# **REPORT OF THE 2014 ICCAT MEDITERRANEAN SWORDFISH STOCK ASSESSMENT MEETING**

(Heraklion, Greece – July 21 to 25, 2014)

## 1. Opening, adoption of agenda and meeting arrangements

The Meeting was held at the Hotel Astoria in Heraklion, Greece from July 21 to 25, 2014. Dr. Josu Santiago, on behalf the ICCAT, opened the meeting and welcomed participants ("the Working Group").

Dr. George Tserpes (EU-Greece), meeting Chairperson, welcomed meeting participants and proceeded to review the Agenda which was adopted with some adjustments (**Appendix 1**).

The List of Participants is included in **Appendix 2**. The List of Documents presented at the meeting is attached as **Appendix 3**. The following participants served as rapporteurs:

Items 1, 6 and 7: Secretariat Item 2: J. Neilson Item 3: D. Die, J. Neilson Item 4: L. Kell, E. Babcock Item 5: J. Santiago, M. Santos

## 2. Description and evolution of the Mediterranean swordfish fisheries

Mediterranean swordfish fisheries are characterized by high catch levels. It should be noted that average annual reported catches (on average about 13,408 t from 1988 to 2013) are similar to those of the North Atlantic, though the Mediterranean is a much smaller body of water compared to the North Atlantic. However, the potential reproductive area in the Mediterranean is probably relatively larger than that in the Atlantic. Further, the swordfish productivity of the Mediterranean Sea is thought to be very high.

Swordfish fishing has been carried out in the Mediterranean using harpoons and driftnets (drifting gillnets) at least since Roman times. Currently, with a high demand for swordfish for fresh consumption, swordfish fishing is carried out all over the Mediterranean Sea. The biggest producers of swordfish in the Mediterranean Sea in recent years (2003-2013) are Italy (41%), Morocco (14%), Greece (9%), Tunisia (8%) and Spain (10%). Also, Algeria, Cyprus, Malta, Tunisia and Turkey have fisheries targeting swordfish in the Mediterranean. Incidental catches of swordfish have also been reported by Albania, Croatia, France, Japan, Libya, Syria and Portugal. The Group recognized that there might be additional fleets taking swordfish in the Mediterranean, for example, Israel, Lebanon, Egypt and Monaco, but no data are reported to ICCAT or the FAO.

Mediterranean total swordfish landings showed an upward trend from 1965-1972, stabilized between 1973 and 1977, and then resumed an upward trend reaching a peak in 1988 (20,365 t). The sharp increase between 1983 and 1988 may be partially attributed to improvement in the national systems for collecting catch statistics. Since 1988, the reported landings of swordfish in the Mediterranean Sea have declined, and since 1990, they have fluctuated between about 10,000 to 16,000 t. In 2013, catches were 11,254 t (Task 1, **Table 1**).

In recent years (2003-2013), the main fishing gears used are surface longlines (on average, representing 84% of the annual catch) and gillnets. Since 2012, gillnets have been eliminated. **Figure 1** presents the evolution of the catches according to the fishing gear. Swordfish are also caught with harpoons and traps, and also as by-catch in other fisheries (longlines and driftnets targeting albacore, purse seines etc.).

There have been several important management initiatives by ICCAT in recent years, and a summary of the measures is provided here. ICCAT first signaled its intention to protect juvenile Mediterranean swordfish in 2003, when it stated that "In order to protect small swordfish, Contracting Parties, Cooperating non-Contracting Parties, Entities or Fishing Entities shall take the necessary measures to reduce the mortality of juvenile swordfish in the entire Mediterranean" [Rec. 03-04]. The Recommendation was made more explicit in Rec. 07-01, where a one month closure was established: "Fishing for Mediterranean swordfish shall be prohibited in the Mediterranean Sea during the period from October 15 to November 15, 2008."Rec. 08-03 extended the closure period from 1 October to 30 November. The period of closure was extended in Rec. 11-03 which stated "Mediterranean swordfish shall not be caught (either as a targeted fishery or as by-catch), retained onboard, trans-shipped or landed during the period from 1 October to 30 November and during an additional period of one month between 15 February and 31 March." Most recently, Rec. 13-04 reaffirmed this closure period.

Concerning minimum sizes, Rec. 11-03 established a minimum size that prohibited the retaining on board, transshipping, landing, transporting, storing, selling, displaying or offering for sale Mediterranean swordfish measuring less than 90 cm LJFL or, in alternative, weighing less than 10 kg of round weight or 9 kg of gutted weight, or 7.5 kg of gilled and gutted weight. However, the CPCs may grant tolerances to vessels that have incidentally captured small fish below the minimum size, with the condition that this incidental catch shall not exceed:

- a) 10% by weight or/and number of pieces per landing of the total swordfish catch of said vessels (in 2012),
- b) 5% by weight or/and number of pieces per landing of the total swordfish catch of said vessels as from 2013.

The minimum size regulation was reaffirmed and extended for the 2013 fishing season in Rec. 13-04.

A ban on the use of driftnets within the Mediterranean was established in 2003 (Rec. 03-04), but full compliance with the regulation occurred several years later. Rec. 09-04 established a list of fishing vessels allowed to fish for Mediterranean swordfish. Most recently, there have also been restrictions on the number of hooks carried by individual longliners (2800 maximum), hook size (no smaller than 7 cm in height) and longline length (55 km). These restrictions were established for 2012 (Rec. 11-03) and remained in force for 2013 (Rec. 13-04).

## Fishery descriptions from Working Group participants

Scientists participating in the WG provided a summary of recent fishery developments, including domestic management measures (which are in addition to the ICCAT measures described above), below. **Figure 2** shows the Mediterranean areas considered in the fisheries descriptions given below.

The Working Group was pleased to note the good participation of the CPCs listed below, which represented a considerable improvement compared with the last stock assessment meeting. Together, the catches associated with these countries represent about 95% of the 2013 total catch of Mediterranean swordfish.

## Algeria

Swordfish fishing in Algeria is a well-established activity and around 303 small fishing boats with length $\geq$ 9 m participate in the fishery. The most common gear used in the targeted fishery is surface longline, with some incidental catches of swordfish made by trawlers and purse-seiners. The longline length varies between 3000 to 6000 m, and the number of hooks depends on the length of the main line. In general, fishermen arrive on the fishing grounds around sunset after 3 to 4 h of transit. The surface longline drifts with the current almost 4 h, with the fishing depth being around 200 m.

The fishery is seasonal in nature, and because of ICCAT closures and weather, the fleet is operational for only 4-5 months of the year. The average annual LL catch over the past five years is about 420 t, but there is significant variation from year to year. The best season for targeting swordfish is the period from June to September.

Algeria has implemented the ICCAT management measures described earlier.

The WG reviewed SCRS/2014/095, which provided further details of the Algerian fishery from 2003 to 2013. The Group noted that there were some significant discrepancies between the Task 1 catch data from Algeria and the information presented in the working paper. The authors were requested to reconcile the differences and prepare a report for the upcoming meeting of the Subcommittee on Statistics of the SCRS. The official landings information could then be amended if necessary.

# EU-Greece

The Greek swordfish fleets operate throughout the eastern Mediterranean basin using exclusively drifting longlines. In 2013, about 160 vessels were actively involved in the swordfish fishery. Most of them entered the fishery occasionally, mainly during the summer months. The swordfish fishing season follows the established temporal closures by ICCAT and a special license is required for a commercial fishing boat to be allowed to fish for swordfish.

Swordfish comprises the bulk of large pelagic catches of the Greek fishing fleets and according to ICCAT records, Greece is among the most important producers in the Mediterranean. Swordfish production during the 2013 fishing season was estimated to be up to 1730 t, which is among the highest production rates of the last decade. The estimated CPUE rates were also reflecting this relatively higher production.

Greece has implemented the ICCAT management measures described earlier.

## EU-Italy

Italy has a long historical tradition in the swordfish fishery, reflected by the development of several fisheries in more recent times. As a matter of fact, Italy has an important fleet of longliners which provides the bulk of the catches, while minor catches are obtained by the few harpoon vessels still active in the Strait of Messina, the tuna traps, the sport fishery and some other surface gears. The structure of the Italian fleet has undergone major changes after the driftnet ban, because Italy had the most numerous driftnet fleet in the Mediterranean and it was not easy to apply and enforce the new regulation, due to a strong tradition.

The longline fleet is widespread all over the various seas around Italy, with a higher concentration in the southern Italian regions. The fishing grounds show moderate yearly variability, depending mostly on oceanographic factors. Most of the vessels are small-medium longliners, distributed in a great number of harbours, usually exploiting local fishing grounds. They have licenses for different gears (longline, trammel net, bottom gillnet, etc.) and show a strictly seasonal activity, switching from one gear to the other according to the seasons and fishing opportunities. Other vessels, medium-large in size, usually carry out a more focused activity, alternatively targeting swordfish and albacore or bluefin tuna and covering various areas in the Mediterranean Sea. Some fleets are active all the year round, while the majority of the vessels are active from spring until early autumn.

The fishery has been strongly affected by the increase in the price of fuel, bait and technical equipment and the simultaneous decrease in the price of the product.

The longline fishery has changed considerably in the last five years. From 2009-2010, the mesopelagic longline has been gradually introduced in almost all Italian swordfish fleets, which has led to an increase in catches of individuals of larger size and decreases in the catches of juveniles. The mesopelagic longline gear is set deeper and for longer periods of time compared to the traditional approach for the Italian fisheries. The new approach is now dominant in the Italian longline fisheries. This is particularly noteworthy, as these fisheries are among the largest within the stock area, and the changes have implications for the use of catch rates as indices of abundance in the stock assessment. The Group received details on the new developments in several working papers, summarized below.

SCRS/2014/100 presented the effects of the introduction of the new mesopelagic longline in the Ligurian Sea fishery since 2010, substituting the traditional surface long line. The results showed a significant increase of swordfish mean size and nominal CPUE, with a decrease of the by-catch for the first two years (2010 and 2011). A substantial decline, both of mean size and CPUE values, was recorded in the 2012, followed by a small recovery in 2013. The introduction of this new gear revealed the unexpected presence of a fraction of the swordfish population, made up of large spawners, so far only partially exploited by commercial fishing.

SCRS/2014/106 documented the results of a study of the catch composition of the Italian fishing fleet from 2007 to 2013. Data were collected in several landing ports around the Italian coast and at sea following ICCAT methodologies. For every sample, the lower jaw-fork length (LJFL) and the round weight (RWT) were measured. For the gutted fish, the RWT was estimated using the ICCAT conversion factors for Mediterranean swordfish. Whenever it was impossible to measure the weight, an estimate was made using the length-weight relationship for Mediterranean swordfish. In order to estimate the age of every sample the second radius of the anal fin was collected. Sex determination of the fish , where possible, was carried out by visual inspection of the gonads during the gutting operation 27,530 fish were sampled during the period 2007-13: the highest number of samples comes from the Tyrrhenian Sea area, and two other important areas were the Adriatic Sea and the Straits of Sicily. Considering the period 2007-2013, the general trend in total catch is negative. Since 2004 the percentage of "unclassified" catches begins to decrease, and practically disappeared in the last two years.

27,530 fish were measured for length (maximum number 6,382 in 2008, minimum 1,353 in 2011). The samples were grouped by class size (5 cm). 98% of swordfish caught are between 80 and 190 cm with a mean length of 140 cm. The percentage of undersized individuals is very low for each year (max. 8% in 2007) and it generally decreased from 2007 to 2013. The samples observed by sex during the period 2010-2013 were 1,865 (810 female and 1,055 male). The length classes most represented were between 120 and 175 cm: these classes gather 78% of the total male catches and 57% of the females. Females were relatively more numerous in the classes over 175 cm (22% of the total of the females compared with 12% of the total of male catches). The general mean is 160 cm for females and 140 cm for males. The samples collected for age were 752: about 90% of the samples belong to the classes 2 to 6. Considering sex there are some differences between males and females: for males the most represented age classes are between 2 and 5. The greater number of females are between ages 2 to 7. For the period 2007-09 data are not available.

SCRS/2014/111 focussed on a description of the new form of longline, referred to by the authors as midwater or mesopelagic. Since the banning of the gillnet fishery ("spadara") occurred in 2002, the Italian swordfish fishery is practiced only by pelagic longlines. Some fishermen have gradually modified the traditional surface pelagic longline in a midwater fishing gear, which has proven very efficient and it was gradually adopted by most of the Italian longline fleet. A project to examine the phenomenon was undertaken during 2012, comparing also size distribution of the catch and fishing practices of the two different fishing gears, the traditional surface longline and the midwater longline.

About 800 "drifting longliners" were estimated to have swordfish as the main target. A sample of 352 vessels was selected to collect information about the use of the gear, and a sub-sample of 26 vessels was selected to collect catch data. The main biometric parameters of the catches were collected during sampling, as well as technical data concerning fishing gears and other relevant information. In Italy, at least 800 "pelagic longliners" are estimated to have swordfish as the main target. The vessels are mainly distributed in Tyrrhenian Sea, with the bulk of the fleet around the Sicilian coasts (both Tyrrhenian and Ionian Sea), Straits of Messina, Sicilian channel and South Adriatic Sea.

Even if the midwater fishing technique is by far the most used, the majority of vessels use both gears depending on the sea condition, season and fishing opportunity. Surface longline is easier to manage and faster in the fishing activity (smaller size and shorter soaking time); it can be used by smaller boats and much closer to the coast (fishing in the surface layers) and produces its main effort only during night hours.

Midwater longline often has much longer set durations, needs greater depths and distance from the coast. It fishes all day long, utilizing a considerable volume of the water column. Usually more than one gear is set during the same fishing trip, therefore a greater fishing effort can be deployed.

A total of 2,070 individuals (LJFL between 81.8 and 235.0 cm, average length 121.73 cm) were sampled in three ports. The midwater gear catches on average bigger swordfish but also a wider size range, while surface longline catches are more limited to medium and small sizes. CPUE values, in terms of kg/1000 hooks are 141.8 kg in the overall sampling, with partial values of 174.8 for midwater and 78.5 for the surface longline.

Italy has implemented the ICCAT management measures described earlier.

# EU-Spain

The Spanish fishery in the Mediterranean targeting swordfish is carried out by surface longlines and by "piedrabola" longlines. Swordfish are also caught seasonally, in small quantities, as by-catch species on longlines targeting both bluefin tuna and albacore. The total catch of swordfish in 2013 was up to 1,607 tons, comparable with that in the most recent years of the fishery. The surface longline fishery has remained quite stable regarding fishing effort, number of vessels involved in the fishery as well as their technical characteristics (on average, length 11 m; HP 145 and GRT 25).

The Spanish swordfish longline fishery in the Mediterranean is regulated following the ICCAT recommendations described earlier.

## Morocco

The Moroccan swordfish fishery in the Mediterranean Sea has been in operation since 1983. With the introduction of the driftnet in the area in the early 90s, the fishery has had an important expansion during the 1990s. Since 2008, the Mediterranean catches have been significantly reduced due to the implementation of the national plan for banning the driftnet, following the ICCAT recommendation (Rec. 03-04).

After the total ban of driftnet use in Moroccan waters since 2012, swordfish is mainly targeted by longliners in the Mediterranean, particularly in the Strait of Gibraltar (**Figure 2**). The fishing season occurs during August-September and from December to January, with a peak in December. Minor catches of this species are also taken occasionally by traps and purse seines.

After the peak landings of 4,900 tons recorded in 1997, the swordfish catches have shown a steady decline since 2005 and were 770 tons in 2013. The average catch during the period 2012-2013, was about 786 tons, which represented a decrease of about 44% with respect to the period 2009-2011. This important reduction in the total catches is due to the complete ban of driftnet since 2012.

Over the last decade, the average size of the landed fish in the Strait of Gibraltar did not show any clear trend, it remained relatively stable around 145cm (45 kg).

In addition to the ICCAT management measures already described, Morocco has established a freeze on fishing effort through the suspension of the investments for vessel construction since 1992 (Circular note No. 3887 of 18 August 1992). Morocco also implemented a minimum size of 125 cm up to and including 2011, but the new ICCAT minimum size (Rec. 11-03) has been implemented for 2012 and later.

## Tunisia

Swordfish is an important economic species for Tunisia. National production is around 1000 t since 2003. The main fishing season is the summer. Surface longline is the most commonly used gear type. There are 466 vessels allowed to catch swordfish (year 2013). This fleet is attached to 20 landing ports. The main port is in the north. However, the eastern region has the main part of the fleet (62%). Vessels range in length between 5 to 20 m, (GRT) tonnage range 1.7 and 49 t and engine power (HP) from 30 to 500 CV.

Fishing regulations follow the ICCAT recommendations described earlier. Further details on the Tunisian swordfish fishery may be found in SCRS/2014/109. In its review, the WG noted some differences between Task 1 and the landings information in SCRS/2014/109, but the discrepancies were slight (about 2%).

# Turkey

The Turkish swordfish fishery in the Mediterranean dates back to the early 17th century. The fishery in Turkey has been carried out in the Aegean Sea and eastern Mediterranean Sea. While harpoon gear has been used in the northern Aegean Sea, longlines have been used in the Aegean Sea and the eastern Mediterranean Sea. However, some swordfish are also caught incidentally by purse seines as by-catch. About 150 vessels were involved in the swordfish fishery and most of them are smaller than 20 m LOA. This fishery is carried out 6-7 months per year due to the closed seasons and meteorological conditions.

The annual catch is variable, ranging between 7 tons in 1976 and 589 tons in 1988. Total catch amount of swordfish was 79.7 t in 2012, and it slightly increased to 96.8 t in 2013 but still there has been a considerable decrease in the total catch of swordfish that can be attributed to the end of the gillnet fishery.

Turkey has implemented the ICCAT management measures described earlier. In addition, Turkey uses a minimum landing size of 125 cm LJFL.

## Summary of national fisheries

It is clear from the fishery descriptions presented here that the Mediterranean swordfish fishery supports a number of important national fisheries with significant numbers of active vessels. However, the Group noted that the number of vessels on the ICCAT list (ICCAT Record of SWO-MED Vessels, established under Rec. 11-03, which contains a list of fishing vessels authorized to catch swordfish in the Mediterranean Sea often much larger than the number of active vessels authorized by CPCs to fish Mediterranean swordfish in 2013.

	Authorized vessels
ICCAT CPC	active in 2013
Algeria	303
EU.Cyprus	N/A
EU.Spain	70
EU.France	N/A
EU.Greece	160
EU.Croatia	N/A
EU.Italy	1944*
EU.Malta	N/A
EU.Portugal	N/A
Morocco	N/A
Tunisia	Around 460
Turkey	100
Total	2990

(\*) in accordance with the current EU and international provisions, fishing logbook data available for 1,944 vessels of LOA> 10 t., 264 vessels with catches reported on the logbook for 2013.

The above list reflects information available to the WG at the time of the assessment, and as indicated, is an underestimate of the number of active vessels involved in the Mediterranean fishery.

## 3. Update of basic information: Swordfish

## 3.1 New biological information

The Group reviewed SCRS/2014/110, which presented results of a growth study of swordfish in the Strait of Gibraltar based on monthly size frequencies data collected from the Moroccan driftnet fishery during the period 2006-2011. The growth parameters were estimated by the modal progression analysis (MPA), using both the Bhattacharya and NORMSEP methods.

The growth pattern of swordfish in the Strait of Gibraltar was found to be very similar to that obtained from past studies in various Mediterranean areas (Tserpes and Tsimenides 1995). Given the existing growth differences among Atlantic and Mediterranean swordfish, this suggests that the majority of fish caught in this area are most likely belonging to the Mediterranean stock. However, further studies are needed to identify the degree of mixing among stocks.

The Group recalled that another recent paper (Akyol and Ceyhan 2013) obtained comparable results from direct age determination using anal fin spine sections.

Given the general agreement of the available age and growth studies, the growth equations adopted by the WG continue to be those developed by Tserpes and Tsimenides (1995). In addition, given the consistency of the results of the various age and growth studies, the Group concluded that modelling work should reflect a high degree of certainty in the estimated growth parameters.

As no new information was presented for other biological parameters, the WG used the same inputs as were used in the 2010 stock assessment. A summary of the biological parameters used by the Group is provided below:

Parameter	Mean	CV	Distribution	Description	Source
М	0.206	0.25	lognormal	Natural mortality (1/year)	McAllister (2014)
Linf	238.58	0.1	lognormal	Von Bertalanffy asymptotic length	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: Working Group
K	0.185	0.1	normal	Von Bertalanffy growth parameter	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: Working Group
t0	-1.404	0.2	normal	Von Bertalanffy age at zero length	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: Working Group
a	8.90E-07	0.1	lognormal	Weight at length parameter	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: McAllister (2014)
b	3.554738	0.1	normal	Weight at length parameter	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: McAllister (2014)
L50	142	0.2	lognormal	Length at 50% maturity	Mean: ICCAT Manual. CV: McAllister (2014)
d	0.2	0.2	lognormal	Parameter of the logistic maturity ogive	Working Group
h	0.83	0.14	beta	Steepness h=0.2 + 0.8 Beta(5.86. 1.59)	McAllister (2014)

#### 3.2 Catch, effort size at age, catch at age

At the beginning of the meeting, the Secretariat presented the most up-to-date information available for the Mediterranean swordfish stock. This covers the Task I nominal catch (T1NC), Task II catch and effort (T2CE), and Task II size frequencies (T2SZ). No new conventional tagging data were available since the 2010 assessment.

# Task I catches

The complete SWO-MED summary table is presented in **Table 1**. The values for 2013 are preliminary. The Working Group noted that the available catch data appeared to be generally complete. The Group considered that the value for Tunisia may reflect an estimate, given the consistency of catches in recent years, and the WG requested that the values be checked. Subsequently, the Group learned from the Tunisia representative that the data reported were in fact estimates. The Algerian scientist noted discrepancies between the Task I Algeria catches and the values reported in their National Reports. It was recommended that Algeria statistical correspondent revise, update and present to the SubCom Stats the Task I NC submitted by year and gear type for the 2008 to 2010. The Group also noted that the 2012 catches for Italy (other surface gear) were not reported. For the purposes of the assessment, it was assumed that the 2012 catch for Italy (other surface gear) was the average of 2010, 2011 and 2013 (718 t).

In 2013, the total yield for the stock increased to 12,164 t, an increase of about 23% compared to 2012, which was the lowest annual catch since 1983.

**Figure 1** shows the T1NC yearly catch trends by year and major gear. In the previous stock assessment, it was noted that the SWO-MED stock is among the stock with largest T1NC catches with gear "unclassified". While such catches are not a major component of the contemporary years, there remain ranges of years where significant catches are designated as gear "unclassified". Efforts should be made by the national scientists of the relevant CPCs to discriminate T1NC catches by gear for the time periods in question. **Figure 1** also illustrates the increase in the importance of the longline gear component.

## Task II (catch-effort and size samples)

The detailed catalogue of T2CE is presented in **Table 2**. Although there are some significant absences of size information (for example, EU-Italy in 2013), the Group noted a general improvement in data availability in the most recent years.

The Secretariat presented a summary of the derivation of the catch at size and catch at age data in SCRS 2014/170, which is reproduced below.

Data and methods

The ICCAT Mediterranean swordfish Task II data comprise size information since 1975 to 2013, with some few size observations from 1961. However, number of size samples increased only after 1994, with the highest peak in the 2010. CAS has been submitted by CPCs since 1991 representing over 90% of the information available (**Figure 3**). Size and CAS data has been submitted by Mediterranean CPCs and at least 17 different types of fishing gears (**Figure 3**). Eleven CPCs have submitted size samples and only 5 CAS (EU.Cyprus, EU.Italy, EU.Spain, EU.Malta and Morocco). Lower Jaw Fork Length (LJFL) is the main size measurement reported (99%), but there are also few weight frequency samples (WGT, 1213 observations). Overall, a total of 754,534 fish size measurements and 2,916,005 catch at size are available for the Mediterranean swordfish. Size ranges from 11 to 295 LJFL cm; sizes above 450 cm were considered outliers and excluded from any further analysis (2 observations).

**Figure 4** shows the size distributions of the size samples and the CAS data. Overall both types of data show similar information, central tendency and variance are similar, distributions show a left skew distribution with a peak at 105-110 LJFL size, extending from 60 to 220 LJFL cm. Six main fishing gears were reported with catches of swordfish, longline (LL), baitboat (BB), trap (TRP), gillnet (GN), harpoon (HRP), handline (HND) and unknown gear category (UNK). Task II data include other variables such Flag, Fleet, Port zone, and time period. Most of the data is reported with month of catch, however some observations are reported in quarterly or semester strata. For the latter, data were assigned to the mid-month of the corresponding quarter or semester.

**Figure 5** shows the size distribution of the SWO-M by year from 1975 forward. For early years 1975 to 1984, the average size of fish were above the overall mean, albeit the limited number of observations. Since 1987, the size distribution of SWO-M fish has remained rather stable, with a mean of about 110 cm LJFL, however yearly histograms show differences in the spread and shape of the distributions.

A mosaic plot of year versus month indicated that size samples are available for all months, except in the early years and in more recent years. From 2010 forward, the size samples are primarily from the months of August and September (**Figure 6**). The boxplots of size by month indicate some seasonal pattern, with larger size fish caught in May and June, compared to the rest of months (**Figure 6**). There are also differences in the size distribution by gear type (**Figure 7**). Harpoon catch larger size fish, albeit few samples are available; on the other hand, longlines and gillnets catch smaller size fish.

## Catch at size and age estimation

The main purpose of size frequency input data is to provide information to assessment models of the size and or age distribution of the catch. This assumes that size frequency data is representative of the fleet(s) catch. In models where age composition is the input, normally the input CAA matrix is estimated from the combined CAS of all fleets. For Mediterranean swordfish, CAA has been generated from the overall CAS, if a CPC reported CAS for their fleets, this information is the main input to overall CAS, if only size frequency samples were provided, these were raised to estimate total CAS for a given particular fleet, or when neither CAS or size data is available, a substitution size frequency data is used following the prior recommendations from the Swordfish Working Group. In general, the substitutions are from comparable gear-area fleets. Tables of substitution applied to the CAS information are available from the ICCAT Secretariat; **Figure 8** summarizes the level of substitutions for the 2006-2013 period. Finally, the CAS was compared with the Task I reported catch by flag/fleet, the conversion of fish numbers to yield used the current length weight relationship (Mejuto and De la Serna, 1993) for Mediterranean swordfish, and good agreement was noted.

Using the current adopted size at age relationship for Mediterranean swordfish (Tserpes and Tsimenides, 1995) a CAA matrix was constructed using a simple slicing algorithm applied to the monthly reported CAS matrix. The ageing was done on the size range from 30 to 290 cm in 1 cm intervals, where the 290 cm bin is a plus group, estimating age distribution from ages 0 to 19 plus. **Table 3** shows the estimated CAA matrix and **Figure 9** the age distribution by year.

The authors of SCRS 2014/170 noted that the comparison of the size samples against the CAS provided by CPCs shows very similar distributions and central tendency values. This result indicates that CAS and or size frequency data is representative of the fisheries, noticing however that for CPCs that submitted both CAS and size frequency data they are likely using the size data to estimate their CAS.

In 2010 a comparison of CAA estimated by two procedures (Kell and Kell, 2011) was presented. The methods were an inversion of the von Bertalanffy growth model comparable to the age-slicing method used in this analysis, and a stochastic ALK procedure. They concluded based on the stochastic model that age-slicing underestimates the proportions of age of younger fish. The current CAA indicates that about 80% of the catches correspond to ages 0 to 4; being ages 1 and 2 the most predominant (**Figure 9**). Finally, estimates of mean weight at age by year shows a rather stable trend for most ages, except the plus group (**Figure 10**).

## 3.3 Relative abundance indices

During the meeting nine relative abundance indices were assembled to be considered for the assessment (**Table 4**). One of these indices, the index for the Sicilian gillnet fishery calculated for the period 1990-2009 by Tserpes *et al.* (2011) was presented at the previous assessment. The index has not been updated because the driftnet ban has eliminated that fishery and no new data has been made available for it. The Group discussed the fact that this index could be biased because it includes years (2002-2009) during which the driftnet ban had been in effect. The Group suspects that during this period the distribution and quality of individual catch reports, and thus the data used for the index, may be affected by the management change to the point of making the index unreliable. The Group therefore decided to only use the index for the period 1990-2001 in the assessment.

A second historical CPUE index was examined corresponding to the North Ionian fishery (De Metrio *et al.* 1999). This index presents a nominal CPUE series for a single Italian fishing port, but it is very valuable in as much it presents the oldest record, going back to 1978, of swordfish longline catch rates for the Mediterranean. The Group discussed the usefulness of this index but was concerned by the fact that it is not standardized, therefore decided to use it only for sensitivity analysis. It would be important to attempt to recover the original data and standardize CPUE for this series.

Four of the other relative abundance indices presented were updates of previously presented indices (Greek longline 1987-2013, Sicilian longline 1991-2009, Moroccan gillnet 1999-2011 and Spanish Longline 1988-2013) and three were new indices (Turkish gillnet 2008-2010, Turkish longline 2008-2013 and Ligurian longline 1991-2009). The Sicilian longline index presented here, however, used a different subset of historical data than the one presented at the last assessment (Tserpes *et al.* 2011).

It is important to note that although more indices were made available at this assessment in comparison to the previous assessment, the driftnet ban is greatly affecting the number of available indices for the most recent years. From 2012 onwards only the Spanish and Greek longline indices are available to inform assessment models. Fortunately these indices are associated with two of the most important Mediterranean fleets and represent data for opposite sides of the Mediterranean. Unfortunately there are no available indices for the central Mediterranean since 2010. This is partially due to changes in the way longlines have been set by Italian vessels. Since 2009 many of these vessels have partially or completely switched to using mesopelagic longlines that fish deeper than surface drifting longlines (SCRS 2014/100, SCRS2014/106, SCRS 2014/111). The Group discussed the need for collecting data on the type of longline used for each trip to be able to conduct effective standardization of CPUE for the Italian longline fleets. The Group also concluded that, for the purposes of CPUE standardization, mesopelagic longlines and surface drifting longlines should be considered different gear. In addition the Group also discussed that there are variations in the setting of longlines, such as lightsticks, bait type, etc. that ideally should be considered during CPUE standardization because it is well known that they affect the catch rates of swordfish (Tserpes and Peristeraki 2004). So far only the standardization of Mediterranean swordfish for Greek longlines has considered gear type (surface drifting vs. American).

The Group developed a table summarizing the characteristics of the data sets, the rigor in the implementation of the CPUE standardization and the robustness of results given our knowledge about expected stock productivity (**Table 5**). This table was derived following the recommendations of the ICCAT Methods Working Group (ICCAT 2013) and followed similar tables derived by the Albacore and Tropical Tuna Working Groups. The Group adapted the description of the ratings for each criterion to fit the needs of Mediterranean swordfish data. Specifically, the Group decided that ratings for the length of the time series should make reference to a fishery that started in earnest in 1980 rather than in 1950. In rating the criteria about plausibility of trends in the data the Group agreed to rate all series as a 3 because no series showed a strong trend. The Group also discussed the appropriateness of the method of Walter and Cass-Calay (2012) to rate the robustness of the data, meaning the likelihood that fluctuations in the index are plausible biologically. Doubts were raised whether such method is appropriate and whether it would be best to use the assessment model to assess this plausibility. It was pointed out that this table of criteria is meant to be used as help in the selection of indices to be used in the assessment. Therefore, obtaining a rating for the criteria cannot depend on running the assessment model. The Group agreed therefore to retain the criteria of plausibility of trends and fluctuations but did not evaluate indices according to the later criteria during the current assessment.

SCRS/2014/096 updated standardized catch rates in number of fish from the Spanish surface drifting longline fleet targeting swordfish in the western Mediterranean for the period 1988-2013. Data included 24,239 trips analyzed by means of General Linear Modeling (GLM). Annual standardized CPUEs did not show a clear trend, but the index was more variable in recent years. The Group discussed whether Spanish longliners also have shown a tendency to use mesopelagic longline in recent times, like the Italian fleets. The authors of the paper pointed out that there is a small portion of the fleet which does use mesopelagic longline. This fleet, however, has not been growing and lands a small portion of the Spanish longline catch, which continues to be derived from mostly surface drifting longlines.

SCRS/2014/097 represents the indices of abundance of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) from the Turkish gillnet and longline fisheries operating in the eastern Mediterranean for the period 2008-2013. Gillnet CPUE data suggested the presence of and increasing abundance trend over the period 2008-2010, while not any particular trend was identified from the analysis of the longline data set. The Group noted the importance of this work because it was the first time indices were calculated for these two fleets. The number of observations used in the analysis is small, 133 for the gillnet and 50 for the longline leading to highly variable and uncertain indices. Given this and the small number of years that these indices represent the Group decided not to use these indices in the assessment. It is important to highlight the information these indices provide for the purposes of describing the fisheries in the eastern side of the Mediterranean and encourage the authors to update the longline index as new data become available and possibly as more historical data are recovered.

SCRS/2014/104 presented annual standardized catch rates from the Greek surface drifting longline fisheries operating in the Aegean and Levantine seas from 1987-2013. Modeling of CPUE data was made by GLM techniques included temporal variables in the model. There have been considerable catchability changes over time due to gear modifications, and some of these changes were taken into account in the paper by adjusting CPUE accordingly. The Group noted that although CPUE levels do not show any particular trend over time, it is clear that from 2000 onwards the estimated indexes are generally lower (with the exception of 2013) than those of the earlier years.

SCRS/2014/105 presented annual standardized catch rates from the Sicilian traditional surface drifting longline fisheries operating in the Tyrrhenian Sea and the Straits of Sicily. Data covered the period 1991-2009 and standardized indices were estimated by means of GLM that took into account the effects of year, month and area. Results did not demonstrate the presence of any particular trend over time and again where rather variable from one year to the next. Although this is an update of the index presented by Tserpes (2011) the estimated index is different to the one presented in 2011 because the latest dataset only includes traditional surface drifting longline operations targeting swordfish.

The document SCRS/2014/108 updated the catch rates from the Moroccan driftnet fleet targeting swordfish in the Strait of Gibraltar up to 2011. The daily catch rates were analyzed using the General Linear Modelling approach (GLM), under log-normal error assumption in order to compute standardized abundance indices. The relative abundance index showed a relatively stable trend over the considered time series. The factors year, month and vessel size explained most of the variability observed in the abundance index. This index corresponds to a fishery harvesting swordfish to the west of the current stock boundary for Mediterranean swordfish, however the Group agreed to include it in the assessment, as it had been included in prior assessments. Section 3.1 provides information supporting this inclusion.

SCRS/2014/112 presented annual standardized catch rates from the traditional surface drifting longline fisheries operating in the Ligurian Sea. Data covered the period 1991-2009 and standardized indices were estimated by means of GLM that took into account the effects of year and month. Results showed that the CPUE index was gradually increasing, however, since 2000 the index is much more variable partially masking the increasing trend.

When scaled to the mean of each index and compared, the ensemble of indices did not show a clear trend of change in biomass (**Figure 11**). When individual indices are rescaled to have a mean of zero and standard deviation of one and then smoothed, it is possible to see the overall trend of all data combined (**Figure 12**). The global smoothed index shows a decline from 1987 to 1990 and then a slow increase from 1991 until present. It is important to note, however, that the smoothed index explains a small portion of the variability observed in the scaled index data. Some of the indices are negatively correlated, notably the Sicilian gillnet and the Spanish longline (**Table 6**). Two of the indices do show a slight increasing trend in the last 10 years (Spanish longline and Ligurian longline) whereas all others show high variability but no trend over such period.

For the assessment the Group agreed to give equal weighting to all indices. As an alternative to this the Group also discussed weighting the indices by the relative area covered by the fishery associated by each index and by the relative catch landed by the fisheries associated by each index. Such alternative weighting schemes have been often used by the Tropical Tuna and Billfish Working Groups in production model runs.

The Group agreed that there is limited information to derive relative area weights for Mediterranean fleets because the fishing effort data available for such fleets is rather coarse, at 5 degree level, and therefore inadequate for an area of the size of the Mediterranean. The Group, however, agreed to use Task I data to derive relative catch weights. These weights were obtained directly from Task I tables available at the meeting. Catches from Moroccan gillnets are made in both sides of the 05°W boundary. In order to associate the appropriate catches to the Moroccan index the Group assumed that 50% of those catches are made west of the 05°W boundary in the Strait of Gibraltar. The Sicilian index was associated to the catch of fleets reported to ICCAT as South Ionian Sea, Tyrrhenian Sea and Sicilian Straits. Unfortunately such catch data was not available in Task I tables for all years and fleets and a few interpolations had to be made to get a complete set of relative catch weights (**Table 7**). These interpolations were required for selected years of some of the Italian indices. Whenever there was no data reported to ICCAT for that year and fleet the catch was calculated as the product of the total reported Italian catch and a constant representing the proportion that such fleet represented in the catches of 1990-1995, a period when Italy disaggregated catch reports among regional fleets.

Additionally, participants provided estimates of the Ligurian longline catch for years 1997-2000. It was not possible to reconstruct the history of catch associated to the North Ionian fishery because Task I data available for Italy for the period 1968-1975 are not reported as longline and probably included in the category unknown gear. From 1976-1984 data are reported as longline but not separated by origin of the fleet.

## 4. Stock assessment

A number of assessment methods were used to provide an idea of the effect of model choice on the stock status determination and to attempt to use the widest possible range of available data. Two different production models (Bayesian and non-Bayesian), a size structured model, catch curve analysis and an age structured population model (XSA). Two of these modeling approaches were used in the previous assessment (ASPIC and XSA). Although the implementation of the Bayesian production model (BSP) is new for Mediterranean swordfish this model was used in the last assessment for the northern stock of Atlantic swordfish (McAllister 2014). Like in the previous assessment, and due to reasons explained below, the age structured model (XSA) was chosen to develop the stock status advice and to develop projections.

# 4.1 Methods

## 4.1.1 Bayesian Surplus Production Model

A Bayesian Surplus Production model was applied to the catch and CPUE data for Mediterranean swordfish. The software used was the same as available in the ICCAT catalog of methods, except for: (1) an improvement in the handling of population crashes in the projections and (2) the output of data for Kobe analysis. This software has been used in previous ICCAT assessments including albacore, sharks, billfish and swordfish.

The Bayesian model requires priors for the model parameters, including carrying capacity (K), biomass in the first year relative to K (Bo/K) and the intrinsic rate of population increase (r). The prior for K was uniform on log(K), a vague prior that weakly favors smaller values of K. The prior for Bo/K had a mean of 1.0 and a CV of 0.2, consistent with the understanding that there was very little fishing before the starting year of 1950. The informative prior for r was derived from a method based on growth, maturity and recruitment data, developed by McAllister (2014) for Atlantic swordfish. See **Appendix 4** for details of the derivation. The prior for r was lognormal, with mean of 0.47 and CV of 0.49 (standard deviation of log(r)=0.46). The continuous time version of the BSP model was used.

Six CPUE indices were used: Moroccan gillnet, Spanish longline, Sicilian longline, Sicilian gillnet, Greek longline, and Ligurian longline. Models were run with catch versus equal weighting of the CPUE data, and with either the Schaefer or a generalized form of the production model, for four primary runs. For the equal weighting case, the observation error standard deviation was set to its maximum likelihood estimate of 0.2 for each data point. For the catch weighting case, the weights to each data point were equal to the ratio of each fleet's catch to the total catch in each year. These ratios were re-scaled to imply an average observation error standard deviation of 0.2. For the generalized production model, the value of the shape parameter (n) in the Fletcher model was fixed to n=0.67, so that  $B_{MSY}/K=0.3$ . This value was chosen because evidence from equilibrium analysis implied that maximum surplus production is likely to occur at biomass levels less than half of K.

Diagnostic model runs included a post-model pre-data run for both the Schaefer model and the generalized production model. Post model, pre data runs are a method to evaluate the influence of the priors on the results. The models were also run with uniform priors to evaluate the information content of the data. Each series was also fitted independently in Schaefer model with equal weighting ( $\sigma = 0.2$ ), either with informative or uniform priors. Finally, a retrospective analysis was conducted for the Schaefer model with equal weighting ( $\sigma = 0.2$ ).

A number of sensitivity analyses were conducted. These included equal weighting with observation error variance equal to 1.0, or 0.1, and catch weighting without re-scaling the weights (average observation error variance >1 for years with multiple indices). To evaluate whether the uncertain catches in the 1950s through 1970s influenced the results, the starting year was increased to either 1965 or 1987. In the starting-year sensitivity runs, the prior CV for *Bo/K* was increased to 0.5, because there was less information on the starting biomass ratio in later years. For the run beginning in 1987, the mean *Bo/K* was set to 0.9. Finally, a sensitivity analysis was done with an alternative prior for *r* with a mean r of 0.76, and CV of 0.39.

## 4.1.2 ASPIC production model

ASPIC was used to fit the available fishery-dependent relative abundance indices and total catch of Mediterranean swordfish. ASPIC 5.33 (A Stock Production Model Incorporating Covariates) is an implementation (Prager 1994) of a non-equilibrium production model derived from the surplus production model of Schaefer (1957). The software ASPIC is maintained and supported by the National Marine Fisheries Service and is part of the ICCAT software catalog. The model is more formally described in Prager (1994) and Quinn and Deriso (1999). The model incorporates several extensions to the classical stock-production models, including the ability to estimate the shape of the production function so that it departs from the Schaefer model. The ASPIC bootstrap routine was used to construct approximate nonparametric confidence intervals (80%) and to correct for bias by conducting 500 trials. Statistical weights associated to relative abundance indices were either made equal for all data points or equal to the relative contribution of the catches associated with each index. The ASPIC model was always run assuming that the catch was known without error. Initial estimates and constraints used for population parameters were kept constant for all different runs (**Table 8**). All parameters of the model, K, MSY and q were estimated during the fit.

The ASPIC model used total catch data for the 1950-2013 period and six CPUE index series that included Greek longliners, Italian longliners (two indices from Sicilian and Ligurian fisheries), Spanish longliners, Moroccan gillnetters, and Italian gillnetters. It was considered that the stock was close to its carry capacity in 1950. Final estimates of model parameters (K, B<sub>0</sub>/K, and q's) were obtained using a least absolute values criterion of fit.

A series of sensitivity analyses were run to examine the assumption made when developing the input to the ASPIC fit (**Table 9**). Among the sensitivity analysis run were one where the Ionian North index was incorporated to the data set to see how the addition of a relative abundance index with information from the mid 1970s to mid 1980s affects the fit of the production model. To see the sensitivity of the fit to the inclusion of each index, indices were removed one at a time from the input data. To see the effect of the assumption of the shape of the production function a Fox production model and a generalized production function were fitted. To examine the effect of the length of the catch series the time series was started in 1980 rather than in 1950. Finally to see the effect of recent data on the fit a retrospective analysis was run by eliminating annual data one year at a time from the most recent year 2013 until 2008.

## 4.1.3 Age structured models

## XSA

An age structured assessment was conducted using XSA in R using the FLXSA package (part of the FLRproject, Kell *et al.*, 2007; <u>http://www.flr-project.org/</u>). The catch at age (CAA) data were generated using a statistical mixture distribution analysis that was shown during the previous assessment to provide statistically more robust results than deterministic age slicing. The estimates of CVs also showed that there was little information in the length distributions to justify splitting CAS into ages greater than 5. Therefore, in line with the Atlantic swordfish assessments XSA runs were conducted with a plus group of 5, (see SCRS/2014/114 for the full documentation of the XSA runs).

Biological parameters used for maturity and natural mortality-at-age were the same as in the last assessment, i.e. fish first mature at age 3 (when 50% are mature) and are fully mature at older ages; natural mortality was assumed equal to 0.2. Weights-at-age were derived from the mixture analysis and were consistent with the CAA.

Six CPUE data sets were available for tuning the XSA: i.e. Moroccan gillnetters (SCRS/2014/108), Spanish longliners (SCRS/2014/096), Sicilian longliners (SCRS/2014/105), Sicilian gillnetters (Tserpes *et al.*, 2011), Greek longliners (SCRS/2014/104) and Ligurian longliners (SCRS/2014/112). The standardized CPUE indices were not differentiated by age. These indices in the XSA were considered to be representative of the 2-4 age-group abundances (the plus group is not used for calibration within XSA) as assumed in the last assessment. Fleet catchability was assumed to be independent of year-class size for all terminal years and ages.

XSA estimates the survivors (i.e. terminal Ns by age and year) for each observed value of CPUE. This is done by calibration regression to predict population numbers-at-age by year for each series and then projecting along the cohort to the oldest age or most recent year. In addition shrinkage to the mean is performed, where the terminal Ns also including a term related to recent Fs or Fs at younger ages (shrinkage to the mean F) and numbers-at-age for recruiting age classes are estimated from the geometric mean of recent recruitments (shrinkage to the mean n). Time series weights can be applied to discount past values.

Two XSA runs were conducted, i.e. that based on the 2010 settings and an alternative candidate run based on goodness of fit diagnostics and a preliminary analysis of the size and age data using catch curves. Details of both runs including diagnostics and relative weightings are available in SCRS/2014/114. The main changes in the alternative candidate run were to reduce the amount of F shrinkage to the mean since there have been changes in both selection pattern and mean F. The F shrinkage age range was reduced to 1 age as there were only 4 true ages and F varied by age. Based on the diagnostics, the candidate run was considered for evaluating the stock status and providing advice. The final XSA assessment covered the period up to 2013 and **Table 10** presents the control options used in the candidate run.

## Equilibrium yield analyses

The XSA results were used as the basis for an equilibrium analysis which combines yield and spawner per recruit analyses with a stock recruitment relationship and provide results consistent with a long-term projection. Biological parameters and selectivity-at-age were derived from the XSA results.

## 4.2 Stock status results

## 4.2.1 BSP

The CPUE series showed a slight increasing trend in recent years, and all four of the models followed this trend (**Figure 13**). The data were somewhat informative, so that the posteriors of *K* and *r* were different from the priors (**Figure 14**). In particular, the mode of *r* was higher than the mode of its prior in all four runs. The models estimated that MSY was around 30-40,000 kg. The generalized production model ( $B_{MSY}/K=0.3$ ) was more optimistic than the Schaefer model. Current fishing mortality was around  $0.34F_{MSY}$  in the Schaefer models, and  $0.16F_{MSY}$  in the models with  $B_{MSY}/K=0.3$  (**Table 11, Figure 15**). Mean current stock status was  $1.6B_{msy}$  in the Schaefer models. Catch and equal weighting gave similar results.

The diagnostic and sensitivity runs are described in detail in **Appendix 5**. The post-model pre-data runs return values of r similar to the prior, as expected. The models with uniform priors returned much higher values of r. The fits to the individual indices vary somewhat on how much the recent trend increases (**Figure BSP4 in Appendix 5**). A retrospective analysis of the Schaefer model run with equal weighting showed that there was no obvious retrospective pattern (**Figure BSP5 in Appendix 5**). Runs that ended around 2008 were more pessimistic than the current run, but the runs ending in 2005 were more optimistic. The sensitivity analyses found that the assumption about the average value of the observation error standard deviation has a strong effect on the results. Therefore, the models that used the maximum likelihood estimate of observation error standard deviation as the best estimate are more believable than those that used a different value. The runs with a later starting year were quite similar to the runs that started in 1950.

BSP results are particularly sensitive to the choice of observation error variance. Although MLE estimates of that variance are available from each series the Group thinks this sensitivity needs to be further investigated to reduce the uncertainty associated with the application of this model to Mediterranean swordfish.

## 4.2.2 ASPIC

The SP Aspic model run 1 (base case) indicated that the stock was lightly exploited from 1950 until 1965, followed by increases in catches with a decline in biomass as catches progressively increased in 1984 and thereafter (**Figure 16**). Catches peak in 1998 with over 20,000 t, while the stock continued declining to reach overfished status during the early 1990s. After the reduction of catches post 1995, the stock started to recover. The status of stock plot in 2013 indicates that the fishing mortality is less than the reference  $F_{MSY}$  and the biomass is above the estimated reference of  $B_{MSY}$  (**Figure 17**).

**Appendix 6** includes information on various sensitivity runs that were accomplished. Several sensitivity scenarios were run with the Production Model as described in **Table 6.1.2 in Appendix 6**. The run 1 with equal weighting for all indices and estimating all parameters converged, albeit the model reported a negative correlation among some of the indices (**Table 6.1.3**). Estimated parameters and confidence bootstrapped results are shown in **Table 6.1.4 in Appendix 6**. Fits to indices of abundances and trends of relative biomass and fishing mortality are shown in **Figures 6.1.1** and **6.1.2 in Appendix 6**. No differences were observed when assuming different initial guess estimates for the  $B_0/K$  parameter.

The sensitivity run comparing shape parameter of the surplus production curve indicated that the data support a Logistic shape function rather than the asymmetric Fox model (**Table 6.1.5**, **Figure 6.1.3 in Appendix 6**). Using a Generalized model, the estimated alpha parameter was 0.503 closer to the Logistic assumption than the Fox model. However, overall the results indicate a high productivity of the stock as indicated by high estimated values of *r* above 0.7. The retrospective analysis shows a pattern, with increase of relative fishing mortality and decrease of relative biomass as data from recent years are removed. These results change when data from 2008 forwards was removed (**Table 6.1.6**, **Figure 6.1.4 in Appendix 6**). A sensitivity run restricting the data to the 1980-2013 period, and adjusting the input guess of  $B_0/K$  to 0.5 indicated a similar trend of relative biomass and fishing mortality compared to the model with data from 1950 (**Figure 6.1.5 in Appendix 6**). Because relative indices of abundance are restricted to 1987 forward, the Group presented a nominal CPUE series from an Italian longline fishery presented at the SCRS (De Metrio *et al.* 1999) (**Figure 6.1.6 in Appendix 6**). Overall results show similar trends of relative biomass and relative fishing mortality runs.

# 4.2.3 Age structured models

XSA

Time series of recruitment, SSB, catch and fishing mortality are given in **Figure 18**. A retrospective analysis that was also conducted does not show any particular pattern (**Figure 19**). Recruitment shows a slightly declining trend in the last decade, while stock biomass remains stable. **Tables 12** and **13** present the estimates of population numbers and fishing mortality at-age respectively. Trends in F-at-age are shown in **Figure 20**; there appears to have been a recent decline in F, particularly for ages 1 and 2.

# Equilibrium yield analyses

A Beverton and Holt stock recruitment relationship was fitted, see **Figure 21** for the fit with diagnostics. There appears to be a recent shift in recruitment in the most recent years (considering also the XSA estimates of SSB and R) and this was evaluated using the STARS algorithm (Rodionov, 2004; Szuwalski *et al.*, 2014). The shaded area gives the mean and standard deviation of recruitment prior to the regime shift (**Figure 22**).

Following the above analysis a Beverton and Holt stock recruitment relationship was refitted to data from the period 2003 to 2012 (data from 2013 were omitted since recruitment in this year came solely from shrinkage) (**Figure 23**).

The resulting equilibrium estimates for several biological reference points are given in **Table 14**; equilibrium curves are illustrated in **Figure 24**. Estimates of uncertainty derived from the Terminal N standard errors in the time series are presented in **Figure 25** and the Kobe phase plot in **Figure 26**. The current (2013) SSB and F levels suggest that the stock is overfished and subject to overfishing.

## 4.2.4 Synthesis of assessment results

The Group discussed the limitations and strengths of the various assessment methods used to evaluate stock status of Mediterranean swordfish and the commonalities and differences in the results obtained. There was consensus among the models that the stock had declined in the 1980s, and has been stable or slightly increasing since then. However, the XSA, ASPIC and BSP models gave different estimates of the absolute abundance, which caused them to produce very different estimates of stock status. BSP was the most optimistic, finding that the stock had never dropped below  $B_{MSY}$ , and current F was much lower than  $F_{MSY}$ . According to ASPIC, the stock had dropped below  $B_{MSY}$  in the early 1990s, but has now recovered above  $B_{MSY}$ . Current F was around half  $F_{MSY}$ . In contrast, the XSA found that current status was overfished and experiencing overfishing.

As in the previous assessment, the Group weighed the limitations of both models, given the available data, and considered that the XSA provides a more reliable assessment of stock status than the production models. A number of reasons were cited and informed the Group in reaching this conclusion:

- Catch at age data provides additional information to inform stock productivity in comparison to the production models that only use catch in biomass and relative abundance indices.
- Catch at age information used is an improvement from the one used in the last assessment as a consequence of completeness in the size frequency samples characterizing the catch at size for recent years.
- The lack of contrast in the relative abundance indices make production model results to be rather uncertain because stock productivity (estimates of r and K) is poorly defined by the data. This specially affects ASPIC results which do not have the additional information on stock productivity provided by the priors supplied to the BSP. It is also the result of the lack of relative abundance indices for the period when the stock is expected to have declined in abundance (1975-1985), as catch increased.

It should be noted that the approach of using the XSA results for stock status and projections is also consistent with previous assessments. Nevertheless, the XSA results have significant uncertainty.

The historical XSA estimates suggest that, from the 90s onwards, SSB has been relatively stable with little evidence of any trend. In the last ten years there is some suggestion of a reduction in F and recruitment. Like in most XSA implementations, recent estimates from VPA are the most uncertain and any increasing trend is within the range of interannual variability seen earlier in the time series. In spite of this uncertainty, estimates of population status from XSA indicate that the stock remains in the red quadrant as current (2013) SSB is about 65% lower than  $B_{MSY}$  and F is twice the  $F_{MSY}$ . These results, however, are based on deterministic analyses and the level of uncertainty in these estimates has not been evaluated.

# 4.3 Evaluation of management scenarios

The XSA model outcomes were projected forward under different exploitation scenarios. Each management scenario was simulated 500 times for a period of 25 years and as in the last assessment population size and volume of landings were estimated from the commonly used exponential decay and catch equations. In addition it was assumed that: (a) annual natural mortality equals to 0.2 for all ages and (b) annual recruitment deviates were similar to the period 2003 to 2012 (re-sampling from the recruitment residuals fitted to the recent data was done). In each simulation the total catch, recruitment, harvest and spawning stock biomass (SSB) by year were estimated. All scenarios were accomplished using the Fisheries Library in R (FLR) framework (http://www.flr-project.org/, Kell *et al.* 2007).

Four Mediterranean-wide management scenarios were examined. The first (base case) scenario assumes a continuation of the current exploitation pattern without any change, i.e. fishing mortality (F) at age for the entire projection period will be equal to that of 2013 (last assessment year). The second scenario assumes a 20% F reduction without any change in the selection pattern. Given that certain fleets have recently adopted the mesopelagic longline which has a different selection pattern than the surface one (**Figure 27**), the third and fourth scenarios assume a selection shift towards the mesopelagic gear for 50% of the total effort. Similarly, to scenarios 1 and 2, scenario 3 assumes no change in the overall F, while scenario 4 assumes a 20% reduction.

Results indicate that under current F, SSB will increase (**Figures 28** and **29**) under both exploitation patterns. However, even in the case of a 20% reduction of current F, SSB will still not reach the highest level in the time series, i.e. the late 80s' levels. If the selection pattern changes towards the mesopelagic gear then slightly higher yields will be provided.

**Figures 30, 31** and **32** show the historical XSA estimates and projections of SSB, F and catch relative to MSY benchmarks. Although both SSB and F will remain below MSY levels, catch will be close to MSY even if F is reduced to 80% of current levels. This is due to the shape of the equilibrium curves (**Figure 24**), where even increasing F by an order of magnitude greater than  $F_{MSY}$  (0.25) results in yield decreasing only by just over 30%.

# 5. Recommendations

# 5.1 Statistics and research

- Data submission. The Group noted substantial improvement in terms of reporting data by the ICCAT deadlines, even when no analytical stock assessment is scheduled. However, late submission of data is still occurring, which preclude their use during the assessment meeting. Therefore the Group reiterated the need for data to be submitted by ICCAT deadlines.
- Participation by ICCAT Contracting Parties in the Assessment Working Group. The Group noted a substantial increase in participation, namely by scientists from several Contracting Parties having significant swordfish fisheries. This had obvious positive consequences for the Group's ability to accurately interpret fisheries trends, and provide better advice to the Commission. The Group encouraged such level of participation in future meetings.
- Catch. All countries catching swordfish (directed or by-catch) should report catch, catch at size (by sex) and effort statistics by as small an area as possible (5° rectangles for longline, and 1° rectangles for other gears), and by month. The Group noted that it is important to collect size data together with the catch and effort data to provide meaningful CPUEs.
- Discards. Recently adopted management measures may have increased discard levels, therefore the Group noted that participating countries should improve their estimates of discards of juvenile swordfish, when applicable, and submit such information to the ICCAT Secretariat.
- CPUE. The Group noted that new CPUE series have been developed and recommended the collection and recovery of historical data to increase the period covered by these time series. For example the nominal data presented in de Metrio *et al.* (1999) should be recovered and evaluated for possible standardization. The Group recommended EU-Italy mesopelagic longlines and traditional drifting surface longlines to be considered different gear, and separate CPUE series be developed in the future. The Group reiterated the need for CPUE to take into account the geographic stratification of the catch by gear and month using standard measures of effort for each gear (e.g. number of hooks for longline, length of nets for gillnet), on as fine a scale as possible (5° rectangles for longline, and 1° rectangles for other gears). In addition the Group also recommended considering other gear characteristics (i.e. use of light attractors, hook style, bait type, etc.) during CPUE standardization. Although CPUE by age is the usual input for the age-structured analyses, the Group recognized that this must be based on an increased level of sampling, not merely substitution of the current data. Therefore, it is recommended that increased sampling take place so that CPUEs can be developed by age. To achieve this goal, the Group noted that it is important to collect size data together with the catch and effort data to provide meaningful CPUEs.
- Environment. The Group recommended continued work to better identify the effects of the environment on swordfish biology, ecology and fisheries. Future CPUE analyses should focus on developing additional methods to explicitly incorporate environmental variability into the model, and the influence of environment on the distribution of spawners and juveniles.
- Gear selectivity studies. Further research on gear design and use is encouraged in order to minimize catch of age-0 swordfish and increase yield and spawning biomass per recruit from this fishery. The Group recommended further studies to be conducted on the recently developed mesopelagic longlines fisheries, due to the impact these new fisheries may have in terms of catch composition, CPUE series, size distribution of the catches and consequently on the assessment of the stock status and provision of management advice.

- Stock mixing and management boundaries. Considering differences in the catch and CPUE patterns between different Mediterranean fisheries, further research, including tagging (both electronic and conventional) and genetic investigations, in defining temporal variations in the spatial distribution pattern of the stock will help to improve stock delimitation, assessment and management. The Group also noted the need to intensify collaborative and multi-disciplinary research taking into account fine-scale (e.g. 1° squares) and quarterly sampling strata, aiming at improving the precise delimitation of the current (western) boundary between the Mediterranean and North Atlantic swordfish stocks.
- Next Mediterranean swordfish stock assessment. It is recommended that the next swordfish stock assessment be conducted no sooner than 2017, as long as there is no signal from the stock indicating decline. This allows time to increase the time series of catch and effort data, and to advance basic research and assessment methods. It should be noted that the data required for that session should be up to and including the year prior to the meeting.

## 5.2 Management

The available information on Mediterranean swordfish stock status indicates a relative stable pattern for biomass in the recent decades supporting catches that have ranged between 10,000 and 16,000 t. After the adoption of several Recommendations by the Commission since 2007, including those related to the banning of driftnets and especially the management measures for the Mediterranean swordfish adopted in [Rec. 11-03], reported catches have decreased significantly from the 2000s' level, the catches in 2012 and 2013 being the minimum values of the last three decades. And reported catches of juvenile swordfish of less than 90 cm has also decreased on average 54% in the last two years compared with the levels of the decade of 2000s. Seasonal closures and the introduction of the mesopelagic LL by some fleets have contributed to the observed decrease of catches of juveniles.

Over the last 20 years biomass levels appear to be rather stable. This situation has remained the same since the last assessment. However, fishing mortality levels have shown a declining trend since 2010 and it is likely that this is mainly due to the management measures adopted by the Commission. In any case, there is considerable uncertainty about the stock status relative to the Convention objectives, mainly due to the lack of clear signal in the data and the lack of abundance indices before 1987. The Group recommends to maintain the current management measures of Mediterranean swordfish as adopted in [Rec. 13-04] until further research increases our confidence in their effect on the stock.

However, the Group notes that the recently adopted management measures may have increased discard levels of undersized swordfish and therefore recommends close monitoring of the fishery and that every component of Mediterranean swordfish mortality be adequately reported to ICCAT by the CPCs.

Management measures have had a positive impact, however the Group also noted that the number of vessels in the ICCAT records of vessels authorized to catch Mediterranean swordfish is higher than the vessels that are active in each CPC. The Group recommends the Commission considers the implications of this potential excess capacity.

## 6. Other matters

No other matters were discussed by the Group.

# 7. Adoption of the report and closure

A draft version of the report was adopted during the meeting and it was finalized through correspondence.

The Chairman thanked the participants for their hard work.

The meeting was adjourned.

#### Literature cited

- Akyol, O., and T. Ceyhan. 2013. Age and growth of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) in the Aegean Sea. Turk. J. Zool 37:59-64.
- Anon. 2011. Report of the 2010 ICCAT Mediterranean Swordfish Stock Assessment Meeting (*Madrid, Spain, June 28 to July 2, 2010*). Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 66(4): 1405-1470.
- Anon. 2008. Mediterranean Swordfish Stock Assessment Session (*Madrid, Spain, September 3 to 7, 2007*). Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 62(4): 951-1038.
- De Metrio, G., Cacucci M., Megalofonou P., Santamaria N. and Sion L. 1999. Trend of swordfish fishery in a Northern Ionian Port in the years between 1978 and 1997. Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 49: 94-99.
- ICCAT 2013. Report of the 2012 Meeting of the ICCAT Working Group on Stock Assessment Methods. Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 69(3): 1354-1426.
- Jensen, A. L. (1985) Comparison of catch curve methods for estimation of mortality. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 114; pp.743-747.
- Kell, L.T. and A. Kell. 2011. Comparison of age slicing and statistical age estimation for Mediterranean swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*). Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 66(4):1522-1534.
- McAllister, M. 2014. A generalized Bayesian surplus production stock assessment software (BSP2). ICCAT Collective Volume of Scientific Papers. SCRS/13/100.
- Mejuto, J. and J. M. de la Serna. 1993. A preliminary analysis to obtain a size weight relationship for the Mediterranean swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*). Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT 40(1):149-154.
- Rodionov, S.N. (2004) A sequential algorithm for testing climate regime shifts. Geophysical Research Letters R 31(9), doi: 10.1029/2004GL019448.
- Shepherd, J. G. "Extended survivors analysis: An improved method for the analysis of catch at age data and abundance indices." *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* 56.5 (1999): 584-591.
- Szuwalski, C.S., Vert-Pre, K.A., Punt, A.E., Hilborn, R., and Branch, T. A. (2014) Examining common marine fisheries assumptions about recruitment: a meta-analysis of recruitment dynamics for worldwide marine fisheries. Fish and Fisheries: in press.
- Ssentogo, G. W. and P. A. Larkin (1973) Some simple methods for estimating mortality rates of exploited fish populations. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 30; pp. 695-698.
- Stanley, R. D., M. McAllister, P. Starr and N. Olsen, 2009. Stock assessment for bocaccio (Sebastes paucispinis) in British Columbia waters. Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Publication: Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat Research Document 2009/055: xiv + 200 p.
- Tserpes, G. and N. Tsimenides. 1995. Determination of age and growth of swordfish, *Xiphias gladius* L., 1758, in the eastern Mediterranean using anal-fin spines. Fish. Bull. 93:594-602.
- Tserpes, G., and Peristeraki P. 2004. Catchability differences among the longlines used in the Greek swordfish fishery. Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 56: 860 -863.
- Tserpes, G., .Peristeraki, P., Di Natale, A. and Mangano A. 2011. Analysis of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) catch rates in the central-eastern Mediterranean. Collect. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 66(4): 1495-1505
- Walter J. F. and Cass-Calay S. 2012. Identifying biologically implausible interannual variability in CPUE indices; with application to Atlantic yellowfin tuna. ICCAT SCRS/2012/039.
- Wetherall, J., Polovina, J. and S. Ralston. Estimating growth and mortality in steady-state fish stocks from length-frequency data. In ICLARM Conf. Proc, pages 53–74, 1987.

Flag	1970	1971	1972	197	3 19	74 1	975 1	976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	198	9 19	90
TOTAL	3341	4975	5973.007	4808.93	5043.4	67 4313	.856 4	637 528	4.572	5966	5547	6579 6	814.022	6343	68%6.376	13665.58	15291.96	16764.86	18319.98	20365.38	17761.8	9 16017	1.5
Longline				10		96	500	368	370	320	521	650	760	870	977	894	800	\$47	1920	2621	50		72
Chinese Taipei				10	ं ै	50	500	500	370	320	324	0.50	100	0/0	0//	004	030	647	1020	2021	35	· ·	/3
EU.Croatia																							
EU.Cyprus							5	59	95	82	98	72	78	103	28	63	71	154	84	121	13	9 1	73
EU.España	900	1100	1300	110	5 7	00	89	89	667	720	800	750	1120	900	1321	1243	1219	1337	1133.969	1760	125	3 14	38
EU.France													01	772	772	1091	1026	1714	1202	1008	112	0 12	44
FU.italy							3	435	3330	3750	3455	3642	3362	2583	2660	2759	2493	2622	2831	2989	298	9 24	54
EU.Malta															59.376	93.577	171.964	143.86	163.01	233.376	121.89	1 134.5	04
EU.Portugal																							
Japan			15.007	1.93	5 9.4	67 12	.856	1	4.572	2	3	1	1.022	5	6	19	14	7	3	4		1	2
Korea Rep.																							
Maroc	214	326	229	18	3 1	93	118	186	144	172					43	39	38	92	40	62	9	7 3	71
NEI (MED)		520			· ·		110	100	1.1.1	171				517	532	552	499	524	566	598	59	8 9	18
Syria																							
Tunisie						5	3	5					7	19	15	15	61	64	63	80	15	9 1	76
Turkey						<b>CR RAR</b>	0.5.4				1077			6330							2051.00	7102.5	
Sub Total	1114	1426	1544.007	1389.93	5 1103.4	67 727.	856 4	143 461	0.572	5046	4877	5115 5	419.022	5770	6313.376	6748.577	6492.964	7504.86	8006.979	9476.376	7064.89	1 /183.5	04
Albania																							
Algerie																						5	39
EU.Croatia																							
EU.España															1	2	8			2	8	7 8	85
EU.France	2016	2249	4144	212	5 37	30 3	262	212	417	764	475	501	461	356	366	6601	8370	9701	9494	10021	1002	0 66	47
EU.Malta	112	224	224	22	4 1	92 S	214	175	223	136	151	222	192	177	500	0001	0370	0/91	5454	10021	1002	0 00	•,
Maroc		1	1			3																8	78
NEI (MED)												728	672			219	231	243	262	277	38	1 4	42
Syria																							
Tunisie																							
Turkey	99	76	60	5	,	15	10	7	34	20	44	13	70	40	216	95	190	226	557	589	20	9 24	43
Turkey Subtotal	99 2227	76 3549	60 4429	341	) ) 39	15 40 3	10	7 494	34 674	20 920	44 670	13 1464	70 1395	40 573	216 583	95 6917	190 8799	226 9260	557 10313	589 10889	20	9 2 7 88	43 34
Turkey Subtotal	99 2227	76 3549	60 4429	341	) ) 39	15 40 3	10 586	7 494	34 674	20 920	44 670	13 1464	70 1395	40 573	216 583	95 6917	190 8799	226 9260	557 10313	589 10889	20	9 2 7 88.	43 34
Turkey Subtotal Flag	99 2227 1991	76 3549 <b>1992</b>	60 4429 <b>1993</b>	1994	9 39 1995	15 40 3 1996	10 586 1997	7 494 <b>1998</b>	34 674 1999	20 920 2000	44 670 2001	13 1464 2002	70 1395 2003	40 573 2004	216 583 2005	95 6917 2006	190 8799 2007	226 9260 2008	557 10313 2009	589 10889 2010	20 1069 2011	9 2 7 88 2012	43 34 2013
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline	99 2227 <b>1991</b> 15746.28	76 3549 <b>1992</b> 14709.42	60 4429 <b>1993</b> 13264.87	341 341 1994 16082.21	9 39 1995 13014.81	15 40 3 <b>1996</b> 12052.81	10 586 1997 14693.35	7 494 <b>1998</b> 14368.87	34 674 <b>1999</b> 13698.64	20 920 2000 15568.79	44 670 2001 15006.07	13 1464 <b>2002</b> 12814.04	70 1395 <b>2003</b> 15674.09	40 573 <b>2004</b> 14404.92	216 583 2005 14600.07	95 6917 <b>2006</b> 14892.95	190 8799 2007 14226.84	226 9260 20(8 12163.83	557 10313 2009 11839.52	589 10889 <b>2010</b> 13429.68	20 1069 2011 11422.75	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418	43 34 2013 11253.84
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173	1994 16082.21 185	9 39 1995 13014.81 247	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247	7 494 1998 14368.87	34 674 1999 13698.64	20 920 2000 15568.79	44 670 2001 15006.07 133	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99	70 1395 2003 15674.09	40 573 2004 14404.92 52	216 583 2005 14600.07 93	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1	1994 16082.21 185 1	9 39 1995 13014.81 247	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3	7 494 1998 14368.87	34 674 1999 13698.64	20 920 <b>2000</b> 15568.79	44 670 2001 15006.07 133	13 1464 <b>2002</b> 12814.04 99	70 1395 2003 15674.09	40 573 <b>2004</b> 14404.92 52	216 583 2005 14600.07 93	95 6917 <b>2006</b> 14892.95 496	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492	226 9260 2008 12163.33 802 4.125	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116	1994 16082.21 185 1 159	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40	10 586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51	7 494 14368.87 10 61	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92	20 920 2000 15568.79 82.335	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404	40 573 <b>2004</b> 14404.92 52 49.112	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.671	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Crpats EU.Cyprus EU.España EU.España	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 176 1293	1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 247 1 40 1040	10 586 1997 14693.35 247 3 247 3 51 1184	7 494 14368.87 10 61 1409	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49,112 929.569	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:1 2062.802	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.10589	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Cryprus EU.España EU.France EU.Greece	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 173 1 116 1293 1568	1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402 2520	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3 1730	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:11 2062.802 989.11	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314	9 2 7 88 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Talpei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Crpaña EU.France EU.Greece EU.Italy	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260	1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402 2520 3844	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3 1730 2235	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68	226 9260 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:11 2062.802 989.11 4521.212	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195	9 2 7 88 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Cryprus EU.Srpaña EU.Srpaña EU.Srance EU.Srance EU.Srance EU.Jrahy EU.Malta	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277	76 3549 1992 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866	159 16082.21 185 159 1402 2520 3844 47.214	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811	10 586 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 133	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7 6	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 155.64	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.671 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 503.3602	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie EU.Cyprus EU.Eyprus EU.Eyprus EU.Eyprus EU.France EU.Jrance EU.Jrahy EU.Jrahy EU.Jrahy EU.Jortugal Japan	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4	55 <b>1994</b> <b>16082</b> 21 <b>16082</b> 21 <b>185</b> <b>1</b> <b>159</b> <b>1402</b> <b>2520</b> <b>3844</b> <b>47.214</b> <b>2</b>	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5	10 586 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7	34 674 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 13.3	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3 1730 2235 101.581 115.81 115.81 115.1	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 6 0.752	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47,404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.125 66.6:1 2062.802 989.11 4521.2:12 260.2:34 1.455	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.1958 4686.6 265.9374 0.525	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049	9 2 7 88 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 503.3602	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.croatia EU.cryprus EU.cryp	99 2227 <b>1991</b> 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4	55           341:           16082.21           185           1           159           1402           2520           3844           47.214           2	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4	20 920 2000 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 1 1	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.125 6.6:1 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.75 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049	9 2 7 88 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 553.3602	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croprus EU.España EU.France EU.Jrance EU.Jrank EU.Matta EU.Matta EU.Matta EU.Matta Super Composition Japan Korea Rep. Libya Marcc	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517	1993 1994 16082.21 185 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 2527	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.58t 115.1 1 5.564 754	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 6 0.752 1149	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2 2.439 1954	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 135 135	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582 1107	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.125 62.602 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1330	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761	200 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 51.85 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chines Talgei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Trance EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Maita EU.Maita EU.Naita EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Libya Maroc NEI (MED)	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 1 508 733	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517	1994 1994 16082.21 185 159 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273	10 586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 24588 152.865 7 7 11 323	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 15.5 105.564 754	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752 1149	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 13.5 1455	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:1 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.1958 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 77.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 770
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL TOTAL Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Crynus EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Libya Maroc NGI (MED) Syria	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 517	1994 16082.21 185 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 278	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273	10 5586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245 245	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.3 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 1 5.564 754	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752 1149	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.56 0.61 10.064 1670	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 1355 1455	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.182	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.61 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.006	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1019588 1131.758 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 11110	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 5101.296 422.761 1200	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 9.25088 39.25088 532.0049 640	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802 802	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101 770 2.5
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipel EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croprus EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia EU.stapia Japan Korea Rep. Libya Marcc NBI (MED) Syria Tunisie Turkey	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 1 508 733 181	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354	55 3411 1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352	10 586 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245 346	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1990 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205 483	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 101.581 115.1 1 5.564 754 567	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752 1149 1138 70	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 160.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 1801 791	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.50 0.386 0.386 13.5 1455	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024	226 9260 12163.33 802 4.175 66.621 2062.89.91 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1330 17.046 1011 336	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 1012 301	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1200	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6	9 2 7 88 2012 99888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1)313.667 66.498	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 5.1.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101 770 2.5 1014.222 96.8
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croprus EU.España EU.France EU.Jrance EU.Jrance EU.Jray EU.Matta EU.Matta EU.Matta EU.Matta EU.Natta Suportugal Japan Korea Rep. Libya Maroc NEI (MCD) Syria Tunisie Turkey Sub Total	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178 7631.419	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866	5: 341: 1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402 2527 298 8985.214	9 39 39 39 39 39 39 30 30 57 1,708 4 169 378 5318,708	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811	10 586 14693.35 247 3 51 11184 750 2458 100.346 5 245 346 5389.346	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414 6495.865	34 674 1999 13698.64 200 2866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205 483 6963.225	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.58t 115.1 1 5.564 754 567 7180.367	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752 1149 1138 70 0 7766.636	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 160.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 1801	95 6917 2006 1489295 496 42,684 1405,368 1373,87 5253 239,181 15,504 0,386 13,5 1455 949 949	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024	226 9260 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:1 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.046 1011 386 11491.71	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 11110 1102 301 11020.09	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.92	20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65	9 2 7 88 2012 9988.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9106.646	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Crynus EU.Crynus EU.France EU.T	99 2227 1991 15746.28 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 2 807 733 178 7631.419	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 11 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354	5: 341: 1994 16082.21 185 1 185 1 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214	9 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 5318.708	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811 13	10 586 14693.35 247 3 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245 346 5389.346 13	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 7 11 323 414 6495.865	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 28 866.7 1520 2880 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205 483 6963.225	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 15.564 754 567 7180.367	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 1257 7.6 0.752 1149 1138 70 7766.636	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47,404 1166,963 1230 5844.23 162,516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2 2.439 1954 1954 1954 1955 7 91 1955 1957 1957 1957 1957 1957	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 10961.34	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 135 1455 949 11243.49	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67,412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024	226 9260 2006 12163.83 802 4.175 66.61 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.046 1011 386 11491.71	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 37.771 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1 1110 1012 301 11020.09	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 12000 1016 334 12082.92	200 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 155.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9006.646	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 7700 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Crynus EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Lubya Maroc NEI (MED) Syria Tunkie Turkey Sub Total Other surf. Algerie	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178 7631.419	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866	5: 341: 1994 16082.21 185 1 185 1 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 5318.708 560	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811 13 560	10 586 14693.35 14693.35 14693.35 14693.35 107 3 5 108.35 100.346 5 245 346 5 389.346 13 560	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414 6495.865	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 0280 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 175.242 13.3 205 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 1 5.564 754 5.567 7180.367 948	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 6 0.752 1149 1138 70 7766.636	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 10961.34	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 135 1455 949 11243.49	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:1 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.064 1001 1386 1001 1386	557 10313 2009 11839.52 468 0.955 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 1012 301 11020.09	589 10089 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.92	200 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 330.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 23.99	9 2 7 88 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9106.646 31.855	43 34 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 51.485 51.485 40.461 1730.524 4559.9101 7770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipel EU.Croatia EU.Croprus EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance EU.Strance Subtotal Syria Tunkey Sub Total Other surf. Algerie EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178 7631.419 389	60 4429 1993 13264.87 11 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866	50 341: 1994 16082.21 185 1 159 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415 	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 5318.708 560 26	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 40 1040 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811 13 56 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	10 586 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 0.346 5 245 346 5389.346 13 50 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 6	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414 6495.865 13 825	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 26800 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 70	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 15.564 754 567 7180.367 948	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 76 6 0.752 1149 1138 70 7766.636	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 100.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.559 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3 512	216 583 2005 14600.07 93 52.782 460.257 1423.773 5559.6 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 10961.34 542	95 6917 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.684 1373.87 5253 239.181 135.54 0.386 135 1455 949 949 11243.49	190 8799 2007 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.61 2062.802 999.11 2062.802 999.11 2062.802 14521.212 260.214 1.455 1330 17.046 11491.71	557 10313 2009 11839-5 37.771 1994357 1019588 1131.758 4686.6 468.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 11102 1012 2015 468.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1 1110 2015 1 1010 2015 1 1010 2015 1 1010 2015 1 1010 2015 1 1010 2015 1 1010 10	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 77.52.389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.92	200 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 33.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 23.999 1.857	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9006.646	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 51.485 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 4512.279 459.9101 770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.521 1.52
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croprus EU.España EU.Jrance EU.Jrance EU.Jrance EU.Jray EU.Malta EU.Malta EU.Malta EU.Malta EU.Malta Sup Forese Korea Rep. Libya Marcc Ntl (MCD) Syria Turksey Sub Total Other surf. Albania Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 157462 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 2 807 733 178 7631.419 389 32	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866 1 389 65	50 341: 1994 16082.21 1859 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415 101	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 5500 288 560 288 0.1	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 1 247 1 040 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811 13 560 146	10 586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 2455 346 5389.346 13 560 80	7 494 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 7 11 1323 414 6495.865 13 825 34	34 674 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816 40.56	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1733 2235 101.581 115.1 15.564 754 5.567 7180.367 7180.367 948 8.17 12	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 0.752 1149 1138 70 7766.636 715 715 715	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1220 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665 60.778	40 573 2004 14404.92 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 195.4 791 10676.3 512 21.748 199	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 35559.76 362.112 3.745 1801 791 10961.34 542 49.56	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 239.181 15.504 0.386 135 1455 949 11243.49 206 57.071	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 14.032	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.6:1 2062.802 98.9.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.046 1011 346 11491.71 0.07 31.838	557 10313 2009 11839-52 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 1012 301 11020.09 2.154 5.267 5.78616	589 10889 2010 13429-68 624 1873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082 3.867 6.677 5.30108	20 1069 101422.75 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 10260.65 1.857 13.515 41.32429	9 2 7 88 9988.418 355.523 35.254 1580.133 9.6075 877.31 3355.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 60.648 910.648 2.3855 10.48 2.3855	43 2013 11253.84 383.5 52.05 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 44512.279 459.9101 7700 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10.62.8 10.92.1 4.582 1.547 16.326
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL TOTAL Longline Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Crynus EU.France EU.Trance EU.Trance EU.Trance EU.Trance EU.Trance EU.Mata EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Libya Maroc Net! (MCD) Syria Tunisie Tunisie Tunisie Tunisie Tunisie Tunisie Uthya Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39 6068	76 3549 1992 6 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178 807 733 178 8 5,219 2 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 107 807 733 107 807 733 107 807 733 107 733	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866 1 389 65 3070	50 341 1994 16082 21 185 1 159 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415 101 3921	9 39 1995 13014.81 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 560 28 550 28 0.1 42275	15 40 3 1996 12052.81 247 247 1 40 1040 1237 2617 71.811 5 273 352 5883.811 13 560 146 2669	10 586 1997 146937 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 245 346 5389.346 13 560 80 3646	7 499 1998 14368.87 10 61 1409 1650 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414 6495.865 13 825 34 3646	34 674 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39 3632	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 13.3 2 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816 40.56 4876	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 1 5.564 754 5.67 7180.367 948 81.7 12 4152	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 257 7.6 6 0.752 1149 1138 70 7766.636 715 77.4 27 1698	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665 60.778 2550.9	40 573 2004 14404.92 52 49.112 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3 512 21.748 19 1490.87	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 460.257 1423.773 5559.76 162.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 1801 791 18961.34 542 49.56 1900.17	95 6917 148205 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 0.386 13.55 1455 949 11243.49 206 57.071 2373.39	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 14.032 1954.64	226 9260 2008 12163.83 802 4.175 66.61 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.066 1011 336 11491.71 0.07 31.838 14.054 27.765988	557 10313 2009 11839-5 468 0.965 37.771 1994357 10.195488 1131.735 4688.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 1012 301 11020.09 2.1544 5.267 5.786363 329.2238	589 100899 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12002.92 1016 334	200 1069 11422.75 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 10260.65	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 155.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3356.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9006.646 31.855 2.3855 10.48 2.38256	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 51.485 51.485 40.461 1730.524 40.461 1730.524 40.451 2.79 459.9101 770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.547 1.6326 538.3635
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.france EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance EU.strance Sub Total Other surf. Albenia Algerie EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.croatia EU.strance	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39 6068	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 2 807 733 178 7631.419 389 32 4077 1885	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 4 517 354 354 354 354 389 65 3070 2022	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	) 39 399 1995 3014.81 247 89 1351 974 303 571.708 4 169 378 550 28 0.1 4225 28 0.1 1527	15 40 3 1996 40 12052.81 40 1040 1040 1040 1040 1247 71.811 5 5 5883.811 13 5 5 5883.811 13 13 5 5 5883.811 13 13 2669 2461	10 5886 1997 14693.247 3 51 1184 7 2458 100.346 5 2455 346 5 346 5 346 5 346 3600 80 3646 4655	7 494 1998 8 14368 87 10 10 61 1409 1650 2458 2458 2458 2458 152.865 7 11 323 414 414 6495.865 33 413 33 34 3646 39qcc	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39 3632 2920	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.225 483 6963.225 816 40.56 4856	44 670 2001 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 115.1 115.1 115.4 754 567 7180.367 948 81.7 12 4152 2227	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 1420.7 1680 1841 1440.7 7.7 6.6 30 7.7 6.6 36 7.7 57,7 4 7,7 6.6 36 7,7 4 7,7 1698 7,7 1698 7,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7 2,7	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665 60.778 255.09 1620.9	40 573 2004 92559 49.112 925.569 1129.15 5451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3 512 221.748 199 71490.87 1140.87 1140.87 1140.87 1140.87 1140.87 1140.87 1157.	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 460.257 1423.773 1559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 10961.34 542 49.56 1900.17 272	95 6917 148927 496 42,684 1405,484 1405,484 1373,87 5253 239,181 15,504 0,386 0,386 1355 1455 949 11243,49 206 57,071 2373,39 603	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 194.64 194.6915	226 9260 9260 121633 802 4.135 66.631 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.214 1.455 1330 17.046 1011 336 11491.71 348 8.14.054 27.7690 577	557 10313 2009 11839-52 468 0.965 37.771 1994357 10.19588 1131.588 1131.588 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 11 1110 1012 301 11020.09 2.154 5.267 5.78616 329.22816 477	589 10089 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.92 3.867 6.677 5.30108 920.9153	200 1069 101422.75 11422.75 192.28 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 23.99 13.515 41.32429 694.4133 887	9 2 7 88 2012 9888.418 355.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 533.3602 802 5.4 1313.667 66.498 9006.646 31.855 2.385 10.48 2.35256 717.8974	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 7700 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.547 16.326 538.3635 538.3635 538.3635
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croprus EU.Greece EU.Isay EU.Malta EU.Malta EU.Malta EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Libya Marcc NEI (MED) Syria Tunkey Sub Total Other surf. Albania EU.Croatia	99 2227 1991 157462 1173 162 1190 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39 6068 1198 559	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 6 56.2 7900 1456 3518 85.219 2 2 807 733 178 7631.419 389 32 4077 1885 559	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866 359 65 3070 2072	59 341 1994 16082.21 1859 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415 101 3921 2127	3 39 393014.81 247 89 1351 9 3035 71.708 4 169 378 560 28 550 28 247 550 28 28 29 378 560 28 560 28 560 28 560 28 560 28 560 28 560 28 57 560 560 560 560 560 560 560 560	15 40 3 19966 247 1 40 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 10	10 586 1997 1469335 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 2458 3466 5 3646 4655	7 494 14968.87 100 61 14368.87 1409 16500 2458 2458 2458 152.865 7 7 11 1323 414 414 6495.865 13 825 34 413 6466 642.905	34 674 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39 3632 2979	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1990.2639 175.242 133 2 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816 40.56 4876 2503	44 670 2001 15006.0133 135.322 1401.3 101.581 115.1 115.1 115.1 115.564 754 5.564 754 5.67 7180.367 7180.367 948 81.7 2222	13           1464           20002           1281404           99           103.584           1420.7           1680           1841           257           1149           7766.636           774           715           774           715           774           2230	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47,404 1164.93 5844.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10414.79 665 60.778 2550.9 1630	40 573 2004 49.112 929.569 5431.57 192.669 120.2 2 2.439 1954 791 10676.3 512 21.748 512 21.748 512 21.748 512 21.748 512 21.748 512 21.748 512 21.749 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 711 71	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 3.745 1801 791 18961.34 19961.34 542 49.56 1900.17 722	95 6917 2006 14892.95 42.684 1405.452 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 13.5 1455 949 11243.49 206 57.071 2373.39 603	190 8799 1422684 492 67412 1648.187 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 140.32 1954.64 615	226 9260 2008 121633 802 4.175 66.611 2062.80 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.046 1011 336 11.491.71 3.888 14.054 27.76596 587	557 10313 2009 11839-5 37.771 1994.357 10.19588 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 11 11100 1012 301 11020.09 2.154 5.267 5.2667 5.2667 5.2667 5.2667 5.2667 329.2238 477	589 10889 2010 13429.624 1873 31057 1785.408 1293.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12002.92 3.867 6.677 5.30108 920.9153 410	20 20 1069 2011 11422.75 192.28 4.241 3.461 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 23.999 1.857 13.515 4.32429 694.4133 387	9 2 7 88 9988.418 155.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 875.34 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9106.646 31.855 2.385 105.646 31.855 2.385 105.867 66.498	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.421 40.461 4512.279 459.9101 770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.547 16.326 538.3635 0.013
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL TOTAL Longline Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Cryprus EU.France EU.Trance	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39 6068 1198 559	76 3549 1992 6 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 807 733 178 807 733 178 8 5,219 2 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 807 733 2 7631.419	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 1 116 1293 1568 3260 90.866 4 517 354 7376.866 1 389 65 3070 2072	50 341 1994 16082.21 1859 1402 2520 3844 47.214 2 527 298 8985.214 415 101 3921 2127	3 39 39 39 1995 247 247 89 1351 974 3035 71.708 4 169 378 378 560 28 60 1527 1527	15 40 3 1996 6 247 1 12052.81 40 1040 1040 1040 1040 1257 71.811 5 5 5583.811 13 560 146 2669 2461	10 586 1997 14693.35 247 3 51 1184 750 2458 100.346 5 2455 346 5389.346 13 560 80 3646 4655	7 494 14968.87 10 61 14069 1650 2458 2458 2458 2458 2458 2458 323 414 414 6495.865 13 825 34 3646 2905	34 674 1999 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39 3632 2979	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.242 7.635 205 483 6963.225 816 40.56 4876 2503	44 670 15006.07 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 1 5.564 7180.367 7180.367 7180.367 948 81.7 12 4152 2272	13           1464           20002           1281404           99           103.584           1420.7           1680           1841           257           1149           1138           7766.636           715           774           1698           2230	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1220 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10.414.79 665 60.778 2550.9 1630	40 573 2004 49.112 929.569 1129.15 52 49.112 929.569 5451.57 195.263 1129.15 2451.57 195.263 120.2 2 2 2.439 1954 512 21.748 1954 100676.3 512 21.748 1990.87 1299	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 860.257 1423.773 5559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 1891 1891 1891 1891 49.56 1900.17 722	95 6917 2006 14892.95 496 42.684 1405.368 1373.53 239.181 15.504 0.386 135 1455 949 11243.49 206 57.071 2373.39 603	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4553.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 14.032 1954.64 615 15	226 9260 9260 12163.33 802 4.175 66.6:1 2022.802 989.11 4521.212 2602.802 989.11 4521.212 2602.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1330 17.066 11.451 31.848 14.054 27.7698 547 11.333	557 10313 2009 11839-32 468 0.965 37.771 1994.357 10.195488 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.525 1 1110 1012 301 11020.09 2.154 5.267 5.786216 329.22546 329.22546 477	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1873 31.057 1785.408 72.52389 1493.998 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.99 3.867 6.677 5.30108 920.9153 410	20 20 1069 2011 11422.75 4.241 34.61 1730.159 39.25088 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 10260.65 10260.65 13515 41.32429 694.4133 387	9 2 7 88 988.418 155.523 1.526 35.254 1580.153 9.6075 877.31 3856.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1513.667 66.498 9106.646 311.855 2.385 2.3855 10.48 2.35256 717.8974 3.6	43 33 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 5.1.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 7770 7770 7770 7770 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.547 16.326 538.363 0.013
Turkey Subtotal Flag TOTAL Longline Algerie Chinese Taipei EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Greece EU.Italy EU.Portugal Japan Korea Rep. Lulbya Maroc NEI (MED) Syria Tunkie Turkey Sub Total Other surf. Algerie EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Croatia EU.Trance EU.Italy EU.Mata Maroc NEI (MED) Syria Tunkey	99 2227 1991 157462 173 162 1132 1904 2470 129.277 1 508 733 181 7393.277 389 39 6068 1198 559	76 3549 1992 14709.42 6 6 56.2 790 1456 3518 85.219 2 2 807 733 178 7631.419 389 32 4077 1885 559	60 4429 1993 13264.87 173 11 116 1293 3568 3360 90.866 4 5177 354 7376.866 1 389 65 3070 2072	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	15 40 3 1996 247 1 40 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 10	10 586 1997 146933 247 3 51 1184 7 245 346 5 346 5 346 5 346 6 3666 4655 350	7 494 1998 113168.87 1100 61 11406 2458 2458 152.865 7 7 11 133 3245 414 414 414 414 414 414 3646 6495.865 34 3646	34 674 13698.64 20 92 866.7 1520 2680 186.937 4 259 468 6096.637 13 709 39 3632 2979	20 920 15568.79 82.335 1395.713 1960 2639 175.22 133.2 205 483 6963.225 816 40.56 4876 2503	44 670 2001 15006.0 133 133 135.322 1401.8 1730 2235 101.581 115.1 15.64 754 567 7180.367 948 81.7 12 4152 2272 360	13 1464 2002 12814.04 99 103.584 14207 1680 1841 14207 7.6 6.0.752 7.6 0.752 1149 11388 70 7.766.636 715 77.4 27 1668 2230	70 1395 2003 15674.09 47.404 1164.963 1230 58.44.23 162.516 0.61 10.064 1670 285.001 10.414.79 665 60.778 2550.9 1630 2653 9 1630	40 573 2004 49.112 925.59 929.569 929.569 1129.15 5451.57 120.2 2 2.439 195.263 791 10676.3 512 21.748 19 1490.87 1299	216 583 14600.07 93 52.782 460.257 1423.773 1559.76 362.112 13.912 3.745 1801 791 10961.34 542 49.56 3900.17 722	95 6917 148925 496 42.684 1405.684 1373.87 5253 239.181 15.504 0.386 13.5 1455 949 11243.49 206 57.071 2373.39 603	190 8799 14226.84 492 67.412 1648.187 1906.934 4563.68 213.487 2.582 1107 22.185 1024 11047.47 109.23 48.46915 14.032 1954.64 615 15	226 9260 9260 2008 121633 802 4.125 66.61 2062.802 989.11 4521.212 260.234 1.455 1370 17.046 11491.71 336 11491.71 346 547 31.838 14.054 547 11.333	557 10313 2009 11839-25 468 0.965 37.771 1994357 10.195488 1131.735 4686.6 265.9374 0.558 1 11110 1012 301 11020.09 2.154 5.267 5.78616 329.2238 477	589 10889 2010 13429.68 624 1.873 31.057 1785.408 5101.296 422.761 1200 1016 334 12082.92 3.867 6.677 5.30108 920.9153 410	200 1069 111422.75 111422.75 111422.75 111422.75 111422.75 111422.75 1192.28 4.241 330.5108 1306.314 4579.195 532.0049 640 1013 189.6 10260.65 10260.65 10260.65 10260.65 13.5115 41.32429 694.4133 387	9 2 7 88 2012 9858.418 355.523 1.526 352.54 1580.153 9.6075 367.31 3856.347 503.3602 802 5.4 1013.667 66.498 9106.6445 31.855 2.385 10.48 235256 717.8974 3.6 13.202	43 34 2013 11253.84 383.5 5.205 51.485 1605.412 40.461 1730.524 459.9101 7700 2.5 1014.222 96.8 10672.3 19.21 4.582 1.547 16.326 538.3635 0.013

Table 1. Task I summary table for the Mediterranean swordfish (Xiphias gladius) stock: total catch (t) by major gear and flag (2013 data are preliminary).

**Table 2.** SWO-M catalogue (1985-2013) of Task-I vs Task-II by stock, major fishery (flag/gear combinations ranked by order of importance) and year (1980 to 2013). [Task-II colour scheme, has a concatenation of characters ("a"= T2CE exists; "b"= T2SZ exists; "c"= CAS exists) that represents the Task-II data availability in the ICCAT-DB]



Table 3	. Mediterranean	swordfish	catch at age	1985 - 20	13 estimate	ed using t	the current	t growth	functi	on wit	h age sl	licing pro	otocol
---------	-----------------	-----------	--------------	-----------	-------------	------------	-------------	----------	--------	--------	----------	------------	--------

YearC	Age0	Age1	Age2	Age3	Age4	Age5	Age6	Age7	Age8	Age9	Age10P
1985	12,769	110,944	128,478	91,891	53,942	33,355	21,181	5,725	2,035	1,400	1,776
1986	14,447	74,202	144,172	108,854	49,854	40,587	21,068	8,545	1,314	2,648	3,185
1987	18,582	156,715	109,680	99,711	62,776	49,289	25,913	7,641	2,329	1,927	4,126
1988	26,796	249,149	175,548	98,063	76,698	45,534	21,734	6,918	3,494	1,991	4,081
1989	44,618	165,802	189,349	105,596	62,039	38,461	14,398	6,167	3,815	1,238	2,445
1990	11,074	189,157	270,543	125,424	33,500	16,531	8,869	2,893	1,689	653	1,024
1991	16,216	124,900	194,132	124,805	52,773	25,404	10,893	5,239	2,768	1,442	1,696
1992	33,637	141,664	231,548	84,954	40,036	21,645	10,826	4,594	3,708	1,866	1,034
1993	24,278	200,140	228,180	69,395	28,699	17,056	8,392	4,405	2,488	1,223	1,220
1994	35,208	144,353	270,474	106,604	37,633	20,862	12,409	6,478	3,307	1,411	2,353
1995	30,828	228,055	156,650	87,528	33,101	16,960	9,314	4,527	1,921	1,275	1,595
1996	17,552	144,767	159,069	94,334	34,691	14,542	7,155	3,641	1,794	1,085	1,121
1997	20,214	126,763	162,550	132,307	47,078	25,183	10,555	2,185	1,267	825	738
1998	32,947	224,627	158,430	77,702	37,074	25,482	13,789	6,021	3,297	1,350	1,907
1999	18,838	134,209	172,282	85,220	44,556	23,453	11,919	6,156	1,703	808	1,373
2000	8,103	160,052	171,514	113,006	48,153	28,800	12,322	6,383	2,883	1,409	1,571
2001	19,389	145,120	189,095	114,028	44,705	20,454	9,888	5,534	2,802	965	3,096
2002	10,800	218,630	229,077	86,251	24,997	14,199	5,920	3,350	1,881	749	1,602
2003	44,206	133,444	290,533	116,517	42,368	17,877	6,376	3,724	1,861	1,287	1,145
2004	42,363	224,885	166,711	94,824	39,349	21,959	9,301	4,757	2,413	1,374	1,817
2005	13,412	175,862	211,286	87,607	36,528	20,762	9,283	5,085	2,467	1,160	3,002
2006	24,143	135,409	193,417	80,144	36,290	23,396	11,652	6,930	3,574	2,229	3,021
2007	23,726	250,201	141,031	87,718	39,405	18,324	8,565	4,576	2,993	1,381	2,387
2008	6,960	211,151	211,690	80,812	30,819	13,102	4,961	1,682	893	341	716
2009	3,472	136,310	168,328	78,971	39,186	20,023	7,319	2,615	1,430	821	1,122
2010	14,460	128,375	133,141	95,737	52,083	23,684	9,013	4,296	2,598	1,406	906
2011	37,193	136,021	124,776	91,477	43,425	14,309	8,289	3,716	1,394	1,181	1,644
2012	4,549	96,698	107,180	53,870	27,136	13,482	7,556	5,061	2,224	1,406	1,260
2013	1,396	72,010	174,892	78,911	35,252	15,129	8,947	3,180	1,926	1,442	1,379

**Table 4.** Relative abundance indices considered in the meeting. MoGN Moroccan gillnet driftnet fishery, SpLL Spanish longline, TuGn Turkish gillnet, TuLL Turkish longline, SiLL Sicilian longline, SiGN Sicilian gillnet, GrLL Greek longline and LiLL Ligurian longline. Indices and years in grey were not used in the assessment models because they were derived from very limited data sets (TuGN and TuLL) or observations that may have been biased by management changes (SiGN).

	MoGN	SpLL	TuGn	TuLL	SiLL	SiGN	GrLL	LiLL	IoLL
1978									66.5
1979									88.9
1980									98.3
1981									57.8
1982									77.5
1983									54.2
1094									70 10
1904									70.10
1985									58.83
1986									41.07
1987		=					120.9		47.4
1988		116.7					142.6		66.6
1989		82.3							
1990		92.9				8.3	128.7		63.86
1991		75.5			100.3	9.8	170.1	88.5	54.73
1992		61.1			98.5	16.9	68.4	66.1	40.3
1993		84.1				13.0	123.1	68.8	50.91
1994		93.7			99.5	9.5	162.8	90.6	30.58
1995		88.0			124.2	14.7	99.9	94.6	33.43
1996		72.7				9.3		94.3	32.74
1997		74.2			75.9	14.0		101.1	40.11
1998		77.9			127.6	10.1	191.5	144.9	
1999	58.3	69.9			151.5	12.7	146.0	101.9	
2000	66.7	69.5			93.3	14.9	114.6	134.7	
2001	43.1	65.0			144.0	13.1	120.5	181.6	
2002	56.0	93.0			204.8		97.0	140.3	
2003	48.2	65.8			82.2		118.2	152.3	
2004	58.4	59.1			111.2	15.2	119.1	98.9	
2005	70.7	78.2			123.2	12.1	116.7	80.8	
2006	66.2	94.8			140.6	30.7	123.5	125.0	
2007	63.2	115.6			81.1		130.5	240.0	
2008	69.2	144.1	18.8	135.7	87.0	3.3	122.5	208.2	
2009	55.6	105.4	30.5	479.5	99.1	2.0	106.7	123.4	
2010	51.9	107.0	46.5	157.7			126.7		
2011	46.5	112.0		31.2			98.8		
2012		124.3		74.5			98.0		
2013		100.7		269.7			149.3		

**Table 5.** Criteria used to compare and document the characteristics of relative abundance indices for Mediterranean swordfish.

	TYPE OF CRITERIA														
	Information content of data														
	Appropriateness of method and its application														
	Consistency of results with biology & fishery														
	criteria not necessarily equal in importance			yeaRS	1999-2011	1988-20	13 2008-201	10	2008-2013	1991-2009	1987-2013				1978-1997
ELEMEN	T DESCRIPTION	SUFFICIENCY SCORE (1 is	s poor, 3 is best)		2014/108	2014/0	96	2014/97		2014/105	2014/104	Tserpes	et al 2011		Demetrio
		1	L 2	3	Gill Moroc	c Spain Ll	Gill Turke	ey I	LL Turkey	Sicil LL	Greek LL	Sicil GN		Ligurian LL	N Ionian L
		No Diagnostics or many		Full Diagnostics and											
		assumptions clearly	Diagnostics presented but not all	assumptions probably fully											
	1 Diagnostics	violated	assumptions met	met.	2		2	2	2	2	2		2	2	1
	Appropriateness of data exclusions and	Not appropriate or not	Described but some exclusions	Fully Appropriately described											
	2 classifications (e.g. to identify targeted trips).	sufficiently described	not properly justified	and justified	3		3	3	3	3	3		3	2	1
		Localized	Localized fishery but data												
		fishery/scientific survey,	represents all area or large	Fishery and data represents the											
	Geographical Coverage relative to the entire	and data represents a	fishery but data represents only	major geographic range of											
	3 distribution of the stock.	small area within it	part of it	population	2		2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2
	Catch Fraction relative to the total catch of the	Index associated with	Index associated with between	Index associated with more											
	4 stock. Not applicable to scientific surveys	less than 5% of the catch	5% and 20% of the catch	than 20% of the catch	2		2	1	1	3	2		3	1	1
		Less than 25% of the	extends between 25% and 50% of	Extends for more than 50% of											
	Length of Time Series relative to the history of	time of exploitation (<10	the time of exploitation (>10	the history of exploitation (>16											
	5 systematic exploitation.	years)	years<15 years)	years)	2		3	1	1	3	3		3	3	3
		More than 3 other													
	Are other indices available for the same time	indices available for the	1 to 3 other indices available for	It is the only available index for											
	6 period?	same period of time	the same period	the same period of time	1		2	1	1	2	2		2	2	3
				Majority of											
	Does the index standardization account for			gear/vessel/technology factors											
	known factors that influence	Only spatial and time	Some gear/vessel/technology or	included or standard scientific											
	7 catchability/selectivity?	factors included	environmental factors included	survey	2		1	1	1	1	2		1	1	1
		Many conflicts for more													
		than one period or for a													
	Are there conflicts between the catch history	period of more than 5	Conflict for a short period ( 5												
	8 and the CPUE response?	years	years)	No conflicts	3		3	3	3	3	3		3	3	2
		More than 50% of		Less than 10% of the annual											
	Is the interannual variability outside biologically	annual estimates are	Between 50% and 10% of annual	indices outside plausible											
	9 plausible bounds (e.g. SCRS/2012/039)	outside plausible bounds	indices outside plausible bounds	bounds											
	Are there severe biologically implausible trends														
	in relative abundance in part of the time series	Severe trend for a period	Severe trend for a short time												
1	0 (e.g. SCRS/2012/039)	of more than 4 years	period (4 or less years)	No severe change	3		3	3	3	3	3		3	3	3
		Unbalanced data respect													
		to standarization													
		factors: numerous data													
		points for each factor													
		combination (90% of													
		the cells have less than	Some lack of balance in data	Well balanced data respect to											
		10 observations eg.	respect to standarization factors:	standarization factors:											
		Aggregate data such as	numerous data points for each	numerous data points for each											
	Assessment of data quality and adepuacy of	monthly cpue used for a	factor combination (More than	factor combination (>10											
	data for standardization purposes (e.e. sampling	model with quarterly	50% of the cells have less than 10	observations for more than											
1	1 design, sample size, factors considered)	time factors)	observations)	90% of the factorial cells)	2		2	2	2	3	3		3	3	1
		Very Discontinuous more													
		than two breaks in the	one or two breaks in the time												
1	2 Is this CPUE time series continuous?	time series	series	Complete	3		3	3	3	2	2		2	3	2
		Discards not considered													
		and discarding practices	Discard not considered but	Dead/live accounted for											
		probably have changed	discarding practices to have	through observers or no											
	Were discards included in the estimation of the	through the time period	remained constant during the	discards exist during the time											
1	3 CPUE?	of the index	time period covered by the index	period of the index	2		2	2	2	2	2		2	2	2

**Table 6.** Correlation coefficients between relative abundance indices used in the assessment of Mediterranean swordfish. Moroccan gillnet driftnet fishery, SpLL Spanish longline, TuGn Turkish gillnet, TuLL Turkish longline, SiLL Sicilian longline, SiGN Sicilian gillnet, GrLL Greek longline and LiLL Ligurian longline.

	MoGN	SpLL	SiLL	SiGN	GrLL	LiLL
MoGN	1.000					
SpLL	0.236	1.000				
SiLL	-0.208	-0.122	1.000			
SiGN	0.121	-0.394	0.401	1.000		
GrLL	0.231	-0.028	-0.073	-0.200	1.000	
LiLL	-0.105	0.557	-0.098	-0.235	0.092	1.000

**Table 7.** Catch associated with each index used in the stock assessment and calculated for the purposes of setting an alternative statistical weighting scheme in the production models. MoGN Moroccan gillnet driftnet fishery, SpLL Spanish longline, TuGn Turkish gillnet, TuLL Turkish longline, SiLL Sicilian longline, SiGN Sicilian gillnet, GrLL Greek longline and LiLL Ligurian longline. All estimates come from Task I reports with the exceptions of those figures highlighted in red or blue. Red values were estimated, not directly obtained from Task I. Blue values are estimates made during the meeting from data collected in Liguria but not reported to ICCAT as disaggregated.

	MoGN	SpLL	SiLL	SiGN	GrLL	LiLL
1987					1303	
1988		1760			1008	
1989		1250				
1990		1438		4211	1344	
1991		1132	2120	3035	1904	166
1992		790	3302	3990	1456	101
1993		1293		3000	1568	100
1994		1402	3400	3800	2520	185
1995		1350	2660	4222	974	109
1996		1035		2590		98
1997		1179	21412	3540		196
1998		1383	21412	3540	1650	256
1999	1490	790	2335	3540	1520	151
2000	1252	1361	2300	4740	1960	129
2001	1133	1315	1948	4740	1730	84
2002	1115	1347	1604		1680	69
2003	815	1057	2041		1230	177
2004	650	888	1788		1129	151
2005	361	760	1797		1424	202
2006	302	1060	4577		1374	197
2007	308	1190	3977		1907	171
2008	294	1722	3940		989	169
2009	239	1906	4084		1132	176
2010	205	1727			1494	
2011	194	1655			1306	
2012		1485			877	
2013		1522			1730	

Parameter	Initial value	Minimum	Maximum
		constraint	constraint
K (t)	150,000	10,000	1,000,000
MSY (t)	15,000	1,000	100,000
B1/K	1	N/A	N/A
q MorGN	8.2090E-04	N/A	N/A
q SpaLL	1.2652E-03	N/A	N/A
q SciLL	1.6188E-03	N/A	N/A
q SciGN	1.7263E-04	N/A	N/A
q GrcLL	1.7673E-03	N/A	N/A
q LigLL	1.7404E-03	N/A	N/A

# **Table 8.** Initial parameter estimates and constraints for parameter search for ASPIC runs.

**Table 9.** Sensitivity runs developed with the SP Aspic model.

				Pars	
Run	Catch pe	riod	Indices	Estim	Notes
			6, Avg		
R1	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Est catch for $1953 = interpolate(1952,54)$
			6, Avg	ALL,	
R2	1950	2013	Year	SSQ	Same as R1 but using Sum of Squares for fitting.
R3	1950	2013	5* rem -1	All, LAV	Jacknife Removal 1 index at the time
			6, Avg		
R4	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Different initial values for B0/K: 0.5, 1.0, 1.5
			6, Avg		
R5	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Fox model with data as R1
			6, Avg		
R6	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Generalized model using R1 inputs
			6, Avg		
R7	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Retrospective 1 to 6 years removed: 2013 – 2008.
			6, Avg		
R8	1980	2013	Year	All, LAV	Change start year to 1980.
			7, Avg		
R9	1950	2013	Year	All, LAV	Add Historic Nominal CPUE DeMetrio et al. 1999 SCRS

**Table 10.** Control options used in the XSA run.

Plus group	5
Last year	2013
Time series weight	
Tolerance	1.00E-009
Maxit	30
Minimum standard error for population estimates	0.3
SE of the mean for shrinkage	0.5
Shrink to the mean N	TRUE
Shrink to the mean F	TRUE
Shrinkage years	5
Shrinkage ages	1
Spline year range	20
Spline power	3

			Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$
Variable	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	Catch weighting	Catch weighting
K (1000)	215.92 (0.89)	342.04 (0.76)	215.12 (0.90)	341.74 (0.76)
r	0.59 (0.30)	0.89 (0.32)	0.59 (0.30)	0.89 (0.32)
MSY (1000)	27.97 (0.88)	38.44 (0.66)	27.86 (0.88)	38.36 (0.66)
Bcur (1000)	188.85 (1.01)	292.85 (0.85)	188.10 (1.01)	292.52 (0.85)
Binit (1000)	212.36 (0.90)	333.48 (0.75)	211.50 (0.90)	333.40 (0.75)
Bcur/Binit	0.84 (0.15)	0.82 (0.20)	0.84 (0.15)	0.82 (0.21)
Ccur/MSY	0.54 (0.36)	0.39 (0.46)	0.54 (0.36)	0.39 (0.46)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.64 (0.09)	2.67 (0.13)	1.64 (0.09)	2.67 (0.13)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.34 (0.41)	0.16 (0.58)	0.34 (0.41)	0.16 (0.58)

**Table 11.** Posterior means and CVs of parameters estimated from the four BSP runs. The beginning year was 1950, mean observation error  $\sigma$ =0.2, all indices were used, with the base priors.

Table 12. Stock number (in 000's) at age at the beginning of the year obtained from the XSA model.

3	year						
age	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
0	765.366	800.120	999.174	1133.759	970.817	827.703	843.546
1	820.176	607.241	636.480	777.997	871.280	727.747	663.828
2	567.780	550.348	415.591	383.445	398.911	536.111	385.708
3	336.816	343.860	291.294	220.539	163.026	169.315	181.574
4	181.484	204.613	213.146	165.000	102.201	56.112	72.480
5	217.175	265.738	234.518	149.455	84.603	55.283	64.088
3	year						
age	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
0	1036.831	900.886	1032.823	903.839	809.627	916.908	894.445
1	665.887	798.116	694.922	784.477	691.663	637.932	726.694
2	386.326	390.517	438.423	397.784	420.876	407.636	381.989
3	140.475	121.260	143.192	135.565	178.138	199.140	159.672
4	66.641	57.682	54.712	55.532	60.009	84.795	85.085
5	72.487	65.806	74.599	63.145	56.100	50.052	101.659
3	year						
age	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
0	889.401	923.087	1126.060	865.697	1032.159	1003.277	788.406
1	690.493	699.590	746.525	903.333	700.466	793.912	766.868
2	371.835	405.865	385.236	437.450	480.855	391.175	430.061
3	168.878	165.042	167.197	136.720	166.258	153.506	164.143
4	81.352	78.668	67.951	64.492	70.533	66.856	66.536
5	77.471	75.987	68.876	75.163	50.206	66.120	69.275
3	year						
age	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
0	987.260	941.323	784.538	702.436	661.855	872.543	762.029
1	632.646	783.808	748.643	633.656	563.598	518.060	635.579
2	428.611	372.733	401.921	367.438	355.339	325.311	313.015
3	166.945	171.768	162.047	163.959	163.751	167.300	149.020
4	76.910	82.776	79.037	79.976	82.508	63.971	69.909
5	96.272	75.198	51.414	50.948	55.952	61.214	90.974
3	year						
age	2013						
0	679.782						
1	604.085						
2	417.887						
3	170.581						
4	83.801						
5	86.158						

# **Table 13**. Fishing mortality at age obtained from the XSA model.

2	year						
age	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
0	0.0314273	0.0288087	0.0502063	0.0633297	0.0881848	0.0206317	0.0364953
1	0.1989678	0.1792240	0.3067567	0.4679847	0.2856232	0.4348728	0.3413404
2	0.3014985	0.4362168	0.4336290	0.6552870	0.6569795	0.8826768	0.8100540
3	0.2984136	0.2782570	0.3683882	0.5691284	0.8665624	0.6484455	0.8023506
4	0.2984144	0.2782580	0.3683900	0.5691326	0.8665749	0.6484642	0.8023960
5	0.2984144	0.2782580	0.3683900	0.5691326	0.8665749	0.6484642	0.8023960
2	year						
age	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
0	0.0616707	0.0595793	0.0750337	0.0675525	0.0383424	0.0325016	0.0587975
1	0.3336489	0.3990706	0.3578897	0.4226792	0.3287246	0.3128393	0.4700555
2	0.9587446	0.8032840	0.9737336	0.6033505	0.5483310	0.7372503	0.6162156
3	0.6900867	0.5958574	0.7472263	0.6149571	0.5423184	0.6503621	0.4743366
4	0.6901641	0.5959775	0.7450457	0.6062457	0.5491225	0.7396164	0.5411092
5	0.6901641	0.5959775	0.7450457	0.6062457	0.5491225	0.7396164	0.5411092
2	year						
age	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
0	0.0400529	0.0122949	0.0203888	0.0117886	0.0624345	0.0687119	0.0201024
1	0.3313849	0.3966375	0.3344656	0.4305262	0.3825903	0.4130462	0.3817659
2	0.6122505	0.6868513	0.8359214	0.7674239	0.9418250	0.6684161	0.7462613
3	0.5639441	0.6874070	0.7526321	0.4618554	0.7109958	0.6359931	0.5581027
4	0.6414244	0.7509032	0.6419700	0.3597564	0.6345456	0.7307663	0.6359317
5	0.6414244	0.7509032	0.6419700	0.3597564	0.6345456	0.7307663	0.6359317
2	year						
age	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
0	0.0307690	0.0290247	0.0135882	0.0202127	0.0449558	0.1168759	0.0322696
1	0.3290484	0.4679090	0.5117080	0.3784347	0.3495593	0.3038385	0.2193244
2	0.7144020	0.6329787	0.6966396	0.6082059	0.5532836	0.5807012	0.4070443
3	0.5015237	0.5762266	0.5061593	0.4867182	0.7399226	0.6725922	0.3756363
4	0.5893967	0.5299316	0.3573419	0.5952712	0.8183516	0.5166789	0.3815999
5	0.5893967	0.5299316	0.3573419	0.5952712	0.8183516	0.5166789	0.3815999
2	year						
age	2013						
0	0.0050759						
1	0.2664159						
2	0.5421825						
3	0.4062308						
4	0.3971059						
5	0.3971059						

 Table 14. Biological reference points.

Ref.pt	F	Yield (t)	R	SSB (t)
virgin	0.00E+000	0.00E+000	8.45E+005	2.33E+005
msy	2.43E-001	1.51E+004	8.45E+005	4.76E+004
crash	3.07E+000	1.94E-003	2.44E-001	2.89E-006
f0.1	1.49E-001	1.42E+004	8.45E+005	8.12E+004
fmax	2.43E-001	1.51E+004	8.45E+005	4.75E+004
spr.30	1.74E-001	1.47E+004	8.45E+005	6.98E+004



Figure 1. SWO-MED Task I annual catches (t) by gear and year.



**Figure 2.** Map of the Mediterranean Sea with the main locations referred to in the Report. The Mediterranean/Atlantic boundary used by ICCAT is at 5°W longitude. The approximate provincial administrative limit for the Mediterranean used by Morocco is also shown.

#### **Distributions SWO Task 2 SzCAS information** YearC FlagName PortZone FleetCode GearCode Stock SizeInfo TUR TUN TAI MAR JPN EU.M UNCL Tyrrenean sea Turkey TRAP Tyrrenean & Ligurian seas Tunisie LLSWO Strait of Sicily LL-surf Maroc South Tyrrenean sea Size samples distribution LLPB YRR S South Adriatic sea LLJAP Japan ULTA-IT-TVL ULTA-IT-SIC ST ULTA-IT-SARDHJ ULTA-IT-USURY ULTA-IT-UDNLN ULTA-IT-IDNLN ULTA-IT-ADRIS ULTA-IT-ADRIS ULTA-IT-ADRIC ULTA LLHB LLFB Sardenha EU.Malta NULL EU.Italy MED LL-deri North Ionian sea LLBFT EU.Greece MEDE LLALB LL EU.España Ligurian sea EU GRC EU ESP-ES-SWO EU ESP-ES-MEDI EU ESP EU CYP DZA HARP Ionian sea Catch-at-Size estimation EU.Cyprus HAND Coruña Chinese Taipei GILLSWO Central Adriatic sea GILLALS Algerie Adriatic sea GILL Frequencies Level Count Prob Frequencies Frequencies Frequencies Frequencies Frequencies DZA 905 0.00025 Level Count Prob EU.CYP 11966 0.00326 Algerie 905 0.00025 Adriatic sea 93849 0.02557 GILL 133916 0.03648 MED 3670538 1.00000 Catch-at-Size estimation 2916005 0.79444 EU.ESP 320251 0.08725 Chinese Taipei 10 0.00000 Central Adriatic sea 1794 0.00049 GILLALB 53 0.00001 Total 3670538 1.00000 Size samples distribution 754534 0.20556 EU.ESP-ES-MEDI 16729 0.00456 EU.Cyprus 11966 0.00326 Coruña 2357162 0.64218 GILLSWO 38662 0.01053 N Missing Total 3670538 1.00000 EU.ESP-ES-SWO 0 2357162 0.64218 HAND EU.España 2694142 0.73399 18268 0.00498 5 0.00000 Ionian sea FUGRC 1 Levels N Missing 0 33744 0.00919 HARP EU.Greece 33744 0.00919 Ligurian sea 31037 0.00846 17684 0.00482 2 Levels FUITA 76942 0.02096 EU.Italy 621943 0.16944 MEDI 16729 0.00456 LL 215822 0.05880 EU.ITA-IT-ADRLC 1794 0.00049 EU.Malta North Ionian sea LLALB 82142 0.02238 23210 0.00632 18977 0.00517 EU.ITA-IT-ADRLS 29524 0.00804 Japan 706 0.00019 NULL 751646 0.20478 LLBFT 940 0.00026 EU.ITA-IT-ADRIAT 93849 0.02557 Maroc 207760 0.05660 Sardenha 17253 0.00470 LL-der 45705 0.01245 EU.ITA-IT-IONLN 23210 0.00632 Tunisie 16480 0.00449 South Adriatic sea 29524 0.00804 LLFB 10 0.00000 EU.ITA-IT-IONIAN 18268 0.00498 Turkey 740 0.00020 South Tyrrenean sea 2323 0.00063 LLHB 2641504 0.71965 EU.ITA-IT-LIGURY 31037 0.00846 Total 3670538 1.00000 Strait of Sicily LUAP 107206 0.02921 1296 0.00035 EU.ITA-IT-SARDHA 17253 0.00470 LLPB N Missing 0 Tyrrenean & Ligurian sea 16920 0.00461 26626 0.00725 EU.ITA-IT-SIC.ST 107206 0.02921 11 Levels Tyrrenean sea 203617 0.05547 LL-surf 1874 0.00051 EU.ITA-IT-TY.LI 16920 0.00461 Total 3670538 1.00000 LLSWO 521037 0.14195 EU.ITA-IT-TYRR.S 2323 0.00063 N Missing 0 TRAP 251 0.00007 EU.ITA-IT-TYRREN 203617 0.05547 UNCL 6177 0.00168 14 Levels EU.MLT 82142 0.02238 Total 3670538 1.00000 JPN 706 0.00019 N Missing 0 MAR 207760 0.05660 17 Levels TAI 10 0.00000 TUN 16480 0.00449 TUR 740 0.00020 Total 3670538 1.00000 N Missing 0 24 Levels

#### MEDITERRANEAN SWORDFISH STOCK ASSESSMENT - Heraklion 2014

Figure 3. Mediterranean swordfish Task 2 size and CAS sample distributions by year, flag, fleet, port, gear and type of information available.



Figure 4. SWO-Med size (LJFL) distribution from the CAS submitted by CPCs (top) and size samples (bottom).



Figure 5. Sword-Med size distributions (LJFL) by year and cumulative density functions by year.



Figure 6. Mosaic plot of the size samples distribution by year - month for Mediterranean swordfish (left) and box plot of size (LJFL) distribution by month (right).



Figure 7. SWO-Med size distribution by gear type, density and cumulative density functions.



**Figure 8.** Summary of the substitutions and raising of Task 2 size and CAS data to estimate overall Mediterranean swordfish CAS for the 2006 -2013 period.



**Figure 9.** Catch at age Mediterranean swordfish 1985 -2013 estimated by age slicing using the current growth at age function (Tserpes and Tsimenides, 1995).



Figure 10. Estimated mean weight at age by year for Mediterranean swordfish from the CAS and CAA matrices.



**Figure 11**. Relative abundance indices used in the assessment for Mediterranean swordfish. All indices are scaled to their individual means to facilitate comparison of trends and relative degree of variability. MoGN Moroccan gillnet driftnet fishery, SpLL Spanish longline, TuGn Turkish gillnet, TuLL Turkish longline, SiLL Sicilian Longline, SiGN Sicilian gillnet, GrLL Greek longline LiLL Ligurian longline, IoLL north Ionian longline. The IoLL index was only used in sensitivity analysis because it is a nominal index.



**Figure 12**. Relative abundance trends calculated by rescaling and smoothing indices. All indices are scaled to have a mean of zero and standard deviation of 1.0 (symbols). Scaled indices were then fitted to a smoothing function (lines). MoGN Moroccan gillnet driftnet fishery, SpLL Spanish longline, TuGn Turkish gillnet, TuLL Turkish longline, SiLL Sicilian longline, SiGN Sicilian gillnet, GrLL Greek longline, LiLL Ligurian longline and IoLL North Ionian longline. The thicker solid line represents the smoothing function fitted to all scaled indices together.



Figure 13. Fits to the indices at the mode of the posterior distribution for the four BSP runs.



**Figure 14.** Prior (dashed lines) and posterior (solid lines) probability density functions for K and r for the four reference runs.



Figure 15. Median and 80% credible intervals for the four reference runs.



Figure 16. Relative biomass and fishing mortality trend estimates from ASPIC base case run.



**Figure 17.** Relative stock status estimates in 2013 from bootstrapped runs of the ASPIC base case model. The marginal histograms display distribution of 500 boots, point colors and shade indicate the quantile density of the bivariate results.



Figure 18. XSA estimates of historic time series of recruitment, SSB, catch and fishing mortality.



Figure 19. Retrospective XSA time series estimates.



Figure 20. XSA estimates of F-at-age; lines represent lowess smoothers.



Figure 21. Beverton and Holt stock recruitment relationship (upper left) and the relevant diagnostic plots.



Figure 22. Evaluation of regime shift in recruitment using STARS algorithm; shaded area gives the mean and standard deviation of recruitment prior to the regime shift.



Figure 23. Beverton and Holt stock recruitment relationship (upper left) for the period 2003-2012 and the relevant diagnostic plots.



Figure 24. Equilibrium curves based on expected weight, maturity, m-at-age, selection pattern and SRR.



Figure 25. Uncertainty estimates of historic time series based on standard errors of terminal Ns.



Figure 26. Kobe phase plot based on XSA results and equilibrium yield analyses reference points.



Figure 27. Relative selectivity patterns for the drifting surface (current) and mesopelagic longlines based on the last three years data.



**Figure 28.** Projections based on the current selection pattern and two different F (harvest) levels: status quo (blue) and 80% of current (red).



**Figure 29.** Projections based on a mixed selection pattern (50:50 current and mesopelagic) and two different F (harvest) levels: status quo (blue) and 80% of current (red).



**Figure 30.** XSA historical estimates and projections of SSB relative to  $B_{MSY}$  assuming, either the current or the mixed selection patterns (top panel legend). For both selection patterns two different F levels were assumed: current (2013) and 80% of the current (right panel legend).



**Figure 31.** XSA historical estimates and projections of F relative to  $F_{MSY}$  assuming, either the current or the mixed selection patterns (top panel legend). For both selection patterns two different F levels were assumed: current (2013) and 80% of the current (right panel legend).



**Figure 32.** XSA historical estimates and projections of catch relative to MSY assuming, either the current or the mixed selection patterns (top panel legend). For both selection patterns two different F levels were assumed: current (2013) and 80% of the current (right panel legend).

## Appendix 1

#### AGENDA

- 1. Opening, adoption of the Agenda and meeting arrangements
- 2. Description and evolution of the Mediterranean swordfish fisheries
- 3. Summary of available data for assessment
  - 3.1 Biology
  - 3.2 Catch, effort, size and CAA estimates
  - 3.3 Relative abundance estimates
- 4. Stock assessment
  - 4.1 Methods
  - 4.2 Stock status
  - **4.3** Projections
- 5. Recommendations
  - 5.1 Research and statistics
  - 5.2 Management and advice
- 6. Other matters
- 7. Adoption of the report and closure

# Appendix 2

# LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

SCRS CHAIRMAN Santiago Burrutxaga, Josu SCRS Chairman, Head of Tuna Research Area, AZTI-Tecnalia, Txatxarramendi z/g, 48395 Sukarrieta Bizkaia, Spain Tel: +34 94 6574000 (Ext. 497); 664303631, Fax: +34 94 6572555, E-Mail: jsantiago@azti.es

## **CONTRACTING PARTIES**

## ALGERIA

Bouhadja, Mohamed Amene Centre National de Recherche et de Développement de la Pêche et de l'Aquaculture (CNRDPA) Tel: +213 557 531207, E-Mail: Bouhadja.amine@gamil.com

## **EUROPEAN UNION**

Bertolino, Francesco UNIMAR, Via Torino, 146, 00184 Roma, Italy Tel: +329 616 7629, Fax: +923 54 9741, E-Mail: bertolinof@gmail.com

Garibaldi, Fulvio Laboratorio di Biologia Marina e Ecologia Animale Univ. Degli Studi di Genova, Corso Europa, 26, 16132 Genova, Italy Tel: +39 010 353 8036, Fax: +39 010 357 888, E-Mail: largepel@unige.it; garibaldi.f@libero.it

Neilson, John D.

Independent Fisheries Consultant, 10 Moss Drieve, Chamcook, New Brunswick E5B 3G7, Canada Tel: +1 506 529 4922, E-Mail: neilson@nbnet.nb.ca

Neves Santos, Miguel

Instituto Portugues do Mar e da Atmosfera -I.P./IPMA, Avenida 5 Outubro s/n, 8700-305 Olhão, Portugal Tel: +351 289 700 504, Fax: +351 289 700 535, E-Mail: mnsantos@ipma.pt

Nikolioudakis, Nikos Hellenic Center for Marine Research, PO Box 2214, 71003 Heraklion, Greece Tel: +30 2810337715, Fax: +30 2810337822, E-Mail: niknikos@hcmr.gr

Ortiz de Urbina, José María Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Instituto Español de Oceanografía, C.O de Málaga, Puerto Pesquero s/n, 29640 Fuengirola, Málaga, Spain Tel: +34 952 197 124, Fax: +34 952 463 808, E-Mail: urbina@ma.ieo.es

Peyronnet, Arnaud

European Commission \_ DG MARE D2, Conservation and Control in the Mediterranean and the Black Sea, JII – 99 06/56, B-1049 Brussels, Belgium Tel: +32 2 2991 342, E-Mail: arnaud.peyronnet@ec.europa.eu

Tserpes, George Hellenic Center for Marine Research, PO Box 2214, 71003 Heraklion, Greece Tel: +30 2810337851, Fax: +30 2810337822, E-Mail: gtserpes@hcmr.gr

# MOROCCO

Abid, Noureddine Chercheur au Centre Régional de Recherche Halieutique de Tanger, Center Régional de L'INRH à Tanger/M'dig, B.P. 5268, 90000 Drabed Tanger Tel: +212 53932 5134, Fax: +212 53932 5139, E-Mail: abid.n@menara.ma; noureddine.abid65@gmail.com

## TUNISIA

Zarrad, Rafik Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer, BP 138 Mahdia 5199 Tel: +216 73688604, Fax: +216 73688602, E-Mail: rafik.zarrad@instm.rnrt.tn

## TURKEY

Ceyhan, Tevfik Associate Professor, Ege University, Faculty of Fishery, 35100 Bornova Izmir Tel: +90 232 311 5212, Fax: +90 232 3747450, E-Mail: tevfik.ceyhan@ege.edu.tr

# UNITED STATES

Die, David Cooperative Institute of Marine and Atmospheric Studies, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida 33149 Tel: +1 305 421 4607, Fax: +1 305 421 4221, E-Mail: ddie@rsmas.miami.edu

Babcock, Elizabeth

Dept. of Marine Biology and Ecology, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida 33149 Tel: +1 305 421 4852, E-mail: ebabcock@rsmas.miami.edu

## **OBSERVERS FROM NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS**

Oceana Vielmini, Ilaria OCEANA, Calle Leganitos, 47 - 6°, 28013 Madrid, Spain Tel: +3491 144 0899, Fax: +34 91 144 0890, E-Mail: ivielmini@oceana.org

#### \*\*\*\*\*

## ICCAT SECRETARIAT

c/ Corazón de María, 8 - 6th -7th fl. 28002 Madrid, Spain Tel: + 34 91 416 5600, Fax: +34 91 415 2612, E-Mail: info@iccat.int

Kell, Laurence Ortiz, Mauricio

## Appendix 3

## LIST OF DOCUMENTS

- SCRS/2014/095 Eléments d'informations sur la pêcherie espadonière algérienne. Koudri-Krim A. and Bouhadja A.
- SCRS/2014/096 Updated standardized catch rates in number and weight for swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) caught by the Spanish longline fleet in the Mediterranean Sea, 1988- 2013. Ortiz de Urbina J., de la Serna J. M., Mejuto J., Saber S. and Macías D.
- SCRS/2014/097 Analysis of Turkish swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) catch rates in the eastern Mediterranean. Ceyhan T., Tserpes G., Akyol O. and Ortiz de Urbina J.M.
- SCRS/2014/100 Effects of the introduction of the mesopelagic longline on catches and size structure of swordfish in the Ligurian Sea (western Mediterranean). Garibaldi F.
- SCRS/2014/104 Temporal CPUE trends of the Greek drifting longline swordfish fisheries in the E. Mediterranean. Tserpes G. and Peristeraki P.
- SCRS/2014/105 Standardization of catch rates from the Sicilian swordfish longline fisheries in the C. Mediterranean. Tserpes, G., Di Natale, A, Mangano, A.
- SCRS/2014/106 Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) catch composition of the Italian fishing fleet in the period 2007-13. Mariani A., Dell'Aquila M. and Bertolino F.
- SCRS/2014/107 Review and preliminary analyses of size, CAS and CAA of Mediterranean swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*). Mauricio Ortiz and Carlos Palma.
- SCRS/2014/108 Updated catch rates of swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) caught by the Moroccan driftnet fishery in the Strait of Gibraltar, 1999-2001. Noureddine A. and M. Bakkali.
- SCRS/2014/109 Analyses Préliminaires des Données de Production et d'Effort de Pêche de L'Espadon (*Xiphias gladius*) en Tunisie. Rafik Zarrad, Ridha M'rabet.
- SCRS/2014/110 Swordfish Growth Pattern in the Strait of Gibraltar; Implications for Mixing among Atlantic and Mediterranean Stocks. Noureddine Abid, Mohammed. Bakkali, George Tserpes, M'Hamed Idrissi.

- SCRS/2014/111 Swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) fisheries using drifting midwater longline in the Mediterranean Sea by the Italian fishing fleet. F. Bertolino, M. Dell'Aquila, A. Mariani, M. Valastro.
- SCRS/2014/112 Standardization of swordfish catch rates from the Ligurian surface drifting longline fisheries for the period 1991-2009. F. Garibaldi and G. Tserpes.

#### Appendix 4

## **BSP1. DERIVATION OF INFORMATIVE PRIOR FOR r**

McAllister (2014) and Stanley *et al.* (2009) proposed a method to generate an informative prior for the intrinsic rate of population increase *r* based on a stock-recruitment relationship, growth and survival. The method uses the Euler-Lotka equation to estimate *r* from the survival to age  $(l_x)$  and fecundity at age  $(m_x)$  vectors.

(1) 
$$\sum_{a=1}^{a_{max}} e^r l_a m_a = 1$$

This equation is solved numerically to estimate r. The survival to age is calculated as:

(2)  $l_a = e^{-aM}$ 

The fecundity at age is calculated as:

(3)  $m_a = \tilde{R}_S W_a G_a$ 

where  $W_a$  is weight at age,  $G_a$  is maturity at age, and  $\tilde{R}_S$  is the number of age 1 recruits per spawner as the number of spawners S approaches zero.  $\tilde{R}_S$  is calculated as:

(4) 
$$\tilde{R}_S = \frac{4h}{\tilde{S}(1-h)}$$

where h is steepness of the stock recruit curve, and S is spawners per recruit without fishing. S is calculated as:

(5) 
$$\tilde{S} = \sum_{a=1}^{a_{max}-1} W_a G_a e^{-aM} + W_{a_{max}} G_{a_{max}} \frac{exp(-a_{max}M)}{1 - exp(-M)}$$

The information needed to use this method are the three parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve, the two parameters of the weight/length relationship, the two parameters for a logistic maturity ogive (in either age or length), natural mortality and steepness. Each of these parameters was given a mean and a CV taken from the literature, and a probability distribution that seemed to adequately capture the uncertainty in the parameter (**Table BSP.A1.1**, **Figure BSP.A1.1**). For the logistic maturity ogive with length, the value of the logistic shape parameter was chosen so that approximately 95% of the fish would be mature within one year of the age at which average length was equal to length at 50% maturity.

A value of each parameter was drawn randomly from its distribution, and the Euler Lotka equation was solved for r. The values of r were plotted in a histogram. The distribution appeared to be lognormal, and a lognormal distribution with the mean and variance calculated from the simulated values of r was found to adequately recreate the empirical distribution of r (**Figure BSP.A2.2**). This lognormal distribution was used as the informative prior for r in the BSP models. The mean was 0.47 and CV was 0.49 (standard deviation of  $\log(r)=0.46$ ). As a sensitivity analysis, the same method was used to calculate r with the assumption that mean steepness was 0.95. This gave a mean r of 0.76, and CV of 0.39.

Para-					
meter	Mean	CV	Dist	Description	Source
М	0.206	0.25	lnorm	Natural mortality (1/year)	McAllister (2014)
				• • • /	Mean: ICCAT Manual.
Linf	238.58	0.1	lnorm	Von Bertalanffy asymptotic length	CV: Working Group
					Mean: ICCAT Manual.
Κ	0.185	0.1	norm	Von Bertalanffy growth parameter	CV: Working Group
					Mean: ICCAT Manual.
t0	-1.404	0.2	norm	Von Bertalanffy age at zero length	CV: Working Group
					Mean: ICCAT Manual.
а	8.90E-07	0.1	lnorm	Weight at length parameter	CV: McAllister (2014)
					Mean: ICCAT Manual.
b	3.554738	0.1	norm	Weight at length parameter	CV: McAllister (2014)
					Mean: ICCAT Manual.
L50	142	0.2	lnorm	Length at 50% maturity	CV: McAllister (2014)
				Parameter of the logistic maturity	
d	0.2	0.2	lnorm	ogive	Working Group
				Steepness $h=0.2 + 0.8$ Beta(5.86.	
				1.59)	
				Alternative: h=0.2+0.8	
h	0.83	0.14	beta	Beta(25,1.6)	McAllister (2014)

Table BSP.A1.1. Values of parameters used in the Monte Carlo simulations to estimate r. Lengths are lower jaw fork length in cm, weights are in g.





**Figure BSP1.A2.** Empirical distribution of r from Monte Carlo simulations (histogram) and lognormal prior for r (line).

#### Appendix 5

#### BSP2. SENSITIVITY AND DIAGNOSTIC RUNS OF THE BAYESIAN SURPLUS PRODUCTION MODEL

The BSP sensitivity analyses (**Table BSP.A2.1**) giving different weights to the CPUE data points produced very different posterior distributions of the parameters. All models estimated the same general trend, but the scale varied by a factor of four (**Figure BSP.A2.1**, **Table BSP.A2.2**). Models with higher inputted values of the observation error variance had much wider posterior distributions, and were more similar to the priors. This seems to be caused by the fact that the priors have a higher weight relative to the data when the data are given a high observation error variance. In the case where the data are not strongly informative, this effect can influence the shape of the posterior. With higher observation error variance, the joint posterior distribution of *r* and *K* is quite broad, while using the MLE value allows the model to estimate a narrower posterior (**Figure BSP.A2.2**). Reducing the observation error variance below the MLE value (last column in **Table BSP.A2.2**), makes the priors even narrower. Given the strong influence of observation error variance, using a value close to the maximum likelihood estimate is recommended (McAllister 2014).

The sensitivity analysis with a higher prior for r gave higher values for r and lower values for K, as expected (**Table BSP.A2.3**). Varying the starting year did not greatly change the values of r or K (**Table BSP.A2.4**). The post-model pre-data analysis, as expected, returned posteriors very similar to the priors (**Table BSP.A2.5**, **Figure BSP.A2.3**). The runs with uniform priors supported very high values of r (**Table BSP.A2.5**).

When the indices were entered into the model separately, using informative priors the results were fairly consistent, although there was some variability in the current fishing mortality rate (**Table BSP.A2.6**). Running the indices separately with uninformative priors, all supported much higher values of r (**Table BSP.A2.7**). The posteriors of r and K from these runs (**Figure BSP.A2.4**) show that some indices weakly support values of r around 0.5, but all the posteriors are rather flat.

Run	Shape	Prior	Weighting	Start year
1	Schaefer	base	σ=1	1950
2	Bmsy/K=0.3	base	σ=1	1950
3	Schaefer	base	catch	1950
4	Bmsy/K=0.3	base	catch	1950
1 <b>c</b>	Schaefer	base	σ=0.1	1950
p1	Schaefer	base	NA	1950
p2	Bmsy/K=0.3	base	NA	1950
u1	Schaefer	uninformative	σ=1	1950
u2	Bmsy/K=0.3	uninformative	σ=1	1950
u3	Schaefer	uninformative	catch	1950
u4	Bmsy/K=0.3	uninformative	catch	1950
r1	Schaefer	mean r=0.76	σ=1	1950
r2	Bmsy/K=0.3	mean r=0.76	σ=1	1950
r3	Schaefer	mean r=0.76	catch	1950
r4	Bmsy/K=0.3	mean r=0.76	catch	1950
r1b	Schaefer	mean r=0.76	σ=0.2	1950
r2b	Bmsy/K=0.3	mean r=0.76	σ=0.2	1950
r3b	Schaefer	mean r=0.76	catch, 0.2	1950
r4b	Bmsy/K=0.3	mean r=0.76	catch, 0.2	1950
1y1	Bmsy/K=0.3	B0/K CV=0.5	σ=1	1965
1y2	Bmsy/K=0.3	B0/K mean .9, CV .5	σ=1	1987
1y1b	Bmsy/K=0.3	B0/K CV=0.5	equal	1965
1y2b	Bmsy/K=0.3	B0/K mean .9, CV .5	equal	1987

 Table BSP.A2.1.
 Sensitivity and diagnostic runs.

Table BSP.A2.2. Sensitivity runs with different weighing of CPUE data points.

Variable	Schaefer σ=1	<i>B<sub>MSY</sub>/K</i> =0.3 σ=1	Schaefer Catch weighting unscaled	<i>B<sub>MSY</sub>/K</i> =0.3 Catch weighting unscaled	Schaefer σ=0.1
K (1000)	415.75 (0.62)	523.62 (0.49)	421.39 (0.61)	506.96 (0.50)	88.53 (0.11)
r	0.52 (0.46)	0.58 (0.45)	0.51 (0.47)	0.54 (0.47)	0.71 (0.09)
MSY (1000)	50.43 (0.78)	41.58 (0.61)	50.94 (0.79)	37.65 (0.65)	15.51 (0.03)
Bcur (1000)	384.07 (0.66)	440.21 (0.57)	388.06 (0.66)	413.25 (0.60)	65.82 (0.12)
Binit (1000)	407.41 (0.62)	506.01 (0.49)	412.98 (0.61)	491.34 (0.49)	87.55 (0.15)
Bcur/Binit	0.92 (0.16)	0.85 (0.22)	0.91 (0.17)	0.81 (0.25)	0.76 (0.11)
Ccur/MSY	0.34 (0.59)	0.36 (0.49)	0.35 (0.61)	0.41 (0.51)	0.73 (0.03)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.78 (0.09)	2.71 (0.13)	1.77 (0.11)	2.59 (0.18)	1.49 (0.02)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.21 (0.71)	0.14 (0.68)	0.21 (0.81)	0.18 (0.82)	0.49 (0.05)

							Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$
	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	Catch wt	Catch wt
Variable	σ=1	σ=1	Catch wt	Catch wt	σ=0.2	σ=0.2	0.2	0.2
	354.38	430.57	365.42	430.94	354.40	430.90	162.73	237.20
K (1000)	(0.72)	(0.60)	(0.70)	(0.60)	(0.72)	(0.60)	(0.97)	(0.87)
	0.79		0.80		0.79		0.71	
r	(0.39)	0.84 (0.37)	(0.39)	0.84 (0.46)	(0.39)	0.84 (0.37)	(0.27)	1.08 (0.27)
MSY	67.72	50.91	70.30	50.28	67.70	50.91	28.45	34.32
(1000)	(0.85)	(0.69)	(0.84)	(0.76)	(0.85)	(0.69)	(1.14)	(0.82)
Bcur	335.86	377.68	346.93	372.96	335.87	377.97	141.01	198.12
(1000)	(0.76)	(0.67)	(0.74)	(0.68)	(0.76)	(0.67)	(1.13)	(1.02)
Binit	349.32	421.82	360.11	422.15	349.40	422.39	160.43	234.08
(1000)	(0.73)	(0.60)	(0.71)	(0.60)	(0.73)	(0.60)	(0.98)	(0.87)
Bcur/	0.93		0.93		0.93		0.83	
Binit	(0.13)	0.86 (0.21)	(0.13)	0.84 (0.23)	(0.13)	0.86 (0.21)	(0.14)	0.78 (0.21)
Ccur/	0.30		0.29		0.30		0.57	
MSY	(0.69)	0.32 (0.58)	(0.71)	0.34 (0.60)	(0.69)	0.32 (0.58)	(0.35)	0.45 (0.41)
Bcur/	1.82		1.83		1.82		1.62	
Bmsy	(0.08)	2.78 (0.14)	(0.08)	2.74 (0.16)	(0.08)	2.78 (0.14)	(0.09)	2.56 (0.14)
Fcur/	0.17		0.17		0.17		0.37	
Fmsy	(0.80)	0.13 (0.77)	(0.85)	0.15 (0.87)	(0.80)	0.13 (0.77)	(0.39)	0.19 (0.52)

**Table BSP.A2.3.** Runs with a prior for r with mean of 0.76.

	1950	1965	1987	1950	1965	1987
Variable	σ=1	σ=1	σ=1	σ=0.2	σ=0.2	σ=0.2
K (1000)	415.75 (0.62)	621.70 (0.77)	644.54 (0.76)	215.92 (0.89)	288.17 (1.21)	330.03 (1.01)
r	0.52 (0.46)	0.51 (0.47)	0.52 (0.48)	0.59 (0.30)	0.58 (0.32)	0.43 (0.48)
MSY (1000)	50.43 (0.78)	74.61 (0.96)	77.93 (0.94)	27.97 (0.88)	35.71 (1.24)	29.82 (1.19)
Bcur (1000)	384.07 (0.66)	589.91 (0.81)	612.31 (0.80)	188.85 (1.01)	260.46 (1.32)	281.78 (1.16)
Binit (1000)	407.41 (0.62)	550.35 (0.79)	552.44 (0.80)	212.36 (0.90)	257.69 (1.20)	245.14 (1.21)
Bcur/Binit	0.92 (0.16)	1.10 (0.37)	1.14 (0.25)	0.84 (0.15)	0.99 (0.38)	1.18 (0.10)
Ccur/MSY	0.34 (0.59)	0.29 (0.72)	0.28 (0.73)	0.54 (0.36)	0.51 (0.42)	0.55 (0.36)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.78 (0.09)	1.82 (0.09)	1.82 (0.09)	1.64 (0.09)	1.66 (0.10)	1.59 (0.12)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.21 (0.71)	0.17 (0.85)	0.17 (0.87)	0.34 (0.41)	0.33 (0.48)	0.36 (0.43)

Table BSP.A2.4. Runs with Schaefer model and equal weighting, varying start year.

**Table BSP.A2.5.** Means and CVs of parameters estimated from post model pre data (PMPD) diagnostic runs and runs with uninformative priors.

					Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$
					Catch	Catch
	PMPD:	PMPD:	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	weighting,	weighting,
Variable	Schaefer	$B_{MSY}/K=0.3$	σ=1	σ=1	not scaled	not scaled
	417.36	482.76 (0.52)	573.57		573.16	
K (1000)	(0.61)		(0.61)	624.71 (0.60)	(0.60)	628.19 (0.60)
r	0.51 (0.48)	0.51 (0.48)	2.44 (0.59)	2.31 (0.60)	2.45 (0.59)	2.28 (0.62)
	50.00	34.61 (0.70)	317.27		317.68	
MSY (1000)	(0.79)		(0.84)	187.58 (0.80)	(0.84)	185.95 (0.81)
	382.13	380.11 (0.66)	559.00		557.50	
Bcur (1000)	(0.67)		(0.60)	588.14 (0.60)	(0.60)	587.07 (0.60)
	409.38	468.95 (0.51)	452.66		454.38	
Binit (1000)	(0.61)		(0.59)	453.79 (0.59)	(0.59)	455.73 (0.59)
Bcur/Binit	0.90 (0.19)	0.76 (0.31)	1.41 (0.78)	1.49 (0.73)	1.39 (0.76)	1.48 (0.72)
Ccur/MSY	0.36 (0.63)	0.47 (0.55)	0.10 (1.37)	0.14 (1.10)	0.11 (1.52)	0.15 (1.34)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.75 (0.14)	2.45 (0.25)	1.94 (0.05)	3.14 (0.09)	1.94 (0.07)	3.12 (0.11)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.26 (2.45)	0.29 (2.57)	0.06 (1.80)	0.05 (1.54)	0.06 (2.52)	0.06 (2.41)

Table BSP.A2.6. Means and CVs for Schaefer model fits by series, with informative priors.

Variable	MoGN	SpLL	SiLL	SiGN	GrLL	LiLL
14 (1000)	463.29	315.17	424.82	414.03	453.80	362.10
K (1000)	(0.55)	(0.77)	(0.60)	(0.62)	(0.55)	(0.73)
r	0.52 (0.48)	0.54 (0.41)	0.51 (0.47)	0.53 (0.46)	0.49 (0.48)	0.58 (0.47)
MSY (1000)	56.53 (0.73)	38.26 (0.89)	51.27 (0.77)	51.01 (0.77)	53.51 (0.76)	45.22 (0.83)
	431.05	284.21	392.04	383.10	419.14	333.33
Bcur (1000)	(0.59)	(0.85)	(0.65)	(0.66)	(0.60)	(0.78)
	453.80	309.47	416.46	405.90	444.58	355.16
Binit (1000)	(0.55)	(0.78)	(0.60)	(0.62)	(0.56)	(0.73)
Bcur/Binit	0.93 (0.16)	0.87 (0.17)	0.92 (0.17)	0.92 (0.16)	0.92 (0.16)	0.90 (0.16)
Ccur/MSY	0.30 (0.62)	0.45 (0.50)	0.34 (0.61)	0.34 (0.59)	0.33 (0.62)	0.39 (0.56)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.81 (0.09)	1.69 (0.11)	1.78 (0.10)	1.79 (0.09)	1.79 (0.10)	1.75 (0.10)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.18 (0.78)	0.29 (0.59)	0.21 (0.82)	0.20 (1.05)	0.20 (0.76)	0.24 (0.66)

Variable	MoGN	SpLL	SiLL	SiGN	GrLL	LiLL
K (1000)	583.72 (0.59)	549.54 (0.66)	575.82 (0.60)	564.00 (0.62)	596.75 (0.57)	524.64 (0.73)
r	2.50 (0.58)	2.33 (0.63)	2.46 (0.59)	2.44 (0.59)	2.45 (0.60)	2.47 (0.60)
MSY (1000)	328.91 (0.81)	291.07 (0.91)	319.98 (0.84)	311.26 (0.86)	330.64 (0.81)	282.88 (0.95)
Bcur (1000)	569.35 (0.58)	531.66 (0.65)	560.82 (0.60)	549.25 (0.62)	581.18 (0.56)	507.70 (0.71)
Binit (1000)	464.61 (0.57)	424.28 (0.64)	455.59 (0.59)	445.21 (0.61)	472.71 (0.56)	405.34 (0.70)
Bcur/Binit	1.39 (0.76)	1.45 (0.80)	1.40 (0.76)	1.40 (0.78)	1.40 (0.75)	1.41 (0.87)
Ccur/MSY	0.09 (1.48)	0.13 (1.32)	0.10 (1.47)	0.11 (1.41)	0.10 (1.51)	0.16 (1.36)
Bcur/Bmsy	1.94 (0.06)	1.92 (0.07)	1.94 (0.06)	1.94 (0.06)	1.94 (0.06)	1.90 (0.09)
Fcur/Fmsy	0.05 (2.29)	0.07 (1.53)	0.06 (2.23)	0.06 (3.04)	0.06 (2.36)	0.10 (1.58)

Table BSP.A2.7. Means and CVs for Schaefer model fits by series, with uninformative priors.



Figure BSP 4. Fits of the Schaefer model to each individual series at the mode.



Figure BSP 5. Retrospective analysis with Schaefer model, equal  $\sigma = 0.2$ .



Figure BSP.A2.1. Fits at the mode of the posterior for the eight base case runs.



**Figure BSP.A2.2.** Joint posterior of r and K for run with equal weighting  $\sigma=1$ , and equal weighting  $\sigma=0.2$ .



Figure BSP.A2.3. Priors (dashed) and posteriors (solid lines) for the post model pre data runs.



Figure BSP.A2.4. Individual series posteriors of K and r with uninformative priors.

#### Appendix 6

#### **DETAILS OF ASPIC MODEL FIT**

Table A6.1 Input parameters and data for the SPM Aspic vr. 5.34 NFT. (values of -9999 indicate no data). BOT ## Run type (FIT, BOT, or IRF) "SWOMed R1" "swomed R1" LOGISTIC YLD LAV 2 ## Verbosity 500 50 ## Number of bootstrap trials, <= 1000 1 100 ## 0=no MC search, 1=search, 2=repeated srch; N trials 1.0000E-08 ## Convergence crit. for simplex 3.0000E-08 8 ## Convergence crit. for restarts, N restarts 1.0000E-04 12 ## Conv. crit. for F; N steps/yr for gen. model 8.0000 ## Maximum F when cond. on yield 5.0 ## Stat weight for B1>K as residual (usually 0 or 1) 6 ## Number of fisheries (data series) ## IonLL index sensitivity analysis only 1.0000E+00 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 ## Statistical weights for data series 1.0 ## B1/K (starting guess, usually 0 to 1) 15000 ## KX (carrying capacity) (starting guess) 8.2090E-04 1.2652E-03 1.6188E-03 1.7263E-04 1.7673E-03 1.7404E-03 ## q (startingguesses -- 1 per data series) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ## Estimate flags (0 or 1) (B1/K,MSY,K,q1...qn) LOGISTIC YLD LAV 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ## Estimate flags (0 or 1) (B1/K,MSY,K,q1...qn) 100000 ## Min and max constraints -- MSY 1e6 ## Min and max constraints -- K 1 1000 10000 ## Random number seed ## Number of years of data in each series earC Catch MorGN SpalL 64 64 SicLL -9999 -9999 -9999 LigLL -9999 -9999 YearC SicGN GrcLL IonLL 586 580 -9999 -9999 -9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 1950 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1951 -9999 1952 1953 1954 337 394.5 452 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 9999 -9999 9999 -9999 -9999 1955 1956 340 393 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1957 1958 250.4 914 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1959 1960 200 112 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1961 1962 206 300 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1962 1963 1964 1965 318 394 1760 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 1966 1967 1752 1317 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -99999 -9999 1968 1969 3440 3723 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -99999 -9999 1970 1971 3341 4975 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -99999 -9999 1972 1973 5973.007 4808.936 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -99999 -9999 1974 1975 1976 5043.467 4313.856 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -99999 -9999 - 9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -84.61741 66.1005 66.76322 90.59594 94.61741 94.61741 101.856 134.6806 134.6806 134.6805 1 4637 -9999 -9999 4637 5284.572 5966 5547 6579 -9999 -9999 66.5 88.9 98.3 57.8 77.5 54.2 78.18 1977 1978 1979 1980 -9999 -9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 - 9999 -9999 -9999 6814.022 6343 6896.376 13665.58 1981 -9999 -9999 1982 1983 -9999 -9999 1984 15291.96 16764.86 18319.98 58.83 41.07 47.4 66.6 -9999 1985 -9999 1986 1987 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 120.9423 -9999 116.701 82.344 92.912 75.485 61.071 84.072 -9999 -9999 8.31 9.8 142.575 -9999 128.7304 170.0798 -9999 -9999 1988 1989 20365.38 17761.89 -9999 -9999 17761.89 16017.5 15746.28 14709.42 13264.87 16082.21 13014.81 -9999 100.285 98.51493 -9999 63.86 54.73 40.3 50.91 -9999 -9999 1990 1991 16.87 13.04 68.38899 123.1497 162.7566 99.92296 1992 1993 -9999 -9999 99.4544 124.1921 93.686 87.992 72.728 74.227 77.946 69.918 69.501 65.045 92.961 65.762 59.098 78.227 94.817 $\begin{array}{c} 9.49\\ 14.65\\ 9.33\\ 14.04\\ 10.12\\ 12.71\\ 14.92\\ 13.06\\ -99999\\ -99$ 1994 1995 -9999 -9999 13014.81 12052.81 14693.35 14368.87 13698.64 15568.79 15006.07 12814.04 15674.09 14404.92 14600.07 14892.95 124.1921 -9999 75.91722 127.6296 151.4978 93.34962 143.9597 204.8379 82.2238 1996 1997 1998 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 191.5122 145.9855 114.6219 120.4906 96.95627 118.1779 119.1237 116.7176 123.5422 130.5446 122.5142 106.694 98.78521 98.01572 149.3422 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 -9999 58.256 66.671 43.149 56.034 48.181 58.411 70.678 66.164 63.163 82.2238 111.1854 123.2123 140.6214 14892.95 14226.84 12163.83 11839.52 13429.68 11422.75 9888.418 11253.84 94.817 115.585 144.123 105.439 107.044 111.983 124.302 100.708 2007 2008 63.163 69.178 81.06036 86.95149 99.11803 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 123.3873 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 -9999 55.582 51.887 46.505 -9999 -9999 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013

Table A6. 2. Sensitivity runs developed with the SP Aspic model.

	Catch			Pars	
Run	perio	b	Indices	Estim	Notes
			6, Avg	All,	
R1	1950	2013	Year	LAV	Est catch for 1953 = interpolate(1952,54)
			6, Avg	ALL,	Same as R1 but using Sum of Squares for
R2	1950	2013	Year	SSQ	fitting.
			5* rem -	All,	
R3	1950	2013	1	LAV	Jacknife Removal 1 index at the time
			6, Avg	All,	Different initial values for B0/K: 0.5,
R4	1950	2013	Year	LAV	1.0, 1.5
			6, Avg	All,	
R5	1950	2013	Year	LAV	Fox model with data as R1
			6, Avg	All,	
R6	1950	2013	Year	LAV	Generalized model using R1 inputs
			6, Avg	All,	Retrospective 1 to 6 years removed: 2013 -
R7	1950	2013	Year	LAV	2008.
			6, Avg	All,	
R8	1980	2013	Year	LAV	Change start year to 1980.
			7, Avg	All,	Add Historic Nominal CPUE DeMetrio et al.
R9	1950	2013	Year	LAV	1999 SCRS

Table A6. 3. Fit and diagnostic results run 1 SP Aspic model.

SWOMed R1		Wednesday, 22	Page 1	
ASPIC A Surplus-Production !	Model Including Covariates (Ver. 5.34)	wednesday, 23 J	ul 2014 at 10:47:23	
Author: Michael H. Prager; 101 Pivers Island I Mike.Prager@noaa.go	NOAA Center for Coastal Fisheries and Habita Road; Beaufort, North Carolina 28516 USA ov	it Research	LOGISTIC model mode YLD conditioning LAV optimization	
Reference: Prager, M. H. 1994. surplus-production model. Fish	. A suite of extensions to a nonequilibrium hery Bulletin 92: 374-389.	ASPIC User's gratis from the	Manual is available author.	
CONTROL PARAMETERS (FROM INPUT	FILE) Input file: c:\auricio\de	sktop\scrs_2014\swomed\as	pic\r1\swomedr1.inp	
Operation of ASPIC: Fit logis: Number of years analyzed: Number of data series: Objective function: Relative conv. criterion (simp Relative conv. criterion (rest Relative conv. criterion (for Maximum F allowed in fitting:	tic (Schaefer) model by direct optimization v 64 Number of t Bounds on N Least absolute values Bounds on N lex): 1.000E-08 Monte Carl art): 3.000E-08 Random num rt): 1.000E-04 Identical o 8.000	vith bootstrap. vootstrap triais: (SY (min, max): 1.00 ( min, max): 1.00 search mode, triais: ver seed: nonvergences required in f	500 0E+03 1.000E+05 0E+04 1.000E+06 1 100 64 itting: 8	
PROGRAM STATUS INFORMATION (NO	N-BOOTSTRAPPED ANALYSIS)		error code 0	
Normal convergence				
WARNING: Negative correlations represent the abundance of the CORRELATION AMONG INPUT SERIES	detected between some indices. A fundamenta stock. That assumption should be checked. EXPRESSED AS CPUE (NUMBER OF PAIRWISE OBSERV	I assumption of ASPIC is	that all indices	
1 MorGN	1.000   13			
2 SpaLL	0. 236 1. 000 13 26			
3 Sci LL	-0. 208 -0. 122 1. 000 11 17 17			
4 Sci GN	0. 672 -0. 550 -0. 149 1. 000 3 12 9 12			
5 GrcLL	0.231 -0.028 -0.073 -0.798 1 13 23 16 10	. 000 24		
6 LigLL	   -0.105 0.557 -0.098 -0.089 0   11 19 17 11	0. 092 1. 000 17 19		
	1 2 3 4	5 6		
COODNESS OF FLT AND WELCHTING				
Woighted Woighted	(NUN-DUUISIKAPPED ANALYSIS)			
Loss component number and title	e LAV N	MSE weight	weight in CPUE	
Loss(-1) LAV in yield Loss(0) Penalty for B1 > K Loss(1) MorGN Loss(2) SpalL Loss(3) SciLL Loss(4) SciGN Loss(5) GrcLL Loss(6) LigLL	0.000E+00 0.000E+00 1 1.951E+00 13 4.270E+00 26 3.668E+00 17 2.196E+00 12 4.340E+00 24 4.316E+00 19	N/A 5.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00 N/A 1.000E+00	N/A N/A -0.498 N/A 0.242 N/A -0.057 N/A -0.070 N/A -0.547 N/A 0.057	
TOTAL OBJECTIVE FUNCTION: Estimated contrast index (ideal Estimated nearness index (ideal	2. 07411705E+01 I = 1. 0): 0. 5396 C* = I = 1. 0): 1. 0000 N* =	= (Bmax-Bmin)/K = 1 -  min(B-Bmsy) /K		

 Table A6. 4. Estimated bootstrapped parameters run 1 SP Aspic model.

Estimated	Estimated	Blas-com	rrected approximate con		fidence limits inter-		-	quartile	
name	estimate	estimate	bias	80% lower	80% upper	50% lower	50% upper	range	IQ range
B1/K	8. 670E-01	5. 531E-04	0.06%	8. 510E-01	8. 961E-01	8. 605E-01	8. 784E-01	1. 798E-02	0. 021
K	6. 829E+04	-1. 817E+03	-2.66%	6. 035E+04	8. 495E+04	6. 628E+04	7. 516E+04	8. 873E+03	0. 130
(1)	1. 504E-03	6. 925E-05	4.60%	1. 177E-03	1.763E-03	1. 335E-03	1.595E-03	2. 602E-04	0. 173
(2)	2. 399E-03	1. 218E-04	5.08%	1. 885E-03	2.811E-03	2. 149E-03	2.553E-03	4. 038E-04	0. 168
(3)	3. 147E-03	1. 791E-04	5.69%	2. 315E-03	3.682E-03	2. 684E-03	3.296E-03	6. 118E-04	0. 194
(4)	3. 365E-04	1. 745E-05	5.19%	2. 574E-04	4.094E-04	2. 912E-04	3.673E-04	7. 607E-05	0. 226
(5)	3. 026E-03	1. 485E-04	4.91%	2. 236E-03	3.470E-03	2. 642E-03	3.181E-03	5. 383E-04	0. 178
(6)	2. 866E-03	1. 496E-04	5.22%	2. 147E-03	3.411E-03	2. 533E-03	3.051E-03	5. 174E-04	0. 181
MSY	1. 501E+04	2. 089E+02	1. 39%	1. 469E+04	1. 543E+04	1. 481E+04	1. 514E+04	3. 257E+02	0. 022
Ye(2014)	1. 190E+04	-3. 737E+01	-0. 31%	1. 151E+04	1. 244E+04	1. 174E+04	1. 215E+04	4. 134E+02	0. 035
Y. (Fmsy)	1. 139E+04	-8. 180E+00	-0. 07%	1. 131E+04	1. 150E+04	1. 136E+04	1. 144E+04	8. 352E+01	0. 007
Bmsy	3. 415E+04	-9.085E+02	-2.66%	3. 017E+04	4. 248E+04	3. 314E+04	3. 758E+04	4. 437E+03	0. 130
Fmsy	4. 395E-01	3.023E-02	6.88%	3. 534E-01	5. 020E-01	3. 925E-01	4. 519E-01	5. 937E-02	0. 135
fmsy(1)	2. 922E+02	9.064E+00	3. 10%	2. 392E+02	3. 405E+02	2. 679E+02	3.090E+02	4. 107E+01	0. 141
fmsy(2)	1. 832E+02	4.774E+00	2. 61%	1. 571E+02	2. 113E+02	1. 722E+02	1.945E+02	2. 234E+01	0. 122
fmsy(3)	1. 396E+02	3.006E+00	2. 15%	1. 195E+02	1. 682E+02	1. 306E+02	1.529E+02	2. 232E+01	0. 160
fmsy(4)	1. 306E+03	4.218E+01	3. 23%	1. 088E+03	1. 547E+03	1. 186E+03	1.395E+03	2. 097E+02	0. 161
fmsy(5)	1. 452E+02	3.710E+00	2. 55%	1. 259E+02	1. 647E+02	1. 364E+02	1.531E+02	1. 668E+01	0. 115
fmsy(6)	1. 534E+02	4.059E+00	2. 65%	1. 293E+02	1. 784E+02	1. 418E+02	1.642E+02	2. 236E+01	0. 146
B. /Bmsy	1. 455E+00	1. 031E-02	0. 71%	1. 392E+00	1. 506E+00	1. 422E+00	1. 474E+00	5. 288E-02	0. 036
F. /Fmsy	5. 189E-01	-9. 230E-03	-1. 78%	4. 849E-01	5. 595E-01	5. 067E-01	5. 394E-01	3. 277E-02	0. 063
Ye. /MSY	7. 926E-01	-1. 187E-02	-1. 50%	7. 440E-01	8. 455E-01	7. 750E-01	8. 223E-01	4. 729E-02	0. 060
q2/q1	1.595E+00	1. 301E-02	0.82%	1. 409E+00	1.763E+00	1.510E+00	1.653E+00	1. 438E-01	0. 090
q3/q1	2.092E+00	2. 952E-02	1.41%	1. 839E+00	2.357E+00	1.970E+00	2.200E+00	2. 306E-01	0. 110
q4/q1	2.237E-01	1. 551E-03	0.69%	1. 954E-01	2.608E-01	2.104E-01	2.437E-01	3. 330E-02	0. 149
q5/q1	2.012E+00	1. 440E-02	0.72%	1. 721E+00	2.195E+00	1.897E+00	2.072E+00	1. 750E-01	0. 087
q6/q1	1.905E+00	1. 741E-02	0.91%	1. 675E+00	2.148E+00	1.808E+00	1.986E+00	1. 774E-01	0. 093
I NFORMATI (	IN FOR REPAS	T (Prager, Po	orch, Shertz	er, &Caddy. 2	003. NAJFM 23:	349-361)			

 Table A6. 5. Comparison of estimated shape surplus model function for SWO-Med.

COMPARISON OF LOGISTIC AND GENERALIZED MODELS							
Model Code Expor L 0 2.00 G 0 2.03 COMPARISON OF I	nent Bmsy/K 0.500 1.000E+00 0.503 1.000E+00 LOGISTIC AND FO	B1/K M 1.501E+04 1.501E+04 DX MODELS	ISY K 6.829E+04 6.891E+04	q1 1.504E-03 1.486E-03	Objective fn 2.07412E+01 2.07371E+01	AIC_c -1.68781E+02 -1.66432E+02	
Model Code Expo L 0 2.00 ( F 0 1.00 NOTE: Following rep	nent Bmsy/K 0.500 8.529E-01 0.368 8.608E-01 port describes Fox n	B1/K M 1.501E+04 6 1.553E+04 nodel w/ adjuste	ISY K 5.829E+04 1 7.897E+04 ed bounds: MS	q1 C 504E-03 1.433E-03 SY(1.88E+0	bjective fn. 2.07412E+01 2.09409E+01 3, 1.20E+05), K(1	.42E+02, 3.28E+07)	

**Table A6. 6.** SWO-Med estimated parameters for retrospective analysis SP Aspic model when removing last year of data.

Run	Term Yr	MSY	Bmsy	Fmsy	r
M0	2013	15,010	34,150	0.440	0.879
M1	2012	15,000	33,940	0.442	0.884
M2	2011	15,000	33,940	0.442	0.884
M3	2010	14,880	34,610	0.430	0.86
M4	2009	14,750	34,740	0.425	0.849
M5	2008	15,020	28,540	0.526	1.0528
M6	2007	15,620	65,220	0.240	0.479



Figure A6.1. SWO-Med SPM Aspic run 1 fit to indices of abundance series.



Figure A6. 2. SWO-Med SPM Aspic run 1 estimated relative biomass and fishing mortality trends.



Figure A6. 3. SWO-Med relative biomass and fishing mortality trends estimated by SPM Aspic with different assumptions of the surplus shape parameter.



Figure A6. 4. SWO-Med estimated trends of relative biomass (left) and fishing mortality from the retrospective analysis, by removing last year data from 2007 (M6) to 2013(M0).



Figure A6. 5. SWO-Med comparison of the relative biomass and fishing mortality trends for SPM Aspic models starting with catch data in 1950 (run 1), or catch data in 1980(S1980).





**Figure A6. 5.** SWO-Med comparison of relative biomass and fishing mortality trends (left) and index fit for the SPM Aspic run when introduced the nominal Ionian longline index (De Metrio *et al.* 1999) in the run 1.



**Figure A6.6.** SWO-Med estimated relative stock status as of 2013 from bootstrapped SP Aspic run 1 model. The marginal histograms display distribution of 500 boots, point colors and shade indicate the quantile density of the bivariate results.