recommendations

Management recommendations here are the same as those made in 2003. No additional assessment information became available in 2004 to modify these recommendations. The current assessment indicates that the stock is unlikely to recover if the landings contemplated by the 1996 Commission recommendation continue into the future. While there is additional uncertainty in stock status and replacement yield estimates not reflected in bootstrap results, these uncertainties can only be addressed through substantial investment in research into habitat requirements of blue marlin and further verification of historical data. The Committee recommends that the Commission take steps to reduce the catch of blue marlin as much as possible. Steps such as release of live fish from fishing gear, reductions in fleet-wide effort, a better estimation of dead discards, and establishment of time area closures, along with scientific observer sampling for verification could be considered.

The Commission should consider that future evaluations of management measures relative to the recovery of the blue marlin stock are unlikely to be productive unless new quantitative information on the biology and catch statistics of blue marlin, and additional years of data are available. The Committee therefore recommends the next blue marlin assessment not be held before 2006.

| ATLANTIC BLUE MARLIN SUMMARY ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Total Atlantic |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) | $\sim 2,000 \mathrm{t}(\sim 1,000 \sim 2,400 \mathrm{t})^{2}$ |
| 2002 Yield | $2,494 \mathrm{t}$ |
| 2003 Yield $^{4}$ | $1,951 \mathrm{t}$ |
| 1999 Replacement Yield | $\sim 1,200 \mathrm{t}(\sim 840-1,600 \mathrm{t})^{2}$ |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2000} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | $\sim 0.4(\sim 0.25-0.6)^{2}$ |
| Relative Fishing Mortality $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1999} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | $4.0(\sim 2.5-6.0)^{2}$ |
| Management Measures in Effect | - Reduced pelagic longline and purse seine landings |
|  | to 50\% of 1996 or 1999 levels, whichever is greater |
|  | $\left[\right.$ Recs. $00-13^{3}, 01-10^{3}$ and 02-13]. |

[^4]BUM-Table 1. Estimated catches (landinds and discards, t) of Atlantic blue marlin by major area, gear and flag 1979-2003

|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1527 | 1848 | 2032 | 2708 | 2130 | 2748 | 3311 | 1993 | 2053 | 2736 | 4214 | 4520 | 4128 | 2952 | 3001 | 3946 | 3802 | 4445 | 4866 | 3868 | 3761 | 3413 | 3326 | 2494 | 1951 |
|  |  | AT.N | 897 | 1085 | 1296 | 1650 | 1214 | 1378 | 1566 | 1069 | 836 | 909 | 1540 | 1943 | 1411 | 1086 | 1057 | 1510 | 1446 | 1742 | 1711 | 1489 | 1248 | 999 | 549 | 514 | 640 |
|  |  | AT.S | 504 | 619 | 567 | 884 | 749 | 1252 | 1623 | 789 | 1085 | 1690 | 2530 | 2378 | 2580 | 1750 | 1798 | 2303 | 2230 | 2607 | 3073 | 2299 | 2430 | 2335 | 2776 | 1975 | 1311 |
|  |  | UNCL | 126 | 144 | 169 | 174 | 167 | 118 | 122 | 135 | 132 | 137 | 144 | 199 | 137 | 116 | 146 | 133 | 126 | 96 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 79 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Landings | AT.N | Longline | 480 | 643 | 792 | 1162 | 809 | 920 | 1223 | 695 | 327 | 415 | 1009 | 1597 | 981 | 629 | 600 | 1065 | 925 | 1266 | 1227 | 950 | 752 | 642 | 329 | 389 | 451 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 100 | 13 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 59 | 84 | 72 | 189 | 332 | 237 | 77 | 70 | 57 | 74 |
|  |  | Sport | 299 | 301 | 300 | 299 | 199 | 206 | 169 | 214 | 181 | 186 | 143 | 50 | 63 | 83 | 113 | 122 | 77 | 66 | 56 | 56 | 38 | 36 | 21 | 17 | 19 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 118 | 140 | 204 | 188 | 204 | 251 | 174 | 160 | 190 | 162 | 97 | 123 | 196 | 202 | 193 | 153 | 208 | 142 | 142 | 100 | 140 | 184 | 106 | 2 | 77 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 490 | 498 | 430 | 822 | 533 | 975 | 1362 | 661 | 964 | 1530 | 2017 | 1958 | 2280 | 1473 | 1415 | 1643 | 1565 | 1991 | 2250 | 1517 | 1524 | 1485 | 1285 | 899 | 1201 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 13 | 119 | 135 | 60 | 216 | 276 | 260 | 127 | 121 | 159 | 512 | 418 | 237 | 208 | 382 | 658 | 663 | 605 | 718 | 634 | 904 | 850 | 1491 | 1076 | 109 |
|  |  | Sport | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | , | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 126 | 144 | 169 | 174 | 167 | 118 | 122 | 135 | 132 | 137 | 144 | 199 | 137 | 116 | 146 | 133 | 126 | 96 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\overline{\text { Discards }}$ | AT.N | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 124 | 191 | 159 | 142 | 146 | 127 | 111 | 153 | 196 | 97 | 49 | 81 | 60 | 22 | 37 | 18 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Barbados | 51 | 73 | 117 | 99 | 126 | 126 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 46 | 3 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 21 | 19 | 31 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 48 | 41 | 51 | 79 | 133 | 9 | 31 | 15 | 17 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 51 | 160 | 98 | 100 | 125 | 102 | 148 | 117 | 52 | 26 | 11 | 937 | 716 | 336 | 281 | 272 | 187 | 170 | 355 | 80 | 44 | 64 | 65 | 48 | 64 |
|  |  | Cuba | 156 | 162 | 178 | 318 | 273 | 214 | 246 | 103 | 68 | 94 | 74 | 112 | 127 | 135 | 69 | 39 | 85 | 43 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | -- |
|  |  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
|  |  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 71 | 29 | 19 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 47 | 8 | 15 | 17 | 1 | 31 |
|  |  | Grenada | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 36 | 33 | 34 | 40 | 52 | 64 | 52 | 58 | 52 | 50 | 26 | 47 | 60 | 100 | 87 | 104 | 69 | 72 |
|  |  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 68 | 193 | 332 | 637 | 192 | 351 | 409 | 174 | 78 | 206 | 593 | 250 | 145 | 193 | 207 | 532 | 496 | 798 | 625 | 656 | 427 | 420 | 153 | 123 | 163 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 67 | 48 | 71 | 19 | 43 | 110 | 154 | 36 | 13 | 14 | 252 | 240 | 34 | 11 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 41 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 27 | 35 | 68 | 37 | 50 | 70 |
|  |  | NEI (ETRO) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Greni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 27 | 46 | 21 | 81 | 70 | 33 | 55 | 17 | 16 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 312 | 313 | 342 | 329 | 215 | 280 | 295 | 273 | 291 | 221 | 124 | 29 | 33 | 51 | 80 | 88 | 43 | 43 | 46 | 50 | 37 | 24 | 16 | 17 | 19 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Venezuela | 134 | 81 | 106 | 83 | 172 | 117 | 219 | 218 | 60 | 76 | 149 | 70 | 49 | 66 | 74 | 122 | 106 | 137 | 130 | 205 | 220 | 28 | 72 | 76 | 84 |
|  | AT.S | Benin | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil * | 34 | 23 | 28 | 30 | 27 | 32 | 33 | 46 | 51 | 74 | 60 | 52 | 61 | 125 | 147 | 81 | 180 | 331 | 193 | 486 | 509 | 452 | 780 | 387 | 577 |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 25 | 21 | 27 | 41 | 68 | 15 | 61 | 73 | 72 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 139 | 129 | 104 | 150 | 47 | 70 | 165 | 98 | 265 | 266 | 462 | 767 | 956 | 488 | 404 | 391 | 280 | 490 | 1123 | 498 | 442 | 421 | 175 | 246 | 255 |
|  |  | Cuba | 180 | 187 | 108 | 118 | 123 | 159 | 205 | 111 | 137 | 191 | 77 | 90 | 62 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Côte D'Ivoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 130 | 82 | 88 | 105 | 79 | 139 | 212 | 177 | 157 | 222 | 182 | 275 | 206 | 196 | 78 | 109 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 18 | 21 | 38 | 88 | 71 | 82 | 109 | 116 | 86 | 27 | 6 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Ghana | 0 | 119 | 129 | 52 | 216 | 166 | 150 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 430 | 324 | 126 | 123 | 236 | 441 | 472 | 422 | 491 | 447 | 624 | 639 | 1295 | 999 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 66 | 115 | 136 | 495 | 248 | 482 | 691 | 335 | 362 | 617 | 962 | 967 | 755 | 824 | 719 | 991 | 913 | 881 | 724 | 529 | 363 | 441 | 181 | 155 | 290 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 78 | 46 | 55 | 31 | 88 | 234 | 262 | 60 | 139 | 361 | 437 | 84 | 503 | 13 | 11 | 40 | 40 | 103 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Greni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | Sta. Helena | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 22 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | UNCL | EC-France + España | 126 | 144 | 169 | 174 | 167 | 118 | 122 | 135 | 132 | 137 | 144 | 199 | 137 | 116 | 146 | 133 | 126 | 96 | 82 | 80 | 83 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Greni | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.N | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 124 | 191 | 159 | 142 | 146 | 127 | 111 | 153 | 196 | 97 | 50 | 81 | 60 | 24 | 49 | 19 |
|  | AT.S | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made

* Includes live discards.





BUM-Fig. 1. Geographical distributions of reported catches (landings+discards) of blue marlin by quarter, combined all years (1956-2000). Heavy- and light-shaded areas correspond to longline and other gears, respectively.


BUM-Fig. 2. Estimated catches (including landings and dead discards in $t$ ) of blue marlin in the Atlantic by region. The 2003 catch reported to ICCAT is preliminary and is not included in this figure.



BUM-Fig. 3. Composite CPUE series (symbols) used in the blue marlin assessment compared to modelestimated median relative biomass (solid lines) from bootstrap results ( $80 \%$ confidence bounds shown by dotted lines).

BUM-Fig. 4. Estimated median relative fishing mortality trajectory for Atlantic blue marlin (center, dark line) with approximate $80 \%$ confidence range (light lines) obtained from bootstrapping.

### 8.7 WHM - WHITE MARLIN

The 2000 assessment for the Atlantic white marlin stock concluded that the stock was over-fished but acknowledged that there was significant uncertainty in the evaluation of stock status. It is difficult that in the space of two years such uncertainty could be reduced, however, at the request of the Commission, an assessment was conducted for the Atlantic stock of white marlin in May 2002. The assessment used the most recent information on the biology and fisheries for white marlin and the data on catch and relative abundance indices up to 2000 , the most recent year for which there are estimates.

## WHM-1. Biology

White marlin are found throughout tropical and temperate waters of the Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas (WHM-Figure 1). Unlike blue marlin and sailfish, white marlin occur only in the Atlantic Ocean. Their average size in the catch is about $20-30 \mathrm{~kg}$. And although they are generally considered to be a rare and solitary species relative to the schooling scombrids, they are known to occur in small groups consisting of several individuals. Little is known about the age and growth and reproductive biology of white marlin and, with few exceptions, there are no quantitative estimates of population parameters for this species that can be used in stock assessments. Although white marlin reportedly spawn in warm waters in the spring in the western north Atlantic and the summer in the western south Atlantic there has not been an Atlantic-wide study of the spawning behavior for this species. Non spawning adults are found in colder temperate waters during the summer. They are considered to be very fast growing, and have a lifespan of at least 17 to 18 years. Female white marlin grow faster and reach a larger maximum size than males. White marlin are generally considered piscivorus, but also have been known to consume squid. They are found predominately in the open ocean near the upper reaches of the ocean mixed layer.

As with blue marlin, initially the SCRS considered two stock hypotheses for white marlin assessments, first that there are two stocks, a North and South Atlantic stock (divided at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ), and second that there is a single (total) Atlantic stock. More recently (1996), the Committee reviewed and discussed new data on genetic mitochondria DNA analysis, as well as tag release-recapture data, and concluded that these data were most consistent with a total Atlantic hypothesis. A further review in 2000, at the Fourth Billfish Workshop, concluded that the single Atlantic hypothesis should be used as the management unit for Atlantic white marlin.

## WHM-2. Description of the fisheries

The fisheries for Atlantic white marlin are characterized by the presence of many different participants. The major landings of white marlin are incidental to the large offshore longline fisheries that have targeted tuna and swordfish, including Brazil, Cuba, Japan, Korea, Chinese Taipei, and others. Other major fisheries are the directed recreational fisheries of the United States, Venezuela, Bahamas, Brazil, and many other countries and entities in the Caribbean Sea and off the west coast of Africa. Other directed fisheries include artisanal fisheries in the Caribbean Sea and off West Africa. Development and geographical expansion of other longline fisheries that incidentally take white marlin in the West Atlantic, Caribbean Sea, and East and South Atlantic by various countries have been reported. Tropical purse seine fisheries also have an incidental catch of white marlin, mainly from sets associated with floating objects. Other incidental catches are also expected to occur in other fisheries from which reports are not available. For 2001 and thereafter, the United States implemented time area closures that were intended to reduce interactions between longline fishing and unintended catch including white marlin.

Landings for the total Atlantic first developed in the early 1960s, reached a peak of almost 5,000 t in 1965, declined to about $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ per year during the period $1977-1982$, and fluctuated between 1,000 to $2,000 \mathrm{t}$ through 1999.Catches have been less than 1000 tons since 2000 (WHM-Table 1). The 2003 reported catches, which are likely to be incomplete, were 571 t . More than half of these catches come from a single fishery. Landings for the North Atlantic generally show a trend similar to that of the total Atlantic and have mainly followed those of the offshore longline fisheries (WHM Figure 2).

The Committee notes that some white marlin are likely to have been caught by IUU fleets. Unfortunately there is no information on billfish equivalent to that available from market statistics for bigeye tuna or bluefin tuna that can be used to estimate IUU catches of billfish.

Recently some large catches of unclassified billfish have been reported to the Committee. The 2001-2003 reported catch of unclassified billfish was $12 \%$ of the reported catch of all billfish. For some fisheries this percentage is much greater. The Committee recommends that every effort be made to report catches by species for all fisheries. In the 2002 assessment, significant improvements were made in the historical estimates of catch for the EC purse seine, the U.S. recreational and Japanese longline catches. These studies, however, have identified that recent catch estimates may be more uncertain than previously thought, because discards are not generally reported in logbooks. Additionally, changes in the economic importance of this species or changes in the fishing gear may have led to change in the reporting of catches by some fleets.

## WHM-3. State of the stock

The data available for white marlin, in spite of significant improvements in the relative abundance estimates made available during the last two assessments and the current assessment, is not informative enough to provide an estimate of stock status with high certainty. For consistency with the last assessment, the results presented in 2002 (continuity case) are largely based on treatment of data and assumptions that closely resemble the analyses made in 2000. The previous two white marlin assessments, made in 1996 and 2000, indicated that biomass of white marlin has been below $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ for more than two decades, thus that the stock has been over-fished for many years. The 2000 assessment estimated that biomass in the late 1990s was about $15 \%$ of $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$, and that fishing mortality was increasing and reaching more than five times $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}$ (WHM-Figure 3). The MSY estimates of $2,200 \mathrm{t}$ made in 1996 were reduced to $1,300 \mathrm{t}$ in the 2000 assessment. The assessment results presented are similar to those obtained in 2000; they suggest that the total Atlantic stock in 2000 remains over-fished and continues to suffer over-fishing (WHM-Figure 4).

Available relative abundance indices suggest similar trends in abundance in the last twenty years; however, the abundance trends for the early part of the fishery are more uncertain and reflect changes that cannot be easily explained by the available population models. To evaluate the uncertainty and sensitivity of the assessment to data and model inputs, the Committee considered alternative models and data set combinations. While the range of sensitivity analyses were not meant to quantify possible biases, the Committee used them to qualitatively characterize the range of uncertainty in the estimates of stock status (WHM-Figure 5). Many of the sensitivity results were within the range of uncertainty estimated for the assessment presented but some produced more optimistic views of the status of the stock. The uncertainty in the estimates of population parameters remains large and not well quantified; the calculated uncertainty underestimates the real uncertainty on these parameters.

The Committee notes that in order to properly quantify and reduce this uncertainty improvements must be made in the estimates of historical and recent catch, abundance indices and on the biology of white marlin. Such improvements will require a substantial research investment in estimating effective fishing effort, historical data validation, and biological investigations of the age, growth, reproduction and habitat requirements of white marlin.

## WHM-4. Outlook

In 2000 and 2001 [Rec. 00-13] and [Rec. 01-10], the Commission recommended that purse seine and longline fisheries limit landings of white marlins to $33 \%$ of the larger of either 1996 or 1999 levels. The Committee has interpreted these Recommendations as a maximum limit for landings for 2002 and beyond at 600 t based on the landings estimates used in the current assessment. While the stock status evaluations are uncertain, projections indicated that the apparent intent of the Recommendations has, in the short term, some potential for stabilizing the stock biomass near current levels. The projections also indicated that lower catch levels would provide greater potential for increasing stock biomass.

## WHM-5. Effect of current regulations

Recommendation [Rec. 97-09] requires to "Reduce, starting in 1998, blue marlin and white marlin landings by at least $25 \%$ for each species from 1996 landings, such reduction to be accomplished by the end of 1999." Recommendations [Rec. 00-13], [Rec. 01-10] and finally [Rec. 02-13] placed additional catch restrictions for white marlin. The last one established that "the annual amount of white marlin that can be harvested by pelagic longline and purse seine vessels and retained for landing must be no more than $33 \%$ of the 1996 or 1999
landing levels, whichever is greater. All blue marlin and white marlin brought to pelagic longline and purse seine vessels alive shall be released in a manner that maximizes their survival. The provision of this paragraph does not apply to marlins that are dead when brought along the side of the vessel and that are not sold or entered into commerce".

The following are the Atlantic-wide white marlin reported (Task I) landings for the recent period, for longline and purse seine vessel vessels:

|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LL | 1,174 | 908 | 885 | 924 | 852 | 575 | 671 | 513 |
| PS | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ | $0^{*}$ |
| Total | 1,181 | 915 | 894 | 932 | 858 | 575 | 671 | 513 |

Reported landings are likely to be under-estimates of the total catch.
However, because 2000 is the last year of data used for the last stock assessment, it is too early to evaluate the effect of this recommendation on the stock. Some countries already acted on these Recommendations but no data are yet available to evaluate the effect of this last recommendation on stock status of white marlin.

The Committee does not expect to have enough new information to provide an assessment of the effect of these recent regulations until at least 2006.

## WHM-6. Management recommendations

Management recommendations here are the same as those made in 2003. While there is substantial uncertainty in stock status and replacement yield, these uncertainties can only be addressed through research into habitat requirements of white marlins, studies on post-release survival rates of released fish, further verification of historical fishery data and validation, and development of models for abundance estimation and stock assessment. The Committee suggests that the Commission makes substantial investment on these research areas because the stock would benefit from a more accurate stock assessment.

The Committee suggests that the Commission take steps to make sure that the reductions in catch contemplated by the Commission are complied with and monitored so that proper evaluation of its benefits can be carried out in the future. The Committee therefore recommends continuing to improve observer programs so that better estimates of catch and dead discards of white marlin are obtained. In the absence of yet observing a population signal resulting from the most recent management measures, if the Commission wishes to improve the potential for increasing stock size of white marlin, future catches might be reduced beyond the level apparently intended by its most recent recommendations. However, the Commission should note that more definitive advice should be available after several years of data become available.

The Commission should consider that future evaluations of management measures relative to the recovery of the white marlin stock are unlikely to be productive unless new quantitative information on the biology and catch statistics of white marlin, and additional years of data are available. The Committee therefore suggests that the next white marlin assessment not be held before 2006.

| ATLANTIC WHITE MARLIN SUMMARY ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Likely value | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Continuity case } \\ \text { estimate } \end{array} \\ & \text { (80\% conf. limit) } \end{aligned}$ | Retrospective adjusted estimate ${ }^{3}$ | Range of sensitivity ${ }^{4}$ estimates |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | Below 2000 Yield | 964 t (849-1070) |  | 323-1,320 t |
| 2002 Yield | 822 t | -- |  | -- |
| 2003 Yield ${ }^{5}$ | 571 t | -- |  | -- |
| 2001 Replacement Yield | Below 2000 Yield | 222 t (101-416) | 371 t | 102-602 t |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2001} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | <1 (Over-fished) | 0.12 (0.06-0.25) | 0.22 | 0.12-1.76 |
| Relative Fishing Mortality $\left(\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | >1 (Over-fishing) | 8.28 (4.5-15.8) | 5.05 | 0.80-10.30 |
| Management Measures in Effect: | - In 2001 and 2002, PS and LL fisheries limit landings to $33 \%$ of max (1996, 1999) level. [Rec. 00-13], [Rec. 01-10] and [Rec. 02-13]. |  |  |  |
| Assessment results are highly unce <br> ${ }^{2}$ The data used are not sufficiently data and assumptions that closely model-data set and thus may under <br> ${ }^{3}$ These results are for the continuity <br> ${ }^{4}$ The sensitivity analyses made were <br> ${ }^{5}$ Reported Task I value for 2003, wh | ain. <br> formative to choose a "be esemble the analyses mad timate the real uncertainty ase except that they were a ot chosen in a systematic $h$ is likely an underestimat | case". For consistency in 2000. Confidence 1 <br> usted for retrospective y; the range is present of total catch. | e continuity case present s from bootstrapping are <br> es. <br> nly for qualitative guidance. | here is based on nditional on this |

WHM-Table 1. Estimated catches (landinds and discards, t) of Atlantic white marlin by major area, gear and flag 1979-2003

|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL | 1039 | 976 | 1241 | 1100 | 1772 | 1200 | 1727 | 1611 | 1491 | 1352 | 1805 | 1626 | 1589 | 1437 | 1523 | 1965 | 1577 | 1708 | 1094 | 1069 | 1025 | 935 | 642 | 822 | 571 |
|  |  | AT.N | 482 | 521 | 750 | 605 | 1280 | 653 | 860 | 905 | 587 | 406 | 368 | 393 | 235 | 610 | 565 | 657 | 617 | 628 | 407 | 385 | 382 | 350 | 290 | 265 | 191 |
|  |  | AT.S | 534 | 428 | 460 | 463 | 461 | 525 | 844 | 680 | 879 | 921 | 1409 | 1196 | 1343 | 817 | 946 | 1297 | 951 | 1073 | 676 | 676 | 634 | 579 | 351 | 557 | 381 |
|  |  | UNCL | 23 | 27 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 37 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Longline | 370 | 403 | 671 | 548 | 1196 | 570 | 788 | 812 | 433 | 167 | 234 | 251 | 105 | 466 | 436 | 528 | 451 | 514 | 316 | 333 | 301 | 282 | 247 | 209 | 163 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
|  |  | Sport | 111 | 112 | 72 | 45 | 79 | 66 | 43 | 32 | 38 | 29 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 30 | 30 | 18 | 20 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 1 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 17 | 29 | 61 | 54 | 126 | 11 | 40 | 17 | 32 | 30 | 45 | 43 | 28 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 530 | 419 | 340 | 442 | 308 | 471 | 825 | 654 | 870 | 832 | 1333 | 1152 | 1320 | 803 | 923 | 1295 | 945 | 660 | 589 | 552 | 623 | 570 | 328 | 488 | 378 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 4 | 9 | 120 | 21 | 153 | 54 | 15 | 22 | 9 | 89 | 68 | 31 | 17 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 23 | 69 | 3 |
|  |  | Sport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 23 | 27 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 37 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.N | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 60 | 107 | 81 | 90 | 88 | 66 | 42 | 100 | 64 | 33 | 31 | 57 | 41 | 16 | 29 | 16 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 11 | 39 | 17 | 24 | 29 | 26 | 43 | 15 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 20 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 62 | 105 | 174 | 134 | 203 | 96 | 128 | 319 | 153 | 0 | 4 | 85 | 13 | 92 | 123 | 270 | 181 | 146 | 62 | 105 | 80 | 59 | 68 | 61 | 11 |
|  |  | Cuba | 68 | 70 | 189 | 205 | 728 | 241 | 296 | 225 | 30 | 13 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 25 | 10 | 75 | 71 | 65 | 88 | 118 | 43 | 4 | 19 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | Grenada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | Japan | 42 | 99 | 118 | 84 | 27 | 52 | 45 | 56 | 60 | 68 | 73 | 34 | 45 | 180 | 33 | 41 | 31 | 80 | 29 | 39 | 25 | 66 | 14 | 11 | 21 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 16 | 18 | 49 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 147 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 82 | 39 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 44 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 110 | 116 | 78 | 57 | 81 | 81 | 75 | 116 | 124 | 42 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 19 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Vemezuela | 183 | 113 | 142 | 113 | 234 | 155 | 155 | 151 | 154 | 42 | 47 | 79 | 47 | 187 | 226 | 148 | 171 | 164 | 90 | 80 | 61 | 13 | 72 | 110 | 55 |
|  | AT.S | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Belize (Observed by Sta. Helena | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil * | 133 | 58 | 100 | 76 | 81 | 61 | 87 | 143 | 93 | 149 | 204 | 205 | 377 | 211 | 301 | 91 | 105 | 75 | 105 | 217 | 158 | 105 | 172 | 407 | 266 |
|  |  | Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 19 | 6 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 155 | 145 | 136 | 227 | 87 | 124 | 172 | 196 | 613 | 565 | 979 | 810 | 790 | 506 | 493 | 1080 | 726 | 420 | 379 | 401 | 385 | 378 | 84 | 117 | 93 |
|  |  | Cuba | 205 | 212 | 116 | 45 | 112 | 153 | 216 | 192 | 62 | 24 | 22 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Côte D'Ivoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 3 | 4 | 45 | 68 | 18 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ghana | 0 | 6 | 45 | 21 | 142 | 54 | 15 | 22 | 6 | 88 | 68 | 31 | 17 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 21 | 2 | -- |
|  |  | Honduras (obs. by Sta. Helena) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 15 | 7 | 25 | 27 | 17 | 24 | 81 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 73 | 92 | 77 | 68 | 49 | 51 | 26 | 32 | 29 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 42 | 6 | 10 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 24 | 0 | 36 | 57 | 9 | 44 | 225 | 34 | 25 | 17 | 53 | 42 | 56 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 20 | 52 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 65 | 44 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | UNCL | EC-France + España | 23 | 27 | 31 | 32 | 31 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 37 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Honduras (obs. by Sta. Helena) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Discards | AT.N | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 60 | 107 | 81 | 90 | 88 | 66 | 42 | 100 | 64 | 33 | 32 | 57 | 41 | 17 | 33 | 16 |
|  | AT.S | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made
Includes live discard


WHM- Figure 1. Geographical distributions of reported catches (landings+discards) of white marlin by quarter, combined for all years (1956-2000). Heavy- and light-shaded areas correspond to longline and other gears, respectively.


WHM-Figure 2. Reported catch of white marlin (Task I) for North and South Atlantic for longline (LL) gear and for all other gears (OTH) combined for the South (S) and North. (N) Atlantic. The 2003 catch reported to ICCAT is preliminary and is not included in the figure.


WHM-Figure 3. Fit of the ASPIC production model (line) to the continuity case for white marlin. Also shown the combined abundance index (symbols).


WHM-Figure 4. Estimated biomass ratio $\mathrm{B}_{2000} /$ $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (solid line, no symbols) and fishing mortality ratio $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ (solid line with symbols) from the production model fitted to the continuity case for white marlin. Ratios of last three years have been adjusted for retrospective pattern. Broken lines show unadjusted ratios. Note that scales are different for each ratio.


WHM-Figure 5. Summary of assessment results for continuity case and sensitivity runs. Plots of current fishing mortality ratio ( $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) as a function of current biomass ratio ( $\mathrm{B}_{2000} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ). Symbols represent continuity case unadjusted (square) and adjusted for retrospective patterns (empty circle). Solid lines represent bootstrap $80 \%$ confidence bounds. Broken lines represent ratios of one.

### 8.8 SAI - SAILFISH/SPEARFISH

No new sailfish or spearfish assessments were conducted in 2003.

## SAI-1. Biology

Sailfish (Istiophorus platypterus) and longbill spearfish (Tetrapturus pfluegeri) have a pan-tropical distribution (SAI-Figure 1). Although sailfish have highest concentrations in coastal waters (more than any other istiophorid), they are still found in oceanic waters. Spearfish are most abundant in offshore waters. No transatlantic movements have been recorded, suggesting a lack of mixing between east and west. Although sailfish and spearfish are generally considered to be rare and solitary species relative to the schooling scombrids, sailfish are the most common Atlantic istiophorid and are known to occur along tropical coastal waters in small groups consisting of at least a dozen individuals. Spearfish are generally the rarest Atlantic istiophorid. The Mediterranean spearfish (Tetrapturus belone) is the most common istiophorid in the Mediterranean and is widely distributed within it, with the exclusion of the northern Adriatic Sea. The biology of this species appears quite similar to the other Atlantic species. Another species, shortbill spearfish (Tetrapturus angustirostris) has been recently reported in the Mediterranean, but its presence seems incidental.

Sailfish and spearfish are generally considered piscivorus, but also consume cephalopods. They are found predominately in the upper reaches of the water column and are caught as a by-catch of the offshore longline fisheries and as a directed catch of coastal fisheries. In coastal waters, artisanal fisheries use many types of shallow water gear to target sailfish.

Sailfish and spearfish are considered to be fast growing species compared to other teleosts. Female sailfish grow faster and reach a larger maximum size than males. Sailfish spawn in tropical and subtropical waters. Little is known about other aspects of spearfish life history.

Historically, ICCAT considered Atlantic sailfish/spearfish as separate eastern and western management units (SAI-Figure 1). This separation into two management units was based on the life history information on sailfish, the more abundant and more coastal of the two species. Data on morphology and tag release/recapture of sailfish suggests a lack of mixing between east and west. The Committee re-evaluated the stock structure of Atlantic sailfish based on the results of a genetic investigation submitted to the 2001 SCRS. The study failed to find differences, but this did not necessarily mean a lack of structure, as a very small exchange rate between east and west could produce these results. Therefore, the Committee determined that there was no basis for changing the current stock boundary at this time. However, this issue should be reviewed as more data become available.

## SAI-2. Description of the fisheries

The fisheries in the West and East Atlantic for sailfish/spearfish are both characterized by participants from many different countries. For example, the recent major catches (landings plus dead discarded catch) of sailfish in both the West and East Atlantic result from the coastal fisheries. This view was reaffirmed to the Committee by a recent study on the catches of billfish made off West Africa. In the West Atlantic, the primary artisanal fisheries are from many countries in the Caribbean Sea, whereas in the East Atlantic major artisanal fisheries are off West Africa. Directed recreational fisheries for sailfish occur in the West Atlantic and the Caribbean Sea. Directed recreational fisheries for sailfish in the East Atlantic also exist off West Africa.

Catches of sailfish/spearfish for the total Atlantic which first developed in the early 1960s are presented in SAI-Table 1 and SAI-Figure 2, respectively. The Committee continues to recognize that uncertainties in the catch data still persist, particularly in the East Atlantic and Caribbean Sea. However, new catch data are becoming available from some of these fisheries. The 2001-2003 catch data are preliminary, particularly because of the lack of reports from some of the fishing fleets that have historically landed large numbers of sailfish/spearfish SAI-Table 1. The reported catches of sailfish/spearfish (Task I) for 2003 are $1,310 \mathrm{t}$ for the west and 416 t for the east. The overall trend in Atlantic catches is very much governed by the large catches from coastal fisheries off West Africa. Recently, catches from the west are larger than those from the east. However this may be partially due to recent lack of reporting from some of the coastal fisheries off West Africa that in the past had reported large catches. The Committee notes that some sailfish/spearfish are likely to have been caught by IUU fleets. Unfortunately there is no information on billfish equivalent to that available from market statistics for bigeye tuna or bluefin tuna that can be used to estimate IUU catches of billfish.

Recently, some large catches of unclassified billfish have been reported to the Committee. The 2001-2003 reported catch of unclassified billfish was $12 \%$ of the reported catch of all billfish. For some fisheries this percentage is much greater. The Committee recommends that every effort be made to report catches by species for all fisheries.

The 2001 SCRS decided to separate the combined catches of sailfish and spearfish, reported by the pelagic longliners, using the Japanese data (1994-2000), which reported these two species separately. Together with the information of previous studies, the ratio of these two species was calculated by quarter and by $5^{\circ} \mathrm{x} 5^{\circ}$ areas. Using these ratios, pelagic longline combined catch data were separated by two species. The catch of sailfish and spearfish thus estimated are given in SAI-Table 2, SAI-Figure 3 and SAI-Table 3, SAI-Figure 4, respectively. Data for 2002 and 2003 only include information as reported by national fisheries, and were not estimated by the Committee.

The Committee felt that significant progress was achieved in the last assessment by separating the catches of these two species. The tentative catches of sailfish "only" (SAI-Tables 2, SAI-Figure 3) and spearfish "only" (SAI-Table 3 and SAI-Figure 4) show different historical trends than the composite catches. However, the work was carried out during the ICCAT Species Group session under a time constraint and should be considered preliminary until detailed evaluation of this process can be completed. Thus, the Committee felt it was premature to adopt these separated catch figures as official ICCAT estimates (i.e., Task I data).

Little is known about the spearfish fishery in the Mediterranean, because this species is a by-catch of some other fisheries (usually, the longline fishery, the driftnet fishery and, more rarely, the tuna traps) targeting large pelagic species. The traditional harpoon fishery, in the Strait of Messina, carries out the only targeted fishery. According to the information available, the catches of Mediterranean spearfish seem to be slowly growing in the last ten years, possibly due to the increasing interest of the markets, better reporting rates or increased availability of spearfish and may have reached 100 t in the year 2000. National reports of landings of Mediterranean spearfish are generally lacking but some catches have been specifically reported since 2002.

## SAI-3. State of the stocks

All initial assessments of Atlantic sailfish were done on aggregate data on sailfish and spearfish obtained from the offshore longline fleets. The 1991 assessment for western Atlantic sailfish/spearfish (1992 SCRS) concluded that the composite stock was at least fully exploited and that fishing mortality had stabilized since the 1980s at around the level that would produce MSY. The 1994 assessment for the eastern Atlantic sailfish/spearfish stock (1995 SCRS) concluded that there were signs of over-fishing for this composite stock because estimated biomass was below the level that would produce MSY and estimated fishing mortality was greater than the level that would produce MSY. Both of these assessments had considerable uncertainties especially because of the inability of separating spearfish and sailfish catches from the offshore longline fleets and because of the limited number of reliable abundance indices for the early part of the history of the fishery and for the coastal eastern Atlantic fisheries.

The last assessments were conducted in 2001 for the eastern and western Atlantic sailfish stocks based on sailfish/spearfish composite catches (SAI-Table 1) and sailfish "only" catches (SAI-Table 2) for the period 1956-2000. The assessments tried to address the shortcomings of the previous assessments by improving the list of abundance indices and by separating the catch of sailfish from that of spearfish in the offshore longline fleets. Considerable progress was made on obtaining new or more reliable abundance indices. The new separation of sailfish/spearfish allowed assessments to be attempted on sailfish "only" data. However, considerable uncertainties remain relating to both catches and catch rates that can only be addressed by substantial research investment in historical data validation and in investigations of the habitat requirements of sailfish.

All quantitative assessment models used in 2001 produced unsatisfactory fits. The biomass dynamic models were unable to satisfactorily explain the observed patterns in the abundance indices and catch. It will be necessary to apply population models that can better account for these dynamics in order to provide improved assessment advice

At present, abundance indices represent the most reliable information and indication of changes in biomass for the stocks of sailfish "only" or sailfish/spearfish. Abundance indices for the eastern stock may be less reliable
than those for the western stock. The differences in the indices between the early and later part of the fishery should not be ignored and should be considered to represent an indication of a decrease in the size of these stocks.

For the western Atlantic stock recent catch levels for sailfish/spearfish combined seem sustainable because over the last two decades both CPUE and catch have remained relatively constant (SAI-Figures 2 and 5). For the combined sailfish/spearfish western stock, it is not known whether the current catch level is below, or at maximum sustainable yield. For this same stock, tentative catches of sailfish "only" have averaged about 700 t over the past two decades and the abundance indices have remained relatively stable for the same period (SAIFigures 3 and 5). New analyses do not provide any information on the MSY or other stock benchmarks for the western Atlantic composite or sailfish "only" stock.

In the eastern Atlantic, abundance indices (SAI-Figure 6) for sailfish "only" from coastal fisheries have decreased over recent times and so have total estimated tentative catches of sailfish "only" (SAI-Figure 3). In contrast, abundance indices for the Japanese longline fishery (SAI-Figure 6) have been rather constant since the mid-1970s but there is concern on the status of this stock, because of the decreases in abundance indices and estimated catches from coastal fisheries.

In summary, although the 2001 attempts at quantitatively assessing the status of these two stocks (eastern and western sailfish) proved to be unsatisfactory, there are early decreases in biomass for these two stocks. These decreases probably lowered the biomass of the stocks to levels that may be producing sustainable catches, but it is unknown whether biomass levels are below those that could produce MSY.

No assessments have ever been conducted on longbill or Mediterranean spearfish because of the lack of reliable catch or abundance index data.

## SAI-4. Outlook

The SCRS noted that the methods for splitting sailfish/spearfish in the offshore longline catches are tentative and are subject to other possible methods in future analyses. Therefore, the results could change in the future. Based on the methods applied and considering these limitations, it is unknown if the western or eastern sailfish stocks are undergoing over-fishing ( $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) or if the stocks are currently over-fished ( $\mathrm{B}<\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) and for these reasons the outlook for future conditions of the stocks are best interpreted based on the recent trends of CPUE and catch.

For the western sailfish stock, CPUE was highest in the late 1960s and decreased to lower levels by about 1980, after which CPUE remained relatively stable. Over the past two decades, the estimated catch of western sailfish has averaged about 700 t per year (SAI-Table 2). From these observations, the Committee considers that the current catch level is sustainable.

For the eastern Atlantic sailfish, recent reported catches have been in decline, as have the available coastal abundance indices (SAI-Figure 3). These patterns could suggest possible further decreases in biomass that, if unchecked, could result in the need for increasingly stringent management actions in the future.

## SAI-5. Effect of current regulations

No ICCAT regulations for sailfish or spearfish are in effect.

## SAI-6. Management recommendations

Management recommendations here are the same as those made in 2003. The previous management recommendations indicated that the Commission should consider methods for reducing fishing mortality rates. The current western Atlantic assessment leads the Committee to recommend that the West Atlantic sailfish "only" catches should not exceed current levels. For the East Atlantic, sailfish "only" catches should not exceed current levels and the Commission should consider practical and alternative methods to reduce fishing mortality and assure data collection systems.

The Committee is concerned about the incomplete reporting of catches, particularly for the most recent years, the lack of sufficient reports by species, and evaluations of the new methods used to split the sailfish and spearfish catch and to index abundance. The Committee recommends all countries landing sailfish/spearfish or having dead discards, report these data to the ICCAT Secretariat. The Committee should consider the possibility of a spearfish "only" assessment in the future.

| ATLANTIC SAILFISH "ONLY" SUMMARY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | West Atlantic | East Atlantic |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY) | Not estimated | Not estimated |
| Recent Yield (2000) ${ }^{1}$ | $506 \mathrm{t}^{2}$ | $969 \mathrm{t}^{2}$ |
| 2000 Replacement Yield | $\sim 600 \mathrm{t}$ | Not estimated |
| Management Measures in Effect | None | None |

${ }_{2}^{1}$ Estimated yield includes that carried over from previous years.
${ }^{2}$ Recent yield (2000) was estimated during the 2001 sailfish assessment. To estimate the 2001, 2002 and 2003 yield, catches of sailfish and spearfish would have to be separated. A separation similar to the one conducted in the 2001 assessment has not yet been conducted.

|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TOTAL SAI+SPF+MSP | 4394 | 3276 | 3503 | 4321 | 5195 | 3935 | 3649 | 3692 | 4059 | 3624 | 3006 | 3914 | 2785 | 3137 | 4108 | 2608 | 2932 | 3182 | 2499 | 2976 | 2501 | 2487 | 2015 | 2794 | 1835 |
|  |  | Total SAI | 4159 | 3006 | 3187 | 3995 | 4883 | 3713 | 3421 | 3386 | 3737 | 3358 | 2729 | 3540 | 2678 | 3045 | 3923 | 2471 | 2815 | 3089 | 2399 | 2856 | 2324 | 2296 | 1901 | 2665 | 1645 |
|  |  | TOTAL SPF | 235 | 270 | 316 | 326 | 312 | 222 | 228 | 306 | 322 | 266 | 277 | 374 | 107 | 92 | 185 | 136 | 117 | 93 | 100 | 120 | 177 | 192 | 114 | 79 | 87 |
|  |  | TOTAL MSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 104 |
| $\overline{\text { SAI }}$ |  | AT.E | 3256 | 2099 | 2131 | 2876 | 3687 | 2492 | 2328 | 2105 | 2566 | 2064 | 1664 | 2314 | 1482 | 1706 | 2473 | 1206 | 1559 | 1927 | 1292 | 995 | 1209 | 1004 | 777 | 950 | 402 |
|  |  | AT.W | 903 | 907 | 1056 | 1119 | 1196 | 1221 | 1093 | 1281 | 1171 | 1294 | 1065 | 1225 | 1197 | 1339 | 1450 | 1265 | 1256 | 1162 | 1107 | 1860 | 1115 | 1291 | 1122 | 1685 | 1237 |
|  |  | UNCL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 5 |
| Landings | AT.E | Longline | 83 | 151 | 202 | 309 | 270 | 224 | 148 | 140 | 112 | 126 | 152 | 153 | 57 | 51 | 523 | 178 | 240 | 164 | 213 | 198 | 265 | 165 | 159 | 349 | 278 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 3066 | 1623 | 1432 | 1999 | 2911 | 2107 | 1940 | 1394 | 1870 | 1401 | 1067 | 1143 | 734 | 717 | 1040 | 718 | 657 | 596 | 385 | 535 | 537 | 433 | 618 | 600 | 124 |
|  |  | Sport | 107 | 325 | 497 | 568 | 506 | 161 | 240 | 571 | 584 | 537 | 445 | 1018 | 507 | 738 | 833 | 227 | 588 | 531 | 555 | 263 | 407 | 407 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 200 | 77 | 83 | 75 | 636 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | AT.W | Longline | 378 | 360 | 408 | 471 | 320 | 512 | 506 | 489 | 451 | 558 | 417 | 382 | 241 | 371 | 657 | 552 | 386 | 346 | 226 | 1031 | 452 | 766 | 801 | 1264 | 848 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 84 | 97 | 0 | 95 | 50 | 53 | 68 | 43 | 45 | 54 | 44 | 224 | 72 | 156 | 131 | 196 | 224 | 362 | 282 | 349 | 245 | 205 | 64 | 88 | 94 |
|  |  | Sport | 350 | 368 | 561 | 475 | 735 | 536 | 313 | 497 | 491 | 471 | 353 | 267 | 371 | 333 | 232 | 217 | 357 | 240 | 360 | 277 | 173 | 86 | 58 | 103 | 53 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 91 | 82 | 87 | 78 | 91 | 120 | 206 | 252 | 142 | 154 | 194 | 290 | 449 | 443 | 367 | 272 | 260 | 145 | 182 | 176 | 174 | 189 | 189 | 222 | 238 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 5 |
| $\overline{\text { Discards }}$ | AT.W | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 57 | 57 | 62 | 64 | 36 | 63 | 28 | 29 | 69 | 57 | 27 | 72 | 45 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.E | Belize (Obs.by Sta. Hele | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Benin | 0 | 0 | 36 | 48 | 0 | 53 | 50 | 25 | 32 | 40 | 8 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Cape Verde | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, People's Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 4 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 19 | 5 | 12 | 67 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 101 | 155 | 65 | 150 | 117 | 178 | 120 | 0 | 124 | 73 |
|  |  | Cuba | 40 | 79 | 79 | 158 | 200 | 115 | 19 | 55 | 50 | 22 | 53 | 61 | 184 | 200 | 77 | 83 | 72 | 533 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Côte D'Ivoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 66 | 55 | 58 | 38 | 69 | 40 | 54 | 66 | 91 | 65 | 35 | 80 | 45 | 47 | 65 | 121 |
|  |  | EC-France + España | 375 | 432 | 504 | 521 | 499 | 354 | 364 | 403 | 394 | 408 | 432 | 595 | 174 | 150 | 182 | 160 | 128 | 97 | 110 | 138 | 131 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 30 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 26 | 18 | 19 | 8 | 148 | 188 | 183 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
|  |  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Ghana | 2691 | 1191 | 891 | 1426 | 2408 | 1658 | 1485 | 925 | 1392 | 837 | 465 | 395 | 463 | 297 | 693 | 450 | 353 | 303 | 196 | 351 | 305 | 275 | 568 | 529 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 19 | 33 | 50 | 38 | 47 | 63 | 84 | 71 | 37 | 57 | 57 | 63 | 16 | 42 | 58 | 45 | 52 | 47 | 19 | 58 | 16 | 26 | 6 | 17 | 13 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 5 | 34 | 24 | 33 | 3 | 34 | 29 | 2 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 30 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Senegal | 107 | 325 | 498 | 572 | 510 | 163 | 241 | 572 | 596 | 587 | 552 | 1092 | 546 | 917 | 936 | 260 | 678 | 610 | 556 | 270 | 412 | 412 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | AT.W | Aruba | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 45 | 29 | 42 | 50 | 46 | 74 | 25 | 71 | 58 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 201 | 231 | 64 | 153 | 60 | 121 | 187 | 292 | 174 | 152 | 147 | 301 | 90 | 351 | 243 | 129 | 245 | 310 | 137 | 184 | 356 | 598 | 412 | 547 | 585 |
|  |  | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 18 | 36 | 81 | 22 | 31 | 45 | 39 | 64 | 31 | 300 | 171 | 83 | 73 | 33 | 223 | 233 | 38 | 37 | 4 | 129 | 33 | 22 | 0 | 70 | 26 |
|  |  | Cuba | 151 | 119 | 134 | 181 | 28 | 169 | 130 | 50 | 171 | 78 | 55 | 126 | 83 | 70 | 42 | 46 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 50 | 49 | 46 | 18 | 40 | 44 | 44 | 40 | 31 | 98 | 50 | 90 | 40 | 40 | 101 | 89 | 27 | 67 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 36 | 3 | 15 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 277 | 471 | 196 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
|  |  | Grenada | 40 | 31 | 36 | 27 | 37 | 66 | 164 | 211 | 104 | 114 | 98 | 218 | 316 | 310 | 246 | 151 | 119 | 56 | 83 | 151 | 148 | 164 | 187 | 151 | 171 |
|  |  | Japan | 20 | 22 | 44 | 135 | 22 | 34 | 38 | 28 | 6 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 73 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 19 | 51 | 41 | 19 | 0 | 52 | 72 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 9 | 646 | 40 | 118 | 36 | 34 | 45 |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Netherlands Antilles | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 3 |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 58 | 14 | 25 | 35 | 24 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 56 | 101 | 101 | 104 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 308 | 308 | 533 | 452 | 734 | 495 | 282 | 462 | 454 | 451 | 324 | 242 | 343 | 294 | 202 | 179 | 345 | 231 | 349 | 267 | 163 | 76 | 58 | 103 | 53 |
|  |  | Venezuela | 93 | 58 | 72 | 57 | 119 | 81 | 81 | 77 | 80 | 22 | 24 | 24 | 65 | 71 | 206 | 162 | 103 | 165 | 185 | 258 | 179 | 93 | 126 | 159 | 133 |
|  | UNCL | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.W | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 57 | 57 | 62 | 64 | 36 | 63 | 28 | 29 | 69 | 57 | 27 | 72 | 45 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
|  | UNCL | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| SPF |  | TOTAL | 235 | 270 | 316 | 326 | 312 | 222 | 228 | 306 | 322 | 266 | 277 | 374 | 107 | 92 | 185 | 136 | 117 | 93 | 100 | 120 | 177 | 192 | 114 | 79 | 87 |
|  |  | AT.E | 235 | 270 | 316 | 326 | 312 | 222 | 228 | 252 | 247 | 256 | 270 | 373 | 107 | 92 | 120 | 134 | 107 | 85 | 99 | 111 | 144 | 103 | 28 | 21 | 14 |
|  |  | AT.W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 75 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 33 | 89 | 86 | 58 | 73 |
| Landings | AT.E | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 36 | 29 | 26 | 31 | 25 | 63 | 43 | 28 | 21 | 14 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 235 | 270 | 316 | 326 | 312 | 222 | 228 | 252 | 247 | 256 | 270 | 373 | 107 | 92 | 112 | 98 | 78 | 59 | 68 | 86 | 81 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | AT.W | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 75 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 33 | 89 | 86 | 58 | 73 |
|  |  | Sport | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Unclass. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.W | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.E | China, P.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC-France + España | 235 | 270 | 316 | 326 | 312 | 222 | 228 | 252 | 247 | 256 | 270 | 373 | 107 | 92 | 112 | 98 | 78 | 59 | 68 | 86 | 81 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 26 | 25 | 30 | 22 | 33 | 29 | 20 | 14 | 14 |
|  | AT.W | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 56 | 39 | 3 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 50 | 22 | 5 | 25 |
|  |  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 41 |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 75 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Venezuela | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Discards | AT.W | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MSP |  | TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 104 |
| Landing | Medi | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 103 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Dashes indicate that no report was received or estimate made


SAI-Fig.1. Geographic distributions of sailfish/spearfish catches, by quarter, combined for all years 1950-2000. The vertical lines indicate the east/west limit that separates the sailfish stocks.


SAI-Fig. 2. Evolution of estimated sailfish/spearfish catches in the Atlantic (landings and dead discards, reported and carried over) in the ICCAT Task I database during 1956-2002 for the east and west stocks. The 2003 catch reported to ICCAT is preliminary and is not included in this figure.


SAI-Fig. 3. Estimated sailfish "only" catches based on the new procedure for splitting combined SAI/SPF catches from 1956-2000.




SAI-Fig 5. Available standardized CPUE for western Atlantic sailfish for the periods 1967-2000 (upper), and for the period 1979-2000 (middle). The time series represented are from two different standardization treatments for the Japanese longline data (JP_PLL and JP_PLLH2), as well from the Venezuelan recreational (VZ_RR) and longline fisheries (VZ_PLL), and the United States recreational (US_RR) and longline (US_PLL) fisheries. The bottom plate represents a sailfish/spearfish composite CPUE series that included the Japanese, United States and Venezuela time series.


SAI-Fig. 4. Estimated spearfish "only" catches in the Atlantic based on the new procedure for splitting combined SAI/SPF catches from 1956-2000.


SAI-Fig 6. Available standardized catch rates for eastern Atlantic sailfish for the period 1967-2000 (upper) and for the period 1979-2000 (lower). The time series represented are from three different standardized treatments of the Japanese longline data (JP_PLLH2, JP_PLL, and JP_PLLH6), as well from the Ghanaian gillnet (GH_GLN) and Côte d'Ivoire gillnet (IC_GLN).

### 8.9 SWO-ATL-ATLANTIC SWORDFISH

No new assessment was conducted in 2003 or 2004 . The most recent assessment was in 2002, at which catch and effort through 2001 were considered.

## SWO-ATL-1. Biology

Swordfish are distributed widely in the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea, and range from Canada to Argentina on the western side, and from Norway to South Africa on the eastern side (SWO-Figure 1). The management units for assessment purposes are a separate Mediterranean group, and North and South Atlantic groups. These stock units are generally supported by recent genetic analyses. However, the precise boundaries between stocks are uncertain, and mixing is expected to be high in the boundary zones. Therefore there is uncertainty as to how closely the management units used correspond to the biological stock units. Hence, it is important to have effective management measures throughout the Atlantic and Mediterranean.

Swordfish are characterized by having dimorphic growth, where females show faster growth rates and attain larger sizes than males. Young swordfish grow very rapidly, reaching about 130 cm LJFL (lower jaw-fork length) by age 2 . Swordfish are difficult to age, but $53 \%$ of females are considered mature by age 5 , at a length of about 180 cm . Known spawning areas are located in the warm tropical and subtropical waters, where swordfish spawns throughout the year in different localized areas displaying a regular seasonal pattern.

These large pelagic fishes feed on a wide variety of prey including groundfish, pelagics, deep-water fish and cephalopods. It is believed that swordfish feed throughout the water column and show extensive diel migration. Swordfish are typically caught on pelagic longlines at night when they feed in surface waters. They are found in the colder waters during summer months in either hemisphere and all year in the subtropical and tropical areas.

## SWO-ATL-2. Description of the fisheries

Directed longline fisheries from EC-Spain, the United States and Canada have operated since the late 1950s or early 1960s, and harpoon fisheries have existed since the late 1800s. Other directed swordfish fisheries include fleets from Brazil, Morocco, Namibia, EC-Portugal, South Africa, Uruguay, and Venezuela. The primary bycatch or opportunistic fisheries that take swordfish are tuna fleets from Chinese Taipei, Japan, Korea and ECFrance. The tuna longline fishery started in 1956 and has operated throughout the Atlantic since then, with substantial catches of swordfish that are produced as a by-catch in their tuna fisheries.

As a result of ICCAT and domestic regulatory recommendations, there are three recent developments in the fisheries of some nations: (1) Starting in February 2000 and ending in December 2003, Japanese vessels fishing in the North Atlantic were required to discard all swordfish as the Japanese block quota had been reached; (2) For 2001 and thereafter, U.S. pelagic longline fishing was prohibited or restricted in five areas and times to reduce incidental catches including juvenile swordfish; and (3) The Canadian directed swordfish longline fishery has finished at the end of August from 1999-2000 due to reduced quota. The season was extended to November in 2002 and 2003 due to the introduction of an Individual Transferable Quota system, which remained in place for 2003. A further change in the fishery has resulted from changes in technology, i.e., there has been a change in the type or style of longline gear used by many European longline vessels that have gone from the traditional multifilament to monofilament gear. One concern of all these developments is the effect on the data available, its continuity and complexity and therefore its interpretation.

The SCRS scientists believe that ICCAT Task I landings data provide minimum estimates because of unreported catch of swordfish made in association with illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities. However, the amount of NEI swordfish catch by IUU vessels has not been estimated.

Total Atlantic. The total Atlantic estimated catch of swordfish (North and South, including discards) reached an historical high of $38,624 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995, $13 \%$ higher than the previous peak catch of $34,098 \mathrm{t}$ in 1989 (SWO-Table 1 and SWO-Figure 2). The 2003 reported catch was $21,868 \mathrm{t}$. A substantial number of countries have reported their 2003 catches, however because of unknown IUU catches and the preliminary nature of these reports, this value should be considered provisional and subject to revision.

North Atlantic. For the past decade, the North Atlantic estimated catch (landings plus discards) has averaged about 12,600 t (SWO-Table 1 and SWO-Figure 2), although the 2003 landings plus discards were reduced to $11,028 \mathrm{t}$ in response to ICCAT regulatory Recommendations. In 2003, there has been a $46 \%$ decrease in reported catches (including discards) since the 1987 peak in North Atlantic landings ( $20,236 \mathrm{t}$ ), in response to ICCAT Recommendations. Reduced landings have also been attributed to shifts in fleet distributions, including movement of some vessels to the South Atlantic and out of the Atlantic. In addition, some fleets, including the United States, EC-Spain, EC-Portugal and Canada, have changed operating procedures to opportunistically target tuna and/or sharks, taking advantage of market conditions and higher relative catch rates for swordfish.

South Atlantic. The South Atlantic estimated catch (landings plus discards) was relatively low (generally less than $5,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) before 1980 . Since then, landings increased continuously through the 1980s and the early 1990s to a peak of $21,780 \mathrm{t}$ in 1995 (levels that match the peak of North Atlantic harvest). The increase of landings was in part due to progressive shifts of fishing effort to the South Atlantic, primarily from the North Atlantic, as well as other waters. Then the estimated landings decreased to $13,835 \mathrm{t}$ by 1998 ( $36 \%$ reduction). The reduction in catch following the peak in 1995 was in response to the regulations, and partly due to a shift to other oceans and to a shift in target species. In 2002, the $13,946 \mathrm{t}$ reported catches were somewhat lower than the 2001 level. The reported 2003 catch is $10,919 \mathrm{t}$, but should be considered provisional and probably an underestimate.

Discards. Only the U.S. (1991-2003), Canada (1997-2003), and Japan (2000-2003) report positive estimates of dead discards. Japan (2000) also reported live releases. EC-Spain reports zero dead discards. Both the U.S. and Canada used scientific observer data to estimate dead discards. The Japanese estimates in 2000-2003 are based on radio reports and logbooks.

## SWO-ATL-3. State of the Stocks

No new assessment was conducted in 2003 or 2004; the most recent assessment of North and South Atlantic swordfish stocks was conducted in 2002. In that assessment, updated CPUE and catch data through 2001 were examined. Sex and age-specific (North Atlantic) and biomass standardized catch rates (North and South Atlantic) from the various fleets were updated. The updated North Atlantic CPUE data showed similar trends to previous years, and also showed signs of improvement in stock status since 1998. In particular, the recruitment index (1997-2001) and the catch at age used in the 2002 North Atlantic assessment showed signs of substantially improved recruitment (age 1), which has manifested in several age classes and the biomass index. The updated recruitment index also showed a high value in 1999 and 2000. These improvements in recruitment already manifested in several age classes and in the biomass index of some fisheries, and have allowed for increases in spawning biomass and a more optimistic outlook. The CPUE patterns in the South Atlantic by fleet showed contradictory patterns. Lack of important CPUE information from some fleets fishing in the South Atlantic prevented the Committee from reconciling these conflicts.

## North Atlantic

In 2002, the status of the North Atlantic swordfish resource was again assessed using both non-equilibrium stock production models and sequential population analyses (SPA) based on catch (SWO-Table 1) and CPUE data through 2001. The current Base Case assessment indicated that the North Atlantic swordfish biomass had improved due to strong recruitment since 1997 (1996 year-class), combined with recent reductions in reported catch, especially compared to the peak catch values of 1987 (SWO-Figure 3). In particular, strong recruitment since 1997 manifested in several age classes and was evident in the catch rates from several fleets. The strong recruitments of the late 1990s promoted improvement in spawning stock biomass and should result in further improvement, if these year-classes are not heavily harvested. The pattern of decline in stock size followed by stabilization and rebuilding was reflected in the CPUEs for several fisheries. An updated estimate of maximum sustainable yield from production model analyses is $14,340 \mathrm{t}$ (with estimates ranging from 11,500 to $15,500 \mathrm{t}$ ). Since 1997, North Atlantic swordfish catches have been below 14,340 t (SWO-Figure 4); preliminary estimates (reported plus carried over) of catches in 2001, 2002, and 2003 were about $9,980,9,550$, and 11,020 t , but the most recent years are provisional and probably underestimates.

The biomass at the beginning of 2002 was estimated to be $94 \%$ (range: 75 to $124 \%$ ) of the biomass needed to produce MSY. The 2001 fishing mortality rate was estimated to be 0.75 times the fishing mortality rate at MSY (range: 0.54 to 1.06 ). The replacement yield for the year 2003 was estimated to be about the MSY level. As the

TAC for North Atlantic swordfish for 2002 was $10,400 \mathrm{t}$, it was considered likely that biomass would increase further under those catch levels. The TAC set for 2003-2005 is 14,000 $t$ [Rec. 02-02].

Overall, the sequential population analysis conducted for North Atlantic swordfish in 2002 was consistent with the stock production model results, particularly in terms of the trends in population trajectories. The SPA point estimates for age 1 gradually increased in the early 1980s, shifting to a somewhat higher level from 1985 to 1989 (SWO-Figure 5). Subsequently, the abundance of age 1 shifted back to a lower level between 1990 and 1996 and then increased to the highest levels of the time series in 1999 and 2000. The trends for ages 2, 3 and 4 are similar with the appropriate time lags, but the pattern is less pronounced. The estimated abundance of older (5+) fish declined to about one-third of the numbers in 1978, but increased somewhat after 1998. The estimated fishing mortality rate generally increased for all ages until 1996, after which they decreased sharply. The fishing mortality rate during the last three years averaged about $0.38 /$ year for age $5+$. Given this fishing mortality pattern, the spawning biomass likely will increase to a level exceeding $30 \%$ of the maximum at equilibrium, largely owing to the very large recruitments estimated for 1997-2000.

## South Atlantic

The Committee noted that reported total catches have been reduced since 1995, as was recommended by the SCRS. Previously the Committee expressed serious concern about the trends in stock biomass of South Atlantic swordfish based on the pattern of rapid increases in catch before 1995 that could result in rapid stock depletion, and in declining CPUE trends of some by-catch fisheries.

Standardized CPUE series were available for three fleets, the targeted fishery of EC-Spain, and the by-catch fisheries of Chinese Taipei and Japan (SWO-Figure 6). There was considerable conflict in trends among the three CPUE series and it is unclear which, if any, of the series tracks total biomass. It was noted that there was little overlap in fishing area among the three fleets, and that the three CPUE trends could track different components (or cohorts) of the population. To address this possibility, an age-structured production model was run as a sensitivity test. For the base case production model, the Committee selected the by-catch CPUE series combined using a simple unweighted mean and the targeted CPUE series.

Due to some inconsistencies in the available CPUE trends reliable stock assessment results could not be obtained.

## SWO-ATL-4. Outlook

## North Atlantic

For the North Atlantic swordfish stock, the Base Case surplus production model showed that the swordfish biomass had increased from the 1997 low and the 2002 biomass is estimated to be near the level that would produce maximum sustainable yield due to strong recruitment and lower catches during this period. If total catch from 2003 and beyond, including discards and overages, was less than MSY, there would be a greater than $50 \%$ chance that the population would reach $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ within the recovery program plan time-frame agreed by the Commission. Lower catches or high recruitments would both enhance the probability of achieving the recovery plan goal (SWO-Figure 7).

The high recruitment levels observed in recent years (age 1 in 1997-2001) have resulted in a more optimistic outlook than previous projections since the recent year-classes were not heavily harvested. The updated indices examined in 2002 and 2003 confirmed that a positive effect of this strong recruitment has manifested in older ages and in the biomass indices of several fisheries. Given that recent (2002-2003) reported catch has been below estimated replacement yield, the north Atlantic swordfish biomass may have already achieved the $\mathrm{B}_{\text {MSY }}$ level.

## South Atlantic

Given the history of expansion of the longline fisheries and the apparent stability in at least one target fishery, the Committee recommends that catch should remain at about the same level of the past few years before the assessment to maintain the stock at about the then current abundance.

## SWO-ATL-5. Effects of current regulations

This report only takes into account catch data transmitted to the SCRS by the different countries and which were available during the meeting. Total catch is considered provisional and subject to revision for 2003 (Table 1, see footnote).

Canada, Chinese Taipei, Japan, South Africa, EC-Spain, and the United States provide catch-at-size data based on national sampling. Other nations are either partially (e.g., Brazil, EC-Portugal) or completely substituted from these data. The SCRS considers that it is not appropriate to apply these scientific estimates for purposes of evaluating compliance, and therefore only summary data are provided.

## Catch limits

The total allowable catch in the North Atlantic in 2002 was $10,400 \mathrm{t}$ ( $10,200 \mathrm{t}$ retained and 200 t discarded). The reported landings were about $9,000 \mathrm{t}$ and the estimated discards were about 600 t . The total allowable catch in the North Atlantic in 2003 was $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ ( $13,900 \mathrm{t}$ retained and 100 t discarded). The reported landings in 2003 were about $10,600 \mathrm{t}$ and the estimated discards were about 460 t . Reports for year 2003 are considered provisional and subject to change.

The total allowable catch in the South Atlantic in 2002 was 14,620 t. The reported landings for 2002 were about $13,660 \mathrm{t}$ and reported discards were 1 t . The total allowable catch in the South Atlantic in 2003 was $15,631 \mathrm{t}$. The reported landings for 2003 were about $10,900 \mathrm{t}$ and reported discards were $<1 \mathrm{t}$. Reports for year 2003 are considered provisional and subject to change.

## Minimum size limits

There are two minimum size options that are applied to the entire Atlantic: 125 cm LJFL with a $15 \%$ tolerance, or 119 cm LJFL with zero tolerance and evaluation of the discards. In the absence of size data, these calculations could not be updated or examined for 2003.

In 2000, the percentage of swordfish reported landed (throughout the Atlantic) less than 125 cm LJFL was about $21 \%$ (in number) overall for all nations fishing in the Atlantic. If this calculation is made using reported landings plus estimated discards, then the percentage less than 125 cm LJFL would be about $25 \%$. The Committee noted that this proportion of small fish did not increase very much even though recruitment in the North has been at a high level in recent years.

## Other implications

The Committee expressed concern about the uncertainties of the stock structure of Atlantic swordfish and the possibility that these assumed stocks do not exactly reflect the geographical distribution of the respective stocks.

The Committee is concerned that in some cases regulations have resulted in the discard of swordfish caught in the North stock and, to a certain extent, could have influenced similar behavior of the fleet that fishes the South Atlantic swordfish stock. The Committee considers that regulations may have had a detrimental effect on the availability and consistency of scientific data on catches, sizes and CPUE indices of the Atlantic fleet. The Committee expressed its serious concern over this limitation on data for future assessments.

For 2001 and thereafter, the United States introduced time and area closures in the North Atlantic to protect small swordfish and other species caught incidentally by longline. These closures have reduced the catches attributed to the United States, and may also have redistributed the fleet. These concerns were reiterated upon examination of the results of updated (though 2003) CPUE analysis from the US fleet. The effects on the CPUE data are unknown, although analyses conducted to examine this impact did not reveal a measurable effect on catch rates in 2001.

## SWO-ATL-6. Management recommendations

## North Atlantic

Since the assessment in 1999, the Committee has noted to the Commission that there has been high recruitment since 1997, and the 2001 data were consistent with this observation (1996-2000 cohorts). It should be noted that this high recruitment is now being observed in several fisheries, and has manifested in several age classes. This high recruitment, in combination with the actions the Commission has taken to reduce catch, has resulted in an increase in the North Atlantic stock size. Based on the results of the 2002 assessment taking into account this recent high recruitment, if the Commission desires to rebuild the North Atlantic swordfish stock to biomass levels that would support MSY levels within 10 years (through 2009) with a probability of slightly greater than $50 \%$, then the catch (including discards) could be maintained at $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ for 2003-2009. At $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ the stock trajectory declines. The Committee noted that positive signs in recent recruitment may be in part due to environmental influence, and it is unknown if this influence will be positive or negative in the future. Additionally, the current regulations can produce difficulties in estimation of CPUE trends for some fleets. Noting the uncertainties inherent in the assessment, the Committee warned against large catch increases over the current TAC. Moderate catch increases (e.g., to levels below the estimated MSY) would not only guard against potential biases in the assessment, but would also provide stability for the stock and fisheries.

## South Atlantic

There is considerable uncertainty in the data for the south Atlantic assessment. So much so, that the contradictory CPUE trends from the target and by-catch fisheries caused no reliable result from the base case production model and therefore reliable estimates of MSY and biomass trends could not be calculated. On the one hand, the recent trend for the target CPUE was very stable; on the other hand, the signal from the by-catch fisheries showed a sharp decline in recent years. The Committee was unable to determine which is indicative of South Atlantic swordfish stock abundance. Lack of information from some of important South Atlantic fishing fleets prevents the Committee from reconciling these conflicts.

The Committee noted that there had been considerable expansion of the fleets and charter activities targeting swordfish in the South Atlantic since the implementation of catch limit regulatory recommendations, and remains concerned that CPUE data from these new fishing activities were not made available. However, this history of expansion of the fishery is consistent with the stable CPUE for the target fishery considered by the stock assessment session. Given this evolution of the fishery, and the apparent stability in at least one target fishery as a result of recent catch reductions, the Committee recommends that catch should remain at about the same level of the past few years (14-15,000 t). More quantitative and reliable advice is unlikely to be achieved in the absence of CPUE data from some of the major fleets fishing in the South Atlantic.

|  | ATLANTIC SWORDFISH SUMMARY |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | North Atlantic | South Atlantic |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield ${ }^{1}$ | $14,340 \mathrm{t}(11,580-15,530)^{4}$ | Not estimated |
| Current (2003) Yield ${ }^{2}$ | $11,028 \mathrm{t}$ | $10,919 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Current (2002) Replacement | about MSY | Not estimated |
| $\quad$ Yield $^{3}$ |  |  |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2002} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | $0.94(0.75-1.24)$ | Not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | $0.75(0.54-1.06)$ | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ | 1.08 | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 2.05 | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2000} / \mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 2.01 | Not estimated |
| Management Measures in Effect | Country-specific TACs [Rec. 02-02]; | TAC target [Ref. 02-03]; |
|  | $125 / 119$ cm LJFL minimum size. | 125/119 cm LJFL minimum |
| size [Rec.02-02]. |  |  |

[^5]SWO-ATL -Table 1. Estimated catches (landinds and discards, t) of Atlantic swordfish by major area, gear and flag 1979-2003

|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL ATLANTIC |  |  | 15231 | 18881 | 15155 | 19662 | 19929 | 21930 | 23969 | 24380 | 26266 | 32469 | 34098 | 32796 | 28647 | 29027 | 32659 | 35104 | 38624 | 33324 | 31432 | 26031 | 26897 | 26932 | 24889 | 23445 | 21946 |
|  |  | AT.N | 11937 | 13558 | 11180 | 13215 | 14527 | 12791 | 14383 | 18486 | 20236 | 19513 | 17250 | 15672 | 14934 | 15394 | 16717 | 15475 | 16844 | 15172 | 12997 | 12195 | 11590 | 11424 | 9985 | 9552 | 11028 |
|  |  | AT.S * | 3294 | 5323 | 3975 | 6447 | 5402 | 9139 | 9586 | 5894 | 6030 | 12956 | 16848 | 17124 | 13713 | 13633 | 15942 | 19629 | 21780 | 18152 | 18435 | 13835 | 15306 | 15508 | 14868 | 13893 | 10919 |
|  |  | UNCL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Longline | 11177 | 12831 | 10549 | 13019 | 14023 | 12664 | 14240 | 18269 | 20022 | 18927 | 15348 | 14026 | 14208 | 14288 | 15641 | 14309 | 15765 | 13787 | 12186 | 10783 | 10449 | 9642 | 8401 | 8632 | 9928 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 760 | 727 | 631 | 196 | 504 | 127 | 143 | 217 | 214 | 586 | 1902 | 1646 | 511 | 723 | 669 | 458 | 553 | 797 | 360 | 928 | 612 | 659 | 687 | 385 | 642 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 3265 | 5179 | 3938 | 6344 | 5307 | 8920 | 8863 | 4951 | 5446 | 12404 | 16398 | 16705 | 13287 | 13173 | 15547 | 17365 | 20806 | 17799 | 18239 | 13649 | 14752 | 15348 | 14161 | 13495 | 10860 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 29 | 144 | 37 | 103 | 95 | 219 | 723 | 943 | 584 | 552 | 450 | 419 | 426 | 460 | 395 | 2264 | 974 | 352 | 175 | 176 | 548 | 158 | 706 | 398 | 59 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | AT.N | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 383 | 408 | 708 | 526 | 562 | 439 | 476 | 525 | 1122 | 892 | 527 | 454 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
|  | AT.S | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other Surf. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | Longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | AT.N | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 19 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | Brasil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Canada | 2970 | 1885 | 561 | 554 | 1088 | 499 | 585 | 1059 | 954 | 898 | 1247 | 911 | 1026 | 1547 | 2234 | 1676 | 1610 | 739 | 1089 | 1115 | 1119 | 968 | 1079 | 959 | 1285 |
|  |  | China, P. R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 86 | 104 | 132 | 40 | 337 | 304 | 22 | 102 | 90 | 316 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 338 | 134 | 182 | 260 | 272 | 164 | 152 | 157 | 52 | 23 | 17 | 270 | 577 | 441 | 127 | 507 | 489 | 521 | 509 | 286 | 285 | 347 | 299 | 310 | 257 ** |
|  |  | Cuba | 128 | 278 | 227 | 254 | 410 | 206 | 162 | 636 | 910 | 832 | 87 | 47 | 23 | 27 | 16 | 50 | 86 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | -- |
|  |  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.España | 2582 | 3810 | 4014 | 4554 | 7100 | 6315 | 7441 | 9719 | 11135 | 9799 | 6648 | 6386 | 6633 | 6672 | 6598 | 6185 | 6953 | 5547 | 5140 | 4079 | 3993 | 4595 | 3968 | 3957 | 4586 |
|  |  | EC.France | 0 |  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 95 | 46 | 84 | 97 | 164 | 110 | 104 | 122 | 0 | 74 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 132 | 81 | 35 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
|  |  | EC.Poland | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 29 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 468 | 994 | 617 | 300 | 475 | 773 | 542 | 1961 | 1599 | 1617 | 1703 | 903 | 773 | 777 | 732 | 735 | 766 | 1032 |
|  |  | EC.United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | France - St. Pierre et Miq. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 3 |
|  |  | Faroe Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Grenada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 15 | 42 | 84 | 0 | 54 | 88 |
|  |  | Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 542 | 1167 | 1315 | 1755 | 537 | 665 | 921 | 807 | 413 | 621 | 1572 | 1051 | 992 | 1064 | 1126 | 933 | 1043 | 1494 | 1218 | 1391 | 1089 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 303 | 284 | 136 | 198 | 53 | 32 | 160 | 68 | 60 | 30 | 320 | 51 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Liberia | 0 | 5 | 38 | 34 | 53 | 0 | 24 | 16 | 30 | 19 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Maroc | 208 | 136 | 124 | 91 | 129 | 81 | 137 | 181 | 197 | 196 | 222 | 91 | 110 | 69 | 39 | 36 | 79 | 462 | 267 | 191 | 119 | 114 | 523 | 223 | 329 |
|  |  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 24 | 37 | 27 | 34 | 32 |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 207 | 302 | 714 | 43 | 35 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 44 |
|  |  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Sierra Leone | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 26 | 6 | 45 | 151 | 42 | 79 | 66 | 71 | 562 | 11 | 180 | 150 | 158 | 110 | 130 | 138 | 41 | 75 | 92 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 4619 | 5625 | 4530 | 5410 | 4820 | 4749 | 4705 | 5210 | 5247 | 6171 | 6411 | 5519 | 4310 | 3852 | 3783 | 3366 | 4026 | 3559 | 2987 | 3058 | 2908 | 2863 | 2217 | 2384 | 2524 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 10 | 21 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | UK.Bermuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Venezuela | 182 | 192 | 24 | 25 | 35 | 23 | 51 | 84 | 86 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 75 | 103 | 73 | 69 | 54 | 85 | 20 | 37 | 30 | 30 | 21 | 34 | 45 |
| Discards | AT.N | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 52 | 35 | 50 | 26 | 33 | 79 |
|  |  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 583 | 578 | 239 | 102 |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 383 | 408 | 708 | 526 | 588 | 446 | 433 | 494 | 490 | 293 | 263 | 277 |


|  |  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AT.S | Angola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 228 | 815 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 361 | 31 | 351 | 198 | 175 | 230 | 88 | 88 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Belize (Obs. by Sta. Helena) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Benin | 0 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 86 | 90 | 39 | 13 | 19 | 26 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Brazil | 521 | 1582 | 655 | 1019 | 781 | 468 | 562 | 753 | 947 | 1162 | 1168 | 1696 | 1312 | 2609 | 2013 | 1571 | 1975 | 1892 | 4100 | 3847 | 4721 | 4579 | 4082 | 2910 | 2920 |
|  |  | Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Cambodia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | China, P:R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 534 | 344 | 200 | 423 | 353 |
|  |  | Chinese Taipei | 1292 | 702 | 528 | 520 | 261 | 199 | 280 | 216 | 338 | 798 | 610 | 900 | 1453 | 1686 | 846 | 2829 | 2876 | 2873 | 2562 | 1147 | 1168 | 1303 | 1149 | 1164 | 1254 ** |
|  |  | Cuba | 272 | 316 | 147 | 432 | 818 | 1161 | 1301 | 95 | 173 | 159 | 830 | 448 | 209 | 246 | 192 | 452 | 778 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Côte D'Ivoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 19 | 26 | 18 | 25 | 26 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 43 |
|  |  | EC-France + España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 4393 | 7725 | 6166 | 5760 | 5651 | 6974 | 7937 | 11290 | 9622 | 8461 | 5832 | 5758 | 6388 | 5789 | 5741 | 4527 |
|  |  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 389 | 441 | 384 | 381 | 392 | 393 | 380 | 354 |
|  |  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | Ghana | 0 | 110 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 123 | 235 | 156 | 146 | 73 | 69 | 121 | 51 | 103 | 140 | 44 | 106 | 121 | 117 | 531 | 372 | -- |
|  |  | Guinea Ecuatorial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Honduras (Obs. by Sta. Hele | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Japan | 782 | 2029 | 2170 | 3287 | 1908 | 4395 | 4613 | 2913 | 2620 | 4453 | 4019 | 6708 | 4459 | 2870 | 5256 | 4699 | 3619 | 2197 | 1494 | 1186 | 775 | 788 | 694 | 900 | 959 |
|  |  | Korea, Republic of | 303 | 399 | 311 | 486 | 409 | 625 | 917 | 369 | 666 | 1012 | 776 | 50 | 147 | 147 | 198 | 164 | 164 | 7 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 2 | -- |
|  |  | Liberia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 26 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | NEI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 856 | 439 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Namibia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 469 | 751 | 504 | 191 |
|  |  | Nigeria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 857 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Panama | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 8 |
|  |  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | Seychelles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | South Africa | 28 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 169 | 76 | 230 | 397 | 500 | 280 |
|  |  | Sta. Helena | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 | -- |
|  |  | Togo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 14 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  |  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 396 | 160 | 179 | 142 | 43 | 200 | 20 |
|  |  | U.S.S.R. | 70 | 154 | 40 | 26 | 46 | 158 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Uruguay | 0 | 0 | 92 | 575 | 1084 | 1927 | 1125 | 537 | 699 | 427 | 414 | 302 | 156 | 210 | 260 | 165 | 499 | 644 | 760 | 889 | 650 | 713 | 789 | 768 | -- |
| Discards | AT.S | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 10 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UNCL | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| Discards | UNCL | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |



SWO-ATL-Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of swordfish longline catches in 1997. The dashed line at $5^{\circ}$ is the assumed boundary between North and South management units.


SWO-ATL Fig 2. Reported catches of Atlantic swordfish (in t, including discards) for 1950-2003.


SWO-ATL-Fig 3. North Atlantic swordfish assessment results. Left panel: Estimated fishing mortality rate relative to $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}\left(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {MSY }}\right)$ for the period 1959-2001 (median with $80 \%$ confidence bounds based on bootstrapping are shown). Right panel: Estimated biomass relative to biomass at MSY ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) for the period 1959-2002, followed by 7 -year projected $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ under the constant catch scenarios listed. Upper and lower lines represent approximate $80 \%$ confidence ranges. For the catch projection period (2002-2009), the upper line is the upper $80 \%$ confidence bound for the $9 \mathrm{~K}(9,000 \mathrm{t})$ projection and the lower line is the $80 \%$ confidence bound for the $15 \mathrm{~K}(15,000 \mathrm{t})$ projection.


SWO-ATL Fig 4. Annual yield (t) for North Atlantic swordfish relative to the estimated MSY level.


SWO-ATL-Fig 5. Sequential population analysis estimates (numbers of fish) of North Atlantic recruitment (using input data from 1978-2000) with $80 \%$ bootstrap confidence limits (dashed lines).


SWO-ATL-Fig 6. Standardized biomass catch rates for South Atlantic swordfish presented at the 2002 meeting, showing contradictory patterns.


SWO-ATL-Fig 7. Estimated probability of the North Atlantic stock at or above the indicated Biomass ratio ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) by 2009 , if yield (landings and discards) were held constant at the tonnages indicated from 2003 to the end of the projection period.

### 8.10 SWO-MED - MEDITERRANEAN SWORDFISH

No new assessment was conducted in 2003 or 2004. The most recent assessment was conducted in 2002, making use of catch and effort information through 2001.

## SWO-MED-1. Biology

Swordfish is a cosmopolitan species found in the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea. Several recent genetic studies suggest that Mediterranean swordfish form a unique stock that is reproductively isolated from the Atlantic stocks. Several fisheries and biological studies suggest that there is limited movement from the Mediterranean to areas immediately adjacent in the North Atlantic. Genetic studies have confirmed this pattern.

Swordfish feed mainly in the pelagic zone and its prey is comprised mostly of cephalopods and pelagic fish species. Spawning occurs in the central Mediterranean Sea and around the Balearic Islands and probably in other locations. Swordfish are sequential spawners and in the Mediterranean, reproduction occurs during the spring-summer months. Young swordfish grow very rapidly, reaching more than 80 cm by the end of their first year of life. Females grow faster than males and reach a larger maximum size. Female swordfish may first reach sexual maturity in their third year of life at a length of about 125 cm , and half of all females are mature by the time they reach 140 cm . Age at first maturity is substantially younger than that assumed for females of the Atlantic stocks (age 5). Males may first reach maturity one year earlier. ;

## SWO-MED-2. Description of the fisheries

Mediterranean swordfish fisheries are characterized by high catch levels (around $14,000 \mathrm{t}$ annually in the last decade; Table 1), similar to those of the North Atlantic. The Mediterranean is a much smaller body of water compared to the North Atlantic. However, the potential reproductive area in the Mediterranean is probably relatively larger than that in the Atlantic. Further, the productivity of the Mediterranean Sea is thought to be very high.

Swordfish fishing has been carried out in the Mediterranean using harpoons and driftnets (drifting gillnets) at least since Roman times. Information on Italian catches for the period 1950 to 1970 were provided by FAO and substituted the zero catch reports that appeared in the ICCAT database. Currently, swordfish fishing is carried out all over the Mediterranean Sea. The biggest producers of swordfish in the Mediterranean Sea in the recent years (1997-2002) were Italy (42\%), Morocco (22\%), EC-Greece (12\%), and EC-Spain (9\%). Also, Algeria, Cyprus, Malta, Tunisia, and Turkey have fisheries targeting swordfish in the Mediterranean. Incidental catches of swordfish have also been reported by Albania, Croatia, EC-France, Japan, Libya, and EC-Portugal. The Committee recognized that there may be additional fleets taking swordfish in the Mediterranean, for example, Israel, Lebanon, Egypt, Monaco and Syria, but the data are not reported to ICCAT or FAO.

In recent years, the main fishing gears used are surface longline and gillnet. Most of the above-mentioned countries operate longline fisheries, and in 2001 large-scale driftnet fisheries were mostly limited to Italy ( $>4,000 \mathrm{t}$ ) and Morocco ( $>2,000 \mathrm{t}$ ). There are also other countries known to be fishing with driftnets that do not report their catches. Swordfish are also caught with harpoons and traps, but trap gears are not used for targeting swordfish. Since the beginning of 2002 driftnet fishing has been banned in EC countries and in 2003 ICCAT adopted a recommendation for a general ban of this gear in the Mediterranean.

Mediterranean total swordfish landings showed an upward trend from 1965-72, stabilized between 1973-1977, and then resumed an upward trend reaching a peak in 1988 (20,365 t; SWO-MED-Table 1, SWO-MEDFigure 1). The sharp increase between 1983 and 1988 may be partially attributed to improvement in the national systems for collecting catch statistics. Since 1988, the reported landings of swordfish in the Mediterranean Sea have declined, and since 1990, they have fluctuated between about 12,000 to $16,000 \mathrm{t}$. The catch reduction observed in 2002 was mostly attributed to the driftnet ban established in EC countries.

There is a high demand for swordfish for fresh consumption in most Mediterranean countries.

## SWO-MED-3. State of the stocks

Both production modeling and age-based VPA indicated the presence of a stable situation in terms of recruitment, and total and spawning biomass (SWO-MED-Figures 2, 3). These findings suggest that the current exploitation pattern and level of exploitation are sustainable, in the short-term. However, the lack of sufficient historical data did not allow the determination of stock status relative to MSY benchmarks. The VPA analysis suggested that recent $F$ estimates were higher than the calculated Y/R and SPR benchmarks.

The Committee noted the large catches of small size swordfish, i.e., less than 3 years old (many of which have probably never spawned) and the relatively low number of large individuals in the catches (SWO-MED-
Figure 4). Fish less than 3 years old represent $50-70 \%$ of the total yearly catches.

## SWO-MED-4. Outlook

Assessment results indicated the presence of a stable recruitment pattern and suggested that the current exploitation pattern and level of exploitation are sustainable, at least in the short-term. Average catch over the past decade has been about 14,000 t per year (SWO-MED-Table 1, SWO-MED-Figure 1). The Committee expects that annual catches of about this magnitude will keep the stock at about the present level, at least over the short-term.

## SWO-MED-5. Effects of current regulations

Although ICCAT has no specific regulatory measures for Mediterranean swordfish fisheries, several countries have imposed technical measures, such as closed areas and seasons, minimum landing size regulations and license control systems. The EC introduced a driftnet ban in 2002 and in 2003 ICCAT adopted a recommendation for a general ban of this gear in the Mediterranean [Rec. 03-04]. The Committee reviewed the various measures taken by member countries and noted the difficulties in implementing some of the management measures, particularly that of minimum size.

## SWO-MED-6. Management recommendations

Assessment results indicated the presence of a stable recruitment pattern and suggested that the current exploitation pattern and level of exploitation are sustainable, as long as the stock does not decline. Mostly because of the lack of historical data, the Committee cannot determine stock status relative to MSY benchmarks. Given the uncertainties in the assessment, the Committee recommends that the current levels of exploitation not be exceeded, under the current exploitation patterns.

The percentage of juveniles in the catches is relatively high (SWO-MED-Figure 4), as happens with several Mediterranean fisheries, and a reduction of their catches would improve the yield and spawning biomass per recruit. In the past, adoption of a minimum landing size regulation of 120 cm may have resulted in underreporting of juvenile catches and appeared not to be practical in all situations, considering the low sizeselectivity of the fishing gears used. Alternative methods for reducing juvenile catches, such as time and/or area closures, are mentioned in the 2001 SCRS Report (Section 15.4) and their applicability should be further investigated.

In addition, given the uncertainty of the location of the boundary between the Mediterranean and North Atlantic stocks, it is important to identify the biological origin of those catches reported at or near the boundary so that the resulting knowledge can be considered in the management of the North Atlantic and/or Mediterranean stocks. The Committee continues to recommend that the Commission ensure that reliable data be provided on catch effort and size for Mediterranean swordfish. Improvements to these basic inputs to the stock assessment are essential to improve future estimates.

|  | MEDITERRANEAN SWORDFISH SUMMARY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield | Not estimated |
| Current (2002) Yield ${ }^{1}$ | 12803 t |
| Current (2002) Replacement Yield | $\sim 15,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Relative Biomass $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2002} / \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{MSY}}\right)$ | Not estimated |
| Relative Fishing Mortality |  |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ | Not estimated |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{0.1}$ | 4.7 |
| $\mathrm{~F}_{2001} / \mathrm{F}_{30 \% \text { SPR }}$ | 3.3 |
| Management measures in effect | No ICCAT regulations; national closed areas, minimum |
|  | size and effort controls. |
|  |  |

SWO-MED Table 1. Estimated catches ( t ) of Mediterranean swordfish 1979-2003

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 5547 | 6579 | 6813 | 6343 | 6896 | 13666 | 15292 | 16765 | 18320 | 20365 | 17762 | 12441 | 11997 | 14709 | 13265 | 16082 | 12430 | 12053 | 14693 | 14369 | 13699 | 15570 | 15005 | 12803 | 13469 |
| Longline | 4877 | 5115 | 5418 | 5770 | 6313 | 6749 | 6493 | 7505 | 8007 | 9476 | 7065 | 7184 | 7393 | 7631 | 7377 | 8985 | 6084 | 5884 | 5389 | 6496 | 6097 | 6961 | 7179 | 7696 | 9288 |
| Other Surf. | 670 | 1464 | 1395 | 573 | 583 | 6917 | 8799 | 9260 | 10313 | 10889 | 10697 | 5257 | 4604 | 7078 | 5888 | 7097 | 6346 | 6169 | 9304 | 7873 | 7602 | 8609 | 7826 | 5107 | 4181 |
| Albania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Algerie | 521 | 650 | 760 | 870 | 877 | 884 | 890 | 847 | 1820 | 2621 | 590 | 712 | 562 | 395 | 562 | 600 | 807 | 807 | 807 | 825 | 709 | 816 | 1081 | 814 | -- |
| Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Croatia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EC.Cyprus | 98 | 72 | 78 | 103 | 28 | 63 | 71 | 154 | 84 | 121 | 139 | 173 | 162 | 56 | 116 | 159 | 89 | 40 | 51 | 61 | 92 | 82 | 135 | 104 | 47 |
| EC.España | 800 | 750 | 1120 | 900 | 1322 | 1245 | 1227 | 1337 | 1134 | 1762 | 1337 | 1523 | 1171 | 822 | 1358 | 1503 | 1379 | 1186 | 1264 | 1443 | 906 | 1436 | 1484 | 1498 | 306 |
| EC.France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | * |
| EC.Greece | 0 | 0 | 91 | 773 | 772 | 1081 | 1036 | 1714 | 1303 | 1008 | 1120 | 1344 | 1904 | 1456 | 1568 | 2520 | 974 | 1237 | 750 | 1650 | 1520 | 1960 | 1730 | 1680 | 1420 |
| EC.Italy | 3930 | 4143 | 3823 | 2939 | 3026 | 9360 | 10863 | 11413 | 12325 | 13010 | 13009 | 5524 | 4789 | 7595 | 6330 | 7765 | 6725 | 5286 | 6104 | 6104 | 6312 | 7515 | 6388 | 3539 | 8395 |
| EC.Malta | 151 | 222 | 192 | 177 | 59 | 94 | 172 | 144 | 163 | 233 | 122 | 135 | 129 | 85 | 91 | 47 | 72 | 72 | 100 | 153 | 187 | 175 | 102 | 257 | * |
| EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 115 | 8 | 1 |
| Japan | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 19 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Libya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | -- |
| Maroc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 39 | 38 | 92 | 40 | 62 | 97 | 1249 | 1706 | 2692 | 2589 | 2654 | 1696 | 2734 | 4900 | 3228 | 3238 | 2708 | 3026 | 3379 | 3300 |
| NEI_2 | 0 | 728 | 672 | 517 | 532 | 771 | 730 | 767 | 828 | 875 | 979 | 1360 | 1292 | 1292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Tunisie | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 61 | 64 | 63 | 80 | 159 | 176 | 181 | 178 | 354 | 298 | 378 | 352 | 346 | 414 | 468 | 483 | 567 | 1138 | * |
| Turkey | 44 | 13 | 70 | 40 | 216 | 95 | 190 | 226 | 557 | 589 | 209 | 243 | 100 | 136 | 292 | 533 | 306 | 320 | 350 | 450 | 230 | 373 | 360 | 360 | * |

The following catches were later reported for 2003: EC-France $=32 \mathrm{t}$; EC-Malta $=163 \mathrm{t}$; Tunisia $=288 \mathrm{t}$; Turkey $=350 \mathrm{t}$.
Dashes indicate that no reports were received. Also, the 2003 data are provisional and are likely to increase.


SWO-MED-Fig. 1. Cumulative estimates of swordfish catches ( t ) in the Mediterranean by major gear type, 1950-2002.


SWO-MED-Fig. 2. Fit of the non-equilibrium production model to catch and effort since 1987. The predicted CPUE indicates a relatively stable population biomass over the time-period from 19872001.


SWO-MED-Fig. 3. Total and spawning biomass estimates by year.


SWO-MED-Fig. 4. Age distribution of swordfish catches in the Mediterranean by year (1985-2001).

### 8.11 SBF - SOUTHERN BLUEFIN TUNA

A stock assessment and review of fisheries indicators was conducted by the CCSBT Stock Assessment Group during 2004, the results of which are summarized below. This report also updates the description of fisheries and state of stock, and provides fishery and catch information

## 1. Biology

Southern bluefin tuna (Thunnus maccoyii) are found throughout the southern hemisphere, mainly in waters between $30^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, but only rarely in the eastern Pacific. The only known breeding area is in the Indian Ocean, southeast of Java, Indonesia. Spawning takes place from September to April in warm waters south of Java and juvenile southern bluefin tuna migrate south down the west coast of Australia. During the summer months (December-April), they tend to congregate near the surface in the coastal waters off the southern coast of Australia and spend their winters in deeper, temperate oceanic waters. Results from recaptured conventional and archival tags show that young southern bluefin tuna migrate seasonally between the south coast of Australia and the central Indian Ocean. After age 5, southern bluefin tuna are seldom found in nearshore surface waters, and extend their distribution over the southern circumpolar area throughout the Pacific, Indian and Atlantic Oceans.

Southern bluefin tuna can attain a length of over 2 m and a weight of over 200 kg . Direct ageing using otoliths indicates that a significant number of fish bigger than 160 cm are older than 25 years, and the maximum age obtained from otolith readings has been 42 years. Analysis of tag returns and otoliths indicate that, in comparison with the 1960s, the growth rate has increased since about 1980 as the stock has been reduced. There is some uncertainty about the size and age when southern bluefin tuna mature, but available data indicate that they do not mature younger than 8 years ( 155 cm fork length). Southern bluefin tuna exhibit age-specific natural mortality, with M being higher for young fish and lower for old fish.

Given that southern bluefin tuna have only one known spawning ground, and that no morphological differences have been found between fish from different areas, southern bluefin tuna are considered to constitute a single stock for management purposes.

## 2. Description of fisheries

Historically, the southern bluefin tuna stock has been exploited by Australian and Japanese fisheries for more than 50 years, with total catches peaking at $81,605 \mathrm{t}$ in 1961 (SBF-Figure 1). The current (2003) total catch is about $14,024 \mathrm{t}$ (preliminary data), continuing a declining trend in total catches from a recent peak of $19,529 \mathrm{t}$ in $1999,16,026 \mathrm{t}$ in 2001 and $15,212 \mathrm{t}$ in 2002. Over the period 1952-2003, $79 \%$ of the catch has been made by longline and $21 \%$ using surface gears, primarily purse seine and pole and line (SBF-Figure 1). The proportion of the catch made by the surface fishery peaked at $50 \%$ in 1982, dropped to $11-12 \%$ in 1992 and 1993 and increased again to average $30 \%$ since 1996. (SBF-Table 1 and SBF-Figure 1). The Japanese longline fishery (taking older fish) recorded its peak catch of $77,927 \mathrm{t}$ in 1961 and the Australian surface fishery catches of young fish peaked at 21,501 t in 1982 (SBF-Figure 3). New Zealand, Fishing Entity of Chinese Taipei and Indonesia have also exploited southern bluefin tuna since the 1970s-1980s, and Korea started a fishery in 1991.
$73 \%$ of the southern bluefin tuna catch has been made in the Indian Ocean, $21 \%$ in the Pacific Ocean and $6 \%$ in the Atlantic Ocean (SBF-Figure 2). The Atlantic Ocean catch has varied widely between 400 and $8,200 \mathrm{t}$ since 1968 (SBF-Table 1 and SBF-Figure 2), averaging about 1,000 t over the past two decades, and reflecting shifts in longline effort between the Atlantic and Indian Oceans. Fishing in the Atlantic occurs primarily off the southern tip of South Africa (SBF-Figure 4).

## 3. Summary of stock status

SBF-Figure 5 depicts trends in Japanese longline catch rates for juvenile, maturing and mature southern bluefin tuna. SBF-Figure 6 shows changes in the size composition of Japanese longline catches from 2000 to 2004.

Southern bluefin tuna assessments were updated at the $5^{\text {th }}$ Meeting of the CCSBT Stock Assessment Group in Korea in 2004. Current assessments suggest the southern bluefin tuna spawning biomass is at a low fraction of
its original biomass, and well below the 1980 biomass. The stock is estimated to be well below the level that produces maximum sustainable yield. Rebuilding the spawning stock biomass would almost certainly increase sustainable yield and provide security against unforeseen environmental events.
Recruitments in the last decade are estimated to be well below the levels over the period 1950-1980. Assessments estimate stable recruitment in the 1990s but very low recruitments in 1999 or 2000. Analyses of fishery indicators provide evidence of a markedly lower recruitment from 1999-2001. Indicators also show that the Indonesian longline fishery on spawning fish catches fewer older individuals (SBF-Figure 7). One plausible interpretation is that the spawning stock has declined in average age and may have declined significantly in abundance. This is in contrast to assessment model results which indicate that the spawning stock has been largely stable over the last decade and increased slightly over the last four years.

Projections with $15,000 \mathrm{t}$ annual catch provide highly variable results depending upon assessment assumptions and suggest the stock is more likely to decline with the CCSBT MP Conditioning Model (an integrated statistical assessment model used in testing management procedures, see SBF-Figure 8), while ADAPT shows roughly equal probability of decline or increase. Given all the evidence, the probability of further stock decline under current catch levels is now judged to be greater than in 2001, when an increase or decline under current catches were considered equally likely (SBF-Figure 9).

## 4. Current management measures

Southern bluefin tuna have been managed by means of quota limits agreed at tri-partite meetings between Australia, Japan and New Zealand from 1985 through to the establishment of the CCSBT in 1994. The global quota was reduced several times after the initial level of $38,650 t$ for the 1984-1985 season. The combined quota for these three countries was maintained at $11,750 \mathrm{t}$ from the 1989-1990 through to 2002-2003. Following the increase in membership of the CCSBT (Korea and the Fishing Entity of Chinese Taipei joined in 2001 and 2002, respectively), the CCSBT agreed to the following national catch limits for 2003-2004:

| Japan | $6,065 \mathrm{t}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Australia | $5,265 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Republic of Korea | $1,140 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Fishing Entity of Chinese Taipei | $1,140 \mathrm{t}$ |
| New Zealand | 420 t |
| Total | $14,030 \mathrm{t}$ |

An additional catch limit of 900 t has also been implemented for cooperating non-members, including 50 t for the Philippines (which was recently admitted as a cooperating non-member) and 800 t for Indonesia.

The CCSBT has also implemented a Trade Information Scheme (TIS) for southern bluefin tuna. This requires all members of the CCSBT to ensure that all imports of southern bluefin tuna are be accompanied by a completed CCSBT TIS Document, endorsed by an authorized competent authority in the exporting country, and including details of the name of fishing vessel, gear type, area of catch, dates, etc. Shipments not accompanied by this form must be denied entry by the member countries. Completed forms are lodged with the CCSBT Secretariat and are used to maintain a database for monitoring catches and trade. As markets for southern bluefin tuna are now developing outside CCSBT member countries, the TIS scheme was recently amended to require the document to be issued for all exports, and to include the country of destination,

At its annual meeting in October 2003, the CCSBT agreed to establish a list of vessels over 24 meters in length which are approved to fish for southern bluefin tuna, to be completed by 1 July 2004. The list will include vessels from CCSBT members and cooperating non-members. Members and cooperating non-members are required to refuse the import of southern bluefin tuna caught by large-scale fishing vessels not on the list.

|  | SOUTHERN BLUEFIN TUNA SUMMARY <br> (global stock) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maximum Sustainable Yield Not estimated |  |
| Current (2003) Yield | $14,024 \mathrm{t}$ (preliminary) |
| Current Replacement Yield | Less than $16,000 \mathrm{t}$ |
| Relative Biomass | $\mathrm{SSB}_{2004} / \mathrm{SSB}_{1980} \quad 0.14-0.59$ |
|  | $\mathrm{SSB}_{2004} / \mathrm{SSB}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad 0.03-0.14$ |
| Current Management Measures | Global quota of $14,030 \mathrm{t}$ (Australia, Chinese Taipei, Korea, Japan, New Zealand). |
|  |  |
|  |  |

SBT-Table 1. Atlantic Ocean, Indian Ocean, Pacific Ocean and global southern bluefin tuna catch ( t ) by gear, area and flag.

|  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATLANTIC TOTAL | 8231 | 3086 | 2400 | 1114 | 604 | 2082 | 1828 | 650 | 1330 | 602 | 513 | 1004 | 1313 | 300 | 1612 | 483 | 1845 | 1040 | 278 | 738 | 819 | 1470 | 640 | 1041 | 2078 |
| - CATCH BY GEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longline | 8231 | 3086 | 2400 | 1114 | 604 | 2082 | 1828 | 650 | 1330 | 602 | 513 | 1004 | 1313 | 300 | 1612 | 483 | 1845 | 1040 | 278 | 738 | 819 | 1470 | 640 | 1041 | 2078 |
| - CATCH BY FLAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japan | 8231 | 3086 | 2365 | 1104 | 573 | 2082 | 1733 | 434 | 1228 | 573 | 493 | 987 | 1080 | 253 | 1425 | 420 | 1237 | 1015 | 189 | 649 | 689 | 1203 | 327 | 909 | 1992 |
| Korea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 100 | 242 | 90 | 116 | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 30 | 1 | 95 | 216 | 102 | 28 | 19 | 17 | 233 | 46 | 108 | 56 | 584 | 24 | 89 | 42 | 30 | 24 | 223 | 16 | 86 |
| INDIAN TOTAL | 21206 | 28463 | 25299 | 34347 | 38315 | 32492 | 29520 | 25735 | 22379 | 21354 | 15020 | 10400 | 10109 | 11329 | 9631 | 10430 | 9264 | 13812 | 14160 | 15137 | 16405 | 12084 | 13072 | 11571 | 9717 |
| - CATCH BY GEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longline | 13649 | 20602 | 17355 | 15139 | 21522 | 19192 | 16864 | 13165 | 11489 | 10530 | 9281 | 5781 | 7146 | 9664 | 8077 | 8319 | 6629 | 9064 | 9343 | 10942 | 11059 | 6953 | 8304 | 6887 | 3931 |
| Purse Seine | 111 | 107 | 420 | 5489 | 5083 | 4339 | 5179 | 6342 | 5411 | 2820 | 1626 | 2511 | 1034 | 22 | 536 | 1269 | 1840 | 3099 | 2991 | 3555 | 5325 | 5132 | 4767 | 4683 | 5787 |
| Pole and Line | 7446 | 7754 | 7524 | 13708 | 11698 | 8960 | 7410 | 6147 | 5393 | 7770 | 3794 | 1803 | 1823 | 1639 | 1018 | 841 | 795 | 1649 | 1826 | 640 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gill Net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 67 | 81 | 87 | 234 | 319 | 305 | 107 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - CATCH BY FLAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia | 7557 | 7860 | 7944 | 19198 | 16781 | 13299 | 12589 | 12489 | 10805 | 10590 | 5438 | 4335 | 3876 | 4568 | 4513 | 4246 | 3362 | 4893 | 4910 | 4353 | 5448 | 5147 | 4792 | 4693 | 5808 |
| Japan | 13587 | 20526 | 17284 | 14966 | 21391 | 18935 | 16780 | 12938 | 10946 | 9754 | 7536 | 4383 | 4137 | 4238 | 2869 | 4132 | 3684 | 4248 | 4500 | 5838 | 5126 | 3370 | 4453 | 3153 | 1949 |
| Korea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 41 | 12 | 130 | 341 | 1320 | 1424 | 1749 | 1361 | 893 | 754 | 630 | 254 |
| Chinese Taipei | 53 | 64 | 56 | 173 | 131 | 243 | 146 | 298 | 608 | 828 | 1376 | 1160 | 1227 | 1176 | 850 | 963 | 848 | 1442 | 783 | 1397 | 1483 | 1424 | 1357 | 1121 | 1041 |
| Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 80 | 17 | 43 | 79 | 65 |
| Indonesia | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 180 | 568 | 517 | 759 | 1232 | 1370 | 904 | 829 | 1614 | 2210 | 1324 | 2504 | 1203 | 1632 | 1691 | 555 |
| Other | 4 | 7 | 14 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 103 | 4 | 97 | 73 | 17 | 54 | 201 | 295 | 333 | 471 | 403 | 31 | 41 | 203 | 45 |
| PACIFIC TOTAL | 9236 | 13506 | 17405 | 7327 | 3963 | 2516 | 1977 | 1934 | 1866 | 1189 | 2310 | 2466 | 2269 | 2588 | 3101 | 2241 | 2528 | 1504 | 1638 | 1901 | 2304 | 1917 | 2314 | 2601 | 2229 |
| - CATCH BY GEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longline | 6010 | 10041 | 8333 | 4719 | 2916 | 2312 | 1883 | 1810 | 1791 | 1095 | 2157 | 2183 | 2233 | 2503 | 3082 | 2234 | 2505 | 1460 | 1579 | 1857 | 2300 | 1917 | 2314 | 2601 | 2228 |
| Purse Seine | 1986 | 1929 | 6332 | 1342 | 790 | 105 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pole and Line | 1240 | 1405 | 2567 | 961 | 125 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Troll | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 31 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Handline | 0 | 130 | 173 | 305 | 132 | 93 | 94 | 82 | 59 | 94 | 109 | 263 | 35 | 48 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - CATCH BY FLAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Australia | 3226 | 3335 | 8899 | 2303 | 914 | 112 | 0 | 42 | 16 | 1 | 680 | 251 | 613 | 680 | 860 | 454 | 1145 | 236 | 406 | 543 | 104 | 110 | 61 | 19 | 14 |
| Japan | 6010 | 10041 | 8332 | 4719 | 2916 | 2312 | 1883 | 1810 | 1791 | 1095 | 1193 | 1686 | 1260 | 1630 | 2024 | 1510 | 946 | 1129 | 898 | 1013 | 1740 | 1427 | 1894 | 2130 | 1821 |
| New Zealand | 0 | 130 | 173 | 305 | 132 | 93 | 94 | 82 | 59 | 94 | 437 | 529 | 164 | 279 | 217 | 277 | 436 | 139 | 334 | 337 | 461 | 380 | 358 | 450 | 389 |
| Korea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Philippines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| GLOBAL TOTAL | 38673 | 45054 | 45104 | 42788 | 42881 | 37090 | 33325 | 28319 | 25575 | 23145 | 17843 | 13870 | 13691 | 14217 | 14344 | 13154 | 13637 | 16356 | 16076 | 17776 | 19529 | 15472 | 16026 | 15212 | 14024 |
| - CATCH BY GEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longline | 27890 | 33729 | 28088 | 20971 | 25042 | 23586 | 20575 | 15625 | 14609 | 12227 | 11950 | 8968 | 10692 | 12467 | 12770 | 11036 | 10979 | 11564 | 11200 | 13537 | 14177 | 10339 | 11259 | 10528 | 8237 |
| Purse Seine | 2097 | 2036 | 6752 | 6831 | 5872 | 4444 | 5179 | 6376 | 5411 | 2820 | 1626 | 2511 | 1034 | 22 | 536 | 1269 | 1840 | 3121 | 2998 | 3584 | 5325 | 5132 | 4767 | 4683 | 5787 |
| Pole and Line | 8686 | 9159 | 10091 | 14670 | 11823 | 8967 | 7410 | 6155 | 5409 | 7770 | 3807 | 1803 | 1823 | 1673 | 1018 | 844 | 795 | 1659 | 1843 | 640 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Troll | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 21 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 31 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Handline | 0 | 130 | 173 | 305 | 132 | 93 | 94 | 82 | 59 | 94 | 109 | 263 | 35 | 48 | 20 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gill Net | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 67 | 81 | 87 | 234 | 319 | 305 | 107 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Catches for 2002 and 2003 are preliminary. Catches for Indonesia, the "other" flags, and for gears not listed (e.g. minor line) have been assigned to the longline category.
Catches have been assigned to the Indian Ocean where location information was not available. This includes catches from Indonesia, Other, Philippines (pre-2000 only), Chinese Taipei (pre-1981 only).
Source: CCSBT Database and Report of the Ninth Meeting of the CCSBT Scientific Committee


SBF-Figure 1. Global southern bluefin tuna catches by fishing gear ( t ), 1952 to 2003.


SBF-Figure 2. Southern bluefin tuna catches by ocean (t), 1952 to 2003.


SBF-Figure 3. Total annual southern bluefin tuna catch (t) by flag, 1952-2003.


SBF-Figure 4. Geographic distribution of average annual southern bluefin tuna catches (t) by CCSBT members from 1983 to 2003 per $5^{\circ}$ block by oceanic region. Block catches of less than 0.25 tons are not shown. Oceanic region divisions used in dividing the data for Figure 2 are shown.




SBF-Figure 5. Trends in nominal catch rates of juvenile (age 4-7), maturing (age 8-11) and mature (age $12+$ ) SBT (numbers per 1000 hooks) caught by Japanese longliners operating in CCSBT statistical areas 4-9 in months 4-9.


SBF-Figure 6. Changes in size composition of nominal CPUE in June in CCSBT Statistical area 4 from Japanese Real Time Monitoring Program data from 2000-2005.


SBF-Figure 7. Length frequency (in 2 cm intervals) of Indonesian SBT catches during the spawning season (July 1 of the previous year to June 30 of the given year).


SBF-Figure 8. SBT biomass trends ( $5^{\text {th }}$, Median and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles) from one of the assessments presented at the CCSBT $5^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Group meeting (based on the CCSBT MP Conditioning Model), expressed relative to 1988 (indicated by the dashed line).


SBF-Figure 9. SBT spawner biomass trajectories from another of the assessments presented at the CCSBT $5^{\text {th }}$ Stock Assessment Group meeting (ADAPT VPA) estimates of SSB for different assessment year (2004 and 2001) and plus group options (C1, C2, C4, and C5). (2004 results with markers and 2001 results without markers).

### 8.12 SMT - SMALL TUNAS

## SMT-1. Biology

Small tunas include the following species:

- Blackfin tuna (Thunnus atlanticus) - BLF
- Bullet tuna (Auxis rochei) - BLT
- Bonito (Sarda sarda) - BON
- Plain bonito (Orcynopsis unicolor) - BOP
- Serra Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus brasiliensis) - BRS
- Cero (Scomberomorus regalis) - CER
- Frigate tuna (Auxis thazard) - FRI
- King mackerel (Scomberomorus cavalla) - KGM
- Scomberomorus unclassified (Scomberomorus spp.) - KGX
- Atlantic black skipjack (Euthynnus alletteratus) - LTA
- West African Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus tritor) - MAW
- Atlantic Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) - SSM
- Wahoo (Acanthocybium solandri) - WAH

Very little is currently known about the biology of small tunas. In fact, scientific studies on these species have been rarely undertaken. This was largely because many of these species are considered to have little economic importance to the Atlantic tuna fleets, and because of difficulties in sampling landings from artisanal fisheries, which constitute a high proportion of the fisheries exploiting small tuna resources. The exceptions are some stocks of Spanish and king mackerel, such as those found in U.S. and Brazilian waters. The large industrial fleets often discard small tuna catches at sea or sell them in local markets, especially in Africa. The amount caught is rarely reported in logbooks. Nevertheless, in 2004 some new information has been presented to the GFCM-ICCAT and Small tuna species group of ICCAT.

These species are widely distributed in the tropical and subtropical waters of the Atlantic Ocean, the Mediterranean Sea, and the Black Sea. They are often found in large schools with other small sized tunas or related species in coastal and offshore waters. They have a varied diet with a preference for small pelagics (e.g., clupeids, mullets, carangids and ammodytes), crustaceans, mollusks and cephalopods. The reproduction period varies according to species and spawning generally takes place near the coast, where the waters are warm. The growth rate currently estimated for these species is very rapid for the first two or three years, and then slows as these species reach size-at-first maturity.

Recent studies indicate that some species of small tunas (e.g., Auxis spp.), could have an important role in large yellowfin diet. This was observed in the Pacific Ocean and also in Atlantic tropical waters, where large quantities of frigate tuna were found in the stomach contents of large yellowfin.

A recent report on bonito (Sarda sarda) caught in Turkish waters presents the fork length composition and the length-weight relationship of this species, caught by purse seines during the migration from the Black Sea to the Mediterranean Sea.

Some new information about bonito catch composition has been presented for the Tyrrhenian Sea and Straits of Sicily.

Regarding reproduction, new coastal spawning areas have been described in the southwestern Mediterranean. Data suggested a spawning peak in June. It has been stated that bonito is a multiple spawner with an indeterminate fecundity. Furthermore, new estimates of annual, batch and relative fecundity were presented. In the eastern tropical Atlantic, the size-at-first-maturity is about 38 cm FL for Atlantic bonito.

Some preliminary information on age and growth of juvenile bonito was reported.
For Atlantic Black Skipjack (Euthynnus alletteratus), new information on size distribution and length-weight relationship from Turkish waters and northern coast of Cyprus was reported. Some preliminary information on age and growth of juvenile of black skipjack was also presented. Data suggested a spawning peak in July. In the eastern tropical Atlantic, the size-at-first-maturity is about 42 cm FL for this species.

Regarding Bullet tuna (A. rochei) in South Western Mediterranean information was submitted on biological parameters such as growth, gonadosomatic index, sex-ratio-by-size, length-weight relationships and weight
conversion factors. More recent information on reproduction of bullet tuna suggests that this species is a multiple spawner, spawning along coastal areas in early summer. In the eastern tropical Atlantic, the size-at-first-maturity is about 30 cm FL for Auxis spp.

Recent studies on blackfin tuna (Thunnus atlanticus) caught off northeastern Brazil report length-weight and TL-FL relationships, sex ratio and size-at-first maturity. The population is dominated by males, which showed larger average sizes than the females. The females reach first maturity at a total length of 51 cm .

In addition, new length to weight conversions for Wahoo (Acanthocybium solandri) in the north West Atlantic were presented.

At present no new information is available for the rest of species of this group.

## SMT-2. Description of the fisheries

Small tunas are exploited mainly by coastal fisheries and often by artisanal fisheries, although substantial catches are also made, either as target species or as by-catch, by purse seiners, mid-water trawlers (i.e., pelagic fisheries of West Africa-Mauritania), handlines and small scale gillnets. Unknown quantities of small tuna also comprise the incidental catches of some longline fisheries. Some U.S. sport fisheries target Spanish and king mackerels on a seasonal basis.

Historical landings of small tunas for the period 1978 to 2002 are shown in SMT-Table 1. This table does not include species reported as "mixed" or "unidentified", as was the case in some previous years as these categories include large tuna species. There are over ten species of small tunas, but only five of these account for $86 \%$ of the total reported catch by weight each year. These five species are: Atlantic bonito (Sarda sarda), frigate tuna (Auxis thazard which may include some catches of Auxis rochei), Atlantic black skipjack (Euthynnus alletteratus), king mackerel (Scomberomorus cavalla), and Atlantic Spanish mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus) (SMT-Figure 2). In 1980, there was a marked increase in reported landings compared to previous years, reaching a peak at about 139,412 t in 1988 (SMT-Figure 1). Landings reported for the period 1989-1995 decreased to about $87,941 \mathrm{t}$, and then an oscillation in the values in the following years up to 2001 is observed, when the catch reached $84,093 \mathrm{t}$. This decrease seems to be related to unreported catches, as these species usually comprise part of the by-catch, and are often discarded, and do not reflect the real catch.

A preliminary estimate for the total nominal landings of small tunas in 2002 is $90,880 \mathrm{t}$. The Committee noted the relative importance of small tuna fisheries in the Mediterranean Sea, which account for $33 \%$ of the total reported catch in the period 1978-2002.

In order to improve statistics, cooperation with FAO has continued and FAO figures continue to be incorporated into the ICCAT database for small tuna species where no report is received by ICCAT.

Since 1991, tropical purse seiners operating around artificial flotsam (fish aggregating devices) may have led to an increase in fishing mortality of small tropical tuna species. These species usually comprise part of the bycatch, sometimes discarded, but the majority of these catches are now being monitored and a species composition breakdown has now become available (SMT-Figure 3; SMT-Figure 4).

Despite recent improvements in statistical reporting by some countries, the Committee also noted that uncertainties remain regarding the accuracy and completeness of reported landings in all areas, including the Mediterranean. There is a general lack of information on the mortality of these species as by-catch exacerbated by confusion regarding species identification.

## SMT-3. State of the stocks

There is little information available to determine the stock structure of many small tunas species. The Committee suggests that countries be requested to submit all available data to ICCAT as soon as it is possible, in order to be used in future Committee meetings.

Age-structured stock assessments of Spanish mackerel and king mackerel are carried out for the coastal areas of the southeastern United States and the Gulf of Mexico. These assessments indicated that the stocks of Atlantic Spanish mackerel and king mackerel in the Gulf of Mexico were over-exploited. Reductions in fishing mortality were considered necessary, and hence a number of regulations (commercial trip limits, seasonal and
area quotas, and recreational bag limits) have been implemented in order to allow the stocks to recover to levels that could provide high average long-term yields and to provide adequate safeguards against recruitment failure. Improvement in stock status has been observed in the Gulf of Mexico Spanish mackerel and king mackerel and these stocks are no longer considered over-fished mainly due to the management actions taken.

The United States has recently developed a Fishery Management Plan for dolphin fish and wahoo fisheries operating in the U.S. EEZ with the aim of maintaining these stocks at or above MSY levels and assessments of these stocks will take place in the future.

Current information does not generally allow for an evaluation of stock status by the Committee for most of the species. Most stocks, however, probably do not have an ocean-wide distribution. For this reason, the majority of the stocks can be managed at the regional or sub-regional level.

## SMT-4. Outlook

The results of an ICCAT questionnaire circulated in 1996 indicate that small tuna fisheries are very diverse and complex, involving both artisanal and industrial fisheries using a variety of gears, as well as different types and sizes of vessels. The results also indicated that data collection and research, including size sampling, age and growth research, maturity studies and tagging, were being conducted by several countries but the results of such studies are often not reported to ICCAT.

A CARICOM scientific meeting, held in June 2003, examined data on Wahoo collected from sampling of the commercial fisheries in several CARICOM countries in the Eastern Caribbean, and also data on Spanish mackerel collected from the commercial fisheries in Trinidad and Tobago. The report of these investigations will be made available to ICCAT in the near future.

Catch and effort statistics for small tunas remain incomplete for many of the coastal and industrial fishing countries. There is also a general lack of available biological information needed to assess the stocks of most of these species. On the other hand, many of these species are of importance to coastal fishermen, especially to some developing countries, both economically and as a source of protein. The Committee therefore reiterates its previous recommendation that studies should be conducted to determine the state of these stocks and the best way to manage them. Such studies are probably best carried out at the local or sub-regional level.

In light of this, a research proposal, aimed at improving the data collection and understanding of the biology of small tuna in the Mediterranean region, was presented at the GFCM-ICCAT meeting in 2004. The GFCM meeting endorsed the aims of the proposal, particularly those intended to address the research gaps currently existing for the Mediterranean area. The GFCM meeting also indicated the need to engage the participation of other interested bodies such as ICCAT and FAO. Given the growing importance of these fisheries to countries within the Mediterranean, the GFCM considered it timely to present the proposal to potential sponsors.

## SMT-5. Effects of current regulations

There are no ICCAT regulations in effect for these small tuna species.

## SMT-6. Management recommendations

No recommendations were presented due to the lack of data and analyses.

|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLF Total <br> T. atlanticus |  | 1205 | 1175 | 1973 | 1941 | 1738 | 1908 | 1403 | 2822 | 3462 | 3322 | 2834 | 3888 | 4202 | 4353 | 3535 | 2719 | 4051 | 4488 | 3027 | 3238 | 3185 | 2358 | 4034 | 4756 | 1297 |
|  | Brazil | 173 | 181 | 85 | 89 | 57 | 203 | 133 | 172 | 254 | 229 | 120 | 335 | 130 | 49 | 22 | 38 | 153 | 649 | 418 | 55 | 55 | 38 | 149 | 1669 | 1 |
|  | Cuba | 0 | 0 | 721 | 622 | 558 | 487 | 157 | 486 | 634 | 332 | 318 | 487 | 318 | 196 | 54 | 223 | 156 | 287 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 19 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 83 | 54 | 78 | 42 |
|  | Dominican Republic | 105 | 125 | 124 | 144 | 144 | 106 | 90 | 123 | 199 | 4 | 564 | 520 | 536 | 110 | 133 | 239 | 892 | 892 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 307 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.France | 770 | 740 | 761 | 842 | 809 | 821 | 755 | 729 | 669 | 816 | 855 | 865 | 1210 | 1170 | 1140 | 1330 | 1370 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 1040 | 0 |
|  | Grenada | 95 | 68 | 84 | 143 | 102 | 232 | 193 | 256 | 141 | 220 | 134 | 293 | 195 | 146 | 253 | 189 | 123 | 164 | 126 | 233 | 94 | 164 | 223 | 255 | 335 |
|  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Liberia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 |
|  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -- |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 60 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 60 | 60 | 65 | 60 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 0 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 15 | 38 | 11 | 7 | 53 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 82 | 47 | 35 | 40 | 100 | 41 | 45 | 108 | 96 | 169 |
|  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 139 | 41 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 32 | 44 | 154 | 87 | 81 | 112 | 127 | 508 | 492 | 582 | 447 | 547 | 707 | 617 | 326 | 474 | 334 | 413 |
|  | UK.Bermuda | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 11 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
|  | Venezuela | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 947 | 1448 | 1240 | 652 | 1150 | 1598 | 2148 | 1224 | 21 | 624 | 758 | 498 | 1034 | 1192 | 589 | 1902 | 1210 | 319 |
| BLT Total <br> A. rochei |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 357 | 723 | 3634 | 2206 | 814 | 394 | 177 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 579 | 1230 | 1577 | 950 | 359 |
|  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 263 | 494 | 208 | 166 | 231 |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2171 | 814 | 70 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 1053 | 468 | 128 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Turkey* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 324 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 316 | 316 | 316 | * |
|  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | U.S.S.R. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 723 | 3634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data for Turkey are uncertain and may be subject to change in the future |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { BON Total } \\ \text { S. sarda } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 31115 | 38927 | 41106 | 42386 | 21907 | 24905 | 21320 | 29712 | 46382 | 29721 | 28591 | 33561 | 21816 | 30036 | 20356 | 22181 | 24585 | 24511 | 38293 | 34266 | 26995 | 27186 | 30885 | 4257 |
|  | ATL | 6485 | 12568 | 10760 | 12169 | 6840 | 6849 | 6946 | 5892 | 7395 | 22354 | 17766 | 6844 | 8306 | 6914 | 4587 | 5823 | 5652 | 7390 | 10433 | 8563 | 6096 | 5023 | 4949 | 7995 | 1526 |
|  | MEDI | 13486 | 18547 | 28167 | 28937 | 35546 | 15058 | 17959 | 15428 | 22317 | 24028 | 11955 | 21747 | 25255 | 14902 | 25449 | 14533 | 16529 | 17195 | 14078 | 29730 | 28170 | 21972 | 22236 | 22890 | 2731 |
| ATL | Angola | 251 | 377 | 196 | 253 | 124 | 225 | 120 | 101 | 144 | 180 | 168 | 128 | 102 | 4 | 49 | 20 | 9 | 39 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 118 | 118 | 118 | -- |
|  | Argentina | 1288 | 2600 | 846 | 1775 | 310 | 2058 | 1399 | 699 | 1607 | 2794 | 1327 | 1207 | 1794 | 1559 | 434 | 4 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Benin | 13 | 19 | 32 | 36 | 16 | 25 | 30 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 179 | 523 | 345 | 214 | 273 | 226 | 71 | 86 | 142 | 142 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Bulgaria | 0 | 75 | 8 | 23 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 173 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | EC.España | 717 | 220 | 589 | 434 | 414 | 173 | 398 | 145 | 41 | 91 | 57 | 18 | 8 | 39 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 23 |
|  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 668 | 859 | 187 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.France | 500 | 508 | 502 | 587 | 547 | 569 | 492 | 431 | 331 | 395 | 427 | 430 | 820 | 770 | 1052 | 990 | 990 | 610 | 610 | 610 | 24 | 32 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
|  | EC.Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
|  | EC.Greece | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1191 | 1164 | 221 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 301 | 887 | 318 | 0 | 416 | 396 | 639 | -- |
|  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1041 | 762 | 162 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 793 | -- |
|  | EC.Poland | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.Portugal | 6 | 13 | 31 | 55 | 86 | 56 | 50 | 168 | 371 | 377 | 80 | 202 | 315 | 133 | 145 | 56 | 78 | 83 | 49 | 98 | 98 | 162 | 47 | 61 | 40 |
|  | EC.United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | -- |
|  | Georgia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Germany Democratic Rep. | 0 | 288 | 440 | 146 | 274 | 26 | 40 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ghana | 0 | 77 | 5 | 71 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 943 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Grenada | 53 | 52 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
|  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Maroc | 196 | 312 | 477 | 535 | 561 | 310 | 268 | 251 | 241 | 589 | 566 | 492 | 794 | 1068 | 1246 | 584 | 699 | 894 | 1259 | 1557 | 1390 | 2163 | 1700 | 2019 | 928 |
|  | Mexico | 174 | 271 | 408 | 396 | 567 | 744 | 212 | 241 | 391 | 356 | 338 | 215 | 200 | 657 | 779 | 674 | 1144 | 1312 | 1312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Panama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Rumania | 0 | 64 | 81 | 249 | 192 | 8 | 32 | 71 | 3 | 255 | 111 | 8 | 212 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 948 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 574 | 1441 | 461 |
|  | Senegal | 159 | 140 | 1327 | 202 | 497 | 200 | 495 | 510 | 463 | 2066 | 869 | 558 | 824 | 378 | 227 | 600 | 354 | 570 | 1513 | 1857 | 1441 | 1441 | 1441 | 1441 | 0 |
|  | Sierra Leone | 0 | 57 | 30 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 245 | 44 | -- |
|  | South Africa | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |

SMT-Table 1. Estimated landings (in t) of small tuna in 1979-2003, by region and flag.


|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Côte D'Ivoire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC-France + España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5174 | 0 | 0 | 5269 | 4458 | 4502 | 5772 | 6768 | 6768 | 6768 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 1211 | 6260 | 5295 | 3128 | 2691 | 5746 | 3702 | 3164 | 4538 | 3938 | 1877 | 2240 | 541 | 228 | 362 | 297 | 386 | 947 | 581 | 570 | 23 | 17 | 722 | 438 | 635 |
|  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 640 | 416 | 1904 | 3392 | 3392 | 3008 | 3872 | 0 | 121 | 63 | 105 | 126 | 161 | 147 | 146 | 0 | 91 | 127 | 91 | -- |
|  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Portugal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 32 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 5 | 9 | 28 | 5 | 4 |
|  | France + C. Ivoire + Senegal | 0 | 0 | 1856 | 1984 | 2800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Germany Democratic Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 55 | 40 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Ghana | 4286 | 7566 | 2048 | 6062 | 5632 | 4530 | 4500 | 3256 | 4689 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Grenada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Japan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Maroc | 694 | 968 | 1267 | 1126 | 1271 | 198 | 424 | 302 | 465 | 194 | 599 | 1045 | 1131 | 332 | 274 | 122 | 645 | 543 | 2614 | 2137 | 494 | 582 | 418 | 441 | 184 |
|  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 333 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 381 | 155 | 237 | , | 4 | 32 | 68 | 62 | 180 | 120 | 309 | 491 | 291 | 420 | 186 | -- |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 1157 | 1030 | 1159 | 1122 | 989 | 710 | -- |
|  | Panama | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 57 | 118 | 341 | 327 | 240 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Rumania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3249 | 1441 | 220 | 505 | 456 | 46 | 500 | 761 | 477 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 50 |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Senegal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 810 | 784 | 1082 | 311 | 201 | 309 | 309 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 56 | 199 | 368 | 127 | 138 | 245 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | U.S.S.R. | 450 | 694 | 407 | 5623 | 1655 | 5903 | 6055 | 3465 | 2905 | 5638 | 5054 | 2739 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 48 | 0 | 43 | -- |
|  | Venezuela | 1845 | 1176 | 944 | 509 | 1171 | 1478 | 1746 | 2109 | 2264 | 2654 | 2670 | 3037 | 1762 | 368 | 886 | 2609 | 2601 | 3083 | 2839 | 2164 | 1631 | 215 | 444 | 32 | 113 |
| MEDI | Algerie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 270 | 348 | 306 | 230 | 237 | 179 | 299 | 173 | 225 | 230 | 481 | -- |
|  | Croatia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 21 | 52 | 22 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.España | 1771 | 2120 | 1700 | 1935 | 2135 | 2301 | 2047 | 1555 | 631 | 2669 | 2581 | 2985 | 2226 | 1210 | 648 | 1124 | 1472 | 2296 | 604 | 487 | 669 | 1024 | 861 | 493 | 495 |
|  | EC.France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Greece | 0 | 0 | 516 | 2192 | 1887 | 2060 | 1419 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 | 1426 | 1426 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 125 | 120 | -- |
|  | EC.Italy | 1342 | 1376 | 1193 | 1299 | 1494 | 1610 | 1344 | 1344 | 906 | 609 | 509 | 494 | 432 | 305 | 379 | 531 | 531 | 229 | 229 | 229 | 462 | 462 | 462 | 2452 | 1463 |
|  | EC.Malta | 11 | 18 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Ex. Yugoslavia | 17 | 19 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 32 | 14 | 41 | 42 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Maroc | 73 | 10 | 14 | 77 | 57 | 52 | 48 | 175 | 178 | 811 | 1177 | 2452 | 1289 | 1644 | 170 | 1726 | 621 | 1673 | 562 | 1140 | 682 | 763 | 256 | 621 | 246 |
|  | Tunisie | 493 | 409 | 237 | 517 | 218 | 294 | 367 | 538 | 606 | 588 | 660 | 985 | 985 | 35 | 20 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 26 | 87 | 38 | 7 | 2292 | 932 | -- |
|  | Yugoslavia Fed. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 0 | -- |
| KGM Total |  | 11450 | 15656 | 18513 | 18149 | 14607 | 13182 | 9964 | 12187 | 11890 | 13038 | 10835 | 12232 | 11530 | 12439 | 14462 | 13868 | 14916 | 17775 | 19712 | 12809 | 13558 | 12473 | 11149 | 12825 | 10685 |
| S.cavalla | Antigua and Barbuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 848 | 1598 | 1612 | 1929 | 2695 | 2588 | 806 | 2890 | 2173 | 2029 | 2102 | 2070 | 962 | 979 | 1380 | 1365 | 1328 | 2890 | 2398 | 3595 | 3595 | 2344 | 1251 | 2316 | 3311 |
|  | Chinese Taipei | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Dominica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 35 | 2 | 0 | -- |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 29 | 33 | 34 | 47 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 589 | 288 | 230 | 226 | 226 | 226 | -- |
|  | Grenada | 73 | 25 | 30 | 43 | 40 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 28 | 14 | 9 | 4 | 5 | -- |
|  | Guyana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 440 | 398 | 214 | 239 | 267 | 390 |
|  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | -- |
|  | Mexico | 2249 | 1946 | 2740 | 4409 | 2874 | 2164 | 2303 | 2643 | 3067 | 3100 | 2300 | 2689 | 2147 | 3014 | 3289 | 3097 | 3214 | 4661 | 4661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 43 | 11 | 38 | 82 | 752 | 541 | 432 | 657 | 0 | 1192 | 0 | 471 | 1029 | 875 | 746 | 447 | 432 | 410 | 1457 | -- |
|  | U.S.A. | 6292 | 10726 | 12565 | 9863 | 7068 | 7444 | 6011 | 5683 | 5628 | 5807 | 4363 | 5939 | 6502 | 7091 | 7747 | 6922 | 7345 | 7051 | 8772 | 7371 | 6414 | 6780 | 6592 | 6081 | 6983 |
|  | Venezuela | 1988 | 1361 | 1566 | 1905 | 1910 | 924 | 833 | 933 | 940 | 1330 | 1500 | 1069 | 1228 | 1308 | 801 | 2484 | 2558 | 2140 | 2139 | 340 | 2424 | 2424 | 2424 | 2424 | -- |
| KGX Total |  | 197 | 214 | 339 | 283 | 20 | 485 | 22 | 149 | 261 | 491 | 105 | 131 | 225 | 356 | 301 | 508 | 512 | 824 | 156 | 251 |  | 229 | 48 | 0 | 15 |
| Scomb. spp | Barbados | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 159 | 332 | 68 | 51 | 45 | 51 | 55 | 36 | 42 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Colombia | 54 | 73 | 160 | 80 | 20 | 485 | 22 | 11 | 102 | 159 | 37 | 25 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 148 | 111 | 539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.France | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 145 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Grenada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Jamaica | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 |  | 0 | 44 | 48 | 0 | -- |
|  | Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 84 | 86 | 134 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 138 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 79 | 150 | 141 | 98 | 80 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 143 | 141 | 179 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| LTA Total |  | 14673 | 19214 | 13847 | 15839 | 22214 | 20625 | 12896 | 8809 | 19741 | 25135 | 29855 | 14359 | 10910 | 21554 | 13682 | 11607 | 12026 | 14786 | 14147 | 14511 | 13340 | 13740 | 12994 | 16840 | 10390 |
| E. alletteratus | ATL | 11803 | 16440 | 12401 | 13359 | 20653 | 18975 | 10856 | 6643 | 17317 | 22730 | 27820 | 11742 | 8587 | 19798 | 12416 | 10402 | 10124 | 12667 | 12543 | 11597 | 10465 | 10446 | 10131 | 14198 | 10365 |
|  | MEDI | 2870 | 2774 | 1446 | 2480 | 1561 | 1650 | 2040 | 2166 | 2424 | 2405 | 2035 | 2617 | 2323 | 1756 | 1266 | 1205 | 1902 | 2119 | 1604 | 2914 | 2875 | 3294 | 2863 | 2642 | 25 |
| ATL | Angola | 646 | 1328 | 1171 | 1734 | 1632 | 1632 | 1433 | 1167 | 1345 | 1148 | 1225 | 285 | 306 | 14 | 175 | 121 | 117 | 235 | 75 | 406 | 118 | 132 | 132 | 132 | - |
|  | Argentina | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Benin | 16 | 24 | 40 | 45 | 20 | 31 | 30 | 90 | 14 | 7 | 43 | 66 | 61 | 49 | 53 | 60 | 58 | 58 | 196 | 83 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 0 | 0 | 45 | 10 | 0 | 765 | 785 | 479 | 187 | 108 | 74 | 685 | 779 | 935 | 985 | 1225 | 1059 | 834 | 507 | 920 | 930 | 615 | 615 | 615 | -- |
|  | Bulgaria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Canada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Cape Verde | 0 | 128 | 236 | 258 | 34 | 16 | 160 | 29 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 65 | 74 | 148 | 17 | 23 | 72 | 63 | 86 | 110 | 776 | 491 | 178 | 108 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 0 | 131 | 53 | 77 | 6 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 55 | 53 | 113 | 88 | 63 | 33 | 13 | 15 | 27 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Côte D'Ivoire | 57 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5300 | 38 | 4900 | 2800 | 100 | 142 | 339 | 251 | 253 | 250 | 114 | 108 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC-France + España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1975 | 0 | 0 | 2087 | 1766 | 1710 | 2352 | 2681 | 2681 | 2681 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 4 | 485 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 27 | 34 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 55 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 55 | 27 | 110 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 1 |
|  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.France | 0 | 0 | 1098 | 1120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 74 | 13 | 8 | 54 | 59 | 22 | 215 | 21 | 696 | 631 | 610 | 613 | -- |
|  | EC.Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | EC.Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Portugal | 5 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 21 | 86 | 91 | 2 | 61 | 73 | 45 | 72 | 72 | 218 | 320 | 171 | 14 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 18 | 159 | 301 | 213 | 57 | 173 |
|  | Germany Democratic Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 397 | 543 | 99 | 40 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ghana | 5547 | 4134 | 3287 | 2141 | 5009 | 5966 | 901 | 649 | 5551 | 11588 | 12511 | 323 | 201 | 11608 | 359 | 994 | 513 | 113 | 2025 | 359 | 306 | 707 | 730 | 4768 | 8541 |
|  | Israel | 0 | 227 | 203 | 640 | 282 | 271 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Maroc | 289 | 16 | 19 | 26 | 19 | 15 | 447 | 47 | 108 | 49 | 14 | 367 | 57 | 370 | 44 | 43 | 230 | 588 | 195 | 189 | 67 | 101 | 87 | 308 | 76 |
|  | Mauritania | 50 | 31 | 86 | 77 | 54 | 60 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | NEI-1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | -- |
|  | Panama | 2 | 58 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Rumania | 17 | 9 | 12 | 291 | 216 | 266 | 126 | 81 | 7 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 | 306 | 265 | 189 | 96 | 49 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 13 |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Senegal | 1697 | 2444 | 1586 | 5017 | 5623 | 8408 | 4566 | 2392 | 2985 | 6343 | 6512 | 4775 | 3768 | 4088 | 4883 | 4072 | 4125 | 3773 | 2972 | 2936 | 1096 | 1100 | 1094 | 1094 | -- |
|  | South Africa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1 |
|  | U.S.A. | 12 | 88 | 97 | 87 | 107 | 41 | 74 | 104 | 118 | 204 | 129 | 173 | 228 | 597 | 1286 | 1142 | 1312 | 2230 | 2015 | 1546 | 1623 | 1209 | 1451 | 1366 | 1490 |
|  | U.S.S.R. | 2184 | 6307 | 3615 | 1085 | 6528 | 613 | 1040 | 271 | 61 | 1707 | 543 | 667 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK.Bermuda | 7 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
|  | Venezuela | 1270 | 721 | 791 | 311 | 573 | 644 | 1050 | 1123 | 1467 | 1236 | 1374 | 1294 | 1963 | 1409 | 1889 | 2115 | 2115 | 1840 | 1840 | 2815 | 2247 | 2247 | 2247 | 2254 | 50 |
| MEDI | Algerie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 522 | 585 | 495 | 459 | 552 | 554 | 448 | 384 | 562 | 494 | 407 | 148 | - |
|  | Croatia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC.Cyprus | 17 | 17 | 22 | 33 | 17 | 31 | 32 | 13 | 25 | 41 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 21 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 19 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 993 | 800 | 6 | 705 | 0 | 32 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 82 | 32 | 0 |
|  | EC.Greece | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | -- |
|  | EC.Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 24 |
|  | EC.Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Ex. Yugoslavia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Israel | 170 | 105 | 35 | 110 | 35 | 60 | 259 | 284 | 273 | 135 | 124 | 129 | 108 | 126 | 119 | 119 | 215 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 119 | 119 | -- |
|  | Libya | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 52 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | -- |
|  | Maroc | 6 | 0 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  | NEI-2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Palestenean (Gaza strip based) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 59 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 129 | -- |
|  | Syrian Arab Republic | 89 | 80 | 73 | 90 | 80 | 96 | 95 | 73 | 121 | 99 | 121 | 127 | 110 | 156 | 161 | 156 | 155 | 270 | 350 | 417 | 390 | 370 | 370 | 330 | -- |
|  | Tunisie | 1595 | 1772 | 1249 | 1330 | 1228 | 1224 | 1441 | 1590 | 1803 | 1908 | 1566 | 2113 | 1343 | 664 | 242 | 204 | 696 | 824 | 333 | 1113 | 752 | 1453 | 1036 | 960 | -- |
|  | Turkey* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | * |


|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yugoslavia Fed. Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 28 | 21 | 35 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 0 | -- |
|  | Data for Turkey are uncertain and may be subject to change in the future |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAW TotalS.tritor |  | 4167 | 4921 | 3156 | 5312 | 4716 | 4498 | 3989 | 3292 | 1799 | 3915 | 2934 | 5610 | 4025 | 1437 | 1775 | 1270 | 1264 | 1316 | 871 | 1108 | 727 | 748 | 727 | 1067 | - |
|  | Angola | 24 | 70 | 68 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| S.tritor | Benin | 23 | 35 | 60 | 68 | 30 | 46 | 50 | 104 | 17 | 13 | 334 | 211 | 214 | 202 | 214 | 194 | 188 | 188 | 362 | 511 | 205 | 205 | 205 | 205 | -- |
|  | EC.Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Latvia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | EC.Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 | -- |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Germany Democratic Rep. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 851 | 537 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ghana | 1569 | 4412 | 1983 | 2982 | 2225 | 3022 | 3000 | 1453 | 0 | 1457 | 1457 | 1500 | 2778 | 899 | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Russian Federation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 | 195 | 1032 | 242 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Senegal | 1112 | 404 | 1045 | 671 | 754 | 1174 | 732 | 1516 | 1754 | 2159 | 753 | 1419 | 656 | 332 | 1076 | 1076 | 1076 | 1076 | 509 | 512 | 522 | 522 | 522 | 522 | -- |
|  | U.S.S.R. | 1439 | 0 | 0 | 602 | 1170 | 223 | 206 | 219 | 28 | 143 | 195 | 1240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ukraine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 42 | -- |
| SSM Total <br> S. maculatus |  | 10899 | 13945 | 11164 | 13633 | 9574 | 11362 | 11590 | 14117 | 14531 | 12712 | 13946 | 14500 | 15546 | 16346 | 16231 | 14777 | 13857 | 16725 | 15501 | 3241 | 3542 | 4168 | 4791 | 5110 | 4611 |
|  | Colombia | 199 | 213 | 408 | 8 | 10 | 77 | 101 | 81 | 72 | 151 | 112 | 76 | 37 | 95 | 58 | 69 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Cuba | 400 | 578 | 657 | 476 | 689 | 544 | 443 | 621 | 1606 | 803 | 746 | 665 | 538 | 611 | 310 | 409 | 548 | 613 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Dominican Republic | 415 | 479 | 503 | 384 | 168 | 1058 | 1267 | 1271 | 1321 | 1415 | 1401 | 1290 | 728 | 735 | 739 | 1330 | 2042 | 2042 | 231 | 191 | 125 | 158 | 158 | 158 | -- |
|  | Gabon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 | -- |
|  | Grenada | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Mexico | 5751 | 5908 | 5908 | 7799 | 5922 | 5777 | 5789 | 6170 | 6461 | 5246 | 7242 | 8194 | 8360 | 9181 | 10066 | 8300 | 7673 | 11050 | 11050 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 0 |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 1208 | 1337 | 939 | 1218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | U.S.A. | 2926 | 5429 | 2748 | 3747 | 2784 | 3905 | 3986 | 5957 | 5071 | 5097 | 4444 | 4272 | 5883 | 5724 | 5057 | 4667 | 3523 | 3020 | 3606 | 3050 | 3417 | 4010 | 4632 | 4660 | 4611 |
| WAH Total A. solandri |  | 760 | 610 | 2920 | 2280 | 2366 | 2159 | 920 | 1151 | 1235 | 1612 | 1507 | 1470 | 1687 | 1807 | 2571 | 2104 | 2362 | 2515 | 3085 | 2483 | 2943 | 2020 | 2296 | 2253 | 1649 |
|  | Antigua and Barbuda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Aruba | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 | 120 | 90 | 80 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 50 | 125 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | -- |
|  | Barbados | 189 | 116 | 144 | 219 | 222 | 219 | 120 | 138 | 159 | 332 | 51 | 51 | 60 | 51 | 91 | 82 | 42 | 35 | 52 | 52 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
|  | Benin | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Brazil | 69 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 141 | 133 | 58 | 92 | 52 | 64 | 71 | 33 | 26 | 1 | 16 | 58 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 |
|  | Cape Verde | 0 | 24 | 2307 | 1464 | 1588 | 1365 | 142 | 205 | 306 | 340 | 631 | 458 | 351 | 350 | 326 | 361 | 408 | 503 | 603 | 429 | 587 | 487 | 578 | 552 | -- |
|  | Dominica | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 43 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 50 | 46 | 11 | 37 | 10 |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 112 | 31 | 35 | 35 | 35 | -- |
|  | EC.España | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 32 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 32 | 22 | 20 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 29 | 28 | 32 | 38 | 46 | 48 |
|  | Grenada | 31 | 25 | 23 | 41 | 94 | 50 | 51 | 82 | 54 | 137 | 57 | 54 | 77 | 104 | 96 | 46 | 49 | 56 | 56 | 59 | 82 | 51 | 71 | 59 | 44 |
|  | Netherlands Antilles | 215 | 215 | 215 | 215 | 215 | 215 | 245 | 250 | 260 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 250 | 260 | 270 | 250 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | - |
|  | S. Tomé e Príncipe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | - |
|  | Senegal | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | St. Kitts and Nevis (Nevis based | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | St. Vincent and Grenadines | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 28 | 33 | 33 | 41 | 28 | 16 | 23 | 10 | 65 | 52 | 46 | 311 | 17 | 40 |
|  | Sta. Helena | 7 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 23 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 12 | 17 | 35 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
|  | Sta. Lucia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 79 | 150 | 141 | 98 | 80 | 221 | 223 | 223 | 310 | 243 | 213 | 217 | 169 |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | -- |
|  | U.S.A. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 57 | 128 | 110 | 82 | 134 | 203 | 827 | 391 | 764 | 608 | 750 | 614 | 858 | 640 | 633 | 846 | 787 |
|  | UK.Bermuda | 33 | 46 | 24 | 40 | 49 | 46 | 46 | 65 | 43 | 61 | 63 | 74 | 67 | 80 | 58 | 50 | 93 | 99 | 105 | 108 | 104 | 61 | 56 | 91 | 87 |
|  | Venezuela | 100 | 57 | 77 | 175 | 66 | 125 | 147 | 113 | 106 | 141 | 101 | 159 | 302 | 333 | 514 | 542 | 540 | 487 | 488 | 360 | 467 | 4 | 17 | 13 | 9 |

* Dashes indicate that no reports were received. Also, the 2003 data are provisional and are likely to increase.

SMT-Fig. 1. Estimated landings (t) of small tunas, all species combined, in the Atlantic and Mediterranean, 1950-2002. Data for recent years are incomplete. The continuous line represents the total, the line with squares represents the Atlantic and the line with triangles represents the Mediterranean.



SMT-Fig. 2. Estimated landings (t) of the major small tuna species in the Atlantic and Mediterranean, 19502002. Data for recent years are incomplete.


SMT-Fig. 3. Catches of small tunas (FRI, LTA) from the European and associated purse seine fishery, 1991-1996 average.


SMT-Fig. 4. Catches of small tunas (FRI, LTA) from the European and associated purse seine fishery, 1997-2001 average.

### 8.13 Sharks

In response to the 2001 Resolution by ICCAT on Atlantic Sharks [Ref. 01-11], The Sub-Committee on By-catch met on 14-18 June 2004 in Tokyo, Japan, to conduct stock assessments for blue shark (Prionace glauca) and shortfin mako (Isurus oxyrinchus). This is a short summary of the Committee's findings based on the results of the assessments.

Pelagic sharks are caught by a variety of gears in the Atlantic Ocean, including longlines, gillnets, handlines, rod and reel, trawls, trolls, and harpoons, but they are mostly caught as by-catch (and sometimes targeted) in pelagic longline fisheries targeting tuna and swordfish. There are also recreational fisheries in some countries.

Tagging data on blue sharks from the northern Atlantic suggest that movement is restricted to within that hemisphere, with little or no connection to the Mediterranean or the southern Atlantic. The Committee carried out the analyses of blue and shortfin mako shark data under the assumption of separate North-SouthMediterranean stocks. More research is needed to give further support to these working hypotheses.

Previous reviews of the shark data base resulted in recommendations to improve the data reporting for sharks, but a large improvement in the quantity and quality of the overall shark catch statistics data base has not yet resulted. Reported catches are presented in Table SHK-1. In view of the very incomplete nature of the catch reporting to the Secretariat, the Committee attempted to construct a more accurate picture of shark catch and mortality in the Atlantic tuna fleets based on ratios of shark to tuna landings from fleets reporting both types of data to ICCAT, and using these ratios to reconstruct an example catch history by major gear type. Although this might provide a somewhat more realistic picture of the catches of blue and mako sharks, this approximation was done with little guidance from scientists with expert knowledge about several important fleets which catch these species. The estimates thus obtained (Table SHK-2, Figure SHK-1), although highly uncertain, were used as a tentative basis for stock assessment model applications that require information on both catch and effort.

The Committee reiterates previous recommendations for all Contracting and non-Contracting Parties to provide estimates of historical catches and dead discards of sharks from both by-catch and directed shark fisheries to the Secretariat. Both landed and dead discarded shark catch need to be monitored, especially considering that many sharks have been finned and not kept on board vessels.

Considering the limitations on the quantity and quality of the information available to the Committee, the following results should be considered as being very preliminary.

## Blue shark

For both North and South Atlantic blue shark, the current biomass appears to be above the biomass that would support MSY. In many of the model runs conducted, stock status appeared to be close to unfished biomass levels. The results are highly conditional on the assumptions made. Those assumptions include (i) estimates of historical shark catch, (ii) the relationship between catch rates and abundance, (iii) the initial state of the stock in 1971, and (iv) various life-history parameters. A full evaluation of the sensitivity of model outcomes to these assumptions was not possible at the meeting and such studies should be carried out before drawing stronger conclusions.

## Shortfin mako shark

The North Atlantic shortfin mako shark stock is likely to have historically experienced some level of stock depletion as suggested by the historical CPUE trend and model outputs. The Committee cannot rule out the possibility that the current stock size is below the biomass that can support MSY, as trends in CPUE suggest depletions of fifty percent or more. For the South Atlantic, the stock may have decreased since 1971, but the magnitude of decline appears to be less than in the North Atlantic. The current stock biomass may be above the biomass at MSY, but due to the lack of a clear signal from the catch rates, there is a wider variety of possible historical stock trends: from virtually undepleted, to fully exploited. The assessment of shortfin mako stocks is also highly conditional on the assumptions listed above for blue shark. In particular, life history parameters of shortfin mako shark are more uncertain than for blue shark. A full evaluation of the sensitivity of model outcomes to these assumptions to shortfin mako shark was also not possible at the meeting and such studies should be carried out before drawing stronger conclusions.

Table SHK-1a. Nominal Catches of blue shark reported to ICCAT (landings and discards in t) by major gear and flag between 1978 and 2002.

|  |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATL Total |  | 4 | 12 | 0 | 204 | 9 | 613 | 121 | 380 | 1162 | 1467 | 867 | 832 | 2348 | 3533 | 2343 | 7879 | 8310 | 8422 | 9036 | 36895 | 33211 | 34208 | 33462 | 34301 | 31357 |
| Landings | longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1387 | 2265 | 1667 | 5749 | 7366 | 7501 | 7767 | 36279 | 32578 | 33790 | 32616 | 33415 | 31146 |
|  | others | 4 | 12 | 0 | 204 | 9 | 613 | 121 | 380 | 1162 | 941 | 446 | 352 | 220 | 496 | 491 | 994 | 372 | 300 | 558 | 431 | 422 | 309 | 709 | 780 | 143 |
| Discards | longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 421 | 480 | 741 | 772 | 184 | 1136 | 572 | 618 | 609 | 185 | 189 | 105 | 137 | 105 | 68 |
|  | others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | BENIN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | BRASIL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 743 | 1103 | 0 | 179 | 1689 | 2173 | 1971 |
|  | CANADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 54 | 18 | 0 | 5 |
|  | CAP-VERT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | CHINA.PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 420 |
|  | EC-CYPRUS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
|  | EC-DENMARK | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 13 |
|  | EC-ESPANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29917 | 28137 | 29005 | 26046 | 25110 | 21037 |
|  | EC-FRANCE | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 14 | 39 | 50 | 67 | 91 | 79 | 130 | 187 | 276 | 322 | 350 | 266 | 278 | 213 | 163 | 0 | 395 | 207 | 109 |
|  | EC-IRELAND | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 9 | 66 | 11 |
|  | EC-PORTUGAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1387 | 2257 | 1583 | 5726 | 4669 | 5569 | 5710 | 3966 | 3318 | 3337 | 4220 | 4713 | 4602 |
|  | EC-U.K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 6 |
|  | JAPAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2596 | 1589 | 1044 | 996 | 850 | 893 | 492 | 518 | 675 |
|  | MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
|  | NAMIBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2213 |
|  | PANAMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SENEGAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 0 |
|  | SOUTH AFRICA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 21 | 0 | 83 | 63 |
|  | TRINIDAD\&TOBAGO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
|  | U.S.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 605 | 107 | 341 | 1112 | 874 | 355 | 271 | 87 | 308 | 215 | 680 | 29 | 23 | 283 | 211 | 255 | 217 | 291 | 42 | 0 |
|  | UK-BERMUDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | URUGUAY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 84 | 15 | 93 | 64 | 252 | 286 | 242 | 126 | 119 | 59 | 159 |
| Discards | CANADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | U.S.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 421 | 480 | 741 | 772 | 184 | 1136 | 572 | 618 | 710 | 185 | 195 | 101 | 137 | 106 | 68 |
|  | UK-BERMUDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table SHK-1b. Nominal Catches of shortfin mako shark reported to ICCAT (landings and discards in t) by major gear and flag between 1978 and 2002.

|  |  | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATL Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 406 | 705 | 488 | 1131 | 3065 | 1344 | 501 | 819 | 688 | 486 | 538 | 511 | 1824 | 1352 | 2646 | 1680 | 5300 | 4105 | 3731 | 4366 | 4522 | 4792 |
| Landings | longline others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 92 | 120 | 202 | 118 | 48 | 39 | 24 | 18 | 218 | 328 | 235 | 1137 | 1017 | 1177 | 1421 | 5125 | 3941 | 3630 | 4044 | 4278 | 4527 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 385 | 613 | 368 | 929 | 2947 | 1296 | 462 | 795 | 670 | 268 | 210 | 250 | 667 | 317 | 1440 | 259 | 175 | 165 | 100 | 322 | 244 | 266 |
| Discards | longline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Landings | BRASIL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 190 | 0 | 27 | 219 | 409 | 226 |
|  | CANADA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 67 | 110 | 69 | 70 | 78 | 69 | 78 |
|  | CHINA.PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 45 | 23 | 27 | 19 | 74 | 126 | 306 | 22 | 208 |
|  | COTE D'IVOIRE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 0 |
|  | EC-ESPANA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3777 | 3347 | 2895 | 2679 | 2921 | 2859 |
|  | EC-PORTUGAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 314 | 220 | 796 | 649 | 749 | 785 | 519 | 425 | 446 | 706 | 523 | 471 |
|  | EC-U.K | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | JAPAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 0 |
|  | NAMIBIA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 459 |
|  | PANAMA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | SOUTH AFRICA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 79 | 19 |
|  | ST.VINCENT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | TRINIDAD\&TOBAGO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | U.S.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 385 | 613 | 368 | 929 | 2947 | 1296 | 462 | 795 | 670 | 268 | 210 | 250 | 945 | 628 | 1703 | 465 | 408 | 148 | 69 | 292 | 395 | 413 |
|  | UK-BERMUDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | URUGUAY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 92 | 120 | 202 | 118 | 48 | 39 | 24 | 18 | 25 | 14 | 15 | 29 | 12 | 21 | 24 | 28 | 21 | 43 | 63 | 70 | 58 |
| Discards | MEXICO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | U.S.A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | UK-BERMUDA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table SHK-2. Comparison between catches of Atlantic blue shark and shortfin mako reported to ICCAT and estimates of plausible historical catches made by the Committee.

| Year | Shortfin mako |  | Blue shark |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reported | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Re- } \\ \text { estimated } \end{array}$ | Reported | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Re- } \\ \text { estimated }^{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Re- } \\ \text { estimated }^{1} \end{array}$ |
| 1971 |  | 4213 |  | 30370 | 20862 |
| 1972 |  | 3597 |  | 30852 | 21834 |
| 1973 |  | 4502 |  | 38304 | 27535 |
| 1974 |  | 3848 |  | 31373 | 23245 |
| 1975 |  | 4767 |  | 41679 | 26886 |
| 1976 |  | 3667 |  | 35244 | 23076 |
| 1977 |  | 4266 |  | 40635 | 27276 |
| 1978 | 0 | 3895 | 4 | 32380 | 22235 |
| 1979 | 0 | 3032 | 12 | 25926 | 18391 |
| 1980 | 0 | 4336 | 0 | 34418 | 23714 |
| 1981 | 406 | 4091 | 204 | 38082 | 26770 |
| 1982 | 705 | 5621 | 9 | 50972 | 37154 |
| 1983 | 488 | 5095 | 613 | 47854 | 27356 |
| 1984 | 1131 | 5636 | 121 | 47260 | 29090 |
| 1985 | 3065 | 8867 | 380 | 58937 | 37872 |
| 1986 | 1344 | 7711 | 1162 | 65990 | 38589 |
| 1987 | 501 | 7275 | 1467 | 66854 | 35030 |
| 1988 | 819 | 7660 | 867 | 64643 | 43492 |
| 1989 | 688 | 6394 | 832 | 52222 | 41310 |
| 1990 | 486 | 5908 | 2348 | 53714 | 41571 |
| 1991 | 538 | 6311 | 3533 | 58188 | 45188 |
| 1992 | 511 | 5800 | 2343 | 54463 | 43735 |
| 1993 | 1824 | 7654 | 7879 | 63840 | 52411 |
| 1994 | 1352 | 7657 | 8310 | 64016 | 56078 |
| 1995 | 2646 | 10337 | 8422 | 66164 | 60465 |
| 1996 | 1680 | 7610 | 9036 | 63362 | 59537 |
| 1997 | 5300 | 6140 | 36895 | 56034 | 56034 |
| 1998 | 4105 | 6451 | 33211 | 51261 | 51261 |
| 1999 | 3731 | 5756 | 34208 | 53385 | 53385 |
| 2000 | 4366 | 6188 | 33462 | 53278 | 53278 |
| 2001 | 4522 | 8732 | 34301 | 50361 | 50361 |
| 2002 | 4792 ! | 8317 | 31357 | 44443 | 44443 |

${ }^{1}$ For blue shark two alternative methods were used to re-estimate historical catches.


Figure SHK-1. Comparison of shark catch reported to ICCAT with estimates resulting from tuna to shark ratios and from fin trade data for shortfin mako (left) and blue sharks (right) in the Atlantic. An approximate range is also presented from a recent study of the Hong Kong shark fin trade.

## 9 Report of inter-sessional meetings

### 9.1 BETYP Symposium

The SCRS Chairman presented the Report of the ICCAT Bigeye Tuna Year Program Symposium. The Chairman informed the Committee that this Symposium, which was held in Madrid, Spain 8-9 March 2004, had been very successful, with many scientists participating and many scientific documents presented. It was noted that there had been consensus at the Symposium that further research on this species was needed.

The SCRS endorsed all the conclusions of the Report of the ICCAT Bigeye Tuna Year Program Symposium, which will be published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, Vol. 57.

### 9.2 Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna

The Secretariat presented the Report of the Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna, which was held in Madrid, Spain, 10-13 March 2004, in recognition of the importance of this stock in all the oceans and as a follow-up to the First World Bigeye Tuna Meeting which had been organized by IATTC in 1996. It was noted that 17 scientific documents had been presented to this meeting, and that these documents had been subjected to peer review. Those which the reviewers considered appropriate will be published in a special peer-reviewed issue of the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, and the rest would be published in the regular Collective Volume.

The SCRS endorsed the findings of the Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna, and agreed with the recommendation that a third World Bigeye Meeting should be held, preferably before the end of 2007, given the rapid developments in the bigeye tuna resources and fisheries. The Report of the Second World Meeting on Bigeye Tuna will be published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, Vol. 57.

## $9.32^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies

The Secretariat presented the Report of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies which was held in Marseille, France 17-20 May 2004. The Committee endorsed the outcome of the meeting, particularly with regard to the proposed research activities.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that Japan had extended an invitation to host a $3^{\text {rd }}$ meeting of this Working Group in Japan in 2005. The Report of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies will be published in the ICCAT Report for the Biennial Period, 2004-05, Part I (2004)-Vol. 1.

## 9.4 $7^{\text {th }}$ Ad Hoc Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting on Stocks of Large Pelagic Fishes in the Mediterranean

Dr. A. Di Natale presented the report of the 7th Ad Hoc Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting on Stocks of Large Pelagic Fishes in the Mediterranean Sea, which was held in Malaga, Spain, 13-14 May 2004. Dr. Di Natale regretted that the primary aim of improving statistics on Mediterranean albacore had not been achieved at the meeting, but that 17 scientific papers had been presented which contributed to improving knowledge on the biology of Mediterranean small tuna species, bluefin tuna, swordfish and spearfish.

Mr. J. M. de la Serna drew the attention of the Committee to the research program on biology and fishing of small tuna in the Mediterranean which had been proposed by the Ad Hoc Joint GFCM-ICCAT Working Group [Appendix 4 to SCRS/2004/012 (SCI-028/2004)]. The SCRS endorsed the proposed program.

The report of the 7th Ad Hoc Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting on Stocks of Large Pelagic Fishes in the Mediterranean Sea was adopted by the Committee and will be published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, Vol. 58.

### 9.5 Report of the ICCAT Data Exploratory Meeting for East Atlantic and Mediterranean Bluefin Tuna

The Report of the ICCAT Data Exploratory Meeting for East Atlantic and Mediterranean Bluefin Tuna was presented by Dr. J. M. Fromentin (EC-France). This meeting took place in Madrid, Spain, in June 2004. Dr.

Fromentin explained that this meeting had been held due to the problems with eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean data, which had rendered it impossible to carry out a reliable assessment of this stock. While further work was needed, progress had been made, and the Secretariat was currently undertaking a revision of the historical substitutions as had been requested by the participants. The Report of the ICCAT Data Exploratory Meeting for East Atlantic and Mediterranean Bluefin Tuna will be published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, Vol. 58.

### 9.6 Bigeye Tuna Stock Assessment Session

An assessment of the Atlantic bigeye tuna stock was held in Madrid, Spain, 10-13 March 2004. The report of this meeting will be published in the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers, Vol. 58.

## 10 Reports of Special Research Programs

### 10.1 Bluefin Year Program (BYP)

Dr. Gerry Scott (Coordinator of the Bluefin Year Program for the West Atlantic), presented the Report for all the BYP activities carried out in 2003-2004, and the research plan and proposed expenditures for 2005.

The Report of the Bluefin Year Program is attached as Appendix 5, and the relevant recommendations can be found in Item 15 of this report.

### 10.2 Enhanced Research Program for Billfish

The Report of the Enhanced Research Program for Billfish, together with the proposed expenditures for 2005, was presented by the West Atlantic Coordinator, Dr. Eric Prince (USA). Dr. A. Kothias (Côte d'Ivoire) also gave a brief report (SCRS/2004/191) on the activities in 2003 in the East Atlantic on behalf of Dr. N'Goran Ya, the East Atlantic Coordinator, who was unable to attend the meeting. Dr. Kothias assured the Committee that any missing information in relation to the East Atlantic would be supplied by Dr. N'Goran as soon as possible.

The Report of the Enhanced Research Program for Billfish was adopted and is attached as Appendix 6.

### 10.3 Bigeye Tuna Year Program

The Secretariat informed the Committee that the Bigeye Tuna Year Program ended in March 2004, with the BETYP Symposium. A Report on the final activities of the program can be found in the Symposium Report and the BETYP Coordinator's report (SCRS/2004/010 and SCRS/2004/030, respectively).

The Secretariat explained that although approximately $€ 97,859$ remained in the program, $€ 50,000$ had already been committed for the costs of editing, publishing and distributing the BETYP and Second World Bigeye Meeting Reports (as special issues of the ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers), as well as for the final external audit of the accounts of the Program. In addition to the already-committed funds, a request for $€ 11,000$ had been received for deploying archival tags on bigeye tuna in the Canary Islands and endorsed by the Chairman of the SCRS.

Following the advice of the BETYP Coordination Committee, the Committee recommended that the Secretariat seek directions from the principal program donors (EC and Japan) on the use of the funds that will remain after the above expenses are made. The Committee recommended that the donors take into account the need for financing the completion of the Field Manual and a special fund to facilitate the payment of rewards for archival tags.

## 11 Report of the Meeting of the Sub-Committee on Statistics

The Sub-Committee on Statistics met the week prior to the Plenary Session of the SCRS. The Convener, Dr. Pilar Pallarés, presented the report of that meeting. There was considerable discussion on the issue of electronic tagging rewards and in relation to the establishment of geographical boundaries of Task I areas. It was agreed that further work was needed on the latter, and that scientists would work in conjunction with the Secretariat during the course of the year.

The relevant recommendations of this Sub-Committee are listed under Item 15 of this report, and the Report of the Sub-Committee of Statistics is attached as Appendix 7.

## 12 Report of the Meeting of the Sub-Committee on By-catches

In the absence of Dr. Hedeiki Nakano, Convener of the Sub-Committee on By-catch, Dr. Gerry Scott presented the report, which is attached as Appendix 8.

Dr. Scott informed the Committee that the Sub-Committee on By-catch had held an inter-sessional meeting in Japan, 14-18 June 2004, in order to carry out a stock assessment of blue shark and short-fin mako shark, but pointed out that the data available to the group had been limited, and that more resources were needed if advances were to be made in the area of shark data collection.

The relevant recommendations from the Sub-Committee on By-catch are included in Item 15 of this Report.

## 13 Report of the Meeting of the Sub-Committee on Environment

The Report of the Sub-Committee on Environment, which is attached as Appendix 9, was presented by the Convener, Dr. Jean Marc Fromentin. During the meeting of the group, Dr. Francis Marsac gave a presentation of the GAO environmental database. The Committee commended Dr. Marsac on his presentation and agreed that this would be a very useful tool to be made available to ICCAT scientists and, if possible, it should be hosted on the ICCAT web site. The Executive Secretary assured the Committee that he was disposed to assisting the work of the scientists in any way possible, but that the legal implications, if any, of such hosting would have to be examined carefully before the Secretariat could make a firm commitment. The meeting of the Sub-Committee concluded with a discussion on the multi-specific and eco-system approach and the means of initiating this within the framework of the SCRS.

The relevant recommendations of this Sub-Committee are listed under Item 15 of this report.

## 14 Consideration of plans for future activities

### 14.1 Recommendations from the ad hoc Working Group on SCRS Organization

The Committee reviewed the report of the 2004 meeting of the Working Group (Appendix 10) and endorsed its recommendations, as reflected elsewhere in this report.

With regard to the organization of Species Group and SCRS meetings, the Committee supported efforts to make the work more efficient, provided such efforts were consistent with the need to adopt the report during the SCRS Plenary and as long as some flexibility was maintained in years with a heavy assessment workload. It was recommended that the amount spent making verbal summaries of the national reports (so-called "country reports") be shortened as much as possible.

The Committee Recommended that, each year, the SCRS Chairman send a letter similar to the model in Addendum 2 to Appendix 10 to all Contracting Parties that do not participate in the SCRS Plenary.

In relation to the discussion on the replacement of the scientific editor, the Executive Secretary paid tribute to the work of Dr. Julie Porter, for her excellent editorial and publication work and for her organizational capacitites, and regretted her decision to leave the Secretariat. The Committee seconded the words of the Executive Secretary.

The Committee noted that considerable progress had been made in the improvement of the SCRS organization. It was suggested that the Chairman of the SCRS examine the possibility of broadening the membership of the ad hoc Working Group to include other experts, but some delegations were of the opinion that too large a group would be counterproductive. It was clarified that while the intention was not to be exclusive, it was the small size of the working group which had allowed the group to make progress. It was further stressed that there was full transparency in relation to the conclusions of the group, and that all the advice made by the group was forwarded in a written report to the SCRS plenary, where there was opportunity for full discussion by all delegations. The

Chairman agreed that he was not in favor of enlarging the group too much, but noted that he would consider this matter next year.

### 14.2 Inter-sessional meetings proposed for 2005

The Committee discussed the meeting schedule and reached the following conclusions:

| Meeting | Notes | SCRS Recommendation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Billfish Data <br> Preparatory <br> Meeting | To produce estimates of catches and relative <br> abundance indices. | The Committee recommends that this be <br> held in the first half of 2005. Brazil <br> offered a tentative invitation to host this <br> meeting. |
| BUM \& WHM <br> Assessments | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. <br> 02-13]. | The Committee recommends that the <br> assessments not be carried out before 200 |
| ALB Data <br> preparatory | Proposed by albacore group to examine size <br> data and substitutions by fishery. | The Committee recommends that this <br> meeting take place at least 2 months <br> before the assessment. |
| ALB-North <br> Assessment | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. <br> 03-06]. | The Committee recommends that the <br> assessment be carried out in 2006. |
|  <br> East+Med) | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. <br> 02-08] and [Rec. 03-08]. | The Committee recommends that the <br> assessment for the eastern stock be done <br> using simple methods. |
| SWO stock <br> Structure <br> Workshop | Proposed by SWO group. | The Committee recommends that the <br> workshop take place at the end of 2005 <br> or beginning of 2006. EC-Greece hoped <br> to be in a position to be able to host this <br> meeting. |
| Tropical spp. <br> Workshop | Proposed by tropical species groups to <br> evaluate alternatives to 3.2 kg minimum size. | The Committee recommends that a <br> multi-species evaluation of the <br> minimum size be made in 2005. |
| BFT planning <br> meeting | Proposed by BFT group to develop a <br> research plan. | The Committee recommends that this <br> meeting be held at least 2 months before <br> the 3rd Meeting of the Integrated and <br> Coordinated Management Strategies <br> meeting. |

The Committee again stressed the importance of regular participation in the working groups, at assessments and other studied of all Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities operating important fisheries.

### 14.3 Date and place of the next SCRS Meeting

It was agreed that the next meeting of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics would be held in Madrid, 3-7 October 2005.

## 15 Recommendations

### 15.1 General recommendations to the Commission that have financial implications

### 15.1.1 Tropical tuna species

In response to the Recommendation by the Commission [Rec. 03-01] regarding the 3.2 kg minimum size for bigeye tuna, and due to the multi-specific nature of the surface fisheries operating in the East Atlantic, the Committee recommends that in 2005 a Working Group be held to evaluate measures to reduce mortality of juvenile tunas and to evaluate the overall impact of such measures on these fisheries.

The Committee noted with satisfaction the improvements in data from the Ghanaian purse seine and baitboat fisheries and recommends the continuation of assistance to improve these data.

### 15.1.2 Albacore

The Committee recommended that a Workshop be held prior to the 2005 assessment on albacore in order to prepare and review basic input information and investigate the uncertainties relating to the North Atlantic catch-at-age identified in the last assessment trial conducted in 2003.

### 15.1.3 Bluefin tuna

SCRS recommendation on bluefin assessment
Pursuant to the wishes of the Commission, the SCRS has scheduled an assessment of Atlantic Bluefin tuna for 2005, including western Atlantic, eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean components.

However, the Report of the 2004 ICCAT Data Exploratory Meeting for East Atlantic and Mediterranean Bluefin Tuna (SCRS/2004/013) concluded that the uncertainties in size data substitutions are so numerous that it is not defensible to assess the East Atlantic and Mediterranean stock using methods that assume that the catch-atsize(age) is known exactly (e.g., VPA). For the next assessment, the Bluefin Tuna Species Group will explore the use of simpler assessment methods that do not use size/age data.

Additionally, in the Report of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Management Strategies, the Commission's Working Group indicated that it would be elaborating a range of management options on which the SCRS might give advice at the 2005 Commission Meeting. This elaboration will occur early in 2005 and might include special consideration of the application and effectiveness of controls, such as time and area closures, additional measures to facilitate rebuilding of spawning fish, and the implications of fishing activities in the central Atlantic.

Also, the SCRS notes three fundamental aspects of the current assessment procedures: (1) basic catch-at-size and catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) data do not change substantially from one assessment to the next, especially in the west; (2) long-term projections of assessment results have been shown to be less reliable than the assessment, itself; and (3) uncertainties about the extent and kind of mixing throughout the Atlantic and Mediterranean remain. It is unlikely that an assessment of data accumulated prior to the 2005 meeting will provide significant changes in current understanding of the status of Atlantic bluefin.

Therefore, the SCRS makes the following recommendation for the organization and conduct of the 2005 assessment:

Emphasis should be placed on updating current assessments based on the catches, on catches at size and age, and on CPUEs. The Bluefin Working Group should update and estimate these indicators using existing methodologies, and examine the results to determine if it is likely that significant changes have occurred.

Simple assessment approaches such as yield-per-recruit analyses and tagging results should be evaluated for the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean stock.

Additionally, simulation analyses should be conducted to evaluate the robustness and effectiveness of these procedures.

In the case of the western Atlantic assessment, for continuity it may be required that the assessment analyses that have been used previously be updated. However, emphasis should be placed on actual updates and not on developing new methodologies. Additionally, the SCRS feels that quantitative long term projections of the assessment results may not be justified, given present data uncertainties and uncertainties in movements and mixing. Therefore, the SCRS should focus on shorter term qualitative advice about future conditions of the stock.

The Working Group should be prepared to evaluate the management scenarios that might be defined by the Commission's Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Management Strategies at their 2005 meeting to the extent that data and time allow. Additionally, simulations should be explored to examine robustness of these evaluations to uncertainties in important factors such as mixing.

The SCRS recommends that an external expert be hired to Chair the 2005 assessment meeting, using ICCAT peer-review program funding. This will require preparation time for the chair, in addition to the actual time of the meeting.

## Bluefin Year Program Research Plan for 2005

The Committee recommends that the Commission support the large-scale Bluefin Research Initiative in 2005 in order to further develop this plan

The Committee recommends expenditures of up to $€ 50,000$ to cover expenses associated with stock structure and maturity sampling, tagging and larval sampling in 2005.

### 15.1.4 Billfish

The Committee recommends continued funding of the Enhanced Research Program for Billfish (ERPB) as shown in Appendix 6.

The Committee recommends conducting the next assessment of marlins through a three-stage process:
1 Hold a preparatory assessment meeting in the first half of 2005 to produce estimates of catches and relative abundance indices, but will not attempt to obtain new estimates of population benchmarks. These relative abundance indices and estimated catches will be used to monitor recent changes in stock abundance for marlins and a preliminary evaluation of the success of the marlin rebuilding plan.

2 Participate in the Fourth International Billfish Symposium to be held in Avalon, California, November 2005 in order to collate the most up to date information on billfish biology and billfish fisheries.

3 Have a full assessment of blue and white marlin in 2006 when the information in age and growth and habitat will be available and when there will be a minimum of five extra years of data for each marlin stock.

### 15.1.5 Swordfish

The Committee recommends that a workshop on the topic of swordfish stock structure be held in 2006. The workshop shall be convened by the Mediterranean swordfish Rapporteur and assisted by the Secretariat.

### 15.1.6 Small tuna

The Committee endorses the research program on biology and fishing of small tuna in the Mediterranean Sea described in the Report of the 7th Ad hoc Joint GFCM-ICCAT Meeting on Stocks of Large Pelagic Fishes in the Mediterranean Sea, and recommends that the concerned Contracting Parties support this effort.

### 15.1.7 Sub Committee on Statistics

Given the low response to the Survey on Statistic Collection System, the Committee reiterated the importance of the questionnaire to facilitate the work of the SCRS and it recommends that those countries which have not responded do so as soon as possible.

The Committee emphasizes the need for all Contracting Parties to submit Task I and II data by the deadline date set by the SCRS and using only the ICCAT official languages, coding systems, and standard procedures, and also recommends that Task I data submitted later than a week before the beginning of the SCRS species group meeting not be included in the catch statistics.

Regarding electronic tags the Committee recommends that the Secretariat facilitate advance payment of rewards for returned tags, and establish mechanism for the repayment of the used funds with each individual tagging entity.

The Committee recommends that Contracting Parties make a special effort to improve shark landings estimates by developing special projects with that specific goal and to make efforts to estimate historical catches by species.

The Committee recommends that all Contracting Parties implement the Statistical Document for swordfish, bigeye, and bluefin. Given the importance of correct identification of the origin of the catches, the Committee recommends that, where possible, information on the individual documents be submitted in electronic format. The Committee also agreed that requesting statistical documents on live imports would be helpful because these documents can provide very useful data.

The Committee recommends that the plans to publish an ICCAT Manual proceed quickly. In order to do this in a reasonable timeframe (i.e. two years), $€ 50,000$ are needed ( $€ 25,000$ annually). The Committee recommends that the Secretariat actively seek donors to accomplish this (e.g., from special contributions to the Data Fund or from remaining funds in programs like the BETYP).

### 15.1.8 Sub Committee on By-catch

The Committee recommends that the Commission consider hiring a By-catch Coordinator at the Secretariat and to encourage Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities to enhance their scientific delegations to include experts in seabird and turtle biology and population dynamics

Given that improvements in the ICCAT shark database can only be achieved if the Parties increase infrastructure investment into monitoring the overall catch composition and disposition of the overall catch of sharks and other by-catch species, the Committee recommends that, should the Commission wish improved advice on the status of these and other by-catch species, larger research investment should be made. This investment should include, as a minimum, participation in Working Group meetings by national scientists who have knowledge of the fleets impacting on these species.

The Committee recommends that Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities continue to develop and conduct observer programs for their own fleets to collect accurate data on shark and other catches on species, including discards

### 15.1.9 Sub-Committee on Environment

The Committee recommends that the GAO environmental database of IRD be hosted on the ICCAT website, and that a group comprising the project-manager/designer, Dr. F. Marsac, the Convener of the Sub-Committee on Environment, and a member of the Secretariat resolve the legal questions relating to this hosting.

### 15.1.10 Ad Hoc Working Group on SCRS Organization

The Committee recommends that the Scientific Editor position be advertised and filled by a candidate with the required qualifications, following the same selection process used in the past.

The Committee recommends that the external peer review funds be used in 2005 to bring an independent scientist to chair the bluefin tuna stock assessment meeting. Sufficient funds should be made available to retain the expert's services for a period of 2-3 weeks.

### 15.1.11 SCRS Plenary

The Committee recommends that the budget for the SCRS Plenary meeting take into account the contracting of high-speed internet access facilities.

### 15.2 Other recommendations

### 15.2.1 Tropical tuna species

## Statistics

The Committee recommends that the Secretariat continue its efforts to corroborate the reported statistics of tropical tunas (Task I and Task II) with other sources of catches (e.g. exploring the feasibility of obtaining the flag and ocean of origin of historical import statistics.

The Committee recommends that the size database be closely examined for internal consistency in collaboration with the national scientists, before the proposed workshop in 2005.

The Committee acknowledges the improvement of data on longline fisheries in the western Atlantic Ocean, and recommends the continuation of these efforts.

The available indices of abundance for tropical tunas are still limited. All Contracting Parties, Entities, or Fishing Entities conducting fisheries for these species should provide detailed information on fishing practices so that more indices can be developed and used in the assessment. In particular, the development of abundance indices for younger ages is strongly encouraged. The Committee also recommends the development of standardized indices for the new data from the Brazilian longline fisheries that catch tropical tunas.

## Research

The Committee proposes to hold a workshop in 2005 that will respond to the Commission Recommendation [Ref. 03-01] regarding the 3.2 kg minimum size limit and alternative management measures. The proposed workshop will be held prior to the SCRS general meeting. The Committee suggests that the evaluation of alternative measures will concern not only bigeye tuna but should include other tropical tunas (yellowfin and skipjack tunas) due to the multi-species nature of the fishery, particularly the surface fisheries in the Gulf of Guinea. The workshop should address the likelihood of success of the different alternatives given the current operation of the surface fisheries, including the current moratorium on FAD operations. Specific recommendations of research for the 2005 workshop are provided in the Tropical Tunas Work Plan for 2005.

The Committee recommends that the 2005 workshop should begin by revising and analyzing the updated comprehensive tagging information of tropical tunas to provide estimates of natural mortality, in particular for the early ages. Additionally, the Committee recommends that the ratio of natural mortality and fishing mortality of juvenile tropical tunas be examined.

The Committee recommends a review of recent information on age and growth of skipjack tuna.

### 15.2.2 Sub Committee on Statistics

The Committee recommends the complete validation of the traditional tagging data by Contracting Parties and by non-Contracting Parties, Entities, and Fishing Entities, and species groups. Regarding the data set for sharks it is recommended that the Secretariat contact the entities responsible for the data to solve pending problems.

The Committee is concerned about the possibility of duplicate codes/tag numbers among countries with active tagging programs. The Committee recommends that all Contracting Parties should provide the Secretariat with all tag codes and tag types used in order to establish a protocol to avoid possible code duplications. The Secretariat should contact non-Contracting Parties and research institutes to request the same information.

Based on the good results of the Mediterranean historical data revision carried out by ICCAT Secretariat and FAO-FIDI, the Committee recommends that similar work be conducted to cover the Atlantic Ocean.

The Committee emphasizes the need to develop conversion factors for swordfish and bigeye for various product types and fleets as soon as possible.

The Committee recognizes the need to define Task I areas and recommends that the Secretariat work on the proposal presented this year, in conjunction with national scientists, and submit a new proposal to the next SCRS.

The Committee recommends making all working documents available only in electronic format (pdf format) through the Secretariat. Authors of working documents will be asked to provide only ten hard copies for consultation at the time of the meetings (instead of the current request of 80 copies).

The Committee recommends that each species group continue to report on where data deficiencies exist and make suggestions on how to improve data recording, in order to respond to the Recommendation [Ref. 03-21] by the Commission.

## 16 Responses to Commission's requests

### 16.1 Review of Japanese data on North Atlantic swordfish [Rec. 02-02]

In 2002, the Commission recommended that SCRS shall, in 2003 and 2004, review the Japanese swordfish catch, including discards. The Committee reviewed the swordfish catches reported by Japan, as outlined in Japan's Annual Report and estimated dead discards and live releases for 2000-2003 based on the logbook data, radio reports of fishing activities by Japanese longline fleet vessels and multiple sources of size frequency data, which were documented in SCRS/2004/186. The method for the estimation of discards and releases used in 2003 is the same one which was reviewed by the SCRS in 2002.

Japan reported landings of swordfish for the years 2000-2003 of $161,0,0$, and 0 t from the North Atlantic management area, and 788, 694, 900 and 959 t from the South Atlantic management area, respectively. Japan also reported dead discards from the North Atlantic management area of 583, 578, 239, and 102 t for 2000-2003, respectively. The recent decrease in dead discards is mainly due to the decrease in fishing effort and to changes of the fishing grounds in the North Atlantic. The Committee noted that the 2003 landings and discard reports should be considered provisional and subject to a general upward revision, as additional logbook reports from Japanese vessels become available.

### 16.2 Alternative measures to protect juvenile bigeye tuna [Rec. 03-01]

The 2003 Recommendation by ICCAT on Bigeye Tuna Conservation Measures [Rec. 03-01] mandated the SCRS to "conduct an analysis of the effectiveness of the current minimum size recommendations and ... advise in 2004 on alternative measures for the protection of juvenile bigeye, taking into account the current moratorium."

Bigeye tuna are caught as part of a multi-specific fishery that includes yellowfin and skipjack. This is especially true for the surface fleets that are responsible for most of the catch of juvenile bigeye. Any measure thus taken in order to conserve or regulate catches on one species will have an impact on the catch of the others. In the case of the minimum size regulation for bigeye, the catch of skipjack, the primary target species of the purse seine fleet, will likely be affected. This multi-specific character also complicates the analysis of the potential impact or the realized effectiveness of single-species regulations.

The 1979 Recommendation by ICCAT on a Bigeye Tuna Size Limit [Rec. 79-01] established a 3.2 kg minimum size for Atlantic bigeye with a $15 \%$ tolerance in numbers of fish, with the objective of reinforcing a minimum size regulation for yellowfin. It must be noted that for bigeye, which is a relatively long-lived species, the size at first capture that would theoretically maximize yield-per-recruit is likely well above the 3.2 kg limit recommended by the regulation. This limit was established under very different conditions than the ones under which the fishery currently operates, was only proposed to strengthen the yellowfin minimum size regulation, and was not based on a scientific analysis. The complete implementation of this Recommendation could have reduced fishing mortality on juveniles, especially as the exploitation rate on the stock increased, thereby helping to avoid growth over fishing and, in the long term, to avoid recruitment over fishing. However its exact impact remains unknown and its potential result could only be established through detailed analyses of the fishery. Compliance with this measure has never been effectively achieved largely due to the characteristics of the purse seine gear and operations, the principal source of fishing effort on juveniles. For European purse seiners, the proportion of juveniles in the total catch in numbers has always remained high, independently of the total catch (Figure 16.2.1).

The size composition of the catches is the result of two related factors: the physical/technical characteristics of the gear, and the way in which it is deployed (when, where and how). Currently, it is not possible to alter the first factor for purse seiners. Therefore, a reduction in the purse seine fishing mortality of juvenile bigeye could occur from the implementation of measures that affect the way purse seine operations are conducted, e.g., through time-area closures where juveniles are found (as is intended by the moratorium). Furthermore, tropical tuna purse seine sets are multi-specific and any change in the way operations are conducted that could reduce the catch of juvenile bigeye would impact the catch of the other species, mainly skipjack.

In the current situation of Atlantic bigeye where reductions in fishing mortality, especially on juveniles, are recommended, and where the main component of juvenile fishing mortality comes from gears like purse seine, any measures based on catch or effort controls, such as the moratorium, are more effective for reducing mortality on juveniles. The implementation of such alternative management measures could improve the overall
exploitation pattern and consequently benefit the stock (Figure 16.2.2), but needs to be part of a complete management strategy for tropical tuna.

A number of possible alternative management measures could be envisaged, but ascertaining their direct and indirect effects is a complex task due to the multi-specific and multi-gear nature of this fishery. A number of biological parameters with a large impact on the results of such analyses remain highly uncertain. Of particular importance is the case of natural mortality by age. For example, natural mortality by age of tropical tuna greatly influences the relative importance of the mortality exerted on juveniles by purse seiners, but its value is not well determined.

A more complete answer to the request of the commission is very likely to require a directed effort from the Working Group. A possible way forward is the organization of a workshop devoted to addressing alternative measures for the protection of juvenile tropical tuna as proposed in the Work Plan attached as Appendix 11.


Figure 16.2.1. Numbers and proportion of juvenile bigeye tuna in the total catch of European purse seiners.


Figure 16.2.2. Effect of implementation of the moratorium on the reduction of juvenile fishing mortality. Upper line: without moratorium. Lower line: with moratorium.

### 16.3 Consideration of sampling programs for farmed bluefin

No new information was submitted to the SCRS on this subject. The Committee suggests that the terms "farming" and "fattening" be interpreted interchangeably in future considerations related to Recommendation [Rec. 03-09].

### 16.4 Data Fund [Res. 03-21]

Approximately $€ 16,000$ was made available for the Data Fund during 2004 before the SCRS Meeting. While no activities have been undertaken with these funds in 2004, the Committee endorses the protocol for use of the funds shown in Addendum 1 to Appendix 10.

### 16.5 Plan for port sampling [Res. 03-21]

Based on the discussions held by the Sub-Committee on Statistics, the Committee recommends that each species group evaluate data deficiencies and report on the need to conduct port sampling, and the required level of funding for this activity.

### 16.6 Enhanced research program for bluefin tuna (from Marseille meeting, May 2004)

In the Report of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Management Strategies, the Commission's Working Group (Marseille, France, 17-20 May 2004) agreed that the Bluefin Tuna Research Program proposed by the SCRS in 2003 (SCRS/2003/014) offered the prospect of a more coherent effort of harmonized and coordinated research. However, they recommended that the SCRS, at its 2004 Meeting, should re-examine this program with a view to identifying priority actions which could be undertaken, as well as a corresponding revised budget on a more modest level.

In order to respond to this request from the Working Group and the Commission, the SCRS makes the following recommendation:

The original Research Plan (SCRS/2003/014) grouped the research activities into four major categories: (I) Basic Data, including catch and effort statistics, reproductive biology and mortality; (II) Stock Structure, including tagging, spawning sites, biological markers and spatial distributions; (III) Environmental Variability; and (IV) Modeling, including Operational models, assessment models and management procedures. Given the Commission's desire (as expressed by the Working Group) for a more modest budget, the SCRS recommends that research over the short term be focused on research activities I, II and IV, in that activity III (Environmental Variability) is likely to require a longer term research effort.

In order to further prioritize within the remaining research activities (Basic Data, Stock Structure and Modeling) and to develop specific budget options for those activities, the SCRS recommends that a research planning meeting(s) be held during the next year. These discussions (in which there are sessions for each of the three major research activities) should include SCRS bluefin scientists and appropriate external experts invited by ICCAT to participate. Funds will be required to support the travel of the outside experts. Through this process, the SCRS will provide a revised Bluefin Research Plan for the consideration by the Commission in 2005.

The revised Bluefin Research Plan will provide prioritized research activities, within each of the three broad areas described above, that may result in reduced total funding requirements. However, the SCRS wishes to note that a coordinated research effort, even at a more modest level, will require a Coordinator. For example, the Coordinator would assure that ongoing and planned research by national laboratories is not duplicated. Absorption of coordination duties by either the Secretariat or National scientists will not be sufficient.

In order to facilitate the transfer from the low level BYP research activities to the Bluefin Research Plan, it is recommended that funding of $€ 150,000$ be provided to support the research planning and coordination tasks that are necessary.

### 16.7 Alternative to reduce catches of juveniles or dead discards of swordfish in the Atlantic

In 2001, the Commission resolved that Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing entities should evaluate any long-term time-area closures implemented within the Convention Area for vessels flying their flag for the purpose of reducing the mortality of undersized swordfish and present such
evaluation in the form of a scientific paper to the SCRS for consideration [Res. 01-04]. The Commission also resolved that the purpose of such evaluation should be to determine if such time-area closures implemented by a Contracting Party and/or a Regional Fishery management organization may be as effective, or are likely to be more effective, in reducing the mortality of undersized swordfish caused by vessels flying its flag as the ICCAT minimum size requirement in effect for that Contracting Party at the time of such evaluation, if such requirement were fully complied with.

During the 2004 SCRS meeting, the committee reviewed a preliminary evaluation of the potential of time-area closures and minimum size regulations described in Document SCRS/2004/128. The effectiveness of the two measures in reducing the mortality of undersized swordfish due to fishing was evaluated by using simulation modeling and estimating the potential changes in the number and relative number of discards which could follow the adoption of a time-area closure or a minimum size regulation. The simulation-evaluation framework proposed for the analysis made use of a detailed population dynamics model and probabilistic analysis to describe the dynamics of North Atlantic swordfish and the fisheries which target it. The analysis mainly focused on the effects of those measures on the number of undersized fish caught in the US fishery. However, some general results associated with the fishing practices of all swordfish fisheries in the North Atlantic were also derived.

For constant catch quotas, the preliminary evaluation indicated that the adoption of a minimum size limit (MSL) could lead to higher fishing pressure on older fish. If no gear or fishery behavior changes take place, then the higher fishing pressure could also lead to higher catches of fish of illegal size which would be discarded. Thus, the potential of a MSL regulation to reduce the fishing mortality of undersized swordfish depends on the proportion of fish which survive once they have been thrown back to the sea as well as on secondary effects (not considered in the preliminary evaluation) which could reduce the overall capacity of the fleet. The effects of the MSL on the status of the stock depended on the factors described above as well as on the level of reduction in the size of mature fish population due to the additional fishing pressure on fish with size greater than the MSL. The adoption of an area closure (MPA) might lead to smaller increases in the fishing pressure on older fish since a portion of fish with size smaller than the MSL can be exploited (the fish which are outside the MPA). However, for the same reason, the MPA might fail to reduce the mortality in undersized swordfish. In addition, the analysis suggested that the adoption of a MSL together with a MPA could lead to greater reduction in the mortality of undersized swordfish than the adoption of either an MSL or MPA alone. The Committee noted that those predictions were in line with the outcome of previous considerations of the effects of area-time and minimum size regulations on the mortality of immature fish.

The Committee recommended additional evaluations of the assumptions made in the preliminary analysis are needed before stronger conclusions could be drawn relative to the conservation equivalency of the specific actions taken by the U.S. fleet. The Committee noted, however, that the restrictions placed on the U.S. fleet (MPA and MSL) in combination, have resulted in reduced catches and dead discards of juvenile swordfish by the U.S. fleet as documented in the U.S. Annual Report.

The Committee also noted that in recent years, there has been a higher level of recruitment (age 1 abundance) to the North Atlantic swordfish stock without a corresponding increase in the percentage of fish $<125 \mathrm{~cm}$ LJFL landed by some important fleets which do not make significant discards. This combination may have also contributed to a substantial reduction in the fishing mortality of juvenile swordfish. These observations suggest that at least some fleets have introduced changes in fishing operations which resulted in avoidance of small fish catch as a result of the ICCAT MSL and domestic controls. The simulations done in SCRS/2004/178 do not take into account such changes in fishing behavior and the Committee recommends that future evaluations be structured to account for such change, based on the available information, to provide improved advice on the effects of MSL, MPA, and other management controls designed to reduce fishing mortality on juvenile swordfish.

### 16.8 Bluefin tuna dead discards

The SCRS considered the Commission's Recommendation [Rec. 02-07] which stated that "Contracting Parties should review their methodology for estimating dead discards, make revisions in estimates of dead discards, as appropriate, and report to SCRS". This recommendation was made with reference to bluefin tuna.

It appeared unlikely that a single method for estimating dead discards would be appropriate for all contracting parties. Contracting Parties are however encouraged to continue to document this source of mortality which remains incomplete for many fisheries.

Finally, it was agreed that for the upcoming assessment of bluefin tuna, both Canada and the United States would table estimates of discards from both observers and logbook information to determine if the assessment results continue to be insensitive to the method of estimation of dead discards.

## 17 Other matters

The Committee asked the Secretariat to explain why there was no report available for the peer review of the bigeye stock assessment. The Secretariat explained that after multiple attempts to contact the external expert throughout the summer, it was apparent that the peer review report was not completed in time before the SCRS due to personal reasons. The Secretariat also explained that the receipt of the review report was required under the terms of reference of the program and, as such, it would not be possible to reimburse the expert for his travel expenses. The Committee requested that the Secretariat nevertheless contact the reviewer and encourage him to send a report for information purposes.

## 18 Adoption of report and closure

The Report of the SCRS was adopted by the Committee.

The SCRS Chairman thanked the participants, the interpreters and the Secretariat for their contribution to the success of the meeting, and adjourned the meeting of the 2004 SCRS.
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| SCRS/2004/158 | Swordfish (Xiphias gladius L.) catch composition in the Tyrrhenian Sea and in the Straits of Sicily in 2002 and 2003. | DI NATALE A., A. Mangano, A. Asaro, M. Bascone, A. Celona, E. Navarre, M. Valastro. | SWO MED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCRS/2004/159 | First information about the Atlantic bonito (Sarda sarda L.) catch composition in the Tyrrhenian Sea and in the Straits of Sicily in 2002 and 2003. | DI NATALE A., A. Mangano, A. Asaro, M. Bascone, A. Celona, E. Navarra, M. Valastro. | SMT MED |
| SCRS/2004/160 | A series of catch records by the harpoon fishery in the Strait of Messina from 1976 to 2003. | DI NATALE A., A. Celona, A. Mangano. | BFT |
| SCRS/2004/161 | Pilot study on tuna sport fishing activity in Italy. | DI NATALE A., P. Addis, A. Cau, A. Celona, N. Cingolani, M. Deflorio, G. De Metrio, C. Fuggetti, F. Garibaldi, A. Mangano, G. Marano, G. Palandri, A.M. Pastorelli, C. Piccinetti, L. Relini Orsi, M. Valastro. | BFT |
| SCRS/2004/162 | Size frequency composition of the albacore (Thunnus alalunga) catches in the Tyrrhenian Sea and in the Straits of Sicily in 2002 and 2003. | DI NATALE, A., A. Mangano, A. Celona, M. Valastro. | ALB MED |
| SC RS/2004/163 | Actividades desarrolladas en el programa de investigacion intensiva sobre marlines en Venezuela: Período 2003-2004. | MARCANO, L.A., F. Arocha, J. Alío, J. Marcano, A. Larez. | BIL |
| SCRS/2004/164 | Developing Bayesian mark-recapture methods for Atlantic Bluefin Tuna (Thunnus thynnus thynnus) to provide estimates of movement rates and improve estimates of harvest rates. | SHEMLA, A.,M.K. McAllister. | BFT |
| SCRS/2004/165 | Microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA analyses of Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus thynnus) population structure in the Mediterranean Sea. | CARLSSON, J., J.R. Mcdowell, P. DíazJaimes, J.E.L. Carlsson, S.B. Boles, J.R. Gold, J.E. Graves. | BFT |
| SCRS/2004/166 | Preliminary evaluation of the effects of North Atlantic bluefin tuna mixing: Summary of the main findings. | APOSTOLAKI, P., M. McAllister, E. Babcock. | BFT |
| SCRS/2004/167 | Length to weight conversions for wahoo, Acanthocybium solandri, in the northwest Atlantic. | BEERKIRCHER, L.R. | SMT |
| SCRS/2004/168 | Overview of the SEFSC Pelagic Observer Program in the northwest Atlantic from 1992-2002. | BEERKIRCHER, L.R., C.J. Brown, D.L. Abercrombie, D.W. Lee. | GEN |
| SCRS/2004/169 | Historique de la production nationale de thonidés en Algérie | Ministère de la Pêche et des Ressources Halieutiques | STAT |
| SCRS/2004/171 | Open environmental databases for Open-Sea Fisheries Biologists | FROMENTIN, J.M., F. Royer, F. Marsac | GEN |
| SCRS/2004/172 | GAO: An environmental database and software designed for fisheries biologists | MARSAC, F. | GEN |
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| SCRS/2004/177 | First results about swordfish (Xiphias gladius) fecundity in the central and western Mediterranean. An updated approach. | Macias, D, A. Fenech-Farrugia A, JM de la Serna, MJ Gómez-Vives y L. Lema | SWO |
| SCRS/2004/178 | Preliminary results on fecundity of Atlantic bonito (Sarda sarda) caught in southwestern Spanish Mediterranean traps. | Macias, D, L. Lema, , MJ Gómez-Vives y JM de la Serna | BON |
| SCRS/2004/179 | Datos sobre la actividad de la flota atunera española de cerco y barcos de apoyo pescando en el océano atlántico, obtenidos por observadores desde 2001 al 2004. | R. Sarralde, J. Ariz, A. Delgado de Molina, P. Pallarés y J.C. Santana. | TROP |
| SCRS/2004/180 | Evolución de las capturas y del esfuerzo de pesca de la flota española de cerco tropical en el océano atlántico tras la aplicación de las moratorias voluntarias y la recomendación de CICAA, sobre el establecimiento de una veda de zona y temporada al uso de dispositivos agregadores de peces. | J. Ariz, A. Delgado de Molina, P. Pallarés, R. Sarralde y J.C. Santana. | TRP |
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| SCRS/2004/186 | Swordfish dead discards and live releases by Japanese longliners in the North Atlantic Ocean in 2002-2003. | Yokawa, K. And T. Fukuda | SWO |
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| SCRS/2004/188 | Advances in a pilot study for the development of a fish image <br> database from pelagic longline fishery in the western central <br> Atlantic. | F. Arocha and D.W. Lee | GEN |
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## OPENING ADDRESS AND STATEMENT TO THE PLENARY

### 4.1 OPENING ADDRESS

## Mr. Driss Meski, Executive Secretary of ICCAT

Mr. Chairman, Honorable Delegates, Ladies and Gentlemen,
First of all, I would like to welcome you to this meeting of the Scientific Committee of our Organization. I am very pleased to meet you in Madrid for the first time as the Executive Secretary of ICCAT.

I take this occasion to confirm my full support and that of the entire ICCAT staff as well as our full support of all the initiatives that are aimed at improving the results of our work.

The work of the Scientific Committee is of capital importance to our organization. In this sense, I would also like to pay homage to the scientists who have contributed to the research in the areas of concern to ICCAT for their efforts and sacrifices made towards the objective of increasing knowledge on the aspects related to the tuna species in our Convention area. The results of your work of which our annual meetings are tributary, constitute a fundamental basis for the Commission's important decisions.

Thanks to the efforts of the Scientific Committee the work of the Commission meetings progresses considerably and as a result, today ICCAT is a reference in matters of management of the tuna resources.

The scientific research for which I personally have considerable affinity is an inevitable means for the development of any plan of action and decision concerning the management measures that may be undertaken. For this, you will have from the Secretariat all the support you need to accomplish the mission assigned to the Scientific Committee.

As you know, all the Contracting Parties, Cooperators and other organizations closely follow the work of our Commission. I hope that the results of this meeting respond to their concerns and provide the responses to their questions.

I wish you total success in your work during this week. Thank you.

### 4.2 STATEMENT BY INTERGOVERNMENT ORGANIZATION TO THE PLENARY

## Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)

Text provided by J. Majkowski of FAO:
"Dr. Jacek Majkowski reported on activities of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) that were of particular relevance to SCRS. He concentrated on the activities carried out by FAO's Marine Resource Service and mentioned various other activities of FAO. Referring to various Agenda Items of this Meeting, he emphasized the very close collaboration of one of FAO's fishery body, General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean (GFCM) and ICCAT, which is reflected in these Items.

Dr Majkowski outlined the objectives, activities and results of FAO's Project on the Management of Tuna Fishing Capacity: Conservation and Socio-Economics. He presented the conclusions and recommendations contained in the Statement prepared by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) for the Project at its $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting held in Madrid, Spain in March 2004. He indicated that the Statement was presented to the Technical Consultation to Review and Promote the Full Implementation of the IPOA to Prevent, Deter and Eliminate IUU Fishing and the IPOA on the Management of Fishing Capacity (Rome, June 2004), resulting in some recommendations specific to the western and central Pacific.

Dr Majkowski also mentioned further developments of FAO's Fisheries Global Information System (FIGIS), pointing out the catch data and other information on tuna and tuna-like species that are available from that System. Referring the 2003 Meeting of FAO's Committee on Fisheries (COFI), he mentioned Expert and Technical Consultations and other meetings organized by FAO on IUU fishing, fishing capacity, turtles and CITES. He also mentioned various projects implemented by FAO in various regions which involve tuna.

Concluding his presentation, on behalf of FAO and GFCM, Dr Majkowski expressed thanks to ICCAT for its collaboration with FAO and GFCM and its contribution of its data, other information and expertise to FAO and GFCM. He mentioned the help provided by Dr Victor Restrepo, Assistant Executive Secretary of ICCAT to the FAO Project on the Management of Tuna Fishing Capacity and to other activities of FAO and GFCM."

## BLUEFIN YEAR PROGRAM (BYP) EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Bluefin Tuna Year Program Working Group reviewed the progress made under the Bluefin Year Program, concluding that most of the research goals outlined for 2001 to 2004 had been met.

The current financial status is reviewed below and recommendations are made for direct BYP-funded research, for 2005 in particular, and for the future in general. The two primary areas of research considered important by the Working Group are stock structure and maturity, and the particular expenditures needed to accomplish the Working Group objectives in 2005 are outlined. While sampling for stock structure and maturity remains the highest immediate priority of the BYP, the Committee also recommends support of several additional research activities, which are also itemized below.

The Committee has recommended and the Commission has endorsed initiation of a large-scale Bluefin Research Program, which shall incorporate the BYP in the future. This endorsement by the Commission is a welcomed recognition by CPCs of the critical need to increase research funding to address critical needs. It is noted that the BYP seed monies have in fact elevated the quality and quantity of research proposals for consideration under the BYP. It is obvious that future funding levels need to be significantly elevated.

## Financial report

The financial status of the BYP funds through 5 October 2004 was reviewed. With the expected 2005 Commission contribution of $€ 15,000$, the 2005 BYP operating budget should be on the order of $€ 50,000$.

## Progress made on 2003-2004 BYP Research Plan

## Biological sampling

The main objective of biological sampling within the BYP is in support of research on stock structure by means of genetic analyses (tissue) and microconstituents analyses (otoliths); research on reproduction (gonads) and research on growth (spines and vertebrae). Sampling in the eastern Atlantic as well as western, central and eastern Mediterranean was accomplished, as planned. For 2003-2004 samples were collected in Iceland, Spain, Turkey, Malta and Tunisia (sampling for the two last countries was accomplished in the framework of the FAOCOPEMED project). A total of 1,342 bluefin tuna belonging to all length classes was sampled (Iceland, 622; Canada 49 (SCRS/2004/095); Spain, 316; Turkey, 205; Tunisia, 50; Croatia, 50; Malta, 30; and Italy, 20) during the period.

## Research on maturity

In 2003, the REPRO-DOTT project (an EU funded research program) continued. The overall objective of this project is to improve the understanding of the reproductive physiology of bluefin tuna as the basis to develop a suitable methodology for the control of its reproduction in captivity in order to establish a sustainable tuna aquaculture. Up to date, several progresses have been reached about developing handling techniques for bluefin tuna aquaculture research (anesthesia, safe and effective capturing approaches for sampling on dead or live fish, tagging operations, testing egg collection devices, and employment of non-intrusive methods for sex and maturity assessment). In addition, DNA sequentiation and assays to measure gene expression have been completed.

Furthermore, document SCRS/2004/082, based on histological studies, reported the presence of post-ovulatory follicles in bluefin tuna caught in the eastern Mediterranean (Levantine Sea).

## Research on tuna farming

In 2002, the BYP Working Group endorsed the proposed research activities on tuna farming in the Adriatic Sea submitted by Croatian scientists at the 2002 SCRS meeting and provided partial support to continue this research in 2003. The research has progressed as proposed and the results are reported in document SCRS/2004/096.

On the other hand, bluefin tuna farming activities in Tunisia for 2003 are described in document SCRS/2004/084.

At present, additional research on tuna farming is being accomplished by an ad hoc GFCM-ICCAT Working Group that has held several meetings up to date and which will continue its activities for 2005.

## Research on spawning areas

For 2003-2004 larval surveys were carried out in the Balearic Islands, Sicily, Tunisia and Turkey as well as in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The preliminary results of all the surveys conducted off different Mediterranean regions are reported in document SCRS/2004/183. Furthermore, the results for 2003 of the Spanish annual larval survey (TUNIBAL) are presented in document SCRS/2004/076. The Balearic Sea (TUNIBAL) showed the highest larval catch which is clearly associated to surface temperatures ranging from 24 to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Levantine Sea survey showed lower catches, possibly due to a lower bluefin spawning activity caused by a lower surface temperature regime during the survey. Similarities with the Balearic Sea hydrographic pattern have been found.

In 2003, the BYP Working Group endorsed the proposed research activities on spawning areas in the eastern Mediterranean submitted by Turkish scientists at the 2003 SCRS meeting and provided partial support to these activities in the Levantine Sea. The research has progressed as proposed and the results are reported in documents SCRS/2004/082 and 144. The Levantine Sea is suggested as a spawning ground for bluefin tuna using histological assessment of the ovaries. The presence of post-ovulatory follicles confirm that bluefin tuna spawn in the Levantine Sea from May to mid-June, almost a month earlier than reported for other Mediterranean spawning areas in the same year. The presence of a spawning period and the results of tagging experiments suggest the possibility of a local eastern Mediterranean component of this resource. Furthermore, 121 ( $5-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size) bluefin tuna larvae were collected. The concentration of bluefin tuna larvae was the highest as compared to other tuna larvae. Highest concentration was found in the Bay of Mersin.

On the other hand, in September 2004 a larval research meeting was held at Gyrnes (Cyprus). The report of the Mediterranean BYP Tuna Larval Meeting is summarized in document SCRS/2004/189. The result of tuna reproduction off the Levantine Sea, a revision of historical background of bluefin tuna larval research, the main results of the TUNIBAL project, the revision of the state of the fisheries off the northern coasts of Cyprus, results from Sicilian Channel and Turkey were summarized. In addition, a proposal to study small tuna larvae was presented. Finally, standardization of tuna larval surveys was discussed by the Group.

## Research on genetics

The results of genetic analyses of the Atlantic bluefin tuna population in the Mediterranean Sea were presented in document SCRS/2004/165. The results of the microsatelites and mitochondrial DNA analyses suggested the possibility of a genetically discrete population in the eastern basin of the Mediterranean Sea.

## Research on otolith microchemistry

Recent research, making use of samples obtained through the BYP and other sources has focused on the use of otolith 13 C and $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopes to distinguish nursery habitats. In particular, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ should vary between the Mediterranean and the West Atlantic. The cooler Mediterranean should lead to an enriched level of $\delta^{8} \mathrm{O}$ based upon kinetic considerations as well as empirical evidence (Thorrold et al. 1997; Gao et al. 2001). Preliminary findings suggest that $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ isotopes may be a powerful and reliable marker of nursery origin. For juveniles collected in 1999 and 2000, $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ of Atlantic bluefin tuna collected in the western Atlantic and Mediterranean were markedly different with no overlap between nurseries, and this difference was stable across the two years. Further, stable isotope values of otolith cores from medium and giant Atlantic tuna caught in the U.S. tended to delineate into either high or low $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ levels, indicative of origin in either the West Atlantic or the Mediterranean. On-going research is directed at evaluating potential bias due to the micromilling procedure, further verification of nursery-specific $\delta^{18} \mathrm{O}$ levels, and preliminary examinations of nursery origins for sub-adults and adults collected in from U.S. and Mediterranean coastal waters

## Electronic tagging

In 2003, the BYP Working Group recommended increasing effort on electronic tagging in the Mediterranean Sea as well as encouraged cooperation between scientists of coastal countries. In this sense, project TUNASAT, coordinated by the University of Bari (Italy) continued adult bluefin tuna tagging activities in cooperation with
several countries and scientists from the western Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. The results are presented in document SCRS/2004/114, which reports movements of bluefin tuna tagged in the Mediterranean with pop-up satellite tags. Preliminary results indicate that adults over 100 kg remain in the Mediterranean after spawning and feed in areas of high primary productivity. After spawning, large bluefin tuna ( $150-230 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) migrate into the eastern North Atlantic, either to the south, towards the Cape Verde Islands, or to the north, to Iceland and the Norwegian Sea. Some large bluefin move between the Alboran Sea and the Atlantic for feeding purposes.

A cooperative agreement was signed between the University of Bari (Italy), MCES (Malta), COPEMED and the IEO (Spain) for electronic tagging in the Mediterranean, coordinated by the BYP.

In 2003 and 2004 and following the recommendations by the BYP Working Group, the University of Bari (Italy) in cooperation with Malta (through MCES), in the framework of the FAO-COPEMED project, tagged a total of 8 bluefin tuna in the Maltese farming installations, 43 bluefin tuna in Turkish farming installations in cooperation with the University of Istanbul and 10 bluefin tuna in Spanish farming installations (Ricardo Fuentes) in cooperation with IEO (Spain). Both of these tagging activities were coordinated through the ICCAT BYP program.

At the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Meeting of the Working Group to Develop Integrated and Coordinated Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Management Strategies (Marseille, May 2004), the current state of knowledge with respect to the available electronic tagging results were reviewed. The report of this meeting is available on the ICCAT web site as are copies of selected scientific presentations made at the meeting.

## Conventional tagging

As regards conventional tagging, a review of juvenile bluefin tuna tagging information in waters around the Iberian Peninsula was presented in document SCRS/2004/175. The aim was to assess the planning of future conventional tagging surveys aimed to describe and quantify movements between the birth, wintering and feeding areas as well as to quantify the rate of survival and recoveries of juveniles.

Furthermore, conventional tagging of juvenile bluefin tuna isbeing accomplished in collaboration with recreational fishermen in the framework of the SFITUM project (EU-funded project on sport fisheries in the Mediterranean).

## Direct ageing

In 2003 the BYP Working Group recommended that a bluefin ageing network of scientists who have worked on age determination of bluefin be initiated. The aim of the network would be to compare and evaluate various ageing methods for various ages and from different seasons in order to develop a standardized protocol for age determination for bluefin tuna. In this sense, document SCRS/2004/176 reported the results obtained by the aforementioned bluefin tuna direct ageing network. Both hard parts, spines and vertebrae, had been used to estimate bluefin tuna age. Furthermore, otoliths have also been used successfully for the same purposes. Additional work on this topic will be required.

## Abundance indices

A joint abundance index was developed for the Spanish and Moroccan trap fisheries addressed to bluefin tuna in the Straits of Gibraltar (SCRS/2004/071). In the same way, an abundance index was developed for the Spanish artisanal fisheries (baitboat and hand line) targeting bluefin tuna in the Strait of Gibraltar (SCRS/2004/075). Furthermore, in the framework of the FAO-COPEMED project, an abundance index was developed for the Tunisian trap fishery addressed to bluefin tuna as well as an abundance index for the Moroccan trap fishery targeting bluefin tuna.

## Environment

As regards environmental research, document SCRS/2004/097 showed the effect of the environment, and especially fronts, in structuring the distribution of juvenile bluefin tuna schools. This is believed to be mainly a trophic association, since enhanced convergence and retention processes occur at fronts, possibly leading to higher prey densities.

## Research Plan for 2005

There has been considerable progress to date on the sampling plan developed by the BYP in 1999 and continued through 2004, but at a lower cost than originally anticipated. While there is a need to maintain sampling to achieve the plan outlined in the BYP sampling plan (see BYP Detailed Report), the BYP research funds in 2004 and 2005 permit some continued broadening of the research plan to include additional high priority research. Should the Commission support the large-scale Bluefin Research Initiative in 2005 as it has requested the SCRS to further develop this research plan, the research elements identified in the BYP shall be incorporated into that activity.

As highest priority for the BYP in 2004-2005, the BYP Working Group recommends expenditures of $€ 50,000$ to cover expenses associated with stock structure and maturity sampling, tagging, and larval sampling during the upcoming year. It is time to attempt to better harmonize the various activities under the BYP, especially considering that the resources available for conducting research fall far short of the actual resource level to conduct high priority research. To achieve this harmonization, three sub-coordinators were nominated to assist the BYPE and BYPW Coordinators in optimizing the use of available funds. The BYPE and BYPW Coordinators remain the responsible scientific authority for the BYP research (subject to the approval of general plans by SCRS) and the BYPE and BYPW Coordinators shall continue to review and approve, as appropriate, expenditures to be made under the plan framework outlined below. For the purpose of assisting the BYPE and BYPW Coordinators as described in the BYP sampling plan, sub-coordinators shall provide advice to the Coordinators on the most appropriate sampling and research activities to be undertaken under the general topic areas of Biological Sampling, Larval Sampling, and Tagging. Sub-coordinators for these research themes nominated are J. M. de la Serna (IEO Malaga: Biological Sampling), A. Garcia (IEO, Malaga: Larval Sampling), and V. Ticina (Croatia, Tagging). Under this framework, after taking into account prior commitments of the BYP for research approved, but not yet fully accomplished, approximately $15 \%$ of the available research funds shall be directed toward Tagging activities, $40 \%$ toward Larval Sampling and $45 \%$ toward Biological Sampling. Additionally, small amounts will be used to support coordination activities under each research theme.

Table 1. Recommended 2004-2005 BYP contributions to bluefin research ( $€$ )

| Project description | $2004-2005$ <br> Request | BYP Fund <br> Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Planned expenditures in 2004 (to support commitments made in the 2003 |  | 40,000 |
| BYP) | $-1,000$ | 39,000 |
| Shipping samples | $-10,000$ | 29,000 |
| Larval and Biological Sampling (Turkey) | $-5,000$ | 24,000 |
| Ageing Coordination | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0}$ | 39,000 |
| Anticipated 2005 Commission contribution |  |  |
| Planned expenditures in 2005 | $-4,000$ | 35,000 |
| I. Biological Sampling | $-10,850$ | 24,150 |
| $\quad$ W. Atlantic Sampling (Canada) | $-2,000$ | 22,150 |
| Mediterranean \& E. Atlantic Sampling (established by BYPE Coordinator) |  |  |
| Biological Sampling Coordination | $-13,200$ | 8,950 |
| II. Larval Sampling (established by BYPE Coordinator) | $-2,000$ | 6,950 |
| Larval Sampling Coordination | $-4,950$ | 2,000 |
| III. Tagging (established by BYPE Coordinator) | $-2,000$ | 0 |
| Tagging Coordination |  |  |

# ICCAT ENHANCED RESEARCH PROGRAM FOR BILLFISH - EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 

(Expenditures/ Contributions 2004 \& Program Plan for 2005)

## Program objectives

The original plan for the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish (IERPB, SCRS 1986) included the following specific objectives: (1) to provide more detailed catch and effort statistics, and particularly size frequency data; (2) to initiate the ICCAT tagging program for billfish; and (3) to assist in collecting data for age and growth studies. The plan was initially formulated in 1986 and implemented in 1987 with the intention of developing the data necessary to assess the status of the billfish stocks. Efforts to meet this goal have continued through 2004 and are highlighted below. During the 2004 Billfish Working Group meeting, the Working Group requested that the IERPBF refocus its objectives to accomplish age and growth estimates for adult marlin, as well as evaluate habitat use of adult marlin using electronic tags. The Working Group believes that these data will facilitate use of more sophisticated models for billfish assessments.

The ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish, which began in 1987, continued in 2004. The Secretariat coordinates the transfer of funds and the distribution of tags, information, and data. The General Coordinator of the Program is Dr. Joseph Powers (USA); the East Atlantic Coordinator is Dr. Nestor N'Goran Ya (Côte d'Ivoire), while the West Atlantic Coordinator is Dr. Eric Prince (USA). The billfish database is maintained at the NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center (Miami, Florida) and at the ICCAT Secretariat.

The objectives of this program follow the research recommendations made by the ICCAT Billfish Working Group. These recommendations are directly relevant to the objectives of the IERPB and highlight the need to increase the resources devoted to support the work pursued by the IERPB. The development of the IERPB research plan is also coordinated with the "Atlantic Billfish Research Plan" ${ }^{1}$ from the NMFS Southeast Fisheries Science Center because both plans attempt to address similar research needs.

## 2004: Budget and Expenditures

This report presents a summary of the contributions and expenditures for the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish during 2004. In 2004, funding for the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish operated under the financial arrangement established by the 1997 SCRS (see 1997 STACFAD Report, item 9.3). The STACFAD specified that the Commission should make at least a symbolic contribution to the Enhanced Research Program for Billfish and this was continued in 2004 (1997 STACFAD Report, items 9.5 and 9.9). As a result of this development, the Program in 2004 was fully coordinated by the Secretariat in consultation with area coordinators and Contracting Parties.

Contributions in 2004 included an allocation of $€ 10,944.67$ from the regular Commission budget (Table 1). Other funds that are normally contributed to the Billfish Program were not made available in 2004. Therefore, it was again necessary (as in 2003) to reduce major expenditures for 2004 Billfish research activities by about 50\% (Table 2).

The total funds available (as of the start of Fiscal Year 2004) for the 2004 Billfish Program amounted to $€ 21,513.96$, plus any contributions that were made during 2004. Several additional expenditures are expected to be incurred before the end of 2004 and into the first quarter of 2005, such as Program coordination travel. Therefore, there is a need to carry over the 2004 balance in Billfish Program funds to the 2005 Budget, as has been the practice for this and other special programs in previous years.

Although no new cash contributions were obtained in 2004, other than the Commission funds, in-kind contributions to the Program continued to be made during 2004. Since 1996, the FONAIAP (Venezuela) and since 1997, the Instituto Oceanográfico (University of Oriente) has provided personnel and other resources as inkind contributions to the at-sea sampling program, thereby reducing the amount of funds needed for this activity from the ICCAT billfish funds. Also, the ICCAT billfish rapporteur (Dr. David Die) assisted in overseeing shore-based sampling work, age and growth research, and standardization of recreational CPUEs in Venezuela in

[^7]2004 and this cost was absorbed by the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service and the University of Miami, and as such represented an in-kind contribution to the Billfish Program for 2004. The Department of Environmental Protection of Bermuda also contributed in-kind contributions by providing personnel and other resources used for assessing habitat preferences and reproductive biology of Atlantic blue marlin caught in the recreational fishery.

## Research contributions

The result of the reduction of cash contributions has been that part of the Program Plan for 2004 was successfully carried out in a timely manner, while other components of the Plan had to be reduced or not carried out at all. For example, only 11 observer trips on Venezuelan longline vessels were accomplished in 2004, a slight reduction from 2003, but about half of what had been planned.

As summary of research carried out during 2004 was summarized by the western area Coordinator in SCRS/2004/028, and by the Eastern Atlantic Coordinator in SCRS/2004/191. Additional working documents on billfish were submitted to the 2004 SCRS, including: SCRS/2004/079, SCRS/2004/140, SCRS/2004/141, SCRS/2004/146, SCRS/2004/147, SCRS/2004/148, SCRS/2004/151, SCRS/2004/160, SCRS/2004/163, SCRS/2004/181, and SCRS/2004/188.

## 2005: Coordination, Protocols, and Program Plan

It was confirmed that Drs. Powers and Prince (U.S.A.) will continue to function as the General Coordinator and West Atlantic Coordinator, respectively. Dr. N'Goran Ya (Côte d'Ivoire) will act as Co-Coordinator for the East Atlantic Ocean.

The summary of the 2005 proposed budget, totaling $€ \mathbf{5 0 , 9 5 0}$ is attached as Table 3. The Working Group requests that, if possible, the Commission increase its contribution for 2004 to $€ 15,000$ to cover the most critical parts of the 2005 IERPB. At a minimum, the Commission should again provide at least the same level of research funding in 2005 as it did in 2004.The requested contribution from ICCAT and voluntary contributions, including those from The Billfish Foundation and Chinese Taipei, will be necessary to carry out the entire Program Plan in 2005. Detail or planned activities are provided in the section below.

Highlight reports of research activities will be provided to interested parties annually. In addition, the names and addresses of individuals receiving the reports and those involved or interested in the research program will continue to be made available upon request. Projected funds for future research activities will be available in subsequent annual plans.

All agencies and/or personnel receiving funding from the special Billfish Program account are required to summarize annual expenditures of funds to the Commission and research activities, either in the form of a working document to the SCRS or a report to the Program Coordinators. Due to changes in the financial structure of the ICCAT billfish account, all participating cooperators in this Program are now required to request the release of funds (via fax or email) directly from the ICCAT Secretariat, as well as General Program Coordinator and area Coordinators. In other words, the release of Program funds is not automatic, even if expenditures are described in the Program Plan-- release of funds is contingent upon requests being received by the ICCAT Secretariat and Program Coordinators. In addition, Program participants are required to submit data collected in previous years to area Coordinators or directly to the ICCAT Secretariat.

## Detail of Research Activities planned for 2005

## Shore-based sampling

## West Atlantic

Barbados. Dr. Hazel Oxenford, University of West Indies, submitted a pre-proposal to expand work in this location for biological investigations on the domestic longline fleet. This work could include sampling hard parts for age and growth studies. It is anticipated that shore-based sampling will be $€ 1,000$ and hard part sampling in 2005 will be $€ 500$. Some coordination travel in this location by the West Atlantic Coordinator, or his designee, may be required in 2005 .

Bermuda. Shore-based sampling of the annual billfish tournaments will be conducted in Bermuda in 2005. Dr. Brian Luckhurst of the Department of Environmental Protection of Bermuda will coordinate this activity, and no funds will be required. Bermuda will continue to conduct research involving pop-up satellite tags to evaluate the habitat use and critical habitat identification of billfish. This work may also require some travel from Bermuda to various locations in the western Atlantic to facilitate this research (see section on pop-up satellite tags). In addition, work on the reproductive biology of adult marlin will continue and possibly be expanded to include sampling of larvae in collaboration with the University of Miami's Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences.

Brazil. Shore-based sampling of selected billfish tournaments will be continued in Brazil for 2005 in the general vicinity of Santos, as well as other locations off southeastern Brazil. Dr. Alberto Amorim, Instituto de Pesca, will coordinate tournament-sampling activities. Shore-based sampling will begin in Fernando de Noronha Island and other locations of northeastern Brazil and this activity will be coordinated by UFRPE. It is not anticipated that this activity will require funds in 2005.

A joint research effort between Brazil and the United States, involving shore-based and at-sea sampling, is planned to start in the fourth quarter of 2004. Some coordination travel for this effort may be required in 2004.

Cumaná, Playa Verde, Punto Fijo, and Margarita Island, Venezuela. Shore-based sampling of size frequency data for billfish carcasses off-loaded from industrialized longline boats at the port of Cumaná will be continued in 2005. Funding will be $€ 300$ since some of this activity occurs on weekends and after normal working hours. Likewise, sampling artisanal fisheries in Playa Verde will be accomplished by contracting a technician on a parttime basis. Funding for this activity in 2005 is $€ 700$. Sampling artisanal longline boats and artisanal fisheries in Punto Fijo and Margarita Island will be conducted in 2005 and the requested funding for these segments is as follows: Punto Fijo €200, and Margarita Island $€ 300$. Trips by the West Atlantic Coordinator or his designee may be necessary to organize sampling, collect data, and transport biological samples to Miami in 2005. In addition, the amount of $€ 900$ will be required for tag rewards in Venezuela for 2005 that are made by the Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agrícolas (INIA) staff (this budget item is included in the Section on Tagging). Collection of biological materials for research on age and growth, as well as reproductive biology, will be enhanced during 2005 in Venezuela. Costs to the program for this activity in 2005 are indicated in the section on age and growth.

La Guaira, Venezuela. Shore-based sampling and detailed analysis of the recreational fishery (centered in La Guaira, Venezuela) will be continued in 2005. This sampling includes coverage of up to ten recreational billfish tournaments held in Puerto Cabello, La Guaira, Falcon, and Puerto La Cruz. Requested funding for this activity in 2005 is $€ 500$, since much of this sampling is conducted on weekends and some travel expenses are incurred while attending these events. Also, shore-based sampling, including documentation of the catch and effort statistics for the important recreational fishery at Playa Grande Marina, will be accomplished by contracting a technician on a part-time basis. Funding for this activity in 2005 is $€ 2,000$. Shore-based sampling in all Venezuelan locations, as well as at-sea sampling (see next section) in Venezuela will be coordinated by Mr. Luis Marcano of INIA.

Grenada. Shore-based sampling of size frequency, hard parts for ageing, and total landings from the artisanal and recreational fishery for billfish maybe continued by the Ministry of Agriculture, Lands, Forestry, and Fisheries (coordinated by Mr. Crofton Isaac and Mr. Paul Phillip) in 2005. Much of this activity will occur in early November 2005, to coincide with the start of the pelagic fishery at this location. This activity may also include sampling of the Spice Island Billfish tournament. Due to the extreme devastation of Grenada as a result of Hurricane Ivan in 2004, much of the island's infrastructure will have to be rebuilt and progress on research items is uncertain at this time. Requested funding for 2005 is $€ 1,500$.

St. Maarten, Netherlands Antilles. It is uncertain if shore-based sampling of size frequency data for off-loaded billfish carcasses from longline vessels will be continued in 2005 through the Nichirei Carib Corporation. If this activity does occur, the requested funding in 2004 is $€ 1,500$. Shore-based sampling of the annual recreational billfish tournament, initiated in 1992, may be continued in 2005 by the West Atlantic Coordinator or his designee (if time permits). Since this tournament normally contributes travel expenses for the week of the tournament, the West Atlantic Coordinator may also assist Nichirei Carib employees in sampling during his stay on the island. Thus, funds for this latter activity will not be required from the Program.

Uruguay. An evaluation of the historical billfish landings and CPUE data base from Uruguay may be conducted by the Instituto Nacional de Pesca (INAPE) in order to assess the possibility of recovering historical landing
statistics in the necessary formats required for Task I and Task II reporting. This activity has been planned for several years but thus far has not taken place. A report maybe be submitted to the 2004 SCRS concerning this activity but will not require funding in 2005.

## East Atlantic

The Coordinator for the East Atlantic may need to travel to West African countries to check on data collections and thus a plan for coordination travel maybe submitted to the General Program Coordinator/Secretariat in 2005. We anticipate that this work, if in fact it occurs in 2005, will have to be done within the budgetary constraints and this may prevent full implementation.

Azores. Sampling marlin hard parts for age and growth research will be initiated in 2005 and a coordination trip maybe necessary by the ICCAT billfish rapporteur to identify possible sampling opportunities. Cost of coordination travel in 2005 is indicated in section on age and growth research, as is a modest cost for sampling of hard parts (€500) .

Côte d'Ivoire. Abidjan shore-based sampling of the artisanal and recreational fisheries for billfish will be continued and directed by the East Atlantic Coordinator, Dr N. N'Goran of CRO, in 2004. Funding for 2005 will be $€ 1,500$.

Dakar, Senegal. Shore-based sampling of the Senegalese artisanal, recreational and industrial fisheries for billfish size frequency, sex determination, and catch and effort data may be continued in 2005 by Dr. Taib Diouf. Requested funding for 2005 is $€ 1,500$.

Ghana. Shore-based sampling of size frequency and sex determination, and catch and effort of the artisanal gillnet fisheries for billfish will be continued in 2005 by Mr. Paul Bannerman. Funding for 2005 will be $€ 1,500$. Some travel by the East Atlantic Coordinator may be required to accomplish this task in 2005.

Morocco. A prospecting mission will be conducted by national scientists with a view to improving the knowledge of the recreational fishery for billfish in Morocco and for establishing a sampling program, including possible collection of hard parts for age and growth research on marlins in 2005. Funding for this activity in 2005 is not anticipated, although this could change if field sampling opportunities of biological materials are identified in 2005. A coordination trip by the ICCAT billfish rapporteur maybe necessary in 2005 and cost of travel is given in section on age and growth.

Portugal and Madeira. Some coordination travel to Portugal and Madeira maybe necessary in 2005 to investigate sampling opportunities for collection of hard parts for age and growth work. Costs of coordination travel are indicated in sections below.

Sao Tome and Principe. As a result of the East Atlantic Coordinator's trip to this location in 2003, a sampling plan for shore-based sampling has been proposed for Sao Tome and Principe for 2005. Such a plan, if received by the General Coordinator and ICCAT Secretariat, is not anticipated to exceed $€ 1,000$ for 2005.

## At-sea sampling

## West Atlantic

Bermuda. At-sea sampling of home based longline vessels targeting pelagic species maybe initiated in 2005 by the Department of Environmental Protection, provided this fishing activity takes place. Possible biological sampling opportunities on home based longline vessels will also be assessed. ICCAT funding of this research activity is not required in 2005. In addition, the Department of Environmental Protection will continue to facilitate deployment of pop-up satellite tags on billfish in the West Atlantic and work on reproductive biology will be continued and expanded in 2005. This proposed work represents a continuation of a commitment to study habitat use, critical habitat identification, and reproductive biology of billfish. Some travel costs for Dr. Luckhurst may be required for his participation relative to deployment of pop-up satellite tags in various Atlantic locations. Travel costs for this activity in 2005 are shown in the next section.

Brazil. At-sea sampling on Brazilian longliners may be initiated in 2005 and Dr. Fabio Hazin from the UFRPE will direct these research activities. However, it is not certain whether this activity will require funding at this
time. Opportunities for sampling hard parts for age and growth research will be evaluated in 2005, although no expenses are anticipated for this activity during the upcoming year.
Mexico. At-sea sampling of Mexican longline vessels has been ongoing for several years. A plan may be submitted next year to expand on-going work but no funds are needed for 2005.

Uruguay. At-sea sampling aboard home-based longline vessels was initiated in 1998 by the Instituto Nacional de Pesca (INAPE) of Uruguay, but no detailed data are collected on billfish, except for measuring length. However, it is uncertain if this activity will take place in 2005 and funding of this project will not be required.

Venezuela. At-sea sampling out of the ports of Cumaná, Puerto La Cruz, and Margarita Island will be continued in 2005. A total of about 10 tuna trips and 7 swordfish trips on mid-sized industrial longline vessels will be made in 2005 , and the cost will be $€ 8,000$. In addition, two long-range trips on large Korean-type vessels ( $€ 1,500$ ), and two trips on smaller longline vessels ( $€ 400$ ) will be made in 2005. Therefore, the total West Atlantic at-sea sampling for 2005 will be $€ 9,900$. In addition, insurance for at-sea sampling for 2005 will be $€ 1,200$.

## Critical habitat of billfish using pop-up satellite archival tags

Several projects to evaluate habitat use and critical habitat needs of blue and white marlin using pop-up satellite archival tag technology are planned by scientists from several scientific entities in the west Atlantic Ocean in 2005. These projects are independently funded but may require funding of airfare, in the amount of $€ 5,000$, for research associates to travel to various Atlantic locations for the deployment of tags in 2005.

## Tagging

The following conventional tagging activities and expenditures are proposed. The purchase of tags and tagging equipment will not be required in 2005. However, ICCAT tagging T shirts to promote the program (outreach) will be required in 2005 and the cost to the Program will be $€ 2,000$. The total for tag rewards (including the $€ 900$ needed in Venezuela) will amount to $€ 1,500$ for 2005. A lottery reward of $€ 500$ will also be necessary for 2005.

## Age and growth

Requested funding (primarily travel costs) for biological sampling of billfish for age and growth studies, as well as tag-recaptured billfish, is $€ 1,000$ for 2005 . In addition, purchase of hard parts in 2005 will be $€ 1,000$ (Barbados and Azores). As the 2004 Billfish Working Group identified this work during the 2004 SCRS as critical, it is possible that a research proposal and request for additional funds (other than travel) may be submitted to the ICCAT Secretariat during 2005. Implementation of any newly submitted work will be contingent on the availability of funds.

## Coordination

## Training and sample collection

Experience in the West Atlantic continues to indicate that it will be necessary to make a series of trips to specific Caribbean island locations, and occasionally to West Africa, Madeira (Portugal), Bermuda, and Brazil, to maintain quality control of on-going research. The purpose of this travel will be to train samplers in data collection, pick up data, assist in pop-up tagging and data analysis, hand-carry frozen biological samples back to Miami, monitor the rapidly changing pelagic fisheries, and maintain contacts with project cooperatives. The travel to West Africa will be to assist the East Atlantic Coordinators in refining sampling programs, particularly to encourage tag release and recapture activities. Travel by the East Atlantic Coordinator will be to establish sampling programs and oversee sampling activities. Funding for West and East Atlantic Coordinators in 2005 will be $€ 20,000$, subject to the availability of funds. Travel may include the following areas:

- West Atlantic

Cumaná, Margarita Island, Caracas, and La Guaira (Venezuela)
Grenada
Santos and Recife (Brazil)
St. Maarten (Netherlands Antilles)
St. Vincent
Trinidad and Tobago
Cancún and Cozumel (Mexico)

## Bermuda

Barbados
Other Caribbean countries
Ascension Island

- East Atlantic

Dakar (Senegal)
Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire)
Ghana
Madeira (Portugal)
Gabon
Morocco
Azores
Other West African countries

## Miscellaneous/Mailing

The requested funding for 2005 for East Atlantic miscellaneous and mailing is $€ 100$. Similar needs for the West Atlantic Coordinator are covered by the U.S. domestic budget.

## Data base management

During the 1999 SCRS meeting, a problem surfaced relative to data base quality control and data entry for the atsea and shore-based sampling components of this program. Given quality control and data entry is still lagging behind due to shortage of NMFS staff to accomplish these duties, it may be necessary to contract a work study student from the University of Miami or University de Oriente (Venezuela) for these data entry functions. However, there are no anticipated costs for quality control and data entry for 2005 at this time.

## Bank charges

Charges by the bank for the transfer of funds and bank checks in 2005 are estimated at $€ 250$.
Because of unforeseen changes in the fisheries and opportunities for sampling, it may be necessary for the ICCAT Secretariat and the General Coordinator to make adjustments in budgeted program priorities. These changes, if any, will be duly transmitted to the area Coordinators. Also, the proposed budget for regular Program activities in 2005 is attached as (Table 3). The expansion or reduction of expenses will depend, to a large degree, on the available funds. It should be noted that regular Program activities will be implemented based on receipt of sufficient funds and the carry-over of unused funds from 2004.

Table 1. Summary budget for the Billfish Program

| Source | $€$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balance at start of Fiscal Year 2004 | $21,513.96$ |
| Income (Allocation from ICCAT Regular Budget) | $10,944.67$ |
| Expenditures (see Table 2) | $-12,585.83$ |
| BALANCE (as of September 28, 2004) | $\mathbf{1 9 , 8 7 2 . 8 0}$ |

Table 2. 2004 Budget \& Expenditures of the Enhanced Research Program for Billfish (as of 28 Sept. 2004)

| Chapter | Budget $€$ | Expenditures $€$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| West Atlantic sampling | 16,593 | $10,004.08$ |
| Venezuela | 1,098 | 0 |
| Grenada | 6,044 | 0 |
| Other |  |  |
| East Atlantic sampling | 1,648 | $1,206.00$ |
| Ghana | 3,736 | $1,246.05$ |
| Cote d'Ivoire |  |  |
| Tagging | 2,198 | 29.05 |
| Rewards | 2,000 | 0 |
| Outreach (including T shirts) | 10,989 |  |
| Travel by Program coordinators | 10,989 |  |
| $\quad$ West | 100 |  |
| East | 275 | 0 |
| Mailing \& miscellaneous--East Atlantic | $\mathbf{5 5 , 6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 5 8 5 . 8 3}$ |
| Bank charges on Billfish account |  | 100.65 |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Table 3. 2005 Budget of the ICCAT Enhanced Research Program for Billfish (The release of funds is contingent upon conditions described in the text.)

Budget Chapters
Amount (€)
STATISTICS \& SAMPLING
West Atlantic shore-based sampling:
Venezuela 4,000
Grenada $\quad 1,500$
Barbados 1,000 *
St. Maarten, Netherlands Antilles 1,500*
West Atlantic at-sea sampling:
Venezuela (Cumaná, Puerta la Cruz, and Margarita Island)
East Atlantic shore-based sampling.
Dakar, Senegal $\quad 1,500$
Ghana $\quad 1,500$
Côte d'Ivoire 1,500
Sao Tome \& Principe 1,000*

## TAGGING

Tag reward $\quad 1,500$
Lottery rewards 500
Outreach 2,000
AGE AND GROWTH
Purchase of hard parts (Barbados \& Azores) 1,000 *
Travel 1,000*

## COORDINATION

| Coordination travel (training of samplers, collection of statistical and biological samples) | $20,000 *$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mailing \& miscellaneous-East Atlantic | 100 |
| Bank charges | 250 |

GRAND TOTAL $\mathbf{5 0 , 9 5 0}$
*Authorization of these expenditures depends, in part, on sufficient funds being available from new contributions in 2005.

## REPORT OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON STATISTICS

## 1 Meeting arrangements

The meeting was held on 30 September-1 October 2004 at the ICCAT Secretariat Offices. Dr. Pilar Pallares (ECSpain), convener of the Sub-Committee, chaired the meeting and Dr. Guillermo A. Diaz (United States) served as Rapporteur.

## 2 Data submission

### 2.1 Updated report on statistic collection systems

Given the low response to the Survey on Statistic Collection System during 2003 (only 17 responses have been received since the surveys were first sent out) the Secretariat decided to re-circulate the questionnaire in 2004 requesting those who had not already completed it to do so as soon as possible. Since then, three additional countries have sent their completed questionnaires (Brazil, EC-Spain, and South Africa). The total number of responses is still very low to reach any conclusions. The list of countries that have responded to the questionnaire is presented in Table 1.

The Sub-committee reiterated the importance of the questionnaire to facilitate the work of the SCRS and recommended those countries that have not answered it to do so as soon as possible.

### 2.2 Submission of Task I and Task II data

The deadlines set by the SCRS of 31 July should allow sufficient time for Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities to collect and process the information to be submitted to the Secretariat, which in turn should have reasonable length of time to process and enter these data into the ICCAT data base. If stock assessment meetings are held prior to the deadline date, the submission of the data should be done to make it available for the meeting.

The Secretariat informed the Sub-Committee that $80 \%$ of the Task I data was submitted after the established deadline and much of them during the SCRS species group meetings. As a consequence, most species groups did not have complete 2003 landing statistics available at the time of their meetings. For the submission of 2003 Task I and II data, the Secretariat has implemented new electronic forms. In 2004, 14 Parties reported their statistical information using such forms. This standard format allows the automatic integration of statistical data into the ICCAT database. The efficiency and accuracy of this approach was very satisfactory, since data manipulation is virtually nil and the information is validated before being integrated into the database. Contracting Parties that do not submit large amounts of data are requested to adopt the use of the standard electronic forms. For those Parties submitting large data sets, the Secretariat can develop specific routines based on previously defined format as longs as the same format and codes are used each year.

The Secretariat informed the Sub-Committee that it received data submissions that did not use any of the ICCAT official languages or gear/species codes, which resulted in delays in processing the data. The Sub-Committee emphasized the need for all Contracting Parties to submit data using only the ICCAT official languages, coding systems, and standard procedures for submission of Task I data. The Sub-Committee also pointed out that the submission of data in the form of a National Report (or any other report) is not a standard procedure and it will not be considered as a Task I data submission.

The Sub-Committee recommended that Task I data submitted later than a week before the beginning of the SCRS species group meeting not be included in the catch statistics and it emphasized that Contracting Parties should make an effort to submit Task I and II data by the deadline date set by the SCRS.

### 2.3 Tagging

### 2.3.1 Tagging database

The Secretariat recently incorporated the information of the traditional tagging data into an ICCAT relational database, which included 350,900 records for release and 24,832 recoveries. The data set for sharks $(75,000$ records) was not incorporated to this database due to problems in identifying the alpha prefix of the tag code and
some records for tuna were flagged and pending clarification. It was recommended that the Secretariat contact the entities responsible for the data to solve pending problems.

The Secretariat reported that the tagging data still need to be thoroughly screened. The Sub-Committee acknowledged that the improvements made in the tagging database were a direct result of better reporting from the contributing parties. However, release information is still incomplete and needs to be submitted.

Quality control of the tagging database can not be entirely performed by the Secretariat. The Sub-Committee recommended that each Contracting Party, Entity, and Fishing Entity, and each Species Group download the tagging data from the ICCAT database to revise and screen the submitted information (e.g., missing information, length units, etc.). The Secretariat also informed the Sub-Committee of the need to develop a standard tag-code format in the near future.

### 2.3.2 Electronic tags

The payments of rewards for the recovery of electronic tags continued to present problems. The Sub-Committee recommended the Secretariat to facilitate the advance payment of rewards for returned tags, and to establish mechanism for the repayment of the funds used with each individual tagging entity.

An inventory of electronic tags was created as recommended by the SCRS in 2003 and is available through the ICCAT web page. The collaboration of all participant scientists is essential in order to maintained an updated database.

It is stressed that this inventory should not contain sensitive data (such as movement tracks or proportion of time spent at depth, for example) as the inventory is intended to assist ICCAT in facilitating providing rewards to individuals returning these valuable tags.

### 2.3.3 Conventional tags

The Secretariat continues to collaborate with the scientist in sending tags and applicators. This year 300 tags were sent to France, Spain, and Portugal. At the present time, 118 recoveries were received for the annual lottery. The Sub-Committee was concerned about the possibility of duplicate codes/tag numbers among countries with active tagging programs. The creation by the Secretariat of a database with all tag codes and tag types used by all tagging programs was recommended by the Sub-Committee. For this purpose, all Contracting Parties should provide the Secretariat with all tag codes and tag types being used to establish a protocol to avoid possible code duplications. The Secretariat can contact non-Contracting Parties and research institutes to request the same information.

### 2.4 Revision to historical data

### 2.4.1 Algeria

A historical revision of the bluefin tuna series 1991-1998 was submitted by Algeria in the form of a scientific document (SCRS/2004/169). However, the document did not provide sufficient information to evaluate the changes or statistical methodology applied for these changes. Thus, the Sub-Committee recommended delaying the decision to adopt the new reported landings until the appropriate information is provided.

### 2.4.2 South Africa

South Africa reported that the landings of swordfish, yellowfin and bigeye and sharks from longline gear for the period 1998-2002 that were submitted as live weight actually corresponded to dressed weight. South Africa is in the process of estimating conversion factors to apply to the estimated landings to transform the submitted dressed weight landings into live weight. The methodology developed by South Africa to implement the required changes should be presented to the next SCRS meeting.

### 2.4.3 EC-Spain

Following an enquiry by the Spanish authorities on the catch of south Atlantic albacore by Spanish purse seiners, the Secretariat requested that the SCRS undertake a review of the figures in the database. The Sub-Committee concluded that during the period 1999-2001, the figures for the Spanish South Atlantic albacore purse seine catch shown in the Executive Summary catch tables were indeed duplicated. The duplicate records were deleted and the changes were made permanent in the ICCAT database.

### 2.4.4 Discrepancies between the FAO and ICCAT databases for Mediterranean catches

A joint FAO and ICCAT paper (SCRS/2004/81) highlighting discrepancies between the FAO and ICCAT databases for Mediterranean catches was presented to the Sub-Committee and examined by some species groups. Historical data completely missing in the ICCAT database were found to be useful to complement the Task I database. FAO will continue to replace most of the data provided by its national correspondents with the ICCAT catch data.

The Sub-Committee commended the effort made by the Secretariat and FAO to improve the database.
The Sub-Committee invited the ICCAT Secretariat and FAO-FIDI to conduct a similar work covering the Atlantic Ocean in the near future.

### 2.4.5 Shark statistics

The Sub-Committee acknowledged the problems that arose during the Inter-Sessional Meeting of the ICCAT Sub-Committee on By-Catches (Shark Stock Assessment) in Japan due to the lack of reliable historical shark catch/landing statistics. It was recognized that the nature of ICCAT shark database has changed over time from by-catch reporting, only, to both by-catch and pelagic shark-target fishery landings. This change over time might explain some of the discrepancies observed in the reported landings by different Contracting Parties. Also, many longline catches reported in the past were combined for all or many shark species. Attempts have been made to solve this problem and further work is needed.

The Sub-Committee recommended that Contracting Parties make a special effort to improve shark landings estimates by developing special projects with that specific goal and to make an effort to estimate historical catches by species.

### 2.5 Trade data

### 2.5.1 Submission of information

During the bigeye assessment, Japan submitted a document summarizing the import data for this species. After examining the data, the group concluded that almost all the data for recent years related to countries that have been submitting their data regularly to ICCAT. Biannual reports of data from ICCAT statistical document programs were received from Japan, Korea, Chinese Taipei, Singapore, Thailand, Tunisia, and the United States.

As in recent years, no individual statistical documents have been received (contrary to the SCRS recommendation made in the last three years). The Sub-Committee recommends that all Contracting Parties implement the Statistical Document for swordfish, bigeye and bluefin. Given the importance of correct identification of the origin of the catches, the Sub-Committee recommends that, where possible, information on the individual documents be submitted in electronic format. The Sub-Committee also agrees that requesting statistical documents on live imports would be helpful because these documents can provide very useful data.

The Secretariat estimated the potential amount of unreported catch by comparing trade data information with Task I data. In the case of swordfish and bigeye, the Secretariat was unable to reach a conclusion due to the poor quality of the data and the lack of conversion factors from product type to live weight.

The Sub-Committee emphasized the need to develop conversion factors for swordfish and bigeye for various product types and various fleets as soon as possible.

Japan presented the Sub-Committee with an update (SCRS/2004/185) on its effort to reduce the number of Illegal Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) large-scale longliners of Japanese and Chinese Taipei origin. The number of IUU longliners worldwide has been reduced from 250 in 2000 to 25 at the present time. The IUU catch appeared reduced to a minimum level. On the other hand, a possibility was noted that some of the catches made on regulated restricted areas are reported as being caught in unregulated areas.

## 3 Updated report of progress made in the ICCAT relational database

### 3.1 Current status

Currently, the ICCAT-DB is a relational database system made up of a statistical database of nearly 1.5 gigabytes and around 90 related tables containing all Task I and Task II data, a tagging database of around 500
megabytes and approximately 30 related tables, and other specific databases used to manage catch-at-size estimates, CATDIS, trade statistics, contacts, publications, etc.

### 3.2 Progress made

The Secretariat presented an update to the Sub-Committee on the projects related to the improvement of the ICCAT data systems. During 2003, three major goals were accomplished:
a Unification of all statistical databases which basically involved a rearrangement of databases and respective structures in a way that allows a simplified and more efficient management of all statistical data. The data unification allows to manage and track all changes made to Task I and Task II data, and more significantly, simplifies the association between Task I and Task II.
b Development and testing of the framework to automatically incorporate the statistical data reported in the new electronic forms into the ICCAT database. The framework is finalized and tested for Task II and additional testing is needed for Task I.
c A revision of the tagging database (data and structure) was accomplished and included the integration of an inventory of all tags distributed by ICCAT over all the years. The current link between this catalogue and the reported release/recoveries to ICCAT is only partial and needs to be finalized in the future.

The Sub-Committee commended the effort of the Secretariat to improve the ICCAT database and systems in such a short time.

### 3.3 Future enhancements

With the aim of continuing the improvement of the ICCAT database throughout the following year, the Secretariat will focus on the following tasks:

- Continue the work on the revision of tagging data and complete the ICCAT tag inventory.
- Continue to develop the output programs for the most common data requests.
- Integrate the new hierarchical codes adopted for fleet, gears, Task I areas, and reclassify the available statistical information with the new codes.
- Document all the ICCAT-DB system.
- Continue to develop the ICCAT web publishing facilities.
- Develop the programs to update CATDIS.
- Improve the routines for estimating catch-at-size.
- Develop the infrastructure to move on to the GIS system.


### 3.4 Coding system

Given the lack of clear geographic delimitation of the areas currently used to report Task I data, the Secretariat presented a proposal (see Figure 1 to the "Secretariat Report on Statistics and Coordination of Research, 20032004 " in this volume) that was discussed by the SCRS. The Committee recognized the need for clearly delimited areas and recommended further review of the proposed areas by the Secretariat. A new proposal will be submitted to the next SCRS.

The Sub-Committee discussed and approved modifying the Task I electronic reporting form to incorporate the option of reporting landings by Task II statistical areas.

As recommended by the Inter-sessional Meeting of the Sub-Committee on Statistics held in March 2003, the Secretariat developed a new coding system for fleet identification that was approved by the Sub-Committee. A new gear classification system was also presented by the Secretariat. The Sub-Committee agreed that a new gear coding system would be an improvement and the Secretariat will continue to work to improve the newly proposed gear codes before they are presented to the SCRS for final approval.

## 4 Review of progress made for a revised Field Manual

The Secretariat gave a brief overview of the progress on the revision of the ICCAT Field Manual. While the project was first endorsed by the SCRS over two years ago, little practical progress was made until the proposed contribution for a chapter on biology of albacore was presented this year (SCRS/2004/088). The Sub-Committee discussed general issues as follows:

### 4.1 Contents of the proposed contribution on albacore biology (SCRS/2004/088)

The Sub-Committee commended the authors for the work presented. It was suggested that specific comments on the contents of this contribution to the Manual would best be handled through the albacore species group. It was recommended that the contents of this and future contributions to the biology chapters be coordinated through the species group rapporteur with help from the Secretariat.

The Sub-Committee considered that the contents of the albacore contribution were organized in a useful way, following the outline approved in 2003. The Sub-Committee recommended that other species groups be encouraged to produce similar contributions to the Manual using SCRS/2004/088 as an example, recognizing that some flexibility is needed because not all species profiles can be organized in exactly the same way.

### 4.2 Assimilation of contributions into a Manual

The Sub-Committee discussed the need for a formal mechanism to incorporate potential contributions into a Field Manual as an official publication of the Commission. It was recommended that at some point in the future, when a larger number of proposed contributions become available, an overview committee should be set up for this purpose. This committee could be composed of the SCRS Officers (Chairman, Sub-Committee Conveners, and Species Rapporteurs). In the intervening period, the Secretariat should make the proposed contributions available on the web site and note that they are drafts and that they have not been sanctioned officially.

### 4.3 Completion of the Manual

Chapter 4 and other parts of the Manual outline approved in 2003 deals with collection, analysis and reporting of statistics and other data used by the SCRS. The Sub-Committee noted that the completion of the Manual, especially Chapter 4, required a very intensive investment of work and thus it was necessary to seek ways in which a consultant(s) could be hired to facilitate this task. The Commission should be aware that the completion of the updated Field Manual can be an effective instrument for capacity-building to help all Contracting Parties fulfill their data-collection obligations. In addition, the Field Manual should contain all of the data collection and reporting requirements established by the Commission, which have increased substantially since the Manual was last revised in 1990. Therefore, it is important to update the Field Manual so that it can serve as an official source for data collection and reporting requirements.

The Sub-Committee recommended that the plans to publish an ICCAT Manual proceed quickly. In order to do this in a reasonable timeframe (i.e. two years), $€ 50,000$ are needed ( $€ 25,000$ annually). The Sub-Committee recommends that the Secretariat actively seek donors to accomplish this (e.g., from special contributions to the Data Fund or from remaining funds in programs like the BETYP).

## 5 National and international statistical activities

The Secretariat informed the Sub-Committee that ICCAT staff have been present at several meetings where statistics or research issues of interest to ICCAT were discussed. A summary of these and other meetings where ICCAT was represented is presented in Appendix 1 to the Secretariat Report on Statistics and Coordination of Research (SCI-010/2004).

## 6 Review of publications

As previously, the Sub-Committee requested that all senior authors submit the documents to be presented at the SCRS meeting to the Secretariat on a PDF format. The Sub-Committee recommended to make all working documents available only on electronic format through the Secretariat. Authors of working documents will be asked to provide only ten hard copies at the time of the meetings (instead of the current request for 80 copies).

### 6.1 Data record

The Secretariat informed the Sub-Committee that this volume has not been published for the last three years due to the restructuring of the database. Following the advice of the Sub-Committee in Statistics, the SCRS has recommended that this no longer be published in a book form. Task II information is currently published on the Internet by means of a downloadable data file. A CD-ROM with all the Task II data available at the Secretariat will be published before the end of 2004.

### 6.2 Statistical Bulletin

This publication was published in February 2004 and equivalent information is partially available on the Internet.

### 6.3 Collective Volume of Scientific Papers

In May 2004, Volume 56 was published on a CD-ROM containing 109 documents and a total of 1,532 pages. In addition, another 14 documents submitted to the 2003 SCRS were either withdrawn by the senior author or submitted after the deadline.

In 2004, further efforts have been made to standardize the Publication Guidelines for both the Detailed Reports and the papers submitted so that publication time is reduced and the end product is more consistent.

### 6.4 Biennial Report

Part II of the ICCAT Report for the 2002-2003 Biennial Period was published in three volumes (Commission, SCRS, and National Reports), in Spanish, French, and English

## 7 Plan for port sampling

The Sub-Committee recognized that although some problems on surface fisheries still exist (i.e., Ghana), major sampling problems are mostly related to port sampling from the large-scale longline fleets.

Some major problems involved the identification of the origin of some catches due to transshipment. In addition, the nature of the unloading process makes port sampling difficult since fish are landed super-cooled and their exposure to air is minimized. Possible alternatives to port sampling programs include the implementation of longline observers programs and/or the development of specific logbook reporting systems with the close collaboration of crews.

In contrast, port sampling of small-scale (less than 24 m vessels) longline fleets, like the ones operating in the Caribbean area, proved to be very efficient in collecting valuable landing data.

The Sub-Committee recommended that each species group report on where data deficiencies exist and give suggestion on how to improve data recording

## 8 Other recommendations

It was agreed that the meeting of the Sub-Committee on Statistics should be scheduled to minimize or avoid overlapping with the species group meetings.

## 9 Future plans and recommendations

In addition to information concerning future plans and the Sub-Committee's proposals and/or recommendations in various Agenda items, a summary of the Sub-Committee's recommendations is included in section 15 of the SCRS Plenary.

## 10 Other matters

No other matters were discussed.

## 11 Adoption of the report and closure

After review, the report of the Sub-Committee on Statistics was adopted and the 2004 meeting was adjourned.

Table 1. Responses received to the ICCAT Questionnaire on Data Collection Systems.


## REPORT OF THE MEETING OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON BY-CATCH

## 1 Opening of the meeting, adoption of Agenda, and arrangements for the meeting

At the request of the Chairman of the SCRS, the 2004 Sub-Committee on By-Catch Meeting was opened by Dr. G. Scott (U.S.A.) opened the meeting. The Agenda, which was circulated before the meeting, was reviewed, modified and adopted and is attached to this report as Addendum 1 to Appendix 9.

## 2 Review of new information concerning by-catches

The available information concerning the Sub-Committee was reviewed. By-catch is the unintentional/incidental capture of non-target species during fishing operations. Different types of fisheries have different types and levels of by-catch, depending on the gear used, the time, area and depth fished, etc.

Article IV of the Convention states: "the Commission shall be responsible for the study of the population of tuna and tuna-like fishes (the Scombriformes with the exception of Trichiuridae and Gempylidae and the genus Scomber) and such other species of fishes exploited in tuna fishing in the Convention area as are not under investigation by another international fishery organization". This text is interpreted as a responsibility for collecting information on catches of sharks and other fishes which are coincidental to fishing effort directed toward tuna and tuna-like species.

Concern over issues raised at CITES relative to ICCAT species led to the establishment of the SCRS SubCommittee on By-catch in 1996. The SC is led by Dr. Hideki Nakano (JPN). The SC guides research and analytical activities related to by-catch, especially of sharks with focus on blue, mako and porbeagle; it recommends methodological adaptations to the national statistical data collection systems in order to better quantify by-catch (logbook and observer programs designed to characterize total catch composition and disposition); and it coordinates data gathering and cooperation with other fishery or wildlife organizations on by-catch issues (e.g. FAO, CITES, ICES, etc.)

One product of the Sub-Committee is a running tabulation of the diversity of species caught by the various geartypes used to target tuna and tuna-like fishes in the Atlantic and Mediterranean. While the tabulation provides no information for quantifying by-catch levels, it does provide guidance about the range of species interacting with these gears (LL, longline; GILL, gillnets; PS, purse-seine; BB, baitboat; HARP, harpoon; TRAP, traps; and OTHER gears). This information, which cannot be used to quantify impacts, is summarized below.

| Count | Group | LL | GILL | PS | BB | HARP | TRAP | OTHER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214 | All Groups | 149 | 110 | 78 | 12 | 33 | 20 | 43 |
|  |  | 69.6\% | 51.4\% | 36.4\% | 5.6\% | 15.4\% | 9.3\% | 20.1\% |
| 12 | Skates and Rays | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 83.3\% | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| 46 | Coastal Sharks | 45 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
|  |  | 97.8\% | 41.3\% | 13.0\% | 2.2\% | 15.2\% | 4.3\% | 19.6\% |
| 11 | Pelagic Sharks | 10 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
|  |  | 90.9\% | 63.6\% | 45.5\% | 0.0\% | 45.5\% | 18.2\% | 36.4\% |
| 23 | Teleosts (ICCAT Species) | 23 | 18 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 11 |
|  |  | 100.0\% | 78.3\% | 69.6\% | 39.1\% | 26.1\% | 30.4\% | 47.8\% |
| 82 | Teleosts (excluding Scombridae and billfishes) | 44 | 37 | 25 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 17 |
|  |  | 53.7\% | 45.1\% | 30.5\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% | 4.9\% | 20.7\% |
| 5 | Sea Turtles | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 60.0\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% | 20.0\% | 20.0\% |
| 9 | Sea Birds | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 88.9\% | 22.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 26 | Marine Mammals | 6 | 17 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
|  |  | 23.1\% | 65.4\% | 57.7\% | 0.0\% | 23.1\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% |

For fish species, longline gear shows the highest documented diversity of catch, followed by gillnets and purseseine. For sea birds, longline gear again shows the highest diversity of catch, while for sea turtles and marine mammals, purse seine and gillnet have higher diversity thus far documented for the Atlantic tuna fleets.


Purse seines produce the highest volume catch of ICCAT species from the Atlantic. The catch (and effort, see Figure at left) is concentrated in several geographical regions and is generally restricted to the upper reaches of the water column. At-sea observations of purse-seine fishing in the Gulf of Guinea and other areas are underway and will likely lead to greater quantification of total catch composition and disposition. Based on these observations, estimates of the purse-seine by-catch of some species (marlins, for example) have been incorporated into assessments.

Longline effort produces the second highest volume of catch and the catch (and effort) is the most broadly distributed (horizontally and vertically) of the gears used to target ICCAT species (See Figure at right). Scientific observer data are being collected on a range of longline fleets and will become useful for better quantification of total catch composition and disposition as these observer programs mature. The estimated by-catch of billfish, tunas, and sharks from some longline fleets are used in assessments. Estimated by-catches of other species are also reported to ICCAT by some nations.


Baitboat catches represent the third leading volume of Atlantic tuna and tuna-like species catch (see Figure left). The documented diversity of catch in this fleet is the lowest of the major gear types used in the Atlantic. By-catch appears to be less of a concern for this gear-type. Gillnet, harpoon and other gears are minor in the context of the overall catch of ICCAT species. Gillnets in particular, are implicated in a broad range of by-catch issues, but in the context of the Atlantic tuna fisheries, their contribution is small.

The Committee noted that new information concerning the diversity of by-catch species by gear type has been accumulating at a slower pace than during the first years of the Sub-Committee's work. As noted, the available information does not permit estimating overall magnitude of interactions across the gear types since most of the information thus far collected does not permit this type of quantification. As scientific observer programs mature, this form of quantification should become possible.

## 3 Review of other national or international activities concerning by-catches

The following activities by other international organizations related to by-catch were noted:
1 The CITES Convention of Parties will be held later in October, 2004, in Bangkok. One petition under consideration at this meeting is the possible listing of great white sharks.

2 It was announced that the biannual meeting of the Brazilian Elasmobranch Society (SBEEL- Sociedade Brasileira para o Estudo dos Elasmobrânquios) will take place in November, in Recife, and that the bycatch of sharks in the Brazilian tuna longline fishery is going to be one of the main topics.

3 An International Fishery Observer Meeting will be held in Australia in early November, 2004.

## 4 Report of the 2004 shark assessment meeting.

In response to the 2001 Resolution by ICCAT on Atlantic Sharks [Res. 01-11], The Sub-Committee on By-catch met on 14-18 June 2004 in Tokyo, Japan, to conduct stock assessments for blue shark (Prionace glauca) and shortfin mako (Isurus oxyrinchus). The report of the meeting is SCI-029. The report includes a review of the biology of these species, a description of the fisheries affecting them, analyses of the state of the stocks, given the available data, and recommendations for improving statistics and for future research. Due to limitations of the quantity and quality of the information available for the stock assessment of blue shark and shortfin mako, the assessment was considered very preliminary in nature. The Committee recommends that, should the Commission wish improved advice on the status of these and other by-catch species, as well as advice on the likely impacts of the tuna fisheries on these species, larger monitoring and research investments directed at sharks in particular, and other by-catch species in general, need be made.

## 5 Consideration of Resolution [Res. 02-14] on Seabirds

The Committee was reminded of the language of Resolution [Res. 02-14]. It requests information from Contracting Parties and non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities on progress related to the implementation of NPOAs for seabirds. It also encourages the collection of all available information on interactions with seabirds and voluntarily provided to the SCRS. At the end, the Commission resolved that SCRS should present to the Commission an assessment of the impact of incidental catch of seabirds resulting from the activities of all fleets in the Convention area, when feasible and appropriate. The Committee encouraged Contracting Parties, Entities and Fishing Entities to implement the Resolution.

The Committee was informed of cooperative efforts in Brazil, involving fishermen, fishery research institutions and organizations devoted to the conservation of sea birds, which meet in a workshop, held in April this year, to discuss and approve a draft version of the Brazilian Plan of Action for Reducing Incidental Catches of Sea Birds in longline fisheries. A significant progress was reached and it is expected that the Plan will be finalized and officially approved still this year.

The United States reported that available information on progress made on its implementation of an NPOA for seabirds was included in its Annual Report, as were available observations and estimates of by-catch of seabirds in the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fleet.

It was reiterated that ICCAT has not collected quantitative data on seabird by-catch, but that this information might be available from the observer programs conducted by various Contracting Parties and Cooperating nonContracting Parties, Entities, or Fishing Entities.

The Committee (as it had in previous discussion) again noted that the implications of element 3 of Resolution [Res. 02-14] could be quite broad. The Committee was concerned that to achieve this would require expertise not yet held by SCRS. In all, this request would require significantly enhanced commitments by national scientific delegations and greater expertise available at the Secretariat. To further work along the lines recommended by the Commission, the Committee recommends that the Commission consider hiring a By-catch Coordinator at the Secretariat and to encourage Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities to enhance their scientific delegations to include experts in seabird and turtle biology and population dynamics.

## 6 Consideration of Resolution [Res. 03-11] on Sea Turtles

The Committee was reminded of the language of Resolution [Res. 03-11]. There are two elements of the Resolution pertinent to the work of SCRS. Firstly, it requests that all available information from Contracting Parties and non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities (CPCs) on interactions with sea turtles in ICCAT fisheries, including incidental catches and other impacts on sea turtles in the Convention area, such as deterioration of nesting sites and swallowing of marine debris be provided to SCRS. Secondly, it encourages CPC's to seek through the appropriate ICCAT body (herein taken as the Sub-Committee on Statistics) the development of data collection and reporting methods for the incidental by-catch of sea turtles in tuna and tunalike species fisheries.

Canada noted that in recent years, its pelagic longline fishery has had augmented observer coverage, sometimes in excess of $10 \%$ coverage on a per trip basis in certain years. This enhanced coverage offers an opportunity to examine the catch composition of this fishery on a quantitative basis, and Canada has initiated an analyses of key fishing variables (e.g., time of day, month, location, fishing depth, etc), and how such characteristics relate to the catch of species of special concern.

Currently, Mexico is carrying out research work on the dynamics of the by-catch of other species on bluefin tuna fishing with longline in the Gulf of Mexico. This analysis consists of analyzing the possible relation of the catch of some groups of species (other tunas, billfish, sharks and sea turtles), with variables such as the type of hook, depth of the set, period of the year and others. The objective is to determine which of these variables influence by-catches, in order to reduce them or eliminate them. Currently only partial results are available from the Exploratory Data Analysis, with a time-area perspective.

The United States reported on research to mitigate the interactions between pelagic longline and by-catch of marine turtles which was conducted under a cooperative research program involving the U.S. Atlantic pelagic longline fishery. The Northeast Distant Fishery Experiment was conducted from 2001 through 2003 on the high seas of the western Atlantic Ocean, in an area known as the Grand Banks. In cooperation with fishermen, research was conducted to test various fishing methods, such as bait and gear type, to determine which combinations worked best to minimize sea turtle encounters in pelagic longline fisheries. Research results demonstrated some combinations used achieved up to a 90 percent reduction in fishing gear-sea turtle interactions for leatherbacks and loggerheads. This research also led to development of new gear so fishermen could safely dehook and disentangle the few turtles that were accidentally caught. The United States and partners are now launching an international education initiative to invite all fishing nations with pelagic longline fleets to begin exploring this technology. Gear and techniques developed by this program are being tested in research programs in several countries, and results of this research are being used in other fisheries and countries that operate longline gear. A report on the research progress for this program can be found at http://www.mslabs.noaa.gov/mslabs/docs/watson2.pdf. Other material of interest on this topic can be found at http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/mediacenter/turtles/.

## 7 Recommendations

Due to concerns raised relative to the condition of shark species impacted by the Atlantic tuna fleets, the Commission in the Resolution by ICCAT on Atlantic Sharks [Res. 01-11] requested SCRS to provide scientific advice on the status of blue shark and shortfin mako. Since the mid-1990s, ICCAT has requested data on removals and the sex, size, and age-frequency characteristics of the catches of these species from Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities with interest in tuna fisheries in the Atlantic and Mediterranean. The current situation on submission of shark statistics indicates that the overall volume of catch reported to ICCAT does not represent the total removals of these sharks and the available data are also very limited with respect to the size-, age- and sex- composition of the reported removals. The SCRS has previously reported on the general inadequacy of these statistics (ICCAT 2004a and ICCAT 2004b). It is apparent that while some Parties were able to provide data for a period of their tuna fishing history in the Atlantic, most have not yet been able to, suggesting that there is insufficient infrastructure within the Contracting Parties dedicated to monitoring the catches of sharks. Improvements in the ICCAT shark database can only be achieved if the Parties increase infrastructure investment into monitoring the overall catch composition and disposition of the overall catch of sharks and other by-catch species. Therefore, the Group recommends that, should the Commission wish improved advice on the status of these and other by-catch species, as well as advice on the likely impacts of the tuna fisheries on these species, larger monitoring and research investments directed at sharks in particular, and other by-catch species in general, need be made by the Parties. This investment should include at a minimum, participation in Working Group meetings by national scientists with knowledge of the fleets impacting these species.

## Furthermore,

1 The Committee recommends further coordination and collaboration with other international organizations, especially ICES and GFCM, for the assessment of the Atlantic and Mediterranean stocks of blue and shortfin mako sharks.

2 It is recommended that all conversion factors related to sharks be provided to the Secretariat by national scientists, so that the ICCAT database can incorporate these conversion factors.

3 It is recommended that Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities continue to develop and conduct observer programs for their own fleets to collect accurate data on shark and other catches by species (including discards).

4 The Committee recommends that the Commission consider hiring a By-catch Coordinator at the Secretariat and to encourage Contracting Parties and Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities to enhance their scientific delegations to include experts in seabird and turtle biology and population dynamics.

5 Noting that there were apparent inconsistencies in the interpretation of the blue shark CPUE information (data from observers on Japanese vessels operating in and around the Canadian EEZ) presented by Canadian scientists (SCRS/2004/014) and the results of the ICCAT Sub-Committee on By-Catch, the Sub-Committee recommends that Canadian and Japanese scientists conduct collaborative research in order to resolve the apparent discrepancies in the two documents.

## 8 Other matters

No other matters were discussed.

## 9 Adoption of the report and closure

After review, the Report was adopted and the 2004 Meeting of the Sub-Committee on By-catch was adjourned.

## Agenda of the Sub-Committee on By-catch

1 Opening, adoption of agenda and meeting arrangements
2 Review of new information concerning by-catches
3 Review of other national or international activities concerning by-catches
4 Report of the 2004 shark assessment meeting
5 Consideration of Resolution [Res. 02-14] on seabirds
6 Consideration of Resolution [Res. 03-11] on sea turtles
7 Recommendations
8 Other matters
9 Adoption of the report and closure

## REPORT OF THE MEETING OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT

## 1 Opening, adoption of the agenda and meeting arrangements

The meeting of the Sub-Committee on Environment was held on October 6, 2004 at the Hotel Gran Velazquez, Madrid. Dr. J. M. Fromentin (EC-France) chaired the session. By decision by the SCRS in 2003, the SubCommittee's objective for 2004 was to prepare a summary of the databases that are available and accessible on the Internet which could be useful to the ICCAT scientists. The results of these studies have been presented as well as the new information available concerning the environment.

## 2 Review of new information concerning environment

In addition to two documents on the environmental data bases (see section 3), seven working papers were presented that deal specifically with the influence of environmental fluctuations on the resources or the tuna fisheries in the Atlantic.

Documents SCRS/2004/051, SCRS/2004/142 and SCRS/2004/156 discuss the impact of the environment on the catchability of the fishing gears (an important issue that has been discussed many times in the SCRS). The first of these documents (SCRS/2004/051) tests (by simple linear regressions) the possible dependence of the CPUE of Polish longliners fishing bigeye tuna with the water temperature at different depths and the thermocline. The authors conclude there is the possibility of the relationship between CPUEs and the depth of the thermocline and surface water temperature. However, these results should be considered preliminary since the methodological approach used does not permit interactions between the different explicative variables. Document SCRS/2004/142 considers the matter of the impact of the phases of the moon on the swordfish catchability by Portuguese longliners. The favorable effect of the full moon on swordfish catches is found in the majority of the cases, regardless of the period of the year or the size of the fish. This influence of the moon, which has already been documented on this type of fisheries in other oceans, should be taken into account in the standardization of the CPUE series, particularly to correct the non-equilibrium sampling plans or to study the potential interactions of the moon with other factors. This matter is also discussed in document SCRS/2004/156 which presents a quantitative analysis (by GLM) of the effects of surface temperature (SST), of the force of the wind and general meteorological conditions on the CPUEs of skipjack from purse seiners fishing in the southwest Atlantic. These interesting results are also noted, especially between the interaction between the "zone" factor and general meteorological conditions and wind. One can also note that the SST is not so much an influence, but simply a quantitative variable, which is not totally surprising and, as the authors suggest, it is the underlying oceanographic structures that it can reveal, such as fronts, rather than the SST, which is important.

This last result does not permit, however, establishing a relation with documents SCRS/2004/055, SCRS/2004/076 and SCRS/2004/097 which report instead on the tuna habitat. The last document (SCRS/2004/097) studies the influence of the frontal structures, revealed by satellite images, on the spatial distribution of bluefin tuna juveniles obtained from some aerial scientific cruises. The relation between fronts and tunas proves to be very strong and probably denotes an association of the trophic type, since the fontal zones are also the site where processes of retention and convergence occur (this is confirmed by the aerial observers who point out that the fish in these zones searching for food). However, this type of association does not fully explain the spatial distribution of tunas, particularly on a small scale where the phenomena of over-aggregation are observed. Document SCRS/2004/055 estimates the catch rates of bigeye tuna caught by Chinese longliners in relation to hook depth, temperature and salinity (measured by CTD). The results confirm the deep habitat of bigeye tuna, between 240 and 330 m , corresponding to temperatures between $10^{\circ}$ and $13^{\circ}$ and to salinities between 35 and $35.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$, but the authors insist that these results have been obtained from theoretic and immeasurable hook depths and should thus be considered preliminary. Document SCRS/2004/076 tries to establish the oceanographic habitat of the reproduction area of bluefin tuna around the Balearic Islands. From 2001 to 2003, the hydrograph of this area has been submitted to strong inter-annual variations. Bluefin tuna larvae have been caught in $24-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ waters and mainly in waters of Atlantic origin. The authors also point out the importance of the frontal zones and the anti-cyclonic swirls could have on the spawning strategy of the species, survival and larval concentration.

The last document, SCRS/2004/190, discusses a matter of more general interest, to learn if the effects can be detected of the environmental influences on the stock structure of fish exploited through results provided by the
assessment models, particularly VPA. To carry out this work, which is part of the European Project FEMS, coordinated by L. T. Kell, the authors have used North Atlantic albacore as a case study.

Past studies put forward that numbers-at-age 1 of albacore may be correlated with the winter NAO index in the previous year. Two main hypotheses have been stressed to explain such observation, i.e. an impact of the NAO on the recruitment or on migration patters and consequently in the availability and catchability of specific age classes to the surface fishery. To test whether such processes can be distinguished using VPA outputs, the authors first computed simple cross-correlations between the NAO at various lags, catches-at-age, numbers-atage and F-at-age estimated in the last assessment. Since results were inconclusive, simulations were undertaken. The results showed that the probability of detecting spurious correlations between a given environmental variable, such as the NAO, and VPA outputs appears to be strongly related to the level of errors due to the observation process and modeling assumptions made within the assessment procedure. The authors concluded that correlations based solely on VPA outputs should be interpreted with considerable caution.

## 3 Review of environmental databases and the GAO program

During the 2003 plenary sessions, the SCRS approved the Sub-Committee on Environment Convener's proposal on the environmental databases available on the Internet and the GAO program of the IRD (France).

Document SCRS/2004/171 presents a list and a description of the major Internet sites hosting environmental databases concerned with fishery ecology and with tuna resources. These can be divided into three large categories, in situ databases: (i) ( 7 sites with access to the data bases in situ of more than 20 environmental factors, such as the SST, wind, sea level, etc. and the different climatic indices, particularly the NAO and the SOI); (ii) by satellite ( 5 sites with access to data on SST, altimetry, wind and water color at different resolutions); and (iii) results of oceanic models ( 2 sites). The document already provides a significant number of websites holding marine environmental data sets of interest for fisheries biologists. Most of the data can be accessed free of charge, but some are restricted over a given period or to research activity. Nonetheless, a few data collections are with charge. Most often, the data sets appear of high quality as they mainly come from international programs or national agencies. However, the quality control procedure is rarely described. A secondary difficulty relates to the format of these databases. Most of them can be easily and directly downloaded, but formats vary among sites and some imply particular software. More important is the difficulty to find the appropriate website corresponding to a given request, as several important providers can be reached through various paths that do not always lead to the same window (and consequently to the same databases). Finally, the web provides an impressive source of data about the marine environment, but it can be time consuming to find and get access to this information, especially when the request is rather specific (e.g., high resolution gridded data of a regional sea).

Document SCRS/2004/172 describes software (GAO) for the processing of oceanographic data useful in fisheries research. GAO was created from voluminous data sets covering the tropical areas of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, the first of which go back to the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century. The observations are limited to the layer of the first 500 meters of the ocean, which encompasses the essential habitat of tunas and other larger oceanic predators. The data sets include oceanographic stations (temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, phosphates and nitrates at different immersion levels), vertical temperature profiles (MBT, XBT, CTD), some trips of the model of oceanic circulation OPA (temperature, salinity, current vectors, vertical speed, at 13 levels of the water column), ranges of surface temperature and wind according to a square area, ocean level anomalies measured by the Topex satellite, and soon a database on temperature at standard immersions according to a square area of the world ocean. These data sets are prepared in such a way as to permit archive of the data and processing on a local level, on a hard disk of an office computer. Software developed under Windows allows the extractions and searches on the databases. The objective of GAO is to becoming an easy tool for use in the working groups on the fisheries where quick references to the physical environment are needed. Dr. F. Marsac concluded that his program and the databases that can be assessed with it could be provided free for use by any interested scientist.

Following this presentation, some discussion ensued. Many members of the SCRS as well as the Secretariat congratulated Dr. F. Marsac for the quality and clarity of his presentation and they also expressed their interest for this tool which seems to be adequate for the needs of the scientists in matters related to the environment. The discussions afterwards centered on the best way to make this software available to the SCRS scientists. Taking into account the Secretariat's time and financial constraints, the solution reached was that GAO be hosted on the ICCAT web site (for example, on a page of the Sub-Committee of Environment would have to develop). The maintenance and updating, at least at first, will be the responsibility of Dr. Marsac and his team. The Secretariat,

Dr. Marsac, and the Convener of the Sub-Committee will also resolve some legal issues (right of access to the database found in GAO, the GAO copyright, etc.) concerning the hosting of this software. Within the next few weeks, Dr. Marsac will make a "simplified" version of GAO available to the Secretariat so that the scientists can become familiar with this software.

## 4 Recommendations

The Sub-Committee on Environment considers that the GAO software responds to many of the needs identified by the SCRS regarding the environmental databases and, besides, it seems accessible and easy to use. Consequently, the Sub-Committee recommends that:

- GAO software and database be hosted on the ICCAT Secretariat web page.
- A group, including Dr. Marsac, the Convener of the Sub-Committee on Environment and a member of the ICCAT Secretariat, supervise the hosting of GAO and check for any potential legal issues.

These recommendations have been discussed and endorsed by the SCRS.

## 5 Other matters

The opportunity of passing from a single-species approach to a multi-species and eco-system approach has been for some years at the heart of the discussions of the fisheries community, i.e., some international commissions to assess the resources (as regards the SCRS, we can cite the tropical tunas group). This matter was again discussed this year in the different species groups and it seems necessary to discuss it at length in the plenary session because the eco-system approach also poses feasibility problems.

On the one hand, it is undeniable that the single-species approach traditionally used in the SCRS species groups has some limits and generates confusion, particularly in quantifying fishing effort and the CPUEs of the mixed fleets, such as the tropical purse seine fleets. Further, it is evident that the carrying capacity and the potential of rebuilding an exploited stock depends, in large measure, on the dynamics of the ecosystem in which it evolves and, at the same time, its exploitation will, in turn, influence this eco-system. On the other hand, the multispecies and eco-system approaches imply a great amount of data and information (e.g., stomach contents, isotope ratios, prey abundance, etc.) which are not routinely collected by the statistical programs of the Contracting Parties. Consequently, this approach requires very important research efforts. Besides, the models currently available, such as multi-species VPAs, the indicators (such as size spectrums), the statistical models (based on the time series) or the trophic models of the ECOPATH type, are not yet fully operative in terms of assessment and, above all, helpful for decisions on the management of the stocks exploited. In conclusion, it appears necessary to form a "think tank" on this issue within the SCRS, particularly to develop a work plan adapted to the general mandate of the SCRS. To do this, it is proposed that the work be carried out within the SubCommittee on Environment and that the Sub-Committee expand its area of research to eco-system matters.

Many members of the SCRS recognized the importance of this matter from a strictly scientific point of view as well as from a more general point of view, specifically regarding the social concerns. However, the SCRS also recognizes the magnitude of this work and considers it unrealistic to think that such an approach can be operational for the assessment of the Atlantic tuna stocks in the near future (e.g. 2, 3 years). From a general point of view, a process should be initiated within the framework of the SCRS. To do this, it is proposed that a small group be formed under the auspices of the Sub-Committee on Environment, to prepare a study on the current status of this subject and to envisage the possible developments that could take place within ICCAT (work that could be included in SCRS document to be presented to the next plenary session). Various SCRS members also noted the importance of following-up the work already been started on this subject and on the fishery ecosystems in general, either through the CLIOTOP research program (GLOBEC regional program) or other tuna commissions, such as IATTC.

## 6 Adoption of report and closure

After review, the report was adopted and the 2004 meeting of the Sub-Committee on Environment was adjourned.

## Agenda of the Sub-Committee on Environment

1 Opening, adoption of Agenda and meeting arrangements
2 Review of new information concerning environment
3 Review of environmental databases and the GAO Program
4 Recommendations
5 Other matters
6 Adoption of the report and closure

## REPORT OF THE 2004 MEETING OF THE AD HOC WORKING GROUP ON SCRS ORGANIZATION

The Working Group ${ }^{1}$ met at the request of the SCRS Chairman on 1 October 2004, at the Secretariat offices. Dr. Gerry Scott (USA) chaired the meeting and Dr. Victor Restrepo (Secretariat) served as rapporteur.

## 1 Protocol for approving data fund expenditures

The 2003 Resolution on Improvements in Data Collection and Quality Assurance [Res. 03-21] established a Data Fund to be used for training and for supporting of scientific participation in SCRS data preparatory and stock assessments. The fund has about $€ 16,000$ as of 1 October 2004. The Working Group discussed the usefulness of formalizing a protocol for approving expenses from this fund in order to help the Secretariat manage it in a way that is consistent with the priorities established by SCRS.

The Working Group recommended that the SCRS approve the draft protocol in Addendum 1 to Appendix 10 and submit it to the Secretariat for consideration.

## 2 Assessment meeting schedule for 2005

The Working Group noted that the various other groups that met during the current week had been discussing the schedule of meetings for 2005. These include:

| Proposed Meeting | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| BUM \& WHM Assessments | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. 02-13] |
| ALB-North Assessment | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. 03-06] |
| BFT (west \& east+Med) | To be assessed in 2005 according to [Rec. 02-08] and [Rec. 03-08] |
| SWO Stock Structure <br> Workshop | Proposed by SWO group |
| Tropical Species Workshop | Proposed by Tropical species groups to evaluate alternatives to the 3.2 kg <br> minimum size |
| ALB Data Preparatory | Proposed by the albacore group to examine size data and substitutions by <br> fishery |
| BFT Planning Meeting | Proposed by bluefin tuna group to develop a research plan |

The Working Group reminded the SCRS of its past recommendation to try to avoid scheduling more than five meetings in any given year. These meetings should be scheduled to minimize overlap, but provide sufficient time in advance of the SCRS plenary to complete the assessment work.

## 3 Scientific Editor position

The Working Group noted with regret Dr. Julie Porter's decision to leave the Secretariat after having served two years as Scientific Editor, having accomplished a good job in improving the quality of ICCAT publications.

The Working Group discussed the need to provide advice to the Secretariat for the re-staffing of the position so as to take into account the needs of the SCRS. In this sense, it was reiterated that the staff person in charge of coordinating publications should not be limited to administering the process, but should also be able to contribute towards improving the quality of the publications. The Working Group recommended that the position be filled with the required qualifications, following the same selection process used in the past. The Working Group also recommends that the position be advertised in the future.

[^8]
## 4 Peer reviews

The Working Group recommended that the external peer review funds be used in 2005 to bring an independent scientist to chair the bluefin tuna stock assessment meeting. Sufficient funds should be made available to retain the expert's services for a period of 2-3 weeks (the amount of time needed to become familiar with the main issues before the meeting, plus the duration of the meeting, plus some time after the meeting to arbitrate the adoption of the report, if necessary).

## 5 Duration of the species group and SCRS meetings

The Working Group discussed the problem faced by some scientists who cannot afford travel for the two weeks spanning the species groups, Sub-Committee on Statistics and SCRS meetings, which would make it desirable to shorten the meetings. Also, the timing and duration of meetings have consequences for the utilization of Secretariat resources. Several options were considered, together with their pros and cons.

- Hold the Species Group meetings separately (e.g., one month before). This could make it more difficult for parties to make the data available on time and could involve higher travel expenses.
- Discuss only the species being assessed in a given year and match this schedule to the pluri-annual decision-making by the Commission. This option could shorten the meetings substantially but would be risky because bad signals in fishery indicators could go undetected for years. Also, scientists who only attend the SCRS or Species Group meetings may need this as the only opportunity to present their information to ICCAT.
- Adopt the SCRS report by correspondence. This could reduce the duration of the meetings by 2-3 days but it would be problematic because delegates could arrive at the Commission meeting without having scientific consensus on important conservation issues.

The Working Group concluded that the current two-week approach was working efficiently and should not be modified substantially unless instructed to do so by the Commission. In years with few contentious assessments, the Secretariat could schedule the SCRS meeting to last for 4 days instead of 5 . This shortening of the SCRS meeting could be accomplished if the SCRS takes the following measures into account:

- Avoid duplication in the adoption of reports. Some reports are typically adopted twice (especially those of Sub-Committees).
- Reduce the amount of formalities. Delegations should be introduced briefly. Reports of recent fishery developments should be short and summaries ("country reports") should be made available in writing to the Secretariat before the species group meeting so that they can be translated and distributed before the SCRS starts
- Shorten the presentation of Executive Summaries for species that have not been assessed during the year. The presentation for such species could focus primarily on the sections that have been updated (typically the Description of Fisheries).


## 6 Other matters

## Representation of Contracting Parties during the SCRS

It sometimes happens that scientists attend assessments or species group meetings as individual experts but no scientists from the same country are later accredited as formal delegates to the SCRS meeting. The Working Group considered that it was important for parties to contribute to the understanding of the fish populations managed by the Commission not only by reporting fisheries data but also by participating in the scientific meetings. Scientific presence at the SCRS meeting was considered of utmost importance because this is the only time when the status of all stocks is discussed in unison, thus providing an opportunity for input and exchange of views by all parties.

The Working Group recommended that the SCRS instruct the SCRS Chairman to send each year a letter such as that shown in Addendum 2 to Appendix 10 to CPCs that do not attend the SCRS meeting.

The Working Group also recommended that, in preparing the list of participants to the species group and SCRS meetings, the Secretariat clearly distinguish those who attended the latter.

Addendum 1 to Appendix 10

## Proposed Protocol for the Use of the ICCAT Data Fund

## Background

In 2003, the Commission adopted a Resolution on Improvements in Data Collection and Quality Assurance [Res. 03-21]. The Resolution calls for the establishment of a special Fund, made from voluntary contributions, to be used for capacity-building related to data collection and quality assurance. The purpose of this document is to specify the procedure in which the funds will be used.

## Administration

The Fund is administered by the ICCAT Secretariat through a trust fund. Each year, the Secretariat should present to the SCRS a financial report on the Fund. This report should be included in the SCRS Report so as to be presented to the Commission.

## Steering Committee (SC)

All major Fund expenditures should require the approval of a Steering Committee (SC), which will be composed of the following:

- SCRS Chairman (who will act as the SC Chair)
- Sub-Committee on Statistics Convener
- Members of the Ad Hoc Working Group on SCRS Organization


## Decisions on allocation of funds

The SC will make decisions on the types of activities that should be funded, consistent with Resolution [Res. 0321], e.g., those activities deemed as priorities by the Sub-Committee on Statistics. The SC may either solicit proposals from potential Fund users, or it may decide to allocate funds to a particular scientist for a particular activity, without the need to issue a call for proposals.

Decisions will be made by correspondence (e-mail) at the request of the SC Chair. Responses that are not received within a time frame established each time by the Chair (typically 2 weeks) will be taken as approvals of the request.

## Model Letter for CPCs not Attending the SCRS Meeting

## [TO HEAD DELEGATE OF CPC]

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing to you as Chairman of the ICCAT Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS). The Committee plays a key role in advising on scientific matters so as to ensure that that the Commission has available at all times complete, current and equivalent statistics on fishery activities in the Convention area. The Committee also plays a key role in developing scientific consensus on stock status and in evaluating the effectiveness of the conservation and management measures in force for these stocks.

This year [CPC] was not represented at the SCRS meeting. This is unfortunate because [CPC] may have missed important opportunities to have its expertise considered during the SCRS process that leads to a consensus report on the status of stocks and recommendations for management. In addition, the presence of [CPC] during the SCRS meeting would have enriched the exchange of views that forms the basis of openness and transparency that is so important to ICCAT.

I encourage you to take all necessary steps in order to ensure that [CPC] will attend the SCRS meeting next year.
Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Yours sincerely,
[Name]
SCRS Chair

## TROPICAL TUNAS WORK PLAN FOR 2005

No stock assessment(s) are planned for yellowfin tuna, bigeye tuna or skipjack tuna in 2005. The Commission asked the Working Group [Ref. 03-01] for an "analysis of the effectiveness of the current minimum size [weight] recommendation and ... advise in 2004 on alternative measures for the protection of juvenile bigeye, taking into account the current moratorium."

The Working Group decided that a complete and thorough evaluation of alternative measures for the protection of juvenile bigeye tuna cannot be accomplished in the limited time of the SCRS working group. The Group expressed that the revision of alternative measures will concern not only bigeye tuna but should include other tropical tunas (yellowfin and skipjack tunas) due to the multi-species nature of the fishery, particularly the surface fisheries in the Gulf of Guinea. Therefore, the Working Group proposed a workshop in 2005 that will respond to the Commission's request. The proposed workshop will be held prior to the SCRS general meeting. The Group will extend an invitation to tropical tuna scientists to present and review different management alternatives for the protection of juveniles, not only of bigeye tuna but also for yellowfin, considering their impact on the skipjack tuna fisheries, which are frequently caught together in the surface fisheries in the Gulf of Guinea. The workshop should address multi-species interactions, and the likelihood of success of the different alternatives given the current operation of the surface fisheries, including the current moratorium on FAD operations.

The Working Group also stressed that prior to evaluating those alternatives, it should:

- Revise and analyze the updated comprehensive tagging information of tropical tunas to provide estimates of natural mortality, in particular for the early ages.
- Evaluate the ratio of natural mortality and fishing mortality of juvenile tropical tunas to find out if the objective is reachable and beneficial for the stocks of bigeye and yellowfin tunas.
- The Working Group suggested several alternative measures that can be reviewed based on the latest biological and statistical data available for bigeye, yellowfin and skipjack tuna. Those alternatives might include, but are not limited to:
- Change in the minimum size (weight) for yellowfin tuna and bigeye tuna, taking into account discard mortality rates;
- Restrictions on specific types of fisheries and/or fishing operations (independent of time/area closures;
- Reductions in fishing effort;
- Quota implementation;
- Time/area closures, targeted to fisheries that primarily catch juvenile fish;
- Extension of the current moratorium in a specific type of fishery; and
- Potential of methodological/technological improvements that would change the current selectivity of the surface gears, mainly purse seine.

The Group considered that the likelihood of compliance for several of the alternatives previously mentioned should be taken into account, and that the Group should propose indicators to measure their effect once the implementation is adopted.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Available at the time of the assessment.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Range based on point estimates from various production models and including a delay-difference model. Other models applied during the assessment resulted in estimates outside this range.
    ${ }^{2}$ Provisional figure, subject to change in the future.

[^2]:    1 These estimates do not include any unreported catches that might have occurred.
    ${ }_{2}$ Median and approximate $80 \%$ confidence interval from bootstrapping from the 2002 assessment; assumes a "low recruitment" scenario at high spawning levels.
    ${ }^{3}$ Median and approximate $80 \%$ confidence interval from bootstrapping from the 2002 assessment; assumes a "high recruitment" scenario at high spawning levels.

[^3]:    Summary statistics are based on three runs (Trials 5, 9 and 12 in the 2002 Detailed Report) that represent alternative model formulations
    2 One of the assessment runs examined used an alternative catch scenario with hypothetical levels of mis-reporting. Under that scenario, the 2000 yield was $40,214 \mathrm{t}$.
    32002 and 2003 catches are not included in this summary table because reports are incomplete.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assessment results are uncertain. Uncertainty in these estimates is not fully quantified by bootstrapping.
    ${ }^{2}$ Approximate $80 \%$ CI from bootstrap for ASPIC model.
    ${ }^{3}$ These measures did not take effect until mid-2001.
    ${ }^{4}$ Reported Task I value, which is likely to be a substantial underestimate of the total catch.

[^5]:    Base Case production model results based on catch data 1950-2001.
    2 Provisional and subject to revision, see footnote on SWO-ATL-Table 1.
    ${ }^{3}$ For next fishing year.
    ${ }^{4} 80 \%$ confidence intervals are shown.

[^6]:    ${ }^{(*)}$ Delegates who only participated in the Species Group meeting.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Copies can be obtained upon request to E.D. Prince, Western Atlantic Coordinator or by accessing the plan on the Southeast Fisheries Science Center's web site: http://.www.sefsc.noaa.gov/articlesandpublicatios.jsp.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ F. Hazin (Brazil), J. Mejuto (EC-Spain), J. Pereira (SCRS Chairman), R. Pianet (EC-France), J. Powers (USA), G. Scott (USA), and Z. Suzuki (Japan).

